

Prover of Tensors:

Revisiting the SVD

$$\text{If } A = U \Sigma V^T = [\vec{u}_1 | \dots | \vec{u}_n] \Sigma \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \vec{v}_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

then $\text{rank}(A) = r = \# \text{ of nonzero singular values } \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$

PF: Lemma: If B invertible then
 $\text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(BA)$

PF: ~~$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A)$~~

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A) \quad \text{by HW}$$

$$\text{but: } \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(AB B^{-1}) \leq \text{rank}(AB)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(AB) \quad \checkmark$$

$\hookrightarrow$ likewise for BA .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So: } \text{rank}(A) &= \text{rank}(U \Sigma V^T) \\ &= \text{rank}(\Sigma) \quad \text{since } U, V \text{ invertible} \\ &= r \quad (\text{why?}) \end{aligned}$$

Recall: can write

$$A = \sigma_1 \vec{u}_1 \vec{v}_1^T + \dots + \sigma_r \vec{u}_r \vec{v}_r^T = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^T$$

This shows: $\text{rank}(A) = \min \# \text{ of rank 1 matrices it takes to sum to } A$

Why: $\hookrightarrow$ ofc, can't write A as less

than $r = \text{rank}(A)$ rank 1 matrices

Since by HW: $\text{rank}(A_1 + \dots + A_k)$

$$\leq \text{rank}(A_1) + \dots + \text{rank}(A_k)$$

$$\leq k \text{ if all } \text{rank}(A_i) = 1.$$

$\hookrightarrow$ above shows: we can achieve A as sum of ~~more~~ r rank 1 matrices

$\hookrightarrow$ this observation will allow us to generalize concept of rank to tensors.

$\hookrightarrow$ shows: if we defined $\text{rank}(A)$ as

least # of rank 1 matrices needed to sum to A — then this would be equiv to orig. def'n (# of indep. columns)

IF we take initial segments:

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^T \quad (\text{for } k \leq r)$$

Then: ① A_k is rank k (why? — we knew SVD of A_k ! — why?)

② A_k is "best rank k approx to A " in a sense we will now

make precise:

Define: L^2 norm of A is defined by:

$$\|A\|_2 = \min_{\|\vec{x}\|=1} \|A\vec{x}\| = \min_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|A\vec{x}\|}{\|\vec{x}\|}$$

$= \sigma_1$, first singular value of A .

↪ Sometimes write $\|A\|$ for $\|A\|_2$.

↪ Can show: $\|\cdot\|_2$ is norm on the space $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$:

$$\|A\| \geq 0, \|cA\| = |c| \|A\|, \|A+B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|, \|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$$

$A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
Thm (Eckart-Young): If B is rank k
 $(k \leq r = \text{rank}(A))$ then:

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}$$

↑ why?

i.e. A is closest (in L^2 norm) to A_k among all rank k matrices.

PF: - SPS not, i.e. SPS there is B
 w/ $\text{rank}(B) = k$ s.t. $\|A - B\|_2 < \|A - A_k\| = \sigma_{k+1}$

- By def'n of $\|\cdot\|_2$, for any $\vec{w}$ we have

$$\frac{\|(A - B)\vec{w}\|_2}{\|\vec{w}\|} \leq \sigma_{k+1} \Rightarrow \|A - B\| < \sigma_{k+1}$$

- By rank-nullity: nullspace $N(B)$ of B is rank $n - k$.

- For any $\vec{w} \in N(B)$ we have $(A - B)\vec{w} = A\vec{w}$, so:

$$\|(A - B)\vec{w}\| = \|A\vec{w}\| < \sigma_{k+1} \|\vec{w}\|$$

- So $N(B)$ is an $(n-k)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $\forall \vec{w} \in N(B)$

$$\|A\vec{w}\| < \sigma_{k+1} \|\vec{w}\|$$

- Now consider the subspace V spanned by $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k+1} \rightarrow$ the first $k+1$ singular vectors of A .

- This space is $(k+1)$ -dim^{why?} and for every $\vec{w} \in V$ we have

$$\|A\vec{w}\| \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|\vec{w}\|$$

Why? because we can write every such $\vec{w}$ as a linear combo of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k+1}$ and these vectors are all stretched at least by a factor of σ_{k+1} ! (since $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{k+1}$)

- Now: since $\dim(N(B)) + \dim(V) \stackrel{=n+1}{>} n$ there must be some vector $\vec{u}$ in their intersection

- But then

$$\|A\vec{w}\| < \sigma_k \|\vec{w}\|$$

since $\vec{w} \in N(B)$

$$\text{and } \|A\vec{w}\| \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|\vec{w}\|$$

since $\vec{w} \in V$

a contradiction ✓

Summary SVD gives a way of
writing A as sum of r ($= \text{rank}(A)$)
many rank 1 matrices:

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^T$$

Given $k \leq r$ the initial sum $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^T$ is rank k matrix that best approximates A (wrt L^2 norm) among all rank k matrices

↳ what about wrt other norms?

Define the Frobenius norm of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ defined by:

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}$$

e.g. $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_F = \sqrt{1^2 + 0^2 + (-1)^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{6}$

F norm treats A "like a $m \times n$ length vector"

Can check $\|\cdot\|_F$ also satisfies norm properties:

$$\|A\|_F \geq 0, \quad \|cA\|_F = |c| \|A\|_F,$$

$$\|A+B\|_F \leq \|A\|_F + \|B\|_F, \quad \|AB\|_F \leq \|A\|_F \|B\|_F$$

- Notice: if Q orthogonal, then since $\|Q\vec{x}\|_2 = \|\vec{x}\|_2$ for all vectors, and

$\|A\|_F$ sums the norms of columns of A

and QA multiplies each column by Q we must have $\|QA\|_F = \|A\|_F$

This also gives us a way to write $\|A\|_F$ in terms of A 's singular values: if $A = U\Sigma V^T$

$$\|A\|_F = \underbrace{\|U\Sigma V^T\|_F}_{\text{orthog}} = \|\Sigma\|_F = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_r^2}$$

Amazingly, $A_K = \sum_{i=1}^K \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^T$ is also the nearest rank K matrix to A in the Frobenius norm.

Theorem (Eckart-Young for $\|\cdot\|_F$)
 If B is rank K ^{$K \leq r = \text{rank}(A)$} then

$$\|A - B\|_F \geq \|A - A_K\|_F = \sqrt{\sigma_{K+1}^2 + \dots + \sigma_r^2}$$

PF: omitted.