

21-241 MATRICES AND LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS
SUMMER 1 2012
COURSE NOTES
JUNE 4

PAUL MCKENNEY

1. BASIC MATERIAL

The proof of the following theorem is exactly the same as the proof of the theorem we saw last time, that all bases for the same subspace have the same size. I've really just changed the assumptions and conclusions to match what I did in that proof. In this one I'm using but not referencing the lemmas I proved last time; you should recognize where and how they're being used.

Theorem 1. *Suppose S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^k , and X and Y are finite subsets of S such that X is linearly independent and Y spans S . Then $|X| \leq |Y|$.*

Proof. Say $X = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $Y = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. Since Y spans S , and $X \subseteq S$, there are coefficients λ_{ij} such that

$$a_j = \lambda_{1j}b_1 + \dots + \lambda_{mj}b_m$$

Let A be the matrix with columns a_1, \dots, a_n , B the matrix with columns b_1, \dots, b_m , and L the matrix with entries λ_{ij} . Then $A = BL$. Since X is linearly independent, A is left-invertible. Hence L is too, and it follows that L can't have more columns than rows. Then $n \leq m$. □

Corollary 1. *Let X be a linearly independent subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then $|X| \leq n$.*

Lemma 1. *Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is linearly independent, and $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ but $s \notin \text{span}(X)$. Then $X \cup \{s\}$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. I'll prove the contrapositive; that if $X \cup \{s\}$ is linearly dependent, but X is linearly independent, then $s \in \text{span}(X)$. So suppose $X \cup \{s\}$ is linearly dependent. Then there are distinct $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$, and coefficients $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mu s + \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_k x_k = 0$$

but not all of $\mu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are zero. Now if $\mu = 0$, then we have

$$\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_k x_k = 0$$

and so $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_k = 0$, since X is linearly independent; but then all of them are zero, which we know isn't right. So it must be that $\mu \neq 0$. But then

$$s = \frac{1}{\mu}(\lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k x_k)$$

and so $s \in \text{span}(X)$. □

Theorem 2. *Suppose S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , $X \subseteq S$, and X is linearly independent. Then there is a basis Y for S such that $X \subseteq Y$.*

Proof. If X isn't already a basis, then there is some $s \in S$ which is not in the span of X . It follows that $X \cup \{s\}$ is linearly independent. Now repeat with $X \cup \{s\}$. This process can only go finitely many steps, since a linearly independent subset of \mathbb{R}^n can have size at most n . □

Corollary 2. *Every subspace of \mathbb{R}^n has a basis.*

Corollary 3. *Let S be a subspace (of some \mathbb{R}^n) and let X and Y be finite subsets of S , such that X is linearly independent and Y spans S . Then $|X| \leq \dim(S) \leq |Y|$.*

Theorem 3. *Suppose S and T are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n , and $S \subseteq T$. Then $\dim(S) \leq \dim(T)$. Moreover, if $\dim(S) = \dim(T)$, then $S = T$.*

Proof. Let $k = \dim(S)$ and $\ell = \dim(T)$. Choose a basis X for S . Then X is a linearly independent subset of S , and hence a linearly independent subset of T , since $S \subseteq T$. By Theorem 2, there is some basis Y for T such that $X \subseteq Y$. Y has ℓ elements, X has k elements, and $X \subseteq Y$; therefore $k \leq \ell$.

Now assume $k = \ell$. Then since $X \subseteq Y$ and $|X| = k = \ell = |Y|$, it must be that $X = Y$. Hence

$$S = \text{span}(X) = \text{span}(Y) = T$$

□

2. BASIC COMPUTATION

So how do we find a basis for a given subspace? Let's first recall the following definitions.

Definition. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Say c_1, \dots, c_n are the columns of A , and r_1, \dots, r_m are the rows of A . Then

- (1) $\text{row}(A) = \text{span}\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$.
- (2) $\text{col}(A) = \text{span}\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$.
- (3) $\text{null}(A) = \{x \mid Ax = 0\}$.

Now I'll state the *Rank-Nullity Theorem*, which essentially outlines an algorithm for finding bases for each of the above subspaces. I'll prove it tomorrow.

Theorem 4. (Rank-Nullity) Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix, and let R be any row-echelon form of A . Say R has k nonzero rows, r_1, \dots, r_k , and the leading entry of r_i appears in column ℓ_i . (Since R is in row-echelon form, this means $\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_k$.) Let a_1, \dots, a_n be the columns of A , in that order. Then;

- (1) $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ is a basis for $\text{row}(A)$.
- (2) $\{a_{\ell_1}, \dots, a_{\ell_k}\}$ is a basis for $\text{col}(A)$.
- (3) $\{s_1, \dots, s_{n-k}\}$ is a basis for $\text{null}(A)$, where s_i is the vector with a 1 in the entry corresponding to the i th free variable, and a 0 in every entry corresponding to the other free variables. (Note that since s_i must be in $\text{null}(A)$, this determines the rest of the entries in s_i .)

Example. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 2 & 6 & 11 & 15 \\ 3 & 10 & 18 & 24 \end{pmatrix}$$

Find bases for $\text{row}(A)$, $\text{col}(A)$, and $\text{null}(A)$.

Solution. After row-reducing A we get

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence a basis for $\text{row}(A)$ is

$$\left\{ (1 \ 4 \ 7 \ 9), (0 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3) \right\}$$

For $\text{col}(A)$,

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

For $\text{null}(A)$ we need to do some back-substitution. The homogeneous system corresponding to R is

$$\begin{aligned} x + 4y + 7z + 9w &= 0 \\ 2y + 3w + 3w &= 0 \\ 0 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in $w = 1$ and $z = 0$, we get $y = -3/2$ and $x = -4y - 9 = 6 - 9 = -3$. Plugging in $w = 0$ and $z = 1$, we get $y = -3/2$ and $x = -1$. Hence a basis for $\text{null}(A)$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -3/2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

