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#### Abstract

We show that complex hypercontractivity gives better constants than real hypercontractivity in comparison inequalities for (low) moments of Rademacher chaoses (homogeneous polynomials on the discrete cube).
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## Introduction

A Rademacher chaos $h$ of order (degree) $d$ is a $d$-homogeneous polynomial on the discrete cube $\{-1,1\}^{n}$ for some $n \geq d$, that is a function of the form $h(x)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{d} \leq n} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{i_{d}}$, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$, for some, say complex coefficients $a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}$. For $p>0$, denote by $\|f\|_{p}$ the $p$-th moment $\left(\mathbb{E}|f|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$ of a function $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with the expectation taken against the uniform probability measure on $\{-1,1\}^{n}$. Let $1 \leq p \leq q$. We are interested in moment comparison inequalities: $\|h\|_{q} \leq C_{p, q, d}\|h\|_{p}$, true for any Rademacher chaos $h$ of degree $d$ with constants $C_{p, q, d}$ dependent only on $p, q$ and $d$ (so independent of $n$ and the coefficients $a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}}$ of $h$ ). When $d=1$, these are the Khinchin inequalities and sharp values of the constants $C_{p, q, 1}$ are known in many cases (see for instance [8] for a recent result and further references).

One way of effortlessly obtaining such comparison inequalities is by real hypercontractivity, which for $1 \leq p \leq q$ gives $C_{p, q, d}=\left(\frac{q-1}{p-1}\right)^{d / 2}$ and $C_{p, q, d}=e^{(2 / p-2 / q) d}$, when additionally $q \leq 2$ (see for example Theorem 5.10 in [4] and Theorems 9.21, 9.22 in [10]). To the best of our knowledge, these are in fact the best known values of constants $C_{p, q, d}$ (except for $p=2$ and $q$ being an even integer, where combinatorial arguments give slightly better results - see [2] and Exercise 9.38 in [10]). The constant $\left(\frac{q-1}{p-1}\right)^{d / 2}$ is moreover asymptotically sharp as $d$ goes to infinity with $2<p<q$ fixed (see [7]), in the sense that one cannot replace it by $C^{d / 2}$ with $C<\frac{q-1}{p-1}$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

The purpose of this note is to further improve the constants for low moments ( $p \leq 2$ ). The key is an observation that complex hypercontractivity due to Weissler from [12] easily yields better comparison between $p$-th and $q$-th moments than real hypercontractivity for $p<2<q$, which is the statement of the next theorem.

Theorem 1 (Weissler, [12]). Let $1<p \leq 2 \leq q$. Let $h:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a d-homogeneous polynomial. We have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|_{q} \leq \max \left\{(q-1)^{d / 2}, \frac{1}{(p-1)^{d / 2}}\right\}\|h\|_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall recall Weissler's result and sketch a standard argument leading from hypercontractivity to moment comparison in the next section. Our main result is obtained by the usual interpolation of moments, which can be viewed as a self-improvement of (1).

Theorem 2. Let $1 \leq p \leq q$. Let $h:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a d-homogeneous polynomial. We have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|_{q} \leq C_{p, q, d}\|h\|_{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{p, q, d}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\exp \left\{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) d\right\}, & \text { if } 1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2, \\ (q-1)^{\frac{q-p}{p(q-2)} \frac{d}{2}}, & \text { if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \text { and } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}>1, \\ (q-1)^{\frac{d}{2}}, & \text { if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \text { and } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q} \leq 1, \quad \text { asymp. sharp as } d \rightarrow \infty, \\ \left(\frac{q-1}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}, & \text { if } 2<p \leq q,\end{array}\right.$ asymp. sharp as $d \rightarrow \infty . ~ \$$
Remark 3. The constant in the first case clearly improves (by the factor of 2 in the exponent) on the constant $e^{(2 / p-2 / q) d}$ obtained from real hypercontractivity. It can be checked that the constant in the second case improves on the constant $(p-1)^{-d / 2}$ given by (1). The constants in the third and fourth cases are directly obtained from the complex and real hypercontractivity, respectively (we stated them for completeness). We also mention in passing that (2) can be seen as a discrete-cube analogue of the classical Nikolskii type inequalities for polynomials (with the constant in the first case being of a similar form - see for instance Theorem 2.6 in [3] and [9]) .

Remark 4. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given a multi-index $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ we denote its length by $|\alpha|:=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}=d$. Let $H_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ be the Hermite polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, H_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} H_{\alpha_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)$, each $H_{\alpha_{j}}(s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(s+i t)^{\alpha_{j}} e^{-t^{2} / 2}(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} d t$ is probabilists' Hermite polynomial on $\mathbb{R}$. It follows from the result of Janson (see [5]) that given $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$ the complex hypercontractivity in Gauss space $\left\|\sum_{0 \leq|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq N} z^{|\alpha|} c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} H_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(g)\right\|_{q} \leq\left\|\sum_{0 \leq|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq N} c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} H_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(g)\right\|_{p}$ holds for all $N \geq 0, n \geq 1$, $c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}$, where $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ and $g_{j}$ are i.i.d. standard Gaussians if and only if the triple $z, p, q$ satisfies condition (6). In particular, repeating the arguments described in the note verbatim one obtains that Theorem 2 holds with exactly the same constants $C_{p, q, d}$ where $d$-homogeneous polynomials $h$ are replaced by the sum $\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=d} c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} H_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(g)$.

Remark 5. The constants in the third and fourth cases are asymptotically sharp as $d \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, sharpness follows from Remark 4 by choosing $n=1$. The asymptotics of $L_{p}$ norms of Hermite polynomials are computed in [7]. In fact, it is Theorem 1 that is asymptotically sharp since (4) below is sharp.

Remark 6. In the case $p=1$ and $q=2$, we obtain $C_{1,2, d}=e^{d / 2}$. It is widely believed that the best possible $C_{1,2, d}$ should be $2^{d / 2}$ (which is attained for $h(x)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x_{2 d-1}+x_{2 d}\right)$ ). For example, Pełczyński's conjecture states that $C_{1,2,2}=2$ (for chaoses with coefficients in arbitrary normed spaces, see [11]).

Remark 7. It remains an open problem to determine the sharp values of the constants $C_{p, q, d}$ (even asymptotically, with $d \rightarrow \infty$, except for the case $2 \leq p \leq q$, and $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q} \leq 1$ ).

Remark 8. Based on arguments from [6] (see Lemma 6.4.1), it is possible to extend the moment comparison from Theorem 2 to all polynomials of degree (at most) $d$ (that is, to not necessarily homogeneous polynomials). However, the constants we obtain this way are perhaps far from optimal.

## Complex hypercontractivity and proof of Theorem 1

For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ and $S \subseteq[n]:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, we define the Walsh functions $w_{S}(x)=\prod_{j \in S} x_{j}$. When $S=\varnothing$, we set $w_{\varnothing}(x)=1$ for all $x \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$. These functions form an orthogonal basis $\left\{w_{S}, S \subset[n]\right\}$ in the space of all functions $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and thus any such function has the Fourier-Walsh expansion

$$
f(x)=\sum_{S \in[n]} a_{S} w_{S}(x),
$$

where $a_{S}=\mathbb{E} f w_{S}$. By $|S|$ we denote the cardinality of the set $S$. Take any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and define the operator $T_{z}$ as follows

$$
T_{z} f(x)=\sum_{S \in[n]} z^{|S|} a_{S} w_{S}(x)
$$

Real hypercontractivity tells us that for $1<p<q$ and $z=\sqrt{\frac{q-1}{p-1}}$, the operator $T_{z}$ is a contraction from $L_{p}$ to $L_{q}$, that is $\left\|T_{z} f\right\|_{q} \leq\|f\|_{p}$ for all $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (see for instance [10]).

In what follows $q \geq 2 \geq p \geq 1$. By the result of Weissler [12] (see also Beckner [1] for dual exponents $p$ and $q$ ), for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{i t} f\right\|_{q} \leq\|f\|_{p} \quad \text { for all } \quad f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|t| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{p-1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q-1}}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for any $d$-homogeneous polynomial $h:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, it yields

$$
\min \left\{(p-1)^{d / 2}, \frac{1}{(q-1)^{d / 2}}\right\}\|h\|_{q} \leq\|h\|_{p}
$$

(because $T_{z} h=z^{d} h$ ), and this finishes the proof of Theorem 1 .
Remark 9. There is a conjecture of Weissler from [12] that for $z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \leq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{z} f\right\|_{q} \leq\|f\|_{p} \quad \text { for all } \quad f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q-2)(\Re w z)^{2}+|w z|^{2} \leq(p-2)(\Re w)^{2}+|w|^{2} \quad \text { for all } \quad w \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conjecture is partially resolved, with the only case left open being $2<p<q<3$ and its dual, i.e., $3 / 2<p<q<2$. One cannot improve the bound in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 even if one uses (5) and (6) in its full generality instead of (3) and (4), i.e., the particular case of (5), (6) when $z$ is purely imaginary.

Self improvement of (1) and proof of Theorem 2


Figure 1. Inequality $\|h\|_{q} \leq c\|h\|_{p}$ for $q \geq p \geq 1 ;$

Fix a $d$-homogeneous polynomial $h$ and consider the function $\psi(s)=\frac{1}{d} \log \|h\|_{1 / s}$ on $(0,1]$, which is nonincreasing and convex (by Hölder's inequality). We set $s=\frac{1}{p}$ and $t=\frac{1}{q}$. Define the region $R_{s, t}=\{(x, y), 0<y \leq x, x \leq s, y \leq t\}$. By convexity, the slopes of $\psi$ are nondecreasing, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d} \frac{1}{1 / q-1 / p} \log \frac{\|h\|_{q}}{\|h\|_{p}}=\frac{\psi(t)-\psi(s)}{t-s} \geq \sup _{(x, y) \in R_{s, t}} \frac{\psi(y)-\psi(x)}{y-x} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define regions where we can use (1): $\Delta_{-}=\left\{(x, y), 0<y \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq x<1, x+y \leq 1\right\}$ and $\Delta_{+}=\left\{(x, y), 0<y \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq x<1, x+y>1\right\}$. It follows from (1) that,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi(y)-\psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(y^{-1}-1\right) & \text { on } \Delta_{-} \\
\psi(y)-\psi(x) \leq-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(x^{-1}-1\right) & \text { on } \Delta_{+}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{R_{s, t}} \frac{\psi(y)-\psi(x)}{y-x} \geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{\sup _{R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{-}} \frac{\log \left(y^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}, \sup _{R_{s, t} \cap_{+}} \frac{-\log \left(x^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the right hand side, we shall need the following elementary fact.
Lemma 10. For every $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$, the function $\beta_{s}(u)=\frac{\log \left(u^{-1}-1\right)}{u-s}$ is increasing on $(0, s)$.
Proof. We have, $(u-s)^{2} \beta_{s}^{\prime}(u)=\frac{s-u}{u(1-u)}-\log \left(u^{-1}-1\right)$, which is positive for $u \in(0, s)$ if and only if $s>u+u(1-u) \log \left(u^{-1}-1\right)$. The derivative of the right hand side is $(1-2 u) \log \left(u^{-1}-1\right)$,
which is positive, so it suffices to check that $s>s+s(1-s) \log \left(s^{-1}-1\right)$, which is clearly true for every $\frac{1}{2}<s<1$.

In particular, since the function $\beta_{1 / 2}(u)=\frac{\log \left(u^{-1}-1\right)}{u-1 / 2}$ satisfies $\beta_{1 / 2}(1-u)=\beta_{1 / 2}(u)$, it is symmetric about $u=\frac{1}{2}$, it increases on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and it decreases on $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Moreover, $\lim _{u \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \beta_{1 / 2}(u)=-4$ and $\beta_{u}(1-u)=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(u)$.
Case 1. $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$, that is $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq s \leq 1$. We have,

$$
\sup _{R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{-}} \frac{\log \left(y^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}=\sup _{\substack{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq s \\ y \leq 1-x}} \beta_{x}(y)=\sup _{\substack{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq s}} \beta_{x}(1-x)=\sup _{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq s} \frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(x)=-2
$$

Using the evident monotonicity in $y$,

$$
\sup _{R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{+}} \frac{-\log \left(x^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}=\sup _{\substack{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq s \\ 1-x<y \leq \frac{1}{2}}} \frac{-\log \left(x^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}=\sup _{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq s} \frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(x)=-2 .
$$

Therefore, by (8), $\sup _{R_{s, t}} \frac{\psi(y)-\psi(x)}{y-x} \geq-1$, so (7) yields

$$
\|h\|_{q} /\|h\|_{p} \leq \exp \left\{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) d\right\} .
$$

Case 2. $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}>1$, that is $t \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$ and $s+t>1$. We have,

$$
\sup _{R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{-}} \frac{\log \left(y^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}=\sup _{\substack{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq s \\ y \leq 1-x, y \leq t}} \beta_{x}(y)=\max \left\{\sup _{1-t \leq x \leq s} \beta_{x}(1-x), \sup _{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1-t} \beta_{x}(t)\right\} .
$$

As before,

$$
\sup _{1-t \leq x \leq s} \beta_{x}(1-x)=\sup _{1-t \leq x \leq s} \frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(1-t)=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(t) .
$$

Moreover, by the evident monotonicity in $x$,

$$
\sup _{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1-t} \beta_{x}(t)=\sup _{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1-t} \frac{\log \left(t^{-1}-1\right)}{t-x}=\frac{\log \left(t^{-1}-1\right)}{2 t-1}=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(t) .
$$

Therefore, $\sup _{R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{-}} \frac{\log \left(y^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(t)$. A similar computation shows that the supremum over the region $\Delta_{+}$also gives $\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1 / 2}(t)$. Thus, $\sup _{R_{s, t}} \frac{\psi(y)-\psi(x)}{y-x} \geq \frac{1}{4} \beta_{1 / 2}(t)=\frac{\log \left(t^{-1}-1\right)}{2(2 t-1)}$, so (7) yields

$$
\|h\|_{q} /\|h\|_{p} \leq \exp \left\{\frac{\log (q-1)}{2(2 / q-1)}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) d\right\}=(q-1)^{\frac{q-p}{p(q-2)} \frac{d}{2}} .
$$

Case 3. $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q} \leq 1$, that is $t \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$ and $s+t \leq 1$. Here, $R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{+}=\varnothing$ and it can be checked as in the previous cases that the right hand side of (8) gives $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\log \left(t^{-1}-1\right)}{t-s}$, which does not improve on (1).
Case 4. $2<p \leq q$, that is $t \leq s<\frac{1}{2}$. Here, $R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{+}=R_{s, t} \cap \Delta_{-}=\varnothing$. By real hypercontractivity, $\sup _{(x, y) \in R_{s, t}} \frac{\psi(y)-\psi(x)}{y-x} \geq \sup _{(x, y) \in R_{s, t}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log \left(y^{-1}-1\right)-\log \left(x^{-1}-1\right)}{y-x}$ and, by convexity, this equals $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\log \left(t^{-1}-1\right)-\log \left(s^{-1}-1\right)}{t-s}$ (no self-improvement).
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