

# Singular value decomposition (SVD)

Zecheng Zhang

February 24, 2023

This section will discuss singular value decomposition (SVD) of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

## 1 Construction

The first singular value is defined as:

$$\sigma_1 = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|Av\|.$$

**Remark 1.** The first singular value is well defined, i.e., such a  $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  always exists. Non-rigorous argument: the function  $v \rightarrow \|Av\|$  is continuous and with a compact domain.

Now one can find  $u_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\|u_1\| = 1$  such that  $Av_1 = \sigma_1 u_1$ .

One can follow the definition of the first singular value and define the second singular value as,

$$\sigma_2 = \sup_{\|v\|=1, v \perp v_1} \|Av\|.$$

The remark [1](#) implies that such a  $v_2$  always exists and let us denote it as  $v_2$ . In addition, we can find  $u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\|u_2\| = 1$  such that  $Av_2 = \sigma_2 u_2$ .

**Remark 2.**  $\sigma_2 \leq \sigma_1$  because  $v_2$  is taken from a smaller subspace  $\{v_1\}^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.1.**  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  which are defined above are orthogonal.

The theorem implies that  $u_1 \perp u_2$ . Repeat the process, one can find a unit vector  $v_3 \in W_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}^\perp$  such that it admits

$$\sigma_3 = \sup_{\|v\|=1, v \in V_2} \|Av\|.$$

In addition, one can find a unit vector  $u_3$  such that  $Av_3 = \sigma_3 u_3$ . One can show that  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  are orthogonal.

**Remark 3.** Let us define  $W_p = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}^\perp$ . If  $\sup_{v \in W_p} \|Av\| = 0$ , or,  $Av_{p+1} = 0$ , we can make  $u_{p+1}$  (nonzero if possible) to be any vector which is orthogonal to  $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ . If  $u_{p+1}$  has to be zero,  $\text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_p\} = \mathbb{R}^m$

Repeat the process for  $n$  times (why is  $n$  the maximum step of the process?), we then can construct an orthonormal matrix  $V = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , another matrix with orthonormal columns  $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  up to some 0 columns, and a diagonal matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with diagonal entries being  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  (up to some 0). Recall the matrix multiplication we have,

$$AV = U\Sigma.$$

**Theorem 1.2.**  $\text{rank}(A)$  equals to the number of nonzero singular values.

*Proof.* Let us assume  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p\}$  are all nonzero singular values but  $\sigma_{p+1} = 0$ . Let  $V_p = \{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  be the singular vector corresponding to  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p$ . We claim that  $V_p \subset \text{row}(A)$ . We have  $AV = U\Sigma$ , or  $U^T A = \Sigma V^T$ . The  $i$ -th row ( $i \leq p$ ) of the right-hand side is  $\sigma_i v_i^t$ . The  $i$ -th row on the left-hand side is  $(u_i)^t A$ , it follows that  $v_i^t = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} (u_i)^t A$ . This implies that  $V_p \subset \text{row}(A)$ .

By theorem in the last section (Complement theorem),  $\text{null}(A) = \text{row}(A)^\perp \subset V_p^\perp$ . Now, for  $v \in V_p^\perp$ , we have  $Av = 0$ , otherwise contradicts with the definition of  $V_p$ . As a result,  $V_p^\perp \subset \text{null}(A) = \text{row}(A)^\perp$ , or,  $\text{row}(A) \subset V_p$ . It follows that  $V_p = \text{row}(A)$ . We then have  $\dim(V_p) = \text{rank}(A)$ .  $\square$

As a corollary,  $V_p = \text{row}(A)$ . We summarize the results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p\}$  are all nonzero singular values,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$  are right and left singular vectors respectively, we denote the space spanned by them as  $V_p$  and  $U_p$ . The followings are true:

$$\begin{aligned} V_p &= \text{row}(A), \\ U_p &= \text{col}(A). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first one is proved in the last theorem and let us prove  $U_p = \text{col}(A)$ . Since  $V$  is unitary, for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists  $c_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$ . It follows that  $Ay = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Av_i = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \sigma_i u_i$ . This implies that  $\text{col}(A) \subset \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ . However,  $u_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} Av_i$ , this implies that  $u_i \in \text{col}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Full SVD:** make  $U$  matrix orthonormal when  $m > n$ . One can append an additional  $m - n$  orthonormal columns to fulfill this goal.  $\Sigma$  should change as well so that the product  $AV = U\Sigma$  still holds. To do this, one can append  $m - n$  zero rows to the bottom of  $\Sigma$ . As a result, we have  $AV = U\Sigma$  where  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Since  $V$  is orthonormal, we have:

$$A = U\Sigma V^{-1}.$$

## 2 Revisit SVD

### 2.1 From SVD

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Suppose  $A$  admits an SVD  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ , where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  ( $U$  is orthogonal if this is the full SVD),  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are orthogonal matrices and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a diagonal matrix. Let us now consider  $AA^t \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $A^t A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , which are symmetric matrices.

$$\begin{aligned} A^t A &= V\Sigma^t U^t U \Sigma V^t = V\Sigma^t \Sigma V^t, \\ AA^t &= U\Sigma V^t V \Sigma^t U^t = U\Sigma \Sigma^t U^t. \end{aligned}$$

$\Sigma \Sigma^2$  and  $\Sigma^2 \Sigma$  are still diagonal, and nonzero entries of these two matrices are indeed singular values squared.

In addition, since  $U$  and  $V$  are orthogonal ( $U$  is orthogonal only when the SVD is full), this implies that  $V\Sigma^t \Sigma V^t$  and  $U\Sigma \Sigma^t U^t$  are the eigenvalue decomposition (diagonalization) of  $A^t A$  and  $AA^t$ .

## 2.2 From eigenvalue decomposition

Let us recall the Spectral theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Spectral Theorem). Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then  $A$  is Hermitian if and only if there is a unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and a real diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $A = UDU^*$ .

$A^t A$  is symmetric, and by the Spectral theorem, let  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be the orthonormal eigenvectors of  $A^t A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . We first claim that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ . We have,

$$\|Av_i\|^2 = (Av_i)^t Av_i = v_i^t A^t Av_i = \lambda_i \|v_i\|^2 \geq 0,$$

it implies that  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ .

Let  $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\lambda_1}$  for all  $i$ . We want to find  $\{u_k\}_k$ , which are orthonormal, such that,

$$Av_k = \sigma_k u_k.$$

When  $\sigma_k \neq 0$ , one can define  $u_k = \frac{1}{\sigma_k} Av_k$ . Let us claim all  $u_k$  are orthonormal. Let  $u_i, u_j$  be nonzero and defined as before. We have,

$$u_i^t u_j = \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} v_i^t A^t Av_j = v_i^t v_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

The claim is proved. When  $\lambda_p = 0$ , for some  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , we can construct  $u_p$  which is orthogonal to  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{p-1}$ . If  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{p-1}\}$  have formed a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then set  $u_p = 0$ . Now we can construct an orthonormal matrix  $V = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , another matrix with orthonormal columns  $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  up to some 0 columns, and a diagonal matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with diagonal entries being  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ . The SVD follows:  $AV = U\Sigma$ . One can apply the same trick as before to make  $U$  a square matrix and obtain the full SVD.

**Remark 4.** Nonzero  $u_k$  constructed before are eigenvectors of  $AA^t$ . The proof is simple.

$$AA^t u_k = AA^t \frac{1}{\sigma_k} Av_k = A \frac{1}{\sigma_k} A^t Av_k = A \sigma_k v_k = \sigma_k^2 u_k.$$

**Definition 2.2.**  $L^2$  norm of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is defined as:

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1} \|Ax\| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \sigma_1.$$

In the rest of the notes, we sometimes write  $\|\cdot\|_2$  as  $\|\cdot\|$  for simplicity.

**Remark 5.** For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \neq 0$ , we have,  $\frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\|_2$ . This implies that  $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The Frobenius norm of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is:

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** Frobenius norm can be calculated in the following way,

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_i \sigma_i^2.$$

*Proof.* Let the SVD be  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ . We have  $\|A\|_F^2 = \text{trace}(A^t A)$ , it follows that,

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \text{trace}(V\Sigma^t U^t U \Sigma V^t) = \text{trace}(V\Sigma^t \Sigma V^t) = \text{trace}(\Sigma \Sigma^t) = \sum_i \sigma_i^2,$$

where we use  $\text{trace}(MN) = \text{trace}(NM)$  in the last equality, where  $M$  and  $N$  are two matrices of the proper size.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** (Courant Fisher min max). For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , the singular value  $\sigma_i$  of  $A$  satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k &= \max_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \dim(V)=k} \min_{v \in V, \|v\|=1} \|Av\|, \\ \sigma_{k+1} &= \min_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \dim V=n-k} \max_{v \in v, \|v\|=1} \|Av\|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us prove the first one first. Let  $V$  be any  $k$ - dimensional space. Since  $\dim(\text{span}\{v_k, \dots, v_n\}) = n - k + 1$ ,  $V$  intersects  $\text{span}\{v_k, \dots, v_n\}$  nontrivially. Let  $v$  be a unit vector in the intersection, i.e., there exist  $c_k, \dots, c_n$  such that,  $v = \sum_{i=k}^n c_i v_i$ . Moreover,  $\|v\| = \sum_{i=k}^n |c_i| = 1$ . We have,  $Av = \sum_{i=k}^n c_i \sigma_i u_i$ , it follows that,  $\|Av\| = \sum_{i=k}^n |c_i| \sigma_i \|u_i\| \leq \sigma_k$ . This implies that for any  $V$  of dimension  $k$ , there exists  $v$  such that  $\|Av\| \leq \sigma_k$ , i.e.,  $\min_{v \in V, \|v\|=1} \|Av\| \leq \sigma_k$ . Now we need to find a  $V$  such that the equality sign holds, i.e.,  $\|Av\| = \sigma_k$ . We claim that  $V$  can be  $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ , i.e.,  $V \cap \text{span}\{v_k, \dots, v_n\} = \text{span}\{v_k\}$ . Now let  $v = v_k$ , it follows that  $\|Av\| = \sigma_k$ . The claim is proved, i.e., maximizing over all  $V$ , we can obtain the equal sign.

The second one can be derived similarly. Let  $V$  be any  $(n - k)$ - dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it intersects  $V_{k+1} := \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$  nontrivially, i.e., there exists unit vector  $v$  in the intersection. It follows that, there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_{k+1}$  such that  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i v_i$ . We have  $Av = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \sigma_i u_i$ , it follows that,  $\|Av\| \geq \sigma_{k+1}$ . This implies that for any  $V$  of dimension  $n - k$ , there exists  $v$  such that  $\|Av\| \geq \sigma_{k+1}$ , i.e.,  $\max_{v \in V, \|v\|=1} \|Av\| \geq \sigma_{k+1}$ . The equality holds when  $V = \text{span}\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $v = v_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** Every matrix  $A$  has an SVD. Furthermore, the singular values are unique. If  $A$  is square and all  $\sigma_i$  are distinct, the left and right singular vectors are unique up to complex scalar signs (complex scalar factors of absolute value 1).

**Remark 6.** If  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ , where  $U$  has orthonormal columns,  $V$  is orthogonal, and  $\Sigma$  is diagonal and has non-negative diagonal entries, then this is an SVD of  $A$ .

*Proof.*  $A^T A = V\Sigma^t \Sigma V^T$ , it is then very easy to see the column of  $V$  are the eigenvectors of  $A^T A$ , or they are singular vectors of  $A$ . Similarly,  $\Sigma^t \Sigma$  diagonal entries are eigenvalues of  $A^T A$ , and their positive square roots are singular values of  $A$ .  $\square$

### 3 Rank $k$ approximation

Let us consider the SVD of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , i.e.,  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ . Recall the matrix multiplication, we have a decomposition for  $A$  as,

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i u_i v_i^t = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^t,$$

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad A = U \bar{\Sigma} V^t, \quad U = [u_1 \dots u_n] \quad \bar{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V = [v_1 \dots v_n]$$

where  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r$  are all nonzero singular values of  $A$ . Let us define an approximation  $A_k$  to  $A$  as:

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^t,$$

where  $k \leq r$ . It is easy to check  $\text{rank}(A_k) = k$ . We then can show  $A_k$  is the best approximation to  $A$ ; the result is summarized in the following theorem.

### 3.1 Eckart-Young theorem

**Theorem 3.1** (Eckart-Young). Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\text{rank}(B) = k \leq \text{rank}(A) = r$ . We then have:

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}.$$

That is  $A_k$  is the best  $\text{rank } k$  approximation to  $A$  in the  $L^2$  sense.

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}$ . We have,

$$A - A_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i u_i v_i^t = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \sigma_{i+k} u_{i+k} v_{i+k}^t + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n \tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{u}_i \tilde{v}_i^t,$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}_i = 0$ ,  $\tilde{u}_i$  are orthonormal to all  $u_{i+k}$ , and  $\tilde{v}_i$  are also orthonormal to all  $v_{i+k}$ . The summation is then an SVD of  $A - A_k$ . Since  $\|A - A_k\|$  is equal to the first singular value of its SVD, we have  $\|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}$ .

Assume not, i.e., assume there is  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $\text{rank}(B) = k$  such that  $\|A - B\| < \|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}$ . For any  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\|(A - B)w\| < \sigma_{k+1} \|w\|$ . It follows that, for any  $w \in \text{null}(B)$ , we have,

$$\|(A - B)w\| = \|Aw\| < \sigma_{k+1} \|w\|. \quad (1)$$

Now for any  $w \in V_{k+1} = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$ , we claim that  $\|Aw\| \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|w\|$ . Since  $w \in V_{k+1}$ , there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_{k+1}$ , such that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i v_i$ . It follows that

$$\|Aw\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i A v_i \right\| = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |c_i| \sigma_i \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|w\|, \quad (2)$$

where the last inequality is due to the orthogonality of  $v_i$  and  $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{k+1}$ .

The Rank theorem indicates that  $\dim(\text{null}(B)) = n - k$ , however  $\dim(V_{k+1}) = k + 1$ . We then have  $\dim(\text{null}(B)) + \dim(V_{k+1}) > n$ . Since  $\text{null}(B)$  and  $V_{k+1}$  both are subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , this implies that there exists  $w \neq 0$  such that  $w \in \text{null}(B) \cap V_{k+1}$ . However, 1 and 2 cannot hold simultaneously, which is the contradiction. □

**Corollary 3.1.1.** Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\text{rank}(B) \leq k \leq \text{rank}(A) = r$ . We then have:

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\text{rank}(B) = k - j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , by Eckart-Young, we have  $\|A - B\| \geq \|A - A_{k-j}\| = \sigma_{k-j+1} \geq \sigma_{k+1} = \|A - A_k\|$ . □

## Midterm 1

Average 79

25% 87.75

Median 82

75% 72.25

3(b) Let the Schur factorization of  $A$

$$A = UTU^*, \quad U \text{ is unitary}$$

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \text{evals of } A.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i,j} |A_{ij}|^2 \stackrel{\text{Hint}}{=} \sum_{i,j} |T_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2$$

assumption.

$\Rightarrow$  all off diagonal entries of  $T$  are  $= 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$   $T$  is diagonal.  $\checkmark$

Thm 2.1

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 100}, \quad \text{rank}(A) = 200 = r$$

$$k = r = 200, \quad \|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1} = 0$$

$$A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 200}$$



Part 2. Assume not, there exists  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(B) = k$ ,

$$\|A - B\| < \|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}$$

Now for any  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $w \neq 0$ .

$$\frac{\|(A-B)w\|}{\|w\|} < \sigma_{k+1} \Leftrightarrow \|(A-B)w\| < \sigma_{k+1} \|w\|,$$

which is also true when  $w = 0$ .

It follows that for any  $w \in \text{null}(B)$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|(A-B)w\| = \|Aw\| < \sigma_{k+1} \|w\| \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

Let us consider  $V_{k+1} = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k+1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

& any  $w \in V_{k+1}$ , there exists  $c_1, \dots, c_{k+1}$  s.t.

$$\|w\| = 1$$

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i v_i$$

$$1 = \|w\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i v_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i^2 = 1$$

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{k+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Aw\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i A v_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \geq \sigma_{k+1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i^2 \\ &= \sigma_{k+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\|Aw\| \geq \sigma_{k+1} \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

$$\dim(\text{null}(B)) \stackrel{\text{Rank theorem}}{=} n - \underbrace{k}_{\hookrightarrow \text{rank}(B)}$$

$$\dim(V_{k+1}) = k+1$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\text{null}(B)) + \dim(V_{k+1}) = n+1 > n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{null}(B) \cap V_{k+1} \neq \{0\}$$

$$\text{Let } w \in \text{null}(B), \quad w \in V_{k+1}, \quad \|w\| = 1$$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
 (1) must be true. (2) ✓

$\Rightarrow$  contradiction. ✓

Corollary 3.1.1.

pf: let  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(B) = k - j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k$ .  
 EX for matrix with  $\text{rank} = k - j$

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|A - A_{k-j}\| = \sigma_{k-j+1} \geq \sigma_{k+1} = \|A - A_k\|$$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
 $\text{rank}(A_{k-j}) = k-j$  EX

□

### 3.2 Eckart-Young theorem (Frobenius)

**Corollary 3.1.2.** Let the SVD of  $A$  be  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ , and  $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$ ,  $V = [v_1, \dots, v_n]$ , and the diagonal entries of  $\Sigma$  are  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ . Let  $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^t$ , we have,

$$\|A - A_k\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2.$$

*Proof.* Following the proof of the last theorem, we have,

$$A - A_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i u_i v_i^t = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \sigma_{i+k} u_{i+k} v_{i+k}^t + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n \tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{u}_i \tilde{v}_i^t,$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}_i = 0$ ,  $\tilde{u}_i$  are orthonormal to all  $u_{i+k}$ , and  $\tilde{v}_i$  are also orthonormal to all  $v_{i+k}$ . We hence have the SVD of  $A - A_k$ . By one theorem in this section,

$$\|A - A_k\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2 + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n \tilde{\sigma}_i^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2.$$

□

**Theorem 3.2** (Weyl). Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and denote the singular values as  $\sigma_i(A)$  and  $\sigma_i(B)$ . We then have:

$$\sigma_{i+j-1}(A + B) \leq \sigma_i(A) + \sigma_j(B). \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $V_A$ , and  $V_B$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimensions  $n-k$  and  $n-l$ , which are orthogonal to the first  $k$  and  $l$  right singular vectors of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Let  $W = V_A \cap V_B$ , we have  $\dim(W) \geq n - k - l$ . It follows that,

$$\max_{v \in W, \|v\|=1} \|Av + Bv\| = \max_{v \in W, \|v\|=1} (\|Av\| + \|Bv\|) \leq \sigma_{k+1} + \sigma_{l+1}.$$

By Curant-Fisher,

$$\sigma_{k+l+1}(A + B) = \min_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \dim V = n-k-l} \max_{v \in V, \|v\|=1} \|Av + Bv\| \leq \max_{v \in W, \|v\|=1} \|Av + Bv\| = \sigma_{k+1} + \sigma_{l+1}.$$

□

Weyl's inequality will help us prove the Eckart-Young for the Frobenius norm.

**Theorem 3.3** (Eckart-Young Frobenius). Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\text{rank}(B) = k \leq \text{rank}(A) = r$ . We then have:

$$\|A - B\|_F^2 \geq \|A - A_k\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i^2.$$

That is  $A_k$  is the best  $\text{rank } k$  approximation to  $A$  in the  $L^2$  sense.

*Proof.* Let  $X = A - B$  and  $Y = B$  and apply Weyl's inequality [3.2](#),

$$\sigma_{i+k}(A) \leq \sigma_i(A - B) + \sigma_{k+1}(B) = \sigma_i(A - B),$$

where the last equality is due to  $\text{rank}(B) = k$ . Apply Corollary [3.1.2](#) it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A - A_k\|_F^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i(A)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r-k} \sigma_{i+k}^2(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r-k} \sigma_i^2(A - B) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^2(A - B) = \|A - B\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

A direct consequence of the Eckart-Young for the Frobenius norm is the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD).

### 3.3 Proper orthogonal decomposition (POD)

Given  $A = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and a set of orthonormal vectors  $Q = [x_1, \dots, x_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ , one wants to solve the following problem:

$$\min_Q \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k \langle y_i, x_j \rangle x_j \right\|^2. \quad (4)$$

We claim that the equation [4](#) is equivalent to the matrix form,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k \langle y_i, x_j \rangle x_j \right\|^2 = \|A - QQ^T A\|_F^2. \quad (5)$$

Denote the matrix as columns, i.e.,  $\|A - QQ^T A\|_F = \|[y_1 - QQ^T y_1, \dots, y_n - QQ^T y_n]\|_F$ ; and denote  $y_i - QQ^T y_i$  as  $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , it follows that,

$$\|[y_1 - QQ^T y_1, \dots, y_n - QQ^T y_n]\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m z_{ji}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|z_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - QQ^T y_i\|^2.$$

It is not hard to see  $QQ^T y_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle y_i, x_j \rangle x_j$ . The claim is proved. Apply the Eckart-Young theorem for the Frobenius norm; we then have the POD theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Given  $A = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with rank  $r$ . For any  $k \leq r$ , we consider,

$$\min_Q \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k \langle y_i, x_j \rangle x_j \right\|^2, \quad (6)$$

where  $Q = [x_1, \dots, x_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$  is a set of orthonormal vectors. The minimum is given by the left singular vectors of  $A$ , which are also called proper orthogonal modes. Denote the singular values of  $A$  as  $\sigma_i$ , the minimum is equal to  $\sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i^2$ .

*Proof.* The only statement left to prove is  $QQ^T A = A_k$  if  $Q = [u_1, \dots, u_k]$  and  $u_k$  are the singular vectors. We have,

$$QQ^T A = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k][u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k]^T A = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i u_i^T A.$$

□