# Singular value decomposition (SVD)

Zecheng Zhang

February 15, 2023

This section will discuss singular value decomposition (SVD) of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

### 1 Construction

The first singular value is defined as:

$$\sigma_1 = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|Av\|.$$

**Remark 1.** The first singular value is well defined, i.e., such a  $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  always exists. Nonrigorous argument: the function  $: v \to ||Av||$  is continuous and with a compact domain.

Now one can find  $u_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $||u_1|| = 1$  such that  $Av_1 = \sigma_1 u_1$ .

One can follow the definition of the first singular value and define the second singular value as,

$$\sigma_2 = \sup_{\|v\|=1, v \perp v_1} \|Av\|.$$

The remark 1 implies that such a  $v_2$  always exists and let us denote it as  $v_2$ . In addition, we can find  $u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $||u_2|| = 1$  such that  $Av_2 = \sigma_2 u_2$ .

**Remark 2.**  $\sigma_2 \leq \sigma_1$  because  $v_2$  is taken from a smaller subspace  $\{v_1\}^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.1.**  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  which are defined above are orthogonal.

The theorem implies that  $u_1 \perp u_2$ . Repeat the process, one can find a unit vector  $v_3 \in W_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}^{\perp}$  such that it admits

$$\sigma_3 = \sup_{\|v\|=1, v \in V_2} \|Av\|.$$

In addition, one can find a unit vector  $u_3$  such that  $Av_3 = \sigma_3 u_3$ . One can show that  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  are orthogonal.

**Remark 3.** Let us define  $W_p = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_p\}^{\perp}$ . If  $\sup_{v \in W_p} ||Av|| = 0$ , or,  $Av_{p+1} = 0$ , we can make  $u_{p+1}$  (nonzero if possible) to be any vector which is orthogonal to  $\{u_1, ..., u_p\}$ . If  $u_{p+1}$  has to be zero,  $span\{u_1, ..., u_p\} = \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Repeat the process for *n* times (why is *n* the maximum step of the process?), we then can construct an orthonormal matrix  $V = [v_1, ..., v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , another matrix with orthonormal columns  $U = [u_1, ..., u_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  up to some 0 columns, and a diagonal matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with diagonal entries being  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$  (up to some 0). Recall the matrix multiplication we have,

$$AV = U\Sigma.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** rank(A) equals to the number of nonzero singular values.

Proof. Let us assume  $\{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_p\}$  are all nonzero singular values but  $\sigma_{p+1} = 0$ . Let  $V_p = \{v_1, ..., v_p\}$  be the singular vector corresponding to  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_p$ . We claim that  $V_p \subset row(A)$ . We have  $AV = U\Sigma$ , or  $U^T A = \Sigma V^T$ . The i-th row  $(i \leq p)$  of the right-hand side is  $\sigma_i v_i^t$ . The i-th row on the left-hand side is  $(u_i)^t A$ , it follows that  $v_i^t = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} (u_i)^t A$ . This implies that  $V_p \subset row(A)$ .

By theorem in the last section (Complement theorem),  $null(A) = row(A)^{\perp} \subset V_p^{\perp}$ . Now, for  $v \in V_p^{\perp}$ , we have Av = 0, otherwise contradicts with the definition of  $V_p$ . As a result,  $V_p^{\perp} \subset null(A) = row(A)^{\perp}$ , or,  $row(A) \subset V_p$ . It follows that  $V_p = row(A)$ . We then have  $dim(V_p) = rank(A)$ .

As a corollary,  $V_p = row(A)$ . We summarize the results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume  $\{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_p\}$  are all nonzero singular values,  $\{v_1, ..., v_p\}$  and  $\{u_1, ..., u_p\}$  are right and left singular vectors respectively, we denote the space spanned by them as  $V_p$  and  $U_p$ . The followings are true:

$$V_p = row(A),$$
$$U_p = col(A).$$

*Proof.* The first one is proved in the last theorem and let us prove  $U_p = col(A)$ . Since V is unitary, for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists  $c_i$ , u = 1, ..., n such that  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$ . It follows that  $Ay = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Av_i = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \sigma_i u_i$ . This implies that  $col(A) \subset span\{u_1, ..., u_p\}$ . However,  $u_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} Av_i$ , this implies that  $u_i \in col(A)$ .

**Full SVD:** make U matrix orthonormal when m > n. One can append an additional m - n orthonormal columns to fulfill this goal.  $\Sigma$  should change as well so that the product  $AV = U\Sigma$  still holds. To do this, one can append m - n zero rows to the bottom of  $\Sigma$ . As a result, we have  $AV = U\Sigma$  where  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Since V is orthonormal, we have:

$$A = U\Sigma V^{-1}$$

## 2 Revisit SVD

#### 2.1 From SVD

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Suppose A admits an SVD  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ , where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  (U is orthogonal if this is the full SVD),  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are orthogonal matrices and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a diagonal matrix. Let us now consider  $AA^t \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $A^t A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , which are symmetric matrices.

$$A^{t}A = V\Sigma^{t}U^{t}U\Sigma V^{t} = V\Sigma^{t}\Sigma V^{t},$$
$$AA^{t} = U\Sigma V^{t}V\Sigma^{t}U^{t} = U\Sigma\Sigma^{t}U^{t}.$$

 $\Sigma\Sigma^2$  and  $\Sigma^2\Sigma$  are still diagonal, and nonzero entries of these two matrices are indeed singular values squared.

In addition, since U and V are orthogonal (U is orthogonal only when the SVD is full), this implies that  $V\Sigma^t\Sigma V^t$  and  $U\Sigma\Sigma^t U^t$  are the eigenvalue decomposition (diagonalization) of  $A^tA$  and  $AA^t$ .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}_{22}$$

$$w_{0}x \quad || Av||^{2} = || \begin{pmatrix} 3x \\ 4x+5y \end{pmatrix}||^{2} = 9x^{2} + (bx^{2}+25)^{2} + 46xy$$

$$w^{0}|^{1} = 25 (x^{4}y) + 40 \times y$$

$$w^{0}|^{1} = 25 (x^{4}y) + 40 \times y$$

$$w^{0}|^{1} = 25 + 46xy$$

$$w^{0}|^{1}$$

$$cot \quad V_{1} = \left(\frac{\overline{s_{1}}}{\overline{s_{1}}}\right)$$

$$c_{1} = \sqrt{40 - \frac{\overline{s_{1}}}{2} + 25} = \sqrt{45}$$

$$A \vee_{1} = \frac{\overline{s_{1}}}{2} \begin{pmatrix}3\\\\q\end{pmatrix} = \frac{3\overline{s_{1}}}{2} \begin{pmatrix}1\\\\s\end{pmatrix} = \frac{3\overline{s_{1}} \cdot \sqrt{10}}{2} \begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\\s/\overline{10}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{20} \begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\\s/\overline{10}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_{1}$$

$$c_{1}$$

$$c_{2}$$

$$c_{1}$$

$$c$$

$$V_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ - \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} - \\ - \\ t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$u_2 = \frac{1}{6} A V_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{200}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -3/\frac{1}{100} \\ 1/\frac{1}{100} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1} & -F_{2} \\ F_{2} & +F_{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \overline{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1/f_{0} & -3/f_{0} \\ \sqrt{f_{0}} & -1/f_{0} \end{pmatrix} \qquad A = 0 \sum \sqrt{1}.$$

$$Eg. \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\max ||Av||^{2} = ||\begin{pmatrix} x + y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}|^{2} = x^{2} + y^{2} + 2xy$$

$$\max ||Av||^{2} = ||\begin{pmatrix} x + y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}|^{2} = x^{2} + y^{2} + 2xy$$

$$\max ||Av||^{2} = ||(\begin{pmatrix} x + y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}|^{2} = x^{2} + y^{2} + 2xy$$

$$\lim_{x \to 0} ||Av||^{2} = ||(x + y)| = x^{2} + y^{2} = ||Av||^{2}$$

$$\lim_{x \to 0} ||Av||^{2} = ||(x + y)| = x^{2} + y^{2} = ||Av||^{2}$$

$$\lim_{x \to 0} ||Av||^{2} = ||Av||^{2} = ||Av||^{2}$$

$$\lim_{x \to 0} ||Av||^{2} = ||Av||^{2} = ||Av||^{2}$$

$$\lim_{x \to 0} ||Av||^{2} = ||Av||^{2}$$

$$\lim$$

$$S_{1} = J_{2} \begin{bmatrix} F_{1} \\ F_{1} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = J_{2} \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_{1} = U_{1}$$

$$V_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ 0 \\ -F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} -F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = U_{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \int S_{2} \\ F_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \int S_{2} = 0$$

$$U_{2} = \int S_{2} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{2} = \int$$

$$\tilde{z} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^{3} \overline{z} \\
A = \tilde{U} \overline{z} \tilde{V}^{\dagger} t$$

v = V

#### 2.2 From eigenvalue decomposition

Let us recall the Spectral theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Spectral Theorem). Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then A is Hermitian if and only if there is a unitary matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and a real diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $A = UDU^*$ .

 $A^{t}A$  is symmetric, and by the Spectral theorem, let  $\{v_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$  be the orthonormal eigenvectors of  $A^{t}A$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \dots \geq \lambda_{n}$ . We first claim that  $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \dots \geq \lambda_{n} \geq 0$ . We have,

$$||Av_i||^2 = (Av_i)^t Av_i = v_i^t A^t Av_i = \lambda_i ||v||^2 \ge 0,$$

it implies that  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ .

Let  $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\lambda_1}$  for all *i*. We want to find  $\{u_k\}_k$ , which are orthonormal, such that,

$$Av_k = \sigma_k u_k.$$

When  $\sigma_k \neq 0$ , one can define  $u_k = \frac{1}{\sigma_k} A v_k$ . Let us claim all  $u_k$  are orthonormal. Let  $u_i, u_j$  be nonzero and defined as before. We have,

$$u_i^t u_j = \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} v_i^t A^t A v_j = v_i^t v_j = \delta_{ij}$$

The claim is proved. When  $\lambda_p = 0$ , for some  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , we can construct  $u_p$  which is orthogonal to  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_{p-1}$ . If  $\{u_1, ..., u_{p-1}\}$  have formed a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then set  $u_p = 0$ . Now we can construct an orthonormal matrix  $V = [v_1, ..., v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , another matrix with orthonormal columns  $U = [u_1, ..., u_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  up to some 0 columns, and a diagonal matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with diagonal entries being  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$ . The SVD follows:  $AV = U\Sigma$ . One can apply the same trick as before to make U a square matrix and obtain the full SVD.

**Remark 4.** Nonzero  $u_k$  constructed before are eigenvectors of  $AA^t$ . The proof is simple.

$$AA^{t}u_{k} = AA^{t}\frac{1}{\sigma_{k}}Av_{k} = A\frac{1}{\sigma_{k}}A^{t}Av_{k} = A\sigma_{k}v_{k} = \sigma_{k}^{2}u_{k}.$$

**Definition 2.2.**  $L^2$  norm of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is defined as:

$$||A||_2 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, ||x|| = 1} ||Ax|| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||}{||x||} = \sigma_1.$$

In the rest of the notes, we sometimes write  $\|\cdot\|_2$  as  $\|\cdot\|$  for simplicity.

**Remark 5.** For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \neq 0$ , we have,  $\frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\|_2$ . This implies that  $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The Frobenius norm of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is:

$$||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}.$$

Theorem 2.4. Frobenius norm can be calculated in the following way,

$$||A||_F^2 = \sum_i \sigma_i^2.$$

A 
$$\in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
  
A  $\in \mathbb{R}^{n}$   
A  $\stackrel{\text{there}}{}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1,\dots,n}^{n} \sum_{i=1,\dots,n}^{n$ 

*Proof.* Let the SVD be  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ . We have  $||A||_F^2 = trace(A^tA)$ , it follows that,

$$\|A\|_F^2 = trace(V\Sigma^t U^t U\Sigma V^t) = trace(V\Sigma^t \Sigma V^t) = trace(\Sigma\Sigma^t) = \sum_i \sigma_i^2,$$

where we use trace(MN) = trace(NM) in the last equality, where M and N are two matrices of the proper size.

**Theorem 2.5** (Courant Fisher min max). For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , the singular value  $\sigma_i$  of A satisfy:

$$\sigma_k = \max_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^n, dim(V)=k} \min_{v \in V, \|v\|=1} \|Av\|,$$
  
$$\sigma_{k+1} = \min_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^n, dimV=n-k} \max_{v \in v, \|v\|=1} \|Av\|.$$

Proof. Let us prove the first one first. Let V be any k- dimensional space. Since  $dim(span\{v_k, ..., v_n\}) = n - k + 1$ , V intersects  $span\{v_k, ..., v_n\}$  nontrivially. Let v be a unit vector in the intersection, i.e., there exist  $c_k, ..., c_n$  such that,  $v = \sum_{i=k}^n c_i v_i$ . Moreover,  $\|v\| = \sum_{i=k}^n |c_i| = 1$ . We have,  $Av = \sum_{i=k}^n c_i \sigma_i u_i$ , it follows that,  $\|Av\| = \sum_{i=k}^n |c_i|\sigma_i\|u_i\| \le \sigma_k$ . This implies that for any V of dimension k, there exists v such that  $\|Av\| \le \sigma_k$ , i.e.,  $\min_{v \in V, \|v\| = 1} \|Av\| \le \sigma_k$ . Now we need to find a V such that the equality sign holds, i.e.,  $\|Av\| = \sigma_k$ . We claim that V can be  $span\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$ , i.e.,  $V \cap span\{v_k, ..., v_n\} = span\{v_k\}$ . Now let  $v = v_k$ , it follows that  $\|Av\| = \sigma_k$ . The claim is proved, i.e., maximizing over all V, we can obtain the equal sign.

The second one can be derived similarly. Let V be any (n - k)- dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it intersects  $V_{k+1} := span\{v_1, ..., v_{k+1}\}$  nontrivially, i.e., there exists unit vector v in the intersection. It follows that, there exist  $c_1, ..., c_{k+1}$  such that  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i v_i$ . We have  $Av = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \sigma_i u_i$ , it follows that,  $||Av|| \ge \sigma_{k+1}$ . This implies that for any V of dimension n - k, there exists v such that  $||Av|| \ge \sigma_{k+1}$ , i.e.,  $\max_{v \in V, ||v||=1} ||Av|| \ge \sigma_{k+1}$ . The equality holds when  $V = span\{v_{k+1}, ..., v_n\}$  and  $v = v_{k+1}$ .

## **3** Rank k approximation

Let us consider the SVD of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , i.e.,  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ . Recall the matrix multiplication, we have a decomposition for A as,

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i u_i v_i^t = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i u_i v_i^t,$$

where  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r$  are all nonzero singular values of A. Let us define an approximation  $A_k$  to A as:

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^t,$$

where  $k \leq r$ . It is easy to check  $rank(A_k) = k$ . We then can show  $A_k$  is the best approximation to A; the result is summarized in the following theorem.

#### 3.1 Eckart-Young theorem

**Theorem 3.1** (Eckart-Young). Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $rank(B) = k \leq rank(A) = r$ . We then have:

$$||A - B|| \ge ||A - A_k|| = \sigma_{k+1}.$$

That is  $A_k$  is the best rank k approximation to A in the  $L^2$  sense.

*Proof.* We first prove that  $||A - A_k|| = \sigma_{k+1}$ . We have,

$$A - A_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i u_i v_i^t = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \sigma_{i+k} u_{i+k} v_{i+k}^t + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n \tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{u}_i \tilde{v}_i^t,$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}_i = 0$ ,  $\tilde{u}_i$  are orthonormal to all  $u_{i+k}$ , and  $\tilde{v}_i$  are also orthonormal to all  $v_{i+k}$ . The summation is then an SVD of  $A - A_k$ . Since  $||A - A_k||$  is equal to the first singular value of its SVD, we have  $||A - A_k|| = \sigma_{k+1}$ .

Assume not, i.e., assume there is  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with rank(B) = k such that  $||A - B|| < ||A - A_k|| = \sigma_{k+1}$ . For any  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $||(A - B)w|| < \sigma_{k+1}||w||$ . It follows that, for any  $w \in null(B)$ , we have,

$$\|(A - B)w\| = \|Aw\| < \sigma_{k+1} \|w\|.$$
(1)

Now for any  $w \in V_{k+1} = span\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k+1}\}$ , we claim that  $||Aw|| \ge \sigma_{k+1} ||w||$ . Since  $w \in V_{k+1}$ , there exist  $c_1, ..., c_{k+1}$ , such that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i v_i$ . It follows that

$$\|Aw\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i Av_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |c_i|\sigma_i \ge \sigma_{k+1} \|w\|,$$
(2)

where the last inequality is due to the orthogonality of  $v_i$  and  $\sigma_1 \ge ... \ge \sigma_{k+1}$ .

The Rank theorem indicates that dim(null(B)) = n - k, however  $dim(V_{k+1}) = k + 1$ . We then have  $dim(null(B)) + dim(V_{k+1}) > n$ . Since null(B) and  $V_{k+1}$  both are subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , this implies that there exists  $w \neq 0$  such that  $w \in null(B) \cap V_{k+1}$ . However, 1 and 2 cannot hold simultaneously, which is the contradiction.

**Corollary 3.1.1.** Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $rank(B) \le k \le rank(A) = r$ . We then have:

$$||A - B|| \ge ||A - A_k|| = \sigma_{k+1}.$$

*Proof.* Let rank(B) = k - j,  $0 \le j \le k$ , by Eckart-Young, we have  $||A - B|| \ge ||A - A_{k-j}|| = \sigma_{k-j+1} \ge \sigma_{k+1} = ||A - A_k||$ .

#### **3.2** Eckart-Young theorem (Frobenius)

**Corollary 3.1.2.** Let the SVD of A be  $A = U\Sigma V^t$ , and  $U = [u_1, ..., u_n]$ ,  $V = [v_1, ..., v_n]$ , and the diagonal entries of  $\Sigma$  are  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$ . Let  $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^t$ , we have,

$$||A - A_k||_F^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2.$$

**Theorem 3.2** (Weyl). Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and denote the singular values as  $\sigma_i(A)$  and  $\sigma_i(B)$ . We then have:

$$\sigma_{i+j-1}(A+B) \le \sigma_i(A) + \sigma_j(B). \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* Let  $V_A$ , and  $V_B$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimensions n-k and n-l, which are orthogonal to the first k and l right singular vectors of A and B respectively. Let  $W = V_A \cap V_B$ , we have  $\dim(W) \ge n-k-l$ . It follows that,

$$\max_{v \in W, \|v\|=1} \|Av + Bv\| = \max_{v \in W, \|v\|=1} \|Av\| + \|Bv\| \le \sigma_{k+1} + \sigma_{l+1}.$$

By Curant-Fisher,

$$\sigma_{k+l+1}(A+B) = \min_{V \subset \mathbb{R}^n, dim V = n-k-l} \max_{v \in v, \|v\|=1} \|Av + Bv\| \le \max_{v \in W, \|v\|=1} \|Av + Bv\| = \sigma_{k+1} + \sigma_{l+1}.$$

Weyl's inequality will help us prove the Eckart-Young for the Frobenius norm.

**Theorem 3.3** (Eckart-Young Frobenius). Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $rank(B) = k \leq rank(A) = r$ . We then have:

$$||A - B||_F^2 \ge ||A - A_k||_F^2 = \sum_{i=k+1} \sigma_i^2.$$

That is  $A_k$  is the best rank k approximation to A in the  $L^2$  sense.

*Proof.* Let X = A - B and Y = B and apply Weyl's inequality 3.2,

$$\sigma_{i+k}(A) \le \sigma_i(A-B) + \sigma_{k+1}(B) = \sigma_i(A-B),$$

where is last equal sign is due to rank(B) = k. Apply Corollary 3.1.2 it follows that,

$$\|A - A_k\|_F^2$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i(A)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r-k} \sigma_{i+k}^2(A) \le \sum_{i=1}^{r-k} \sigma_i^2(A - B) \le \sum_{i=1}^{min(m,n)} \sigma_i^2(A - B) = \|A - B\|_F^2.$ 

A direct consequence of the Eckart-Young for the Frobenius norm is the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD).

### 3.3 Proper orthogonal decomposition (POD)

Given  $A = [y_1, y_2, ..., y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and a set of orthonormal vectors  $Q = [x_1, ..., x_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ , one wants to solve the following problem:

$$\min_{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle y_i, x_j \rangle \|x_j\|^2.$$
(4)

We claim that the equation 4 is equivalent to the matrix form,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle y_i, x_j \rangle x_j \|^2 = \|A - QQ^t A\|_F.$$
(5)

Denote the matrix as columns, i.e.,  $||A - QQ^tA||_F = ||[y_1 - QQ^Ty_1, ..., y_n - QQ^ty_n]||_F$ ; and denote  $y_i - QQ^Ty_i$  as  $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , it follows that,

$$\|[y_1 - QQ^T y_1, ..., y_n - QQ^t y_n]\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m z_{ji}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|z_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - QQ^t y_i\|^2.$$

It is not hard to see  $QQ^t y_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle y_i, x_j \rangle x_j$ . The claim is proved. Apply the Eckart-Young theorem for the Frobenius norm; we then have the POD theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Given  $A = [y_1, y_2, ..., y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with rank r. For any  $k \leq r$ , we consider,

$$\min_{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle y_i, x_j \rangle x_j \|^2,$$
(6)

where  $Q = [x_1, ..., x_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$  is a set of orthonormal vectors. The minimum is given by the left singular vectors of A, which are also called proper orthogonal modes. Denote the singular values of A as  $\sigma_i$ , the minimum is equal to  $\sum_{i=k+1}^r \sigma_i^2$ .

*Proof.* The only statement left to prove is  $QQ^T A = A_k$  if  $Q = [u_1, ..., u_k]$  and  $u_k$  are the singular vectors. We have,

$$QQ^{T}A = [u_{1}, u_{2}, ..., u_{k}][u_{1}, u_{2}, ..., u_{k}]^{t}A = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}u_{i}^{t}A.$$