# 21-241: Matrix Algebra – Summer I, 2006 Homework 3 Solutions

Monday, June 5

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{2.3.2} \text{ We need to show the system} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 & | & -3 \\ -3 & 6 & 4 & | & 7 \\ -2 & 3 & 6 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & -7 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is consistent. By Gaussian elimination,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 & | & -3 \\ -3 & 6 & 4 & | & 7 \\ -2 & 3 & 6 & | & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & -7 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 + 3R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & | & -2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & -7 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 & | & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 4 & -7 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 + \frac{1}{2}R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -2 & | & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \end{aligned}$$

There is no pivot in the augmented column. So, the system is consistent.

- **2.3.4 (b)**  $(2,-1)^T$  and  $(1,3)^T$  are not multiples of each other, thus linearly independent. Therefore, they span a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which has to be  $\mathbb{R}^2$  itself.
  - (d) Since  $(6, -9)^T = -\frac{3}{2}(-4, 6)^T$ , they are linearly dependent. Therefore, they span a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is the entire line in the direction of  $(6, -9)^T$ , not  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
  - (f) Since  $(0,0)^T$  and  $(2,-2)^T$  are both multiples of  $(1,-1)^T$ , they span a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is the entire line in the direction of  $(1,-1)^T$ , not  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

2.3.17 This statement is false. Here is a counter-example. Let

$$\mathbf{z} = (1, 1, 0)^T$$
,  $\mathbf{u} = (1, 0, 0)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (0, 1, 0)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = (0, 0, 1)^T$ .

Then  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + 0 \mathbf{w}$ , a linear combination of  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ . But  $\mathbf{w}$  can't be a linear combination of  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}$ , because the third entry of  $\mathbf{w}$  is 1, while those of  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}$  are all 0's.

- **2.3.21 (c)** There are at most 2 linearly independent vectors in 2-dimensional vector space. So any three vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  have to be linearly dependent.
  - (e) Combine the three vectors as columns in a single matrix A, and reduce it in the echelon form,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3\\ 1 & -1 & -1\\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1\\ 0 & 1 & 3\\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1\\ 0 & 1 & 3\\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1\\ 0 & 1 & 3\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

There is a free column, so the homogeneous system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has nontrivial solutions. This means the three vectors are linearly dependent.

- (g) It's obvious that  $(4,2,0,-6)^T = -\frac{2}{3}(-6,-3,0,9)^T$ . So they are linearly dependent.
- **2.4.9 (a)** It's easy to see that  $(-6, -2, 2)^T = -2(3, 1, -1)^T$ . So,  $\{(3, 1, -1)\}$  is a basis for the span with dimension 1.

(c) Combine the four vectors as columns in a single matrix A, and reduce A in the echelon form,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 & -3 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_4 - \frac{5}{4}R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The first, second and fourth columns contain pivots. So  $\{(1, 0, -1, 2)^T, (0, 1, 1, 3)^T, (1, -2, 1, 1)^T\}$  is a basis of the span (namely Col A), with dimension 3.

Tuesday, June 6

2.5.5 (c) Apply Gauss-Jordan,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -4 & | & -6 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & | & -4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & | & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - \frac{1}{2}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & | & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_2/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the general solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2z - 3 \\ 2z - 2 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{z},$$

where,  $\mathbf{x}^* = (-3, -2, 0)^T$  is a particular solution,  $\mathbf{z} = z(2, 2, 1)^T$  is the general element of the kernel.

(e) Apply Gauss-Jordan,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & | & -1 \\ 2 & -4 & | & -2 \\ -3 & 6 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1}_{R_3 + 3R_1} \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} & -2 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ R_4 + R_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} & -2 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the general solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2v - 1 \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + v \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{z},$$

where,  $\mathbf{x}^* = (-1, 0)^T$  is a particular solution,  $\mathbf{z} = v(2, 1)^T$  is the general element of the kernel.

**2.5.22** Denote columns of the matrix by  $\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_5$ . Apply Gaussian,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 & -3 & 5\\ 2 & -4 & 1 & 1 & -4\\ -3 & 6 & 2 & 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2+2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 & -3 & 5\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 & 6\\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 9 & -7 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3-2R_2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 & -3 & 5\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 & 6\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 19 & -19 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4$  form a basis for range of the matrix. Then

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1, \qquad \mathbf{v}_2 = -2\mathbf{v}_1, \qquad \mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3, \qquad \mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{v}_4, \qquad \mathbf{v}_5 = -2\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4$$

2.5.26 Write v in linear combination form:

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} a - 3b \\ a + 2c + 4d \\ b + 3c - d \\ c - d \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \doteq a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2 + c\mathbf{v}_3 + d\mathbf{v}_4.$$

Hence, the set of all vectors of the form of  $\mathbf{v}$  is span  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4\}$ , thus a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Combine these four vectors as columns in a single matrix A, and reduce A in the echelon form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - \frac{1}{3}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{7}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 - \frac{3}{7}R_3} \begin{pmatrix} \left| 1 \right| & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left| 3 \right| & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & \left| \frac{7}{3} \right| & -\frac{7}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since there are 3 pivot columns, the dimension of span  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4\}$  (namely Col A) is 3.

2.5.27 (c) Reduce the coefficient matrix in the echelon form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 & -9 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + \frac{2}{3}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 & -9 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So  $x_4$  is free. By back substitution, we obtain the general solution in vector form:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_4 \\ 3x_4 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = x_4 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence  $(2, 3, 0, 1)^T$  is a basis for the solution space (namely the kernel).

**2.5.38** Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \in \ker A$ , then  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore,  $BA\mathbf{x} = B(A\mathbf{x}) = B\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ , implying  $\mathbf{x} \in \ker BA$ . Thus we've proved that  $\ker A \subseteq \ker BA$ . Particularly, let B = A, we get  $\ker A \subseteq \ker A^2$ .

#### Wednesday, June 7

**2.5.29** Let  $A = (\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{v}_3), B = (\mathbf{w}_1 \ \mathbf{w}_2 \ \mathbf{w}_3)$ . We are to characterize Col A and Col B. First consider the system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where the right hand side will remain unspecified for the moment. Apply Gaussian to the augmented matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 & | b_1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & | b_2 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & | b_3 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & | b_4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R - 1}_{R_4 + R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 & | b_1 \\ 0 & 7 & -4 & | b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & | b_3 \\ 0 & -4 & 5 & | b_4 + b_1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 & | b_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & | b_3 \\ 0 & 7 & -4 & | b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & -4 & 5 & | b_4 + b_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 - 7R_2}_{R_4 + 4R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 & | b_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & | b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 24 & | b_2 - 2b_1 - 7b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -11 & | b_4 + b_1 + 4b_3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 + \frac{11}{24}R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 & | b_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & | b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | \frac{1}{12}b_1 + \frac{11}{24}b_2 + \frac{19}{24}b_3 + b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence,  $\operatorname{Col} A = \{(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)^T \mid \frac{1}{12}b_1 + \frac{11}{24}b_2 + \frac{19}{24}b_3 + b_4 = 0\}$ , a three-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 & | b_1 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & | b_2 \\ -4 & -7 & -3 & | b_3 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & | b_4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 & | b_4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & | b_2 \\ -4 & -7 & -3 & | b_3 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 & | b_1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1}_{R_4 - \frac{3}{2}R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 & | b_4 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & | b_2 - b_4 \\ 0 & -4 & -\frac{3}{2} & | b_1 - \frac{3}{2}b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 + R_2}_{R_4 - 4R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 & | b_4 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & | b_2 - b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{19}{2} & | b_2 - b_4 \\ b_1 - 4b_2 + \frac{5}{2}b_4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_4 + \frac{19}{2}R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 & | b_4 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & | b_2 - b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | b_1 + \frac{11}{2}b_2 + \frac{19}{2}b_3 + 12b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

So,  $\operatorname{Col} B = \{(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)^T | b_1 + \frac{11}{2}b_2 + \frac{19}{2}b_3 + 12b_4 = 0\}$ . Clearly,  $\operatorname{Col} A = \operatorname{Col} B$ , denoted by V. Then  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3\}$  are two bases for V, which is a 3-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .  $\Box$ 

**3.1.1** It's easy to verify that bilinearity and symmetry hold for all b. Then whether  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  defines an inner product depends on whether positivity holds. When b > 1,

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = v_1^2 - 2v_1v_2 + bv_2^2 = (v_1 - v_2)^2 + (b - 1)v_2^2 \ge 0.$$

The equality holds only when  $v_1 - v_2 = v_2 = 0$ , or  $v_1 = v_2 = 0$ , namely  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . So positivity holds. When  $b \leq 1$ , let  $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)^T \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 1 - b \leq 0$ , implying positivity doesn't hold. Therefore,  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  defines an inner product if and only if b > 1.

**3.1.2 (c)** No. Let  $\mathbf{v} = (1, -1)^T \neq \mathbf{0}$ , but  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ . So positivity doesn't hold.

- (e) No. Let  $\mathbf{u} = (1,0)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} = (0,1)^T$ , then  $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 1$ . So  $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \neq \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$ , bilinearity doesn't hold.
- (g) Yes. Bilinearity and symmetry are straightforward. To verify positivity, note that

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 4v_1^2 - 4v_1v_2 + 4v_2^2 = 4(v_1 - \frac{1}{2}v_2)^2 + 3v_2^2 \ge 0,$$

and equality holds only if  $v_1 - \frac{1}{2}v_2 = v_2 = 0$ , or  $v_1 = v_2 = 0$ . So  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , thus positivity holds.  $\Box$ 

3.1.8 Using bilinearity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a\mathbf{v} + b\mathbf{w}, c\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{w} \rangle &= a \langle \mathbf{v}, c\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{w} \rangle + b \langle \mathbf{w}, c\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{w} \rangle \\ &= a(c \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + d \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) + b(c \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + d \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) \\ &= ac \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + (ad + bc) \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + bd \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \\ &= ac \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + (ad + bc) \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + bd \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

**3.1.12** (a) We can apply problem 3.1.8 to  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2$  and  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$ , and get

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$$
$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$$

Adding these two equations, we obtain the required equality

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2).$$

(b) Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be two adjacent sides of a parallelogram. Then the two diagonals are  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ . So this equality tells us that the sum of squares of lengths of diagonals of a parallelogram equals the sum of squares of lengths of its four sides.

### Thursday, June 8

**3.2.5**  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 19$ ,  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{38}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{w}\| = \sqrt{10}$ . Since  $19 < \sqrt{38}\sqrt{10}$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is true.

- **3.2.6** Let  $\mathbf{u} = (a, b)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ . Then  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta$ ,  $\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,  $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$ , namely,  $(a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta)^2 \leq a^2 + b^2$ .
- **3.2.19** Suppose vector  $(x, y, z, w)^T \in \mathbb{R}^4$  is orthogonal to the vector  $(1, 2, -1, 3)^T$ , then their dot product has to be 0, namely,

$$x + 2y - z + 3w = 0.$$

Look at this equation as a homogeneous linear system, then all vectors orthogonal to  $(1, 2, -1, 3)^T$  are solutions to this system, and thus W is the kernel. We can write the general solution in vector form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2y + z - 3w \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2y \\ y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \\ z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -3w \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ w \end{pmatrix} = y \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + w \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $\{(-2, 1, 0, 0)^T, (1, 0, 1, 0)^T, (-3, 0, 0, 1)^T\}$  is basis for W.

**3.2.39** By triangle inequality,

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \|(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\| + \|\mathbf{w}\|,$$

or,

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| - \|\mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|.$$

Exchange  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$ , we get

 $\|\mathbf{w}\| - \|\mathbf{v}\| \leqslant \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|.$ 

Therefore,

 $|\|\mathbf{v}\| - \|\mathbf{w}\|| \leq \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|.$ 

This inequality says the length of a side of a triangle is at least equal to the difference of the lengths of the other two sides.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**3.3.9** Positivity and homogeneity are straightforward. Let's verify the triangle inequality. Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, y_1)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (x_2, y_2)^T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| &= |x_1 + x_2| + 2|(x_1 + x_2) - (y_1 + y_2)| = |x_1 + x_2| + 2|(x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2)| \\ &\leq (|x_1| + |x + 2|) + 2(|x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|) = (|x_1| + 2|x_1 - y_1|) + (|x_2| + |x_2 - y_2|) \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof.

#### Friday, June 9

- **3.4.1** First of all, (f) is not symmetric, thus not positive definite. We've learn that a symmetric  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$  is positive definite if and only if a > 0 and  $ac b^2 > 0$ . Therefore, both (b) and (d) are not positive definite, too.
- **3.4.7 (a)** First, since K and L are symmetric,  $(K+L)^T = K^T + L^T = K + L$ . So K+L is also symmetric. For all  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^T (K+L) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T K \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T L \mathbf{x} > 0$ . Hence, K+L is positive definite.
  - (b) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Both matrices are not positive definite. However,  $A + B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  is positive definite.

**3.4.20** Since  $q(\mathbf{x})$  is a scalar,  $q(\mathbf{x}) = q(\mathbf{x})^T = (\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x})^T = \mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{x}$ . Therefore,

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T K \mathbf{x},$$

where,  $K = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)$ . K is symmetric because  $K^T = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)^T = \frac{1}{2}(A^T + A) = K$ .

3.5.5 (c) x<sup>2</sup> − 2xy − y<sup>2</sup> = (x − y)<sup>2</sup> − 2y<sup>2</sup>, not positive definite.
(d) x<sup>2</sup> + 6xy = (x + 3y)<sup>2</sup> − 9y<sup>2</sup>, not positive definite.

## 3.5.19 (a)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 + \frac{2}{3}R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} = U, \qquad D = \text{diag}(3, 2/3), \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - \frac{2}{3}R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L, \qquad S = \text{diag}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}/3)$$

Let  $M = LS = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0\\ -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \end{pmatrix}$ , then the Cholesky factorization is  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2\\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = MM^T = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0\\ -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3}\\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$ 

(c)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 14 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & 13 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + 3R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = U, \qquad D = \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, 4),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 + R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - 3R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L, \qquad S = \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, 2).$$

Let 
$$M = LS = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then the Cholesky factorization is  
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 14 \end{pmatrix} = MM^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$