

## Ch. 4 : Intro to Model Theory

(1)

- "Model Theory" = study of models of first-order theories.
- Some useful notation (now that we're done w/ formal deduction)
  - if  $\varphi$  a fmla w/ free variables among  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  we write  $\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  or just  $\varphi(\bar{u})$
  - if  $t$  is a (not necessarily closed) term w/ free variables among  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  we ~~can~~ write  $t(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  or  $t(\bar{u})$ .

Ex.: If  $\varphi$  is  $\exists u (u \approx v)$  will usually write  $\varphi(v)$ , but could write  $\varphi(v, w)$ , but not  $\varphi(u)$  or  $\varphi(x, y)$ .  
• If  $t$  is  $f(u, v)$  could write  $t(u, v)$  or  $t(u, v, w)$  but not  $t(u, w)$ .

- Will also simplify notation for "subbing elements of  $A$  into  $\varphi$ "
  - if  $\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is fmla and  $A$  is a structure and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  we write
$$A \models \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$
as shorthand for ~~$(A, x_1, \dots, x_n) \models \varphi$~~ 
$$(A, x_1, \dots, x_n) \models \varphi(u_1/cx_1)(u_2/cx_2) \dots (u_n/cx_n)$$

Ex: if  $\mathcal{L}(u, v)$  is  $R(u, v)$  and  $A = (|A|, R^A) = (\mathbb{Z}, <)$  then we'll write:

$A \models \mathcal{L}(1, 2)$  or even  $A \models 1 < 2$   
and  $A \not\models \mathcal{L}(4, 2)$  or even  $A \not\models 4 < 2$

An important fact you guys proved on (th) is: "isomorphisms are elementary embeddings":

Lemma 4.1: - Let  $\pi: A \rightarrow B$  be an isomorphism

- If  $\mathcal{L}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  a formula and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in |A|$  we have:

$$A \models \mathcal{L}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ iff } B \models \mathcal{L}(\pi(x_1), \dots, \pi(x_n))$$

PF: (exercise 3.5)

Ex: Let  $A = B = (\mathbb{Z}, <)$   
Let  $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be defined by  $\pi(x) = x + 1$  (you guys showed this defines automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ )

Lemma says that, eg. for any formula  $\mathcal{L}(u, v)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{Z}, <) &\models \mathcal{L}(1, 3) \\ (\mathbb{Z}, <) &\models \mathcal{L}(2, 4) \end{aligned} \quad \text{iff}$$



# Substructure

- "substructures" are just subsets of the universe closed under all functions and constants

Ubiquitous notion:  
"subgroup"  
"subset"  
"subspace"  
all instances of this concept

Def'n: Let  $A, B$  be structures in the same language.

$A$  is a substructure of  $B$  if:

- $|A| \subseteq |B|$
- $c^A = c^B$  for all constants  $c$  in lang.
- $F^A = F^B \cap |A|^n$  for all  $n$ -ary functions  $F$  in lang.
- $R^A = R^B \cap |A|^n$  for all  $n$ -ary relation symbols  $R$  in lang.

Ex - let our lang. be  $\{c, R, f\}$  where  $R$  is binary,  $f$  is unary.

- let  $B = (|B|, c^B, R^B, f^B)$   
 $= (\mathbb{Z}, 0, <, d)$

where  $d$  is the double successor function  $d(n) = n + 2$ .

- let  $E$  denote the set of even integers

- let  $A = (|A|, c^A, R^A, f^A)$   
 $= (E, 0, <, d)$

Then  $A$  is a substructure of  $B$ .

Note: - There is no substructure  $A$  of  $B$  with  $|A| = \text{set of odds}$  (because  $c^B = 0$  not contained)  
 - There is also no substructure  $A$  with  $|A| = \{\dots, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\}$  (because this set is not closed under  $f^B = d$ )

Generally: can always restrict relations to arbitrary subsets w/o issue, but w/ functions and constants need closure.

Lemma 4.2 - Let  $B$  be an infinite structure in a countable language.  
 - Suppose  $X$  is a ctbl subset of  $|B|$  (possibly finite)

Then there is a countable substructure  $A$  of  $B$  w/  $X \subseteq |A|$

PF: idea: begin with  $X$  and close under constants and functions to form  $|A|$

→ first let  $Y = X \cup \{c^B \mid c \text{ in lang}\}$  ( $Y$  is still ctbl)

→ Define a sequence of subsets  $Y = Z_0 \subseteq Z_1 \subseteq \dots$  inductively.



(5)

$$\rightarrow Z_{i+1} = Z_i \cup \{F^B(x_1, \dots, x_n) : F \text{ is } n\text{-ary function symbol and } x_1, \dots, x_n \in Z_i\}$$

( $Z_{i+1}$  is still ctbl if  $Z_i$  is)  
(Since  $Z_0$  ctbl all  $Z_i$  are)

$$\text{Let } Z = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} Z_i \quad (\text{still ctbl})$$

Notice: For every function symbol  $F$ ,  $Z$  is closed under  $F^B$

Why: given  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in Z$  there is  
s.t.  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in Z_i$  hence  $F^B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in Z_{i+1}$

Now define  $A$ :

- $|A| = Z$
- $c^A = c^B$  for all  $c$  (all these in  $|A|$ )
- $R^A = R^B \upharpoonright Z^n$  for all  $R$
- $F^A = F^B \upharpoonright Z^n$  for all  $n$ -ary  $F$   
(works by construction)

Then  $A$  is evidently a substructure of  $B$  that is ctbl and  $X \subseteq |A|$ . ✓

~~Lemma~~  $\hookrightarrow$  Lemma actually constructs  
minimal substructure of  $B$  containing  
 $X$ .

(6)

Ex.: - Suppose  $B = (|B|, c^B, R^B, f^B)$   
 $= (\mathbb{Z}, 0, <, d)$  as before.

- Let  $X = \{2\}$

- Then  $A$  given by pf. of lemma  
is  $(E, 0, <, d)$

- Obv. if  $X = \{1\}$  then  $A$  given  
is all of  $B$ . (since must contain  
0, 1 and hence all evens and odds by  
closure under  $d$ ).

Lemma 4.3: - Suppose  $A$  is a  
substructure of  $B$  and  $\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is a formula  
with no quantifiers

- Then if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$

We have

$$A \models \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{iff} \\ B \models \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

"A thinks  
the same  
things are  
true that  
B does...  
for the  
elements  
of  $|A|$ "

Pf (Sketch): by induction on comp.  
of  $\varphi$ .

Subclaim: if  $t(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  a term and  
 $x_1, \dots, x_n \in |A|$  then  $t^A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = t^B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Pf: if  $t$  is a true since  $A$  is  
a substructure

if  $t$  is  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  then true  
by induction  $\checkmark$



(7)

- if  $\mathcal{U} \models S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$   
then lemma is true by subclaim
- if  $\mathcal{U} \models R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  then lemma  
is true since  $A$  is a substructure.
- if lemma true for  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$   
then true for  $\neg \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{V}$ .
- no quantifier case ✓

Ex Suppose  
 $A = (|A|, e^A, d^A, F^A, G^A, R^A)$   
 $= (\mathbb{Q}, 0, 1, +, \times, <)$

$B = (\mathbb{R}, 0, 1, +, \times, <)$

Then  $A$  is a substructure of  $B$ .

~~Suppose  $\mathcal{U} \models \exists x (x + x = 1)$~~

Suppose  $\mathcal{U} \models F(d, d) \approx a$   
(i.e.  $1 + 1 = a$ )

Then  $A \models \mathcal{U}(2)$  and  $B \models \mathcal{U}(2)$   
 $A \not\models \mathcal{U}(3)$  and  $B \not\models \mathcal{U}(3)$

$B \not\models \mathcal{U}(\sqrt{2})$  but  $\mathcal{U}(\sqrt{2})$  is  
neither true nor false in  $A$   
since  $\sqrt{2} \notin |A| = \mathbb{Q}$ .

Ex Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the sentence  
 $\exists v (G(u, v) \approx F(d, d))$   
i.e.  $\exists v (uv = 1 + 1)$

Then  $\gamma$  has no free variables but has a quantifier

We have  $B \models \gamma$   
but  $A \not\models \gamma$

This example shows Lemma 4.3 cannot be extended to formulas w/ quantifiers

Def'n: We say that  $A$  is an elementary substructure of  $B$ , and write  $A \leq B$ , iff  $A$  is a substructure of  $B$  and for all  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in |A|$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \models \ell(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{ iff} \\ B \models \ell(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

So our last example shows that  $(\mathbb{Q}, 0, 1, x, +, <)$  is not elementary in  $(\mathbb{R}, 0, 1, x, +, <)$



(9)

↳ being an elem. substructure  
much stronger than being a  
substructure.

- by 4.2 a quantifier free  
fmula  $\varphi$  is true in a substructure A  
iff true in structure B

- for elem substructures A,  $\varphi$  is  
true in A iff true in B.

↳ Lemma 4.2 says we can always  
find tbl substructures. What about  
tbl elementary substructures?

↳ following gives us a criterion that  
will allow us to build elem substructures  
~~tbl~~

### Tarski-Vaught test

Sps A is a substructure of B  
Assume for every fmula  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, v)$   
with  $n+1$  free variables and every  
 $x_1, \dots, x_n \in |A|$  we have:

(if  $B \models \exists v \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, v)$   
then there is  $y \in |A|$  s.t.  $B \models \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ ) (\*)

Then A is an elementary substructure  
of B.

PF. - Sps (\*) holds.

- We attempt to repeat the induction from lemma 4.3 to prove

Claim for every formula  $\varphi(\bar{u})$  and  $\bar{x} \in |A|$  we have  $A \models \varphi(\bar{x})$  iff  $B \models \varphi(\bar{x})$

(this just says A is elementary in B)

PF. - exactly the same as in ~~4.3~~ 4.3, except now in induction step need to consider quantified statements -  $\exists$  statements enough.

(IH) Sps we have the claim for  $\varphi(\bar{u}, v)$  and our formula is  $\exists v \varphi(\bar{u}, v)$

(FS) Fix  $\bar{x} \in |A|$ .

We WTS:  $A \models \exists v \varphi(\bar{x}, v)$  iff  $B \models \exists v \varphi(\bar{x}, v)$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Sps  $A \models \exists v \varphi(\bar{x}, v)$   
Then there is  $y \in |A|$  s.t.  $A \models \varphi(\bar{x}, y)$   
By IH  $B \models \varphi(\bar{x}, y)$   
Hence  $B \models \exists v \varphi(\bar{x}, v)$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Sps ~~we have~~  $B \models \exists v \varphi(\bar{x}, v)$   
Then by \* there is  $y \in |A|$  s.t.  $B \models \varphi(\bar{x}, y)$   
Hence by IH ~~we have~~  
 $A \models \varphi(\bar{x}, y)$   
Hence  $A \models \exists v \varphi(\bar{x}, v)$  ✓



↳ In general, not easy to prove a given substructure  $A$  is elementary in  $B$   
 ↳ can show that ctbl elementary substructures always exist  
 → done under constants functions, relations and find witnesses for all existential formulas.

Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem

Let  $B$  be a structure in a ctbl lang.  
 Let  $X \subseteq |B|$  be a ctbl subset  
 There exists an elementary substructure  $A$  of  $B$  with  $A$  ctbl and  $X \subseteq |A|$

Pf. - We may assume  $B$  is infinite (if not,  $B = A$  works)

- For every formula  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, v)$  with  $n+1$  free variables we define a function

$$f_\varphi : |B|^n \rightarrow B$$

as follows:

Given  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$ , if

$$B \models \exists v \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, v)$$

then pick  $y \in |B|$  s.t.

$$B \models \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$$

and let  $f_\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$ .

OTOH, if

$$B \not\models \exists v \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, v)$$

then pick any  $z \in |B|$  and let

$$f_\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = z$$

- (we use AC here)

- So for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in |B|$   
 $f_c(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a witness to  
 $\exists v \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, v)$  ... if such a witness  
exists.

- Notice: only ctbl many such  $f_c$   
(long w/o ctbl)

- Now, for every  $c$  introduce  
a new function symbol  $F_c$ .

- Define an expanded structure  
 $B^*$  that interprets each  $F_c$  as  
 $f_c$ , i.e.  $F_c^{B^*} = f_c$ .

same  
universe  
etc. as  
 $B$ .

- By lemma 4.2,  $B^*$  has a ctbl  
substructure  $A^*$  st.  $X \in |A^*|$

- Now: let  $A$  be the reduction of  
 $A^*$  to the original lang. w/o  
new function symbols

- Then  $A$  is (clearly) a substructure of  $B$

- Observe: if  $\psi(\bar{u}, v)$  and  $\bar{x} \in |A|$   
 $= |A^*|$  then  $f_c(\bar{x}) = F_c^{A^*}(\bar{x}) = y$  if  
in  $|A|$  as well.



(13)

- Hence if  $B \models \exists v \varphi(\bar{x}, v)$   
then  $B \models \varphi(\bar{x}, y)$  point  $w$  in  $|A|$
- And the same is true for all  $\varphi(\bar{u}, v)$   
i.e.  $A$  contains witnesses to all  
existential formulas
- By Tarski-Vaught,  $A$  is elementary  
in  $B$  ✓

Ex: - want to give an example  
of an elem. substructure.

- Let  $B = (\mathbb{R}, <)$
- Then  $A = (\{0, 1\}, <)$   
is a substructure of  $B$
- but not elementary:

$$B \models \exists u (0 < u < 1)$$

$$A \not\models \exists u (0 < u < 1)$$

However, you will show on homework that  
 $A = (\mathbb{Q}, <)$   
is elementary in  $B$ !