

1. IMPORTANT PROBLEMS

- (1) Prove or disprove: For real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , if  $4ab < (a + b)^2$  then  $a < b$
- (2) Prove that for integers  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , if  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  then either  $a$  or  $b$  is even.
- (3) Show that for all integers  $a$  and  $b$ , that  $a^2 - a - b^2 + b$  is even.
- (4) Let  $A$  be some set of integers numbers. Write out symbolically the proposition that says that there are at least two different ways to represent the number 3 as the sum of elements of  $A$ .

If  $A$  contained the numbers 4 and -1, we consider  $4 + (-1)$  and  $(-1) + 4$  to be the same representation.

- (5) Let  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  be variable propositions and  $S$  a set. Which of the following pairs of propositions are logically equivalent? If they are logically equivalent, prove it. Otherwise, give a counterexample.

- (a)  $\forall x \in S, (p(x) \wedge q(x))$  and  $(\forall x \in S, p(x)) \wedge (\forall x \in S, q(x))$
- (b)  $\forall x \in S, (p(x) \vee q(x))$  and  $(\forall x \in S, p(x)) \vee (\forall x \in S, q(x))$
- (c)  $\exists x \in S, (p(x) \wedge q(x))$  and  $(\exists x \in S, p(x)) \wedge (\exists x \in S, q(x))$
- (d)  $\exists x \in S, (p(x) \vee q(x))$  and  $(\exists x \in S, p(x)) \vee (\exists x \in S, q(x))$

- (6) Write the contrapositive of the following statements:

- (a) For integers  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ , if  $x$  divides both  $y$  and  $z$ , then  $x$  divides  $y + z$ .
- (b) If  $x$  is a multiple of 3 and a multiple of 5, then  $x$  is a multiple of 15.
- (c) If  $x > 1$  then  $x^2 > x$ .

- (7) In the following variable propositions,  $x$  ranges over the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $p(x)$  be the proposition " $1 \leq x \leq 3$ ". Let  $q(x)$  be the proposition " $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}(x = 2k)$ ". Let  $r(x)$  be the proposition " $x^2 = 4$ ". Let  $s(x)$  be the proposition " $x = 1$ ".

For each of the following statements, write the logical negation in negation normal form. Then decide which claim (the original or the negation) is true.

- (a)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, ((s(x) \wedge r(x)) \rightarrow (s(x) \wedge q(x)))$
- (b)  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}, ((s(x) \wedge p(x) \wedge q(x)) \vee (\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}, (y \neq x \wedge r(x) \wedge r(y) \wedge p(x) \wedge p(y))))$
- (c)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}, s(y) \vee r(x)$

2. MORE PROBLEMS

- (1) We define the connective  $\oplus$  with the following truth table:

$p$	$q$	$p \oplus q$
$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$

This operation is called 'xor' or 'exclusive or'.

Express  $x \oplus y$  using  $x$  and  $y$  and some combination of the connectives  $\wedge, \vee, \neg$ .

Show that  $x \oplus y$  is logically equivalent to  $y \oplus x$ . Show that  $(x \oplus y) \oplus z$  is logically equivalent to  $x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ . Finally, show that  $(x \oplus y) \oplus x$  is logically equivalent to  $y$ .

- (2) Determine whether the following are true or false. Prove your answer.
  - (a) For every  $x$  there is a  $y$  such that  $xy = 0$ .

- (b) There exists an  $x$  such that for every  $y$ ,  $x + y = 0$
- (c) For all  $n$ , either  $n$  is even or  $n$  is odd
- (d) For all  $x$  there exists  $y$  such that  $xy = 1$
- (e) For all  $x \neq 0$  there exists  $y$  such that  $xy = 1$
- (f) For all  $x$  there exists  $y$  such that  $x + y = 0$
- (g) Either for all  $n$ ,  $n$  is even, or for all  $n$ ,  $n$  is odd.
- (3) Determine where the following are true or false. Prove your answer.
- (a) If  $a$  and  $b$  are irrational, so is  $a + b$
- (b) If  $a$  and  $b$  are irrational, so is  $ab$
- (c) If  $r$  is rational and  $a$  is irrational, then  $a + r$  is irrational
- (d) if  $r$  is rational and  $a$  is irrational, then  $ar$  is rational
- (e) if  $r$  is rational and  $a$  is irrational, then  $ar$  is irrational
- (f) if  $r$  is rational and  $s$  is rational then  $r^s$  is rational
- (g) if  $r$  is rational and  $s$  is rational and nonzero, then  $r/s$  is rational
- (4) Show that assuming what you want to prove and then concluding something true is NOT a valid proof strategy, by showing that an appropriate logical statement is not a tautology.
- (5) Let  $Q$  and  $P$  be propositional formulae. Define a propositional formula  $R$  such that  $P$  and  $Q$  are logically equivalent if and only if  $R$  is a tautology.
- (6) Write the following English-language stories into propositional formulae, using the following propositions:
- $r$  - It was raining
  - $w$  - I went outside
  - $j$  - I brought my jacket
- (a) I went for a walk. If it was raining, I brought my jacket.
- (b) I was going for a walk when it was raining, but I wasn't wearing my rain jacket.
- (c) I forgot my rain jacket, but luckily I did not get rained on today.