

STEMERCO 4-TERMS AP

N always prime, not 2 or 3.

Let $f_1, \dots, f_k : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow [-1, 1]$. Define:

$$\Lambda_3(f_1, f_2, f_3) = E_{x,d} f_1(x) f_2(x+d) f_3(x+2d)$$

$$\Lambda_4(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = E_{x,d} f_1(x) f_2(x+d) f_3(x+2d) f_4(x+3d)$$

Note: $\Lambda_3(A_1, A_2, A_3)$ counts 3-AP's with first term from A_1 , etc, but mult. by $\frac{1}{N^2}$.

Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ with density α ($|A| = \alpha N$)

(D) $f_A = 1_A - \alpha$ "balanced function of A"

BALANCED PER DECOM. Say $|A| = \alpha N$

Then $\Lambda_3(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + (\text{7 other terms})$

$\Lambda_4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 + (\text{15 other terms})$

(each of A "other terms" is of form $\Lambda_j(g_1, g_2, g_3)$ where some g_i is the balanced function f_{A_i} .)

Consider special case where all equal "main terms" measure "non-uniformity"

Def. "Uniformity along 3-term progressions"

Let $|A| = \alpha N$, $f_A = 1_A - \alpha$. Then "A exhibits δ -uniformity along 3-term AP's" if whenever we

have $g_1, g_2, g_3 : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, at least one of which is equal to f_A , then

$$|\Lambda_3(g_1, g_2, g_3)| \leq \delta$$

(Define unif. along 4-term AP similarly)

REMARK. Not obvious that \mathcal{F} sets that are unif along progressions

COROLLARY. $|A| = \alpha N$. If A is δ -unif along 3-AP, then $|\Lambda_3(A, A, A) - \alpha^3| \leq 7\delta$, and sim. for 4-AP.

QUESTION. Suppose A is not δ -unif along 3- or 4-AP. What can we say about A?

(Equiv: find sufficient condition for δ -unif)

* FOR 3-AP, ATTEMPT I. Say A is not δ -unif along 3-AP. Then $\|f_A\|_{\infty} \geq \delta$.

Here, we define $\hat{f}(r) = E_x f(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} x r}$ so normalization already is.

(P) Say $|\Lambda_3(g_1, g_2, f_A)| \geq \delta$ (case when say $g_2 = f_A$ is similar)

$$\Lambda_3(g_1, g_2, f_A) = \sum_{x,y,z,r} \hat{g}_1(x) \hat{g}_2(y) \hat{f}_A(z) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}(x-2y+z)r} = E_r \left(E_x \hat{g}_1(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} x r} \right) \left(E_y \hat{g}_2(y) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}(-2y)r} \right) \left(E_z \hat{f}_A(z) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} z r} \right)$$

Since we introduced E_z instead of \sum_z . E_r is already $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x-2y+z=0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x+z=2y \end{cases}$

$$= E_r \hat{g}_1(r) \hat{g}_2(-2r) \hat{f}_A(r) = \sum_r \hat{g}_1(r) \hat{g}_2(-2r) \hat{f}_A(r)$$

↓
 Hence $S \leq \left| \sum_r \hat{g}_1(r) \hat{g}_2(2r) \hat{f}_A(r) \right| \leq \|\hat{f}_A\|_\infty \cdot \|\hat{g}_1\|_2 \cdot \|\hat{g}_2\|_2 = \|\hat{f}_A\|_\infty \sqrt{E_x \hat{g}_1^2(x)} \cdot \sqrt{E_x \hat{g}_2^2(x)}$

↑
 Pull \hat{f}_A out, then use Cauchy-Schwarz
 Perceived. $\leq \|\hat{f}_A\|_\infty$

□

Clear proof, but not generalizable.

"One way to generalize an argument is to first try and find a more longwinded, less natural approach and try and generalize that."

* FOR 3AP, ARGUMENT II. Say A is not 3-AP. Then $\|\hat{f}_A\|_\infty \geq S^2$.

(P) Representation: $\Lambda_3(g_1, g_2, f_A) = E_{y_1, y_2} g_1(-y_1) g_2(\frac{1}{2}y_2) f_A(y_1 + y_2)$
 this is inverse of 2 in \mathbb{Z}_N , not $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz: $|\Lambda_3|^2 \leq \left(E_{y_2} \left| g_2\left(\frac{1}{2}y_2\right) \right|^2 \right) \cdot \left(E_{y_1} \left| E_{y_2} g_1(-y_1) f_A(y_1 + y_2) \right|^2 \right)$
 we assumed $|g_i| \leq 1$.

$\leq E_{y_2} \cdot \left(E_{y_1} g_1(-y_1) f_A(y_1 + y_2) \right)^2$

$= E_{y_2} \cdot E_{y_1, y_1'} g_1(-y_1) f_A(y_1 + y_2) g_1(-y_1') f_A(y_1' + y_2)$

Cauchy-Schwarz $|\Lambda_3|^4 \leq \left(E_{y_1, y_1'} \left| g_1(-y_1) g_1(-y_1') \right|^2 \right) \cdot \left(E_{y_2, y_2'} \left| E_{y_1} f_A(y_1 + y_2) f_A(y_1' + y_2) \right|^2 \right)$
 $|g_i| \leq 1$.

$\leq E_{y_2, y_2'} \left[E_{y_1} f_A(y_1 + y_2) f_A(y_1' + y_2) \right]^2$

$= E_{y_2, y_2'} E_{y_1, y_1'} f_A(y_1 + y_2) f_A(y_1' + y_2) f_A(y_1 + y_2') f_A(y_1' + y_2')$

$=: \|f_A\|_{U^2}^4$ defined to be Gowers U^2 norm

Representation of Gowers norm:

$\|f\|_{U^2}^4 = E_{x, h_1, h_2} f(x) \overline{f(x+h_1)} \overline{f(x+h_2)} f(x+h_1+h_2)$

(D) $\Delta(f; h) = f(x) \overline{f(x-h)}$ "derivative?"

$\Delta(f; h_1, h_2) = \Delta(\Delta(f; h_1); h_2)$

$= E_{x, h_1, h_2} \Delta(f; h_1, h_2)(x)$

Cost used to Δ notation.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{U_2}^4 &= E_{x, h_1, h_2} \Delta(f; h_1)(x) \cdot \overline{\Delta(f; h_1)(x+h_2)} \\ &= E_{h_1} E_{x, y} \Delta(f; h_1)(x) \overline{\Delta(f; h_1)(y)} \\ &= E_{h_1} \cdot E_x |\Delta(f; h_1)(x)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(Parseval) $= E_{h_1} \sum_r |\widehat{\Delta}(f; h_1)(r)|^2$

~~$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Delta}(f; h)(r) &= E_x \Delta(f; h)(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} rx} \\ &= E_x f(x) \overline{f(x-h)} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} rx} \\ &= E_x f(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} rx} \end{aligned}$$~~

def. by $f * g(x) = E_y f(y) g(x-y)$

$$\|f * f\|_2^2 = E_x f * f(x) \cdot \overline{f * f(x)}$$

$$= E_{x, y, z} f(y) f(x-y) \overline{f(z) f(x-z)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= z-y \\ h_2 &= x-z-y \\ y+h_1+h_2 &= x-y \end{aligned}$$

$$= E_{y, h_1, h_2} f(y) f(y+h_1+h_2) \overline{f(y+h_1) f(y+h_2)} = \|f\|_{U_2}^4$$

Let $\|f * f\|_2^2 = \|\widehat{f * f}\|_2^2 = \sum_r |\widehat{f * f}(r)|^2 = \sum_r |\widehat{f}^2(r)|^2 = \|\widehat{f}^2\|_4^4$

Hence $\|f\|_{U_2} = \|\widehat{f}\|_4$

In particular, if we assumed $|\lambda_3| \geq \delta$, then $\|f_A\|_{U_2} \geq \delta^4$
 $\Rightarrow \|\widehat{f}_A\|_4 \geq \delta^4$

Let then $\delta^4 \leq \|\widehat{f}_A\|_4^4 \leq \|\widehat{f}_A\|_\infty^2 \cdot \|\widehat{f}_A\|_2^2 = \|\widehat{f}_A\|_\infty^2 \cdot \|f_A\|_2^2 \leq \|f_A\|_\infty^2$
 (Parseval) $\underbrace{\|f_A\|_2^2}_{\text{assumed } \geq \delta^2, \text{ and } |s_3| \leq 1}$

$\Rightarrow \|f_A\|_\infty \geq \delta^2$

D.

Note: in this proof, we did not need circle method, which may be hard to generalize to 4-term AP, since 4-AP is 2 simultaneous eqns, not just $x-2y+z=0$ (single eqn).

Division of Labor

(Generalized von Neumann thm): " λ_3 " controlled by Gowers U^2 norm:

$$\forall f_i: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad |\lambda_3(f_1, f_2, f_3)| \leq \inf \|f_i\|_{U^2}$$

(Gowers inverse thm): if Gowers U^2 norm is large, then \exists large Fourier coeff.

$$\forall f: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad \|f\|_{U^2} \geq \delta \Rightarrow \|\widehat{f}\|_\infty \geq \delta^2$$

66. VON-NEUMANN THM FOR 4-AP, let $f_1, \dots, f_4 = \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow [-1, 1]$.

then $|\Lambda_4(f_1, \dots, f_4)| \leq \inf \|f_i\|_{U^3}$,

where $\|f\|_{U^3}^8 := E_{x, h_1, h_2, h_3} \Delta(x; h_1, h_2, h_3)$.

= "averages over 3-dimensional boxes".

$$= E_{y_1, y_2, y_3, y'_1, y'_2, y'_3} \frac{f(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) f(y_1 + y'_2 + y'_3) f(y_1 + y'_2 + y_3) f(y_1 + y'_2 + y'_3)}{f(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) f(y'_1 + y_2 + y'_3) f(y'_1 + y'_2 + y_3) f(y'_1 + y'_2 + y'_3)}$$

(P). Again, we find "suitable reparametrization", and use Cauchy-Schwarz 3 times to get rid of the g_i .

Reparametrization: $\Lambda_4 = E_{y_1, y_2, y_3} f_1(-\frac{1}{2}y_2 - 2y_3) f_2(\frac{1}{3}y_1 - y_3) f_3(\frac{2}{3}y_1 + \frac{1}{3}y_2) f_4(y_1 + y_2 + y_3)$
+ $\frac{1}{2}y_2$

For this section, let $B(\cdot)$ be any fn bounded by 1.

Cauchy-Schwarz: $|E_{X, Y} B(X) f(X, Y)|^2 \leq E_X$

Let X, Y be sets of variables, and if $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$, let E_X mean E_{x_1, x_2}

$$\rightarrow |E_X E_Y B(X) f(X, Y)|^2 \leq (E_X |B(X)|^2) (E_Y |E_Y f(X, Y)|^2)$$

$$\leq E_{X, Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}} f(X, Y^{(1)}) \overline{f(X, Y^{(2)})}$$

2 copies of variable sets.

Apply 3 times.

(1). $X = \{y_2, y_3\}, Y = \{y_1\}, B = f$,

(2). $X = \{y_1^{(1)}, y_1^{(2)}, y_3\}, Y = \{y_2\}, B = \text{everything involving } f_2$.

(3). $X = \{y_1^{(1)}, y_1^{(2)}, y_2^{(1)}, y_2^{(2)}\}, Y = \{y_3\}, B = \text{everything with } f_3$.

eliminates f_1, f_2, f_3 .

$\Rightarrow |\Lambda_4|^8 \leq \|f_4\|_{U^3}^8$. Bound by $\|f_4\|_{U^3}^8$ with if 4 similar.

So if

Counting 4-APs

Let $A_1, \dots, A_4 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ with densities $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ satisfy $\|f_{A_3}\|_{U^3}, \|f_{A_4}\|_{U^3} \leq \delta$.
(So δ -uniform along 4-AP)

Say A_3, A_4 are δ -uniform along 4-AP. Then if $\delta \leq \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4}{6}$,

$$A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3 \times A_4 \text{ contains } \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 N^2 \text{ 4-APs.}$$

(1) ~~A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4~~

$$\# = \sum_{x,d} A_1(x) A_2(x+d) A_3(x+2d) A_4(x+3d)$$

f_3, f_4 are Boole functions at A_3, A_4 .

$$= \sum_{x,d} A_1(x) A_2(x+d) (f_3(x+2d) + \alpha_3) (f_4(x+3d) + \alpha_4)$$

$$= \sum_{x,d} A_1(x) A_2(x+d) \alpha_3 \alpha_4 + \text{(3 other terms)}$$

\approx all 3 have f_3 or f_4 , so by Gen. Van-Neumann,
 $\Lambda(A_1, A_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \leq \|f_3\|_{U^3} \leq \delta$
 f_3 or f_4

$$= \sum_{x,d} A_1(x) A_2(x+d) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 N^2$$

$$\geq N^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \geq 3 \cdot \delta N^2$$

$$\geq N^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

□

Corollary 7.6 $|A| = \alpha N$, say $\|f_A\|_{U^3} \leq \delta$. Then either find 4-AP, or Subprogression where A has density $\geq \frac{9}{8} \alpha$.
 δ just need $\delta \leq \frac{1}{6} (\frac{\alpha}{10}) (\frac{\alpha}{10}) \alpha = \frac{\alpha^4}{600}$

(1) Let $A_1 = A_2 = A \cap [0, \frac{2N}{5}]$ (middle fifth)

$$A_3 = A_4 = A$$

If $|A_1| \leq \frac{\alpha N}{10}$, then either $A \cap [0, \frac{2N}{5}]$ or $A \cap [\frac{3N}{5}, N]$ has size $\geq \frac{9\alpha N}{20} \Rightarrow$ density $\geq \frac{9\alpha N}{20} \times \frac{5}{2N} = \frac{9}{8} \alpha$.

So ~~suppose~~ we may assume $|A_1| \geq \frac{\alpha N}{10} \Rightarrow$ density $\alpha_1 \geq \frac{\alpha}{10}$ (density is with respect to \mathbb{Z}_N)

Previous statement then implies $\exists \geq \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\alpha}{10}) (\frac{\alpha}{10}) (\alpha) (\alpha) N^2$ 4-APs, so done. □

REMEMBER! 4-AP IF NOT QUADRATICALLY UNIFORM

Recall def of quadratically uniform was $\|f\|_{U^2}^8 = \sum_{x, h_1, h_2, h_3} \Delta(f; h_1, h_2, h_3)(x)$

Since we do not want to keep 8th roots everywhere, we ~~use~~
 now define: QUADRATICALLY α -UNIFORM: $\|f\|_{U^2}^8 \leq \alpha$

Many ways to write this: (now we stop using Expectation)

$$\sum_{x, h_1, h_2, h_3} \Delta(f; h_1, h_2, h_3)(x) \leq \alpha N^4 \Leftrightarrow \|f\|_{U^2}^8 \leq \alpha$$

$$\sum_{x, k, l, m} \Delta(f; k, l, m)(x) = \sum_{x, k, l, m} \overline{\Delta(f; k)(x-l)} \overline{\Delta(f; k)(x-m)} \Delta(f; k)(x-l-m)$$

det of Δ

letting $F_k(x)$ let $F_k = \Delta(f; k)$

$$= \sum_k \sum_{x, l, m} F_k(x) \overline{F_k(x-l)} \overline{F_k(x-m)} F_k(x-l-m)$$

by argument 2007-04-09 ©

$$\rightarrow = \sum_k \sum_x F_k * F_k(x) \cdot \overline{F_k * F_k(x)}$$

~~$$= \sum_k \sum_x F_k * F_k(x)$$~~

$$= \sum_k \sum_x |F_k * F_k(x)|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sum_r |\widehat{F_k * F_k}(r)|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \sum_r |\widehat{F_k}(r)|^4$$

← Now we use $\widehat{f}(r) = \sum_x f(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i r x}{N}}$

⇒ We may say QUADRATIC α -UNIFORM: $\sum_{k, r} |\widehat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^4 \leq \alpha N^5$

GOAL: If A is NOT quadratically α -unif, then A intersects a \mathbb{Z} -AP of size $\geq N^d$ such that density

is $\geq \delta + \varepsilon$ and ε, δ depend only on α and S .

\uparrow density of A \uparrow density of A
 uniformity

Lemma 7.7 f not dual α -unit. Then $\exists B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ of size $\geq \frac{\alpha N}{2}$,

and function $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ st

$$\sum_{k \in B} |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(\phi(k))|^2 \geq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 N^3.$$

(P) Not dual α -unit: $\sum_{k, r} |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^4 > \alpha N^5.$

(1) We want to say that for $\geq \frac{\alpha N}{2}$ values of k , $\sum_r |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^4 > \frac{\alpha N^4}{2}.$

(2) Then we will show that for any such k , $\|\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)\|_{\infty}^2 > \frac{\alpha N^2}{2}.$

Summing over the $\geq \frac{\alpha N}{2}$ values of k will give us the result.

Pf (1) First we want the trivial bound $\sum_r |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^4 = \sum_r |\widehat{\Delta(f; k) * \Delta(f; k)}(r)|^2$

$$= N \cdot \sum_x |\Delta(f; k) * \Delta(f; k)(x)|^2$$

ordinary convolution, no expectation,
so $f * g(x) = \sum_y f(y)g(x-y)$

So since $\|\Delta(f; k)\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1$,
the convolution is bdd by N .

$$\leq N \cdot \sum_x N^2 = N^4.$$

Yet worst case ^{is} for having values either just at $\frac{\alpha N^4}{2}$ or at N^4 .

Max. contribution of the $\sum_r |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^4$ that are $\frac{\alpha N^4}{2}$ is $\leq N \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha N^4}{2}\right),$

so the other big guys need to contribute total of $\geq \frac{\alpha}{2} N^5$, and dividing by N^4 gives that their number must be $\geq \frac{\alpha}{2} N$, as claimed.

Pf (2) Say we have k st. $\sum_r |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^4 > \frac{\alpha N^4}{2}.$

But then $\frac{\alpha N^4}{2} < \sum_r |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^4 \leq \|\cdot\|_{\infty}^2 \sum_r |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(r)|^2 = \|\cdot\|_{\infty}^2 N \sum_x \underbrace{|\Delta(f; k)(x)|^2}_{\leq \|f\|_{\infty}^2 \leq 1} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\infty}^2 N^2$

$\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_{\infty}^2 > \frac{\alpha N^2}{2}$, as desired. □

LEMMA 7.8 Say $f: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$, and $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ s.t. $\sum_{k \in B} |\hat{f}(f; k)(\phi(k))|^2 \geq \alpha N^2$.
 THEN: $\exists \geq \alpha^4 N^3$ quadruples $(a, b, c, d) \in B^4$ s.t. $\begin{cases} a+b = c+d \\ \phi(a) + \phi(b) = \phi(c) + \phi(d) \end{cases}$

First need Lemma 7.1 $g: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow [-1, 1]$. Then g is α -uniform (def: $\sum_r |\hat{g}(r)|^4 \leq \alpha N^4$)
 if $\forall F: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. $\sum_s \left| \sum_k F(s-k) g(k) \right|^2 \leq \sqrt{\alpha} N^2 \|F\|_2^2$

Next Lem 7.1 (adjusted): $\sum_r |\hat{g}(r)|^4 \geq \frac{\left(\sum_s \left| \sum_k F(s-k) g(k) \right|^2 \right)^2}{N}$
 if $|F| \leq 1$, then

(PF) suff. show: $\sum_s \left| \sum_k F(s-k) g(k) \right|^2 \leq \sqrt{\sum_r |\hat{g}(r)|^4} \cdot N^2$

Well, $\sum_s |(F * g)(s)|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_r |(\widehat{F * g})(r)|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_r |\hat{F}(r) \hat{g}(r)|^2$

$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_r |\hat{F}(r)|^2 \cdot |\hat{g}(r)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sum_r |\hat{F}(r)|^4} \sqrt{\sum_r |\hat{g}(r)|^4}$

Let $\sum_r |\hat{F}(r)|^4 = \sum_r |(\widehat{F * F})(r)|^2 = N \cdot \sum_x \underbrace{|(\widehat{F * F})(x)|^2}_{\leq N} \leq N^4$,
 which is what we wanted

(PF) (L7.8) Rewrite: $\sum_{k \in B} |\hat{f}(f; k)(\phi(k))|^2 = \sum_{k \in B} \sum_{s, t} \Delta(f; k)(\phi(k)) \omega^{\phi(k)s} \overline{\Delta(f; k)(\phi(k)) \omega^{\phi(k)t}}$
 $\leq \sum_{k \in B} \sum_{s, u} f(s) \overline{f(s-k)} \overline{f(s-u)} f(s-u-k) \omega^{\phi(k)u}$ (indicator fun of B)
 $\leq \sum_{k \in B} \sum_{u, s} \left| \sum_{k \in B} \underbrace{f(s-k)}_{\text{This is } F_u(s-k)} \overline{f(s-k-u)} \right| \omega^{\phi(k)u}$ (This is $g_u(k)$)
 $\leq \sum_u \sum_s \left| \sum_{k \in B} F_u(s-k) g_u(k) \right|^2$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{(\alpha N^3)^2}{N^2} = \alpha^2 N^4$

(B)

So let $N\gamma(u) = \sum_s \left| \sum_{k \in B} F_u(s-k) \hat{g}_u(k) \right|^2$.

Our prev. inequality says $\sum_u \gamma(u) \geq \alpha^2 N^4 \Rightarrow \sum_u \gamma(u)^2 \geq \frac{(\alpha^2 N^4)^2}{N} = \alpha^4 N^7$

But (L7.1) $\Rightarrow \sum_r |\hat{g}_u(r)|^4 \geq \gamma(u)^2 N^4$.

$$\gamma(u)^2 N^4 \leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} \sum_r |\hat{g}_u(r)|^4 &= \sum_r \left| \sum_k B(k) \omega^{k(u+r)} \right|^4 \\ &= \sum_r \sum_{a,b,c,d \in B} \omega^{(a+b-c-d)u} r(a+b-c-d) \end{aligned} \right.$$

Sum over u :

$$\alpha^4 N^5 \leq \sum_u \gamma(u)^2 N^4 \leq \sum_{r,u} \sum_{a,b,c,d \in B} \omega^{(a+b-c-d)u} r(a+b-c-d)$$

$$= N^2 \times \# \text{ of quadruples with } \begin{cases} a+b=c+d \\ f(a)+f(b)=f(c)+f(d) \end{cases}$$

□

LEMMA 7.1

~~$\exists \eta, \gamma$ dep only on α , and AP P of length $\geq N^\gamma$ st: with λ, μ .~~
 $\sum_{k \in P} |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(\lambda k + \mu)|^2 \geq \eta N^2 |P|$ $\gamma = \alpha^k, \eta = e^{-\alpha^k}, k$ is const.

(P) Sketch, since Vestr\v{e}k's notes are missing details and precise statements anyway.

Recall that each $k \in B$ had actually $\|\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(\cdot)\|_\infty^2 \geq \frac{\alpha N^2}{2}$, so it suffices to show that =

If ϕ has $\geq \alpha N^3$ additive quadruples (ie $a+b=c+d, \phi(a)+\phi(b)=\phi(c)+\phi(d)$),
 $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ and $|B|=PN$
 Then $\exists r, \eta$ dep only on α, β , st. ϕ agrees with some linear function $\psi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ on at least $\eta|P|$ points of some progression $P \subseteq B$, with $|P| \geq N^\gamma$.



PF of Statement.

Let Γ be graph of $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ but plotted in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$\therefore |\Gamma| = \beta N$.

We assumed that Γ has high additive energy $\geq \alpha N^2$.

So by Balog-Szemerédi-Cover, $\exists \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ s.t.

$|\Gamma'| \geq c|\Gamma|$ and $|\Gamma' + \Gamma'| \leq C|\Gamma'|$

\leq and \leq depend only on α , and polynomially.

Note that $\Gamma' \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, so this is not exactly the Freiman theorem we proved.

But by Freiman-type theorem in \mathbb{Z}^2 , we can say:

$|\Gamma' + \Gamma'| \leq C|\Gamma'| \Rightarrow \exists$ d -dimensional GAP of size $\leq C^{O(d)} |\Gamma'|$, Q .

such that $\Gamma' \subseteq Q$.

low with this

By remaining α, C , we conclude:

(*) $|Q \cap \Gamma| \geq \eta |Q| \leftarrow \eta = c\beta$

$|Q| \leq CN \leftarrow C = C^{O(d)} \beta$

Let $Q = P_1 + \dots + P_d$, each is 1-dim AP.

Since $Q \supseteq \Gamma'$ and $|\Gamma'| \geq c|\Gamma|$, $|Q| \geq \eta N$. *since $|\Gamma| = \beta N$.*

$\therefore \exists$ some $|P_i| \geq (\eta N)^{1/d}$.

"Fold" Q into partition of d translates of P_i disjoint.

(*) $\Rightarrow \exists$ translate R s.t. $|R \cap \Gamma| \geq \eta |R|$

CHECK: R not vertical \Rightarrow or else since Γ is graph of f , LHS = 1 $\Rightarrow |R| \leq \eta^{-1} = O(1)$, \neq .

So let $F =$ set of x -coords of R , we get a linear function supported on P_i ,

with $|P_i| = |R| \geq (\eta N)^{1/d} \geq N^\gamma$,

and f agrees with it on $|R \cap \Gamma| \geq \eta |R| = \eta |P_i|$ points. \square

Lemma 7.10 $f: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, $\eta > 0$, $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ is an AP of. for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_N$,

$$\sum_{k \in P} |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(2\lambda k + \mu)|^2 \geq \eta |P| N^2, \text{ and } N^{\gamma} \leq |P| \leq N^{1/2}.$$

To avoid pathological cases

Then \exists partition of \mathbb{Z}_N into ~~at most~~ P_1, P_2, \dots, P_M , each of which is either translate of P or translate of P with ω removed, s.t.

$$\sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-\lambda x^2 - \mu x} \right| \geq \eta \frac{N}{2}.$$

(For some choices of ω)

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{P}. \sum_{k \in P} |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(2\lambda k + \mu)|^2 &= \sum_{k \in P} \left| \sum_{x, y} f(x) \overline{f(x-k)} \omega^{-(2\lambda k + \mu)x} \overline{f(y) \overline{f(y-k)} \omega^{-(2\lambda k + \mu)y}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in P} \sum_{x, y} f(x) \overline{f(x-k)} \overline{f(x-u)} f(x-u-k) \omega^{-(2\lambda k + \mu)u} \end{aligned}$$

$\omega = e^{2\pi i \cdot}$
~~essentially we can define~~

Every $u \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ can be written in exactly $|P|$ ways as $v+l$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}_N, l \in P$, so:

$$\leq \sum_{k, l \in P} \sum_{x, v} f(x) \overline{f(x-k)} \overline{f(x-v-l)} f(x-v-l-k) \omega^{-(2\lambda k + \mu)(v+l)}$$

$\Rightarrow \exists v \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ s.t.

$$\leq \left| \sum_{k, l \in P} \sum_x f(x) \overline{f(x-k)} \overline{g(x-l)} g(x-k-l) \omega^{-(2\lambda k + \mu)(v+l)} \right|$$

\uparrow
 $g(\cdot) := f(\cdot - v)$

Write: $2\lambda vk = 2\lambda v [(x-l) - (x-k-l)]$

$\mu l = \mu [(x) - (x-l)]$

$2\lambda k l = \lambda [(x)^2 - (x-k)^2 - (x-l)^2 + (x-k-l)^2]$

$h_1(x) = f(x) \omega^{-\lambda x^2 - \mu x}$
 $h_2(x) = f(x) \omega^{-\lambda x^2}$
 $h_3(x) = g(x) \omega^{-\lambda x^2 + (2\lambda v - \mu)x}$
 $h_4(x) = g(x) \omega^{-\lambda x^2 + 2\lambda v x}$

$2\lambda kv + 2\lambda k l (+ \mu v) + \mu l$

discard since μ, v fixed, and we took abs. val.

Then the expression becomes precisely $\left| \sum_{k,l \in P} \sum_x h_1(x) \overline{h_2(x-k)} \overline{h_3(x-l)} h_4(x-k-l) \right|$.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_x \left| \sum_{k,l \in P} h_1(x) \overline{h_2(x-k)} \overline{h_3(x-l)} h_4(x-k-l) \right| \geq \eta |P|^2 N.$$

DEF $\eta(x) = \left| \sum_{k,l \in P} h_1(x) \overline{h_2(x-k)} \overline{h_3(x-l)} h_4(x-k-l) \right| = \eta(x) |P|^2$

Use circle method = (and throw away $h_1(x)$ since $|h_1| \leq 1$.)

$\sum \eta(x) \geq \eta N$.

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_r \sum_{k,l \in P} \sum_{m \in P+P} \overline{h_2(x-k)} \overline{h_3(x-l)} h_4(x-m) \omega^{r(k+l-m)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_r \left| \sum_{k \in P} \overline{h_2(x-k)} \omega^{-rk} \right| \cdot \left| \sum_{l \in P} \overline{h_3(x-l)} \omega^{-rl} \right| \cdot \left| \sum_{m \in P+P} h_4(x-m) \omega^{-rm} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(\max_r \left| \sum_{k \in P} \overline{h_2(x-k)} \omega^{-rk} \right| \right) \cdot \left(\sum_r \left| \sum_{k \in P} \overline{h_2(x-k)} \omega^{-rk} \right|^2 \right)$$

Let $\sum_r \left| \sum_{k \in P} \overline{h_2(x-k)} \omega^{-rk} \right|^2$

$$= \sum_r \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in P} \overline{h_2(x-k_1)} \overline{h_2(x-k_2)} \omega^{-r(k_1-k_2)}$$

(circle method)

$$= N \sum_{k \in P} |h_2(x-k)|^2 \leq N \cdot |P|$$

and similarly for h_4 , so by Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\sum_r \left| \sum_{k \in P} \overline{h_2(x-k)} \omega^{-rk} \right| \leq \sqrt{N|P| \times N|P+P|} \leq 2|P|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2} N |P|$$

So:

$$\frac{\eta(x) |P|^2}{\frac{1}{N} \cdot \sqrt{2} N |P|} = \frac{\eta(x) |P|}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\leq \left(\max_r \left| \sum_{k \in P} \overline{h_2(x-k)} \omega^{-rk} \right| \right)$$

Let r be the max. r .

So:

$$\frac{\eta(x) |P|}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \left| \sum_{k \in P} f(x-k) \omega^{-\lambda(x-k)^2} \cdot \omega^{-r_k} \right|$$

replace this with $\omega^{-r(x-k)}$

since $r \cdot x$ is const and $|k| = l$

Summing over all x , we get:

$$\eta |P| \cdot N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \sum_x \left| \sum_{y \in P} f(y) \omega^{-\lambda y^2 - r y} \right|$$

Now if $(P|N)$ then we could use a std. averaging argument to conclude:
 (but it doesn't since N prime)

⊗ \exists partition of \mathbb{Z}_N into translates of P , called P_1, \dots, P_m , st.

$$\sum_i \left| \sum_{y \in P_i} f(y) \omega^{-\lambda y^2 - r y} \right| \geq \gamma N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

But $|P| \nmid N$. So we partition \mathbb{Z}_N into either translates of $\begin{cases} P & \text{or} \\ P & \text{with endpoint removed} \end{cases}$

Note that if we know something about $\sum_x \left| \sum_{y \in P} f(y) \omega^{-\lambda y^2 - r y} \right| \geq \gamma \frac{N}{|P|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

then if we delete an endpoint, this will affect the value by at most $\frac{N}{|P|}$, since $\|f\| \leq 1$.

Proportionally it will affect by at most $\frac{N}{\gamma |P| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\gamma |P|}$

But γ is const and $|P| \geq N^{-\delta} \rightarrow \infty$

So we may make the desired conclusion by reducing $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$:

⊗* \exists partition of \mathbb{Z}_N into translates of $\begin{cases} P & \text{or} \\ P & \text{with endpoint removed,} \end{cases}$ called P_1, \dots, P_m ,
 st. $\sum_i \left| \sum_{y \in P_i} f(y) \omega^{-\lambda y^2 - r y} \right| \geq \gamma N \frac{1}{2}$

(Don't give full details because that's not the important point of the proof)

Now we know that $f(x)$ is correlated with a quadratic phase. Want to show:

~~Lemma 7.13 Let $f(x)$ be quadratic poly and $r \leq N$.
Then $\exists m \leq Q(r^{-1})$ st. $[0, r-1]$ can be partitioned~~

If $\left| \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \omega^{\frac{f(x)}{r}} \right| \geq \frac{r}{2} |A|$
 ↑ quadratic poly
 \mathbb{Z}_N -AP, length $\geq N^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Then \exists partition of Q into \mathbb{Z} -AP's Q_1, \dots, Q_m st. we control their length and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{x \in Q_i} f(x) \right| \geq \frac{r}{2} |Q|$$

↑
 \mathbb{Z} -AP

(Since $f = A$ -density of A ,
this will lead to increased density on a
 \mathbb{Z} -subprogression)

Def. $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$.
 $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$.
 $\text{diam } \phi(S) = \max_{x, y \in S} |\phi(x) - \phi(y)|$
 ↑ dist. taken modulo N .
 eg. if in \mathbb{Z}_5 , dist between 4 and 0 is 1.

Lemma 2.11 $m, r, l \in [N]$ P is \mathbb{Z}_N -AP of length m .
 $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ is linear function.
 Say $l \leq (\frac{m}{r})^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
 $\Rightarrow P$ can be partitioned into \mathbb{Z}_N -subprogressions P_i of lengths l or $l-1$, st. $\text{diam } \phi(P_i) \leq \frac{N}{r}$ all.

(1) wlog, $P = [0, m-1]$.
 pigeonhole $\Rightarrow \exists d \leq rd$ st. $|\phi(d) - \phi(0)| \leq \frac{N}{rd}$
 ↑ dist. in \mathbb{Z}_N .

Let $Q = \{0, d, \dots, (l-1)d\}$ ← length l AP that has $\text{diam } \phi(Q) \leq l \times \frac{N}{rd} = \frac{N}{r}$, as req'd.
 to perform partition of P , note that any translate of Q has the $\text{diam } \phi(Q)$ prop. since ϕ linear.

So: (1) cut $[0, m-1]$ into congruence classes mod d .
 Each class has size $\geq \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor \geq \frac{m}{rd} \geq l^2$ so:
 ↑ assumption.

(2) In each congruence class we may partition the $\geq l^2$ elements into copies of either Q or $(Q$ -empty).
 (l^2 is because every l units gives us room to choose $\langle l, l-1, \dots \rangle$, so after l^2 we can set any residue)

Lemma 7.12 $f: \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ quadratic poly.
 $P: \mathbb{Z}_N$ -AP of length m
 $l \leq m^{\frac{1}{6 \times 128}}$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{P can be partitioned into } \mathbb{Z}_N\text{-subprogressions of} \\ \text{lengths } l \text{ or } l-1, \text{ and} \\ \text{all diam } f(P_i) \leq \theta \left(\frac{1}{6 \times 128} N \right) \\ \leq 3 m^{-\frac{1}{6 \times 128}} N. \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$

(P) WLOG, $P = [m]$

Let $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$.

Recall Weyl: $\forall k, \exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. \forall suff. large M and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exists q \leq M$ s.t. $\|q^k \alpha\| \leq 2M^{-\varepsilon}$.
 For $k=2$, can take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{64}$

So $\exists d \leq \sqrt{m}$ s.t. $\|d^2 \frac{a}{N}\| \leq 2(\sqrt{m})^{-\frac{1}{64}}$
 $\Rightarrow \|d^2 a\| \leq 2 m^{-\frac{1}{128}} N$.

Now split into congruence classes mod d , and consider

$Q = \{0, d, \dots, (t-1)d\}$ with $t \approx m^{\frac{1}{3 \times 128}}$. (either take t as this value, or as the value -1).

We may partition each congruence class into translates of $\left\langle \begin{array}{l} Q \\ Q\text{-endpoint} \end{array} \right\rangle$, since:

size of any class $\geq \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor \geq \sqrt{m}$,

let $|Q| = t \approx m^{\frac{1}{3 \times 128}}$. ok.

Now we have $P \equiv$ union of translates of Q and Q -endpts

but within any $x+Q$, diam f is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x+td) - \phi(x)|_{\text{mod } N} &\leq |a(td)^2 + t(2axd + bd)| \\ &\leq \underbrace{t^2 \cdot 2m^{-\frac{1}{128}} N}_{\text{error}} + \underbrace{t(2axd + bd)}_{\text{linear function in } t, \text{ call it } \psi} \end{aligned}$$

Since we chose $t \approx m^{\frac{1}{3 \times 128}}$, error $\leq 2m^{-\frac{1}{3 \times 128}} N$.

So consider some fixed $x+Q$, and use Lemma 7.11 with ψ to partition it into \mathbb{Z}_N -subprogressions of length l or $l-1$, s.t. diam $\psi \leq m^{-\frac{1}{6 \times 128}} N$. Check conditions:

~~We assumed~~ We need $l \leq \left(\frac{\text{length of } x+Q}{m^{\frac{1}{6 \times 128}}} \right)^3 \approx \left(m^{\frac{1}{6 \times 128}} \right)^3$, which is exactly what we assumed

So we can partition further, and total diam $f \leq 2m^{-\frac{1}{3 \times 128}} N + m^{-\frac{1}{6 \times 128}} N \leq 3m^{-\frac{1}{6 \times 128}} N$. □

So from LEM 7.12, we cut P into \mathbb{Z}_N -AP's of length l or $l-1$, $l \approx \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}}$, such that each has $\text{diam } \phi \leq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}}$ \leftarrow apply with this l .
 $\leq 3 m^{-\frac{1}{6+128}} N$.

We also began with $|P| \approx N^2$.

Now LEM 2.7 from ROLL:

~~LEM 2.7~~, \mathbb{Z}_N -AP: $\{a, a+d, \dots, a+(m-1)d\}$ of length m can be partitioned into $\leq 3\sqrt{m}$ \mathbb{Z} -AP's.

(recall pf: let $l \approx \sqrt{m}$, and use pigeonhole to find $s \leq l$ s.t. $|s d \cap m\mathbb{Z}| \geq \frac{m}{l}$.

Then split the $\{a, \dots, a+(m-1)d\}$ into ≤ 2 classes, each is \mathbb{Z} -AP of common diff. $s d$, so

it has \mathbb{Z} -runs of length $\geq \frac{m}{s d} \geq l$.

and up to 2 residual runs (starting & finishing)

~~Collect long runs~~

So we may further subpartition the \mathbb{Z}_N -AP's, and get a family $P = P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_M$ of \mathbb{Z} -AP's, of average length $\approx \frac{l}{3\sqrt{l}} \approx \frac{1}{3} m^{\frac{1}{2+128}}$, and still $\text{diam } \phi(P_i) \leq 3 m^{-\frac{1}{6+128}} N$.

Don't forget $m = |P| \approx N^2$.

LEMMA 7.13 ~~\leftarrow $\mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ quantitative part~~ Subject to above, if $\left| \sum_{x \in P} f(x) \omega^{-f(x)} \right| \geq \eta |P|$,
 ~~\leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N~~ then this partition satisfies $\sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| \geq \frac{\eta}{2} |P|$.

(P) Note $\text{diam } \phi(P_i) \leq 3(N^2)^{-\frac{1}{6+128}} N \ll N$, so for suff large N , this is $\leq \frac{\eta N}{4\pi}$.

$$\Rightarrow \left| \sum_{\substack{x \in P_i \\ x \neq y}} \omega^{-f(x)} \omega^{-f(y)} \right| \leq \left| e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} \frac{\eta N}{4\pi}} - 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta}{2}$$

So:

$$\eta |P| \leq \left| \sum_P f(x) \omega^{-f(x)} \right| \leq \sum_i \left| \sum_{P_i} f(x) \omega^{-f(x)} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_i \left(\left| \sum_{P_i} f(x) \right| + |P_i| \frac{\eta}{2} \right)$$

since we may choose one rep $\omega^{-f(i)}$ and pull it out. since $|P_i| \leq 1$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_i \left| \sum_{P_i} f(x) \right| \geq \frac{\eta}{2} |P| \text{ since } \sum_i |P_i| = |P|$$

$A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[N]$

Szemerédi 4-AP. $\exists c > 0$ st. if $|A| = \delta N$ and $\delta \geq (\log \log N)^c$, then A has 4-AP.

(1) We were done if A was quadratically $\alpha = \frac{\delta^2}{288}$ -uniform.

Let $f(x) = A(x) - \delta$, and suppose not quad α -unif.

LEM 7.7 $\Rightarrow \exists B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$, size $|B| \geq \frac{\alpha N}{2}$, and for $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ st.

$$\sum_{k \in B} |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(\phi(k))|^2 \geq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 N^3$$

LEM 7.8 $\Rightarrow \phi$ has $\geq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 N^2$ additive quadruples

LEM 7.9 $\Rightarrow \exists \mathbb{Z}_N$ -AP, P , of length $|P| \geq N^\gamma$ st. $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{with } \gamma = \alpha^{O(1)} = \delta^{O(1)} \\ \text{and } \eta = \exp(-\alpha^{O(1)}) = \exp(-\delta^{O(1)}) \end{array} \right\}$

$$\sum_{k \in P} |\hat{\Delta}(f; k)(2\lambda k + \mu)|^2 \geq \eta |P| N^2$$

LEM 7.10 \Rightarrow Partition \mathbb{Z}_N into translates of P or P -endpts, st.

$$\sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-\lambda x^2 - \mu x} \right| \geq \frac{3N}{2}$$

LEM 7.11 \Rightarrow Further partition st. we have $\mathbb{Z}_N = Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_m$, and avg. length of Q_i is $\approx \frac{1}{3} m^{-\frac{1}{2 \times 18 \times 128}}$

and $\sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in Q_i} f(x) \right| \geq \frac{3N}{4}$ \leftarrow since $\sum f(x) = 0$.

$$= \sum_i \left(\left| \sum_{x \in Q_i} f(x) \right| + \left| \sum_{x \in Q_i} f(x) \right| \right)$$

\rightarrow # of Q_i 's is $M \leq 3N^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{2 \times 18 \times 128}}$.

Now we are almost done. We get increased density, and just must make sure that the Q_i 's are large enough

Total contribution from $|Q_i| \leq N^{\frac{\gamma}{4 \times 18 \times 128}}$ is $\leq 2(M) N^{\frac{\gamma}{4 \times 18 \times 128}} \ll N$ still.

So, $\sum_{i: |Q_i| > N^{\frac{\gamma}{4 \times 18 \times 128}}} \left(\sum_{x \in Q_i} f(x) \right) + \sum_{x \in Q_i} f(x) \geq \frac{3N}{8}$

familiar argument to show some $Q_i \geq \dots$

$$\sum_{\text{good } i} (|a_i| + \alpha_i) \geq \frac{3N}{8} \geq \frac{3}{8} \sum_{\text{good } i} |Q_i|$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \text{ good } i \text{ st. } (|a_i| + \alpha_i) \geq \frac{3}{8} |Q_i| \Rightarrow \sum_{x \in Q_i} f(x) \geq \frac{\eta}{16} |Q_i|$$

\rightarrow density increases

$$\delta \rightarrow \delta + \frac{\eta}{16}$$

$$= \delta + \exp(-\delta^{O(1)})$$

on subprogression of length

$$\geq N^{\frac{\gamma}{4 \times 18 \times 128}} = N^{\delta^{O(1)}}$$

Calculate depending on δ and N :

Density: $\delta \rightarrow \delta + \frac{1}{\delta^c}$

c is some integer $c > 1$.

Length: $N \rightarrow N^{\delta^c}$

Can Hückle as long as N is $\geq C \leftarrow$ some constant.

since we start with $\delta = \frac{1}{(\log \log N)^c}$

How many steps to reach density 1?

$\delta \approx (1 + \exp(-\delta^c))$

So in $\frac{1}{\exp(-\delta^c)}$ steps, double the density

$\frac{dy}{dx} = e^{-y^c}$ $y < 1$ $0 < y^c < 1$

$\frac{dy}{e^{-y^c}} = dx$ $d(e^{y^c}) = e^{y^c} c y^{c-1} dy$

$dy e^{y^c} = dx$

$\approx e^{y^c} = x$

$\approx y^c \approx \log x$

$N^{\frac{1}{\delta^c}} \approx e^{-\frac{c}{\delta}}$

$$N(\delta^c)^{\frac{1}{\exp(-\delta^c)}} = N(\delta^c)^{\exp(\delta^c)} = N \delta^{c \exp(\delta^c)}$$

$\geq N e^{c \log \log N}$

$\geq N e^{c (\log \log N)^c}$

$= e^{e^{c (\log \log N)^c}}$

$\delta > \frac{1}{(\log \log N)^c}$