# Conditioning and stability

Zecheng Zhang

March 27, 2023

In the abstract, we can view a problem as  $f : X \to Y$  where X, Y are two spaces. A wellconditioned problem is one with the property that all small perturbation of x lead to only small changes in f(x).

#### 1 Relative condition number

Denote  $\delta f = f(x + \delta x) - f(x)$ . The relative conditioning number is defined as

$$\kappa(x) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{\|\delta x\| \le \delta} \left( \frac{\|\delta f\|}{\|f(x)\|} / \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \right). \tag{1}$$

One can assume  $\delta x$  and  $\delta f$  are infinitesimal, then

$$\kappa(x) = \sup_{\|\delta x\|} \left( \frac{\|\delta f\|}{\|f(x)\|} / \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \right).$$
(2)

When f is differentiable, we can express the quantity in terms of the Jacobian of f,

$$\kappa = \frac{\|J(x)\|}{\|f(x)\|/\|x\|}.$$
(3)

A problem is well-conditioned if  $\kappa$  is small (e.g., 1, 10, 100), and a problem is ill-conditioned if  $\kappa$  is large (e.g., 10<sup>6</sup> or bigger).

Example 1.1. Consider  $x \to x/2$ . Example 1.2. Consider  $x \to \sqrt{x}$ , x > 0. Example 1.3. Consider  $f(x) = x_1 - x_2$ . (ondificitive change in  $f(x) = x_1 - x_2$ .

### 2 Conditioning of matrix multiplication

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we consider the problem of computing Ax given a x. We want to know how Ax will change if there is a perturbation in x. The conditioning number of A is defined as,

$$\kappa = \sup_{\delta x} \left( \frac{\|A(x+\delta x) - Ax\|}{\|Ax\|} \middle/ \frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \right) = \sup_{\delta x} \frac{\|A\delta x\|}{\|\delta x\|} \middle/ \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}.$$
(4)

Note that sup is over all  $\delta x$  and  $\frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$  is independent with respect to sup, it follows that,

$$\kappa = \frac{\|x\|}{\|Ax\|} \sup_{\delta x} \frac{\|A\delta x\|}{\|\delta x\|} = \|A\| \frac{\|x\|}{\|Ax\|},$$
(5)

where ||A|| is the operator norm (it is  $L_2$  norm if  $||\cdot||$  is the  $L_2$  vector norm). Note that, the condition number depends both on A and x.

Remark:

Suppose II vector II 
$$L_{L_{n}}$$
, II All  $L_{n}$ , A filt  
work to show  $k = II All - II A^{-1}II$   
work to show  $k = II All - II A^{-1}II$   
Tube  $V_{M} = 3\lambda^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in IR$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $V_{M}$  is much vight  
Singular vector of A.  
A  $V_{M} = G_{M} U_{M}$   
A  $\lambda x = G_{M} U_{M}$   
 $A \lambda x = G_{M} U_{M}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
substitute back into,  
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{1\lambda I}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda} II U_{M}II = \frac{G_{M}}{\lambda}$   
 $II A \times II = \frac{G$ 

The only thing left to prove is 
$$\|A^{i}\|_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{6m}$$
.  
 $AV_{i}^{2} = G_{i}^{2}U_{i}^{2}$ ,  $V_{i}^{2}G_{i}^{2}U_{i}^{2}$  are chapter singular xix  
 $V_{i}^{2} = G_{i}^{2}A^{i}U_{i}^{2}$   
 $A^{i}U_{i}^{2} = G_{i}^{2}V_{i}^{2}$ ,  $\Rightarrow G_{i}^{2}$  is a singular Value of  $A^{i}$   
we know  $G_{i}^{2} = G_{2}^{2} = ... = 26m > 0$   
 $G_{i}^{2} \leq ... \leq G_{m}^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow 11 A^{i} \parallel_{L^{2}}^{2} = G_{m}^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow 11 A^{i} \parallel_{L^{2}}^{2} = G_{m}^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow (x = 11 A11 \cdot 11A^{i}11 \rightarrow x \text{ conditioning number of } A^{i}$   
 $(\text{volative } t > 11 \cdot 11)^{2}$   
Then 2.1.  
 $A \in IR^{2}$  invertible.

Latt remark.  

$$A \in |R^{hub}, \quad \text{rank } (A) = N, \quad m \ge N$$

$$|R \leq ||A|| + ||A^{+}||, \quad \text{where}$$

$$A^{+} = (A^{+}A)^{+}A^{+} - 2 \quad \text{pseudo-inverse of } A,$$

$$profivation : (A^{+}A) \times = A^{+}b$$

$$\propto = (A^{+}A)^{+}A^{+} \cdot b$$

$$From (A), \quad |R = (A + || \cdot ||A||)$$

$$|| \times || = ||(A^{+}A)^{+}A^{+} \cdot A \times || \leq ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A \times ||$$

$$|| \times || = ||(A^{+}A)^{+}A^{+} \cdot A \times || \leq ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A \times ||$$

$$I = (||A|| \cdot ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A \times ||$$

$$|| \leq ||A|| \cdot ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A^{+}|| \cdot ||A \times ||$$

**Remark 1.** Suppose A is nonsingular square matrix. We have  $||x|| = ||A^{-1}Ax|| \le ||A^{-1}|| ||Ax||$ , this further implies that,

$$\kappa \le \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|,$$
(6)

or

$$\kappa = c \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|, \tag{7}$$

for some positive constant  $c = \frac{\|x\|}{\|Ax\|} / \|A^{-1}\|.$ 

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  be invertiable and let us consider Ax = b. The problem of computing *b* given *x* has conditioning number,

$$A \times (\operatorname{matr} X) \qquad \kappa = \|A\| \frac{\|X\|}{\|b\|} \le \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|, \qquad \chi = A^{-1} b \qquad (8)$$

with the perturbation in x. The problem of computing x given b has the conditioning number,

$$\kappa = \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|b\|}{\|x\|} \le \|A^{-1}\| \|A\|, \qquad (9)$$

with the perturbation in b. If we use the  $L_2$  norm, the first equality holds if x is a multiple of a right singular vector of A corresponding to the minimal singular value. The second equality holds if b is a multiple of a left singular vector of A corresponding to the largest singular value.

**Definition 2.2.** We will call  $\kappa(A) = ||A|| ||A^{-1}||$  the condition of A relative to norm  $|| \cdot ||$ and denote it as  $\kappa(A) = ||A|| ||A^{-1}||$ . The conditioning number is attached to matrix A not to the problem and x. If  $\kappa(A)$  is small, A is called well-conditioned, otherwise, it is called ill-conditioned. If A is singular, we write  $\kappa(A) = \infty$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$ ,  $\|A\| = \sigma_1$  and  $\|A^{-1}\| = 1/\sigma_m$ , it follows that  $\kappa(A) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_m}$ 

**Remark 3.** When  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  of full rank and  $m \ge n$ . The conditioning number is defined in terms of the pseudo-inverse, i.e.,

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^+\|,\tag{10}$$

where  $A^+ = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*$  is called the pseudo-inverse of A.

## 3 Conditioning of a system of eqautions

We considered the case when A is fixed and perturbed x or b. What if we perturb A? Specifically, b is fixed and let us consider solving x from Ax = b given a small change in A. We have,

$$(A + \delta A)(x + \delta x) = b \tag{11}$$

$$Ax + A\delta x + \delta Ax + \delta A\delta x = b.$$
<sup>(12)</sup>

Using Ax = b and dropping the high order infinitesimal  $\delta A \delta x$ , it follows that  $A \delta x + \delta A x = 0$ , or  $\delta x = -A^{-1}\delta A x$ . Taking a norm,  $\|\delta x\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| \|x\|$ , or,

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} / \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} \le \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| = \kappa(A).$$
(13)

Equality holds when  $\|\delta x\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| \|x\|$ . It can be shown that for any A and b such  $\delta A$  exists. This leads us to the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let b be fixed and consider the problem  $x = A^{-1}b$ , where A is nonsingular. The conditioning number associated with this problem with respect to perturbation in A is:

$$\kappa = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \kappa(A). \tag{14}$$

## 4 Floating point

Computers use a finite number of bits to represent real numbers, they can only represent only a finite subset of real numbers. This has two limitations. Firstly, the represented number cannot be arbitrarily large or small. Secondly, there must be gaps between them.

In IEEE double precision arithmetic (one way to store numbers/digital representation of number in the computer), the interval [1,2] is represented by the discrete subset:

$$1, 1 + 1 \times 2^{-52}, 1 + 2 \times 2^{-52}, \dots, 2 + 2^{52} \times 2^{-52}.$$
(15)

In general, the interval  $[2^j, 2^{j+1}]$  is represented by 15 times  $2^j$ . The gap between the two adjacent numbers is never larger than  $2^{-52} \approx 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$  in relative sense.

IEEE double precision is an example of an arithmetic system based on a floating-point **F** representation of real numbers. Here **F** is a discrete subset of real numbers (example, Equation 15) which is used to digitally represent real numbers. Let us now define the machine epsilon  $\epsilon_m$ . This number is half the distance between 1 and the next larger floating point number. It has the following property.

**Property 4.0.1.** For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , ther exists  $x' \in F$  such that  $|x - x'| \leq \epsilon_m |x|$ .

This is in a relative sense since if x > 0,  $|1 - x'/x| \le \epsilon_m$ .

Let  $fl : \mathbb{R} \to F$  be a function giving the closet floating-point approximation to a real number (rounded to one floating number). Then the above property can be stated in terms of ft: for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $\epsilon$  with  $\epsilon < \epsilon_m$ , there exists  $\epsilon$  with  $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_m$  such that  $fl(x) = x(1 + \epsilon)$ .

The difference between a real number and its closest floating-point approximation is always smaller than the machine  $\epsilon_m$  in relative sense.