

On HW you proved:

Prop'n ("Modular arithmetic Comm")

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b, k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Assume $a \equiv b$ and $k \equiv k' \pmod{n}$)

Then ① $a+k \equiv b+k' \pmod{n}$

② $ak \equiv bk' \pmod{n}$

Ex's ① $6 \equiv 21 \pmod{5}$ and $12 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$

So must be: $6+12 \equiv 21+2 \pmod{5}$

and indeed can check $18 \equiv 23 \pmod{5}$
 $\equiv 3 \pmod{5}$

Also: $6 \cdot 12 \equiv 21 \cdot 2 \pmod{5}$ by prop'n

and indeed: $72 \equiv 42 \pmod{5}$
 $\equiv 2$

② Prop'n says we can manipulate congruences w/ \equiv like equations w/ $=$ with respect to $+$ and \times .

e.g. if $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{7}$

then $x+3 \equiv y+3 \pmod{7}$

and $3x \equiv 3y \pmod{7}$

or even $x+3 \equiv y+10 \pmod{7}$

$3x \equiv 10y \pmod{7}$ since $3 \equiv 10 \pmod{7}$

③ Can also "reduce expressions mod n" (17)
 e.g. $17x + 23 \equiv 2x + 3 \pmod{5}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$
 since $17 \equiv 2$ and $23 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$

④ Using these various manipulations we can "solve congruency"

e.g.: Find all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$652x \equiv x + 23 \pmod{5}$$

Sol'n: reduces to: $2x \equiv x + 3 \pmod{5}$

(since $652x \equiv 2x$
 $x + 23 \equiv x + 3 \pmod{5}$)

$$\Leftrightarrow 2x + (-x) \equiv x + 3 + (-x) \pmod{5}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

so set of solutions is $[3]_5 = \{\dots, -2, 3, 8, \dots\}$

(note: shows why subtracting is legal too: just adding a negative).

OTOT division on both sides of \equiv is not allowed in general.

ex: ① Fix $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Sp. $2x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

writing $x \equiv \frac{1}{2} \pmod{3}$

is meaningless ($\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$)

② Observe: $15 \equiv 21 \pmod{6}$ (15)
but if we "divide both sides by 3"
we get:

$$5 \equiv 7 \pmod{6}$$

which is false.

③ Observe: $8 \equiv 22 \pmod{7}$
if we divide both sides by 2, we
get: $4 \equiv 11 \pmod{7}$
which is true.

What gives? Reason w: 2 has a
multiplicative inverse in $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ whereas
3 has no such inverse in $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.
→ more later.

Exponentiation also allowed $a^k \equiv b^k$:

Prop'n: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\text{if } a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

$$\text{then } a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$$

Prf: follows immediately from mod
arithmetic lemma + induction.

Why: If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$
then $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{n}$

(19)

$$\vdots$$
$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}. \checkmark$$

Ex's: ① Since $7 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$

$$\Rightarrow 7^3 \equiv 2^3 \pmod{5}$$

$$\equiv 8 \pmod{5}$$

$$\equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

get this w/o actually
computing ~~7~~ 7^3 .

② Find the last digit of $2033 \cdot 719 + 27$.
Sol'n last digit is exactly the
remainder when divided by 10.

Observe: $2033 \cdot 719 + 27 \equiv 3 \cdot 9 + 7 \pmod{10}$
 $\equiv 27 + 7 \pmod{10}$
 $\equiv 34 \pmod{10}$
 $\equiv 4 \pmod{10}$

\Rightarrow last digit is 4

And indeed: $2033 \cdot 719 + 27 = 1,461,754$.

Multiplicative inverses in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (20)

Def'n Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say a has a multiplicative inverse in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (iff $\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$)

If such a b exists, we sometimes write $b = a^{-1}$.

\uparrow
not unique, but unique up to \equiv -class.

ex: 3 has a multiplicative inverse in $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ since $3 \cdot 5 = 15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$

Prop'n Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then a has a mult. inv. in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (iff $\gcd(a, n) = 1$)

PF: (\Rightarrow) assume $\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

- then $n \mid 1 - ab$

- i.e. $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ $kn = 1 - ab$

- so $kn + ab = 1$

- hence 1 is a linear combo of a, n

$\Rightarrow \gcd(a, n) = 1$ by Bezout.

(\Leftarrow) Now suppose $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

Then, by Bezout, $\exists b, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$ab + nk = 1$$

$$\text{so } nk = 1 - ab$$

$$\Rightarrow n \mid 1 - ab \Rightarrow ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Ex's ① $5x \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$

has a solution, since $\gcd(5, 21) = 1$.

indeed $x = 17$ works since

$$5 \cdot 17 = 85 = 84 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$$

\hookrightarrow any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ congruent to 17 must also work, e.g. $x = -4, 38, \dots$ work too.

check: $5(-4) = -20 = 21(-1) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$.

\hookrightarrow in fact: set of solutions must

be exactly: $[17]_{21}$: if $x \in [17]_{21}$

$$\text{then } 5x \equiv 5 \cdot 17 \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$$

and if $5x \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$ then $5x \equiv 5 \cdot 17 \pmod{21}$
 $\Rightarrow 17 \cdot 5x \equiv 17 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 \pmod{21} \Rightarrow x \equiv 17$

So might work:

(22)

$$[5]_{21} \cdot [17]_{21} = [1]_{21}$$

In the sense that

$$\forall a \in [5]_{21}, \forall b \in [17]_{21}$$

$$\text{we have } ab \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$$

$$\text{i.e. } ab \in [1]_{21}$$

② The congruence $6x \equiv 1 \pmod{21}$ has no sol'n: such an x would be a mult inverse for 6 in $\mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z}$:
but $\gcd(6, 21) = 3 \neq 1$ so no such inverse exists.

③ Find all sol'ns to:

$$4x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

Sol'n: since 7 is prime and $7 \nmid 4$ we have $\gcd(4, 7) = 1$. Hence 4 has a mult. inv. in $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed

$$2 \text{ works: } 4 \cdot 2 = 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}.$$

(23)
Idea: Instead of "dividing both sides" of $4x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$ by 4, can multiply by 2:

$$4x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 \cdot 4x \equiv 2 \cdot 5 \pmod{7}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \equiv 10 \pmod{7}$$

$$\equiv 3 \pmod{7}$$

(and the \Rightarrow 's can be reversed - why?)

hence $[3]_7$ is the set of solutions!

Prop'n: For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a sol'n to $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ iff $\gcd(a, n) \mid b$.

PF: Let $\gcd(a, n) = d$

(\Rightarrow) Assume there is a sol'n $x = l$ to $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$, i.e. $al \equiv b \pmod{n}$

then $n \mid b - al$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad b - al = nk$$

$$\Rightarrow b = al + nk$$

but a, n are both divisible by d , hence b is too. (24)

i.e. $d \mid b$, i.e. $\gcd(a, n) \mid b$.

(\Leftarrow) Now assume $d \mid b$, i.e. $\exists l$ s.t.
 $b = ld$.

By Bezout $\exists k, k'$ s.t.

$$ak + nk' = d$$

$$\Rightarrow akl + nk'l = ld = b$$

$$\Rightarrow nk'l = b - akl$$

$$\Rightarrow n \mid b - akl$$

$$\Rightarrow akl \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = kl \text{ is a sol'n to } ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Ex: ① There is a sol'n to

$$6x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$$

since $\gcd(6, 8) = 2$ and $2 \mid 4$.

indeed $x = 2$ works: $6 \cdot 2 = 12 \equiv 4$

② there is no ~~no~~ solution

to $4x \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ since $\gcd(4, 8) = 4$
and $4 \nmid 3$.