

Number theory: the study of the integers and their arithmetic (1)

"The queen of mathematics"
— Gauss

↳ since primes are the "multiplicative building blocks" of all integers, they play an important role.

Def'n Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1$.

① n is prime iff its only positive divisors are 1 and n .

② n is composite iff it is not prime, i.e. iff $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 < a, b < n$ s.t. $n = a \cdot b$.

We proved (by strong induction): any $n > 1$ can be written as a product of primes.

you'll prove: there's a unique way to do this for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Def'n Given $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we say m is a divisor of n iff $m | n$, i.e. iff $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $n = mk$.

Note: every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a divisor of 0, ②
Since $0 = 0 \cdot n$. However: if $n \neq 0$ and $m | n$
then $|m| \leq |n|$.

Def'n Fix $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both 0. The greatest
common divisor of m, n , written $\gcd(m, n)$,
is the largest $d \in \mathbb{N}$ dividing both m and n .

Ex: ① What is $\gcd(42, 60)$?

Divisors of 42 = $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6, \pm 7, \pm 14,$
 $\pm 21, \pm 42\}$

Divisors of 60 = $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5,$
 $\pm 6, \pm 10, \pm 12, \pm 15, \pm 20,$
 $\pm 30, \pm 60\}$

Common divisors = $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6\}$

$$\Rightarrow \gcd(42, 60) = 6.$$

② $\gcd(42, 0) = 42$, since 42 is largest
divisor of 42 and $42 | 0$

③ $\gcd(-42, -60) = 6$ (still positive)

Next theorem says: if we divide out by
 \gcd , we end up w/ #'s with no common
factors (except ± 1).

Theorem Fix $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not both 0) and (3)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$

Then: $\gcd\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$

Pf: - Let $a = \gcd\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right)$

wts: $a = 1$

- so $a \geq 1$ and $a \mid \frac{m}{d}$ and $a \mid \frac{n}{d}$

- i.e. $\exists k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$\frac{m}{d} = ak \quad \frac{n}{d} = a\ell$$

$$\Rightarrow m = (ad)k$$

$$n = (ad)\ell$$

\Rightarrow so ad is a common divisor of m, n

\Rightarrow but $d = \underline{\text{greatest}}$ common divisor of m, n , so $ad \leq d$

$$\Rightarrow \cancel{ad} \leq d \quad a \leq 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow a = 1.$$

ex: $\gcd\left(\frac{42}{6}, \frac{60}{6}\right) = \gcd(7, 10)$

$= 1$ (as expected from theorem)

Q: Is there a better way of finding $\text{gcd}(m, n)$ than writing out all divisors of m, n ? (4)

A: yes! The Euclidean Algorithm (will cover later)

First: Theorem (Division algorithm)

• Fix $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$

Then: there exist unique integers $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$
with $0 \leq r < a$ s.t.

$$b = aq + r$$

(q is the quotient of b when divided by a , r is the remainder).

before proof: example (illustrating pf.)

(f $b = 14$ $a = 3$)

Consider:

$$3 \cdot 1 = 3$$

$$3 \cdot 2 = 6$$

$$3 \cdot 3 = 9$$

$$3 \cdot 4 = 12$$

$$14 - 3 \cdot 1 = 11 > 3$$

$$14 - 3 \cdot 2 = 8 > 3$$

$$14 - 3 \cdot 3 = 5 > 3$$

$$14 - 3 \cdot 4 = 2 < 3$$

$\rightarrow 14 = 3 \cdot 4 + 2$

Pf: Define $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}) (n = b - ak)\}$

(e.g. if $b=11, a=3$ then $S = \{2, 5, 8, 11, \dots\}$) (5)

Observe: $- S \neq \emptyset$ since $b - ak \geq 0$ whenever $b \geq ak$ (and k can be negative)

- but $S \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, hence by WOP, S has a least elt r .

- let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the integer s.t.

$$b - aq = r$$

$$\Rightarrow b = aq + r.$$

Claim: $r < a$

Pf: - if not, $r \geq a$

- so: $r = a + r_1$ with $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_1 < r$.

- but then: $b = aq + r = aq + a + r_1 = a(q+1) + r_1$

- hence $r_1 \in S$.

\hookrightarrow contradiction, as r is least in S . ✓

- so: we've proved existence of r, q s.t.

$$b = aq + r \quad \text{and} \quad r < a.$$

- need to prove uniqueness of r and q .

- so suppose $q', r' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r' < a$
and $b = aq' + r'$

WTS: $q = q'$ and $r = r'$

Well: either $r \geq r'$ ~~and~~ or $r' \geq r$.

Let's assume $r \geq r'$, other case is similar.

then: $r - r' = aq' - aq$

nonnegative $\Rightarrow r - r' = a(q' - q)$

$\Rightarrow a \mid r - r'$. But $0 \leq r - r' < a$

Hence it must be $r - r' = 0$
i.e. $r = r'$.

$\Rightarrow b = aq + r = aq' + r$
 $\rightarrow aq' = aq \Rightarrow q = q'$ ✓

Ex 5 ① Let $a = 15, b = 107$.

then $107 = 15 \cdot 7 + 2$ ($q = 7, r = 2$)

② Let $a = 6, b = -29$

then $-29 = 6(-5) + 1$ ($q = -5, r = 1$)

③ $a = 3, b = 12$

then $b = 3 \cdot 4 + 0$ ($q = 4, r = 0$)

Next theorem is the (one) fundamental result about divisibility

Bezout's Theorem: Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not both 0) (7)

and let $d = \gcd(a, b)$

then $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$d = am + bn$$

\rightarrow " d can be written
as a ~~the~~ linear
combination of a, b "

note:
 m, n
not unique

Furthermore: d is the least natural \neq
that can be so written.

Example before proof: Consider $a = 27$
 $b = 21$

Q: If we +/- 21's and 27's in any
combination: how small a positive
number can we get?

e.g. $27 - 21 = 6$ (i.e. $21(-1) + 27(1) = 6$)

better yet: $21(4) + 27(-3) = 3$.

Can we do better than 3? Doesn't seem
so, but we can get 3 in more than
one way, e.g. $21(-5) + 27(4) = 3$.

Notice: $\gcd(21, 27) = 3$.

Bezout says: our discovery above
is no accident.

i.e. $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $21m + 27n = 3$ (8)

but there are not m', n' s.t. $21m' + 27n' = 1$
or 2.

PF of Bezout: - Define $S = \{c \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}) c = am + bn\}$
= set of positive linear combinations of a, b .

- Observe: S is not empty, since e.g. $|a| + |b| \in S$.

- Hence by WOP: S has a least el't d .

- Fix $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $d = am + bn$ (possible since $d \in S$)

WTS: $d = \gcd(a, b)$

Claim 1 ① $d \mid a$ and ② $d \mid b$.

PF: by division algorithm we can write $a = q \cdot d + r$ $0 \leq r < d$ (WTS: $r = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r &= a - qd \\ &= a - q(am + bn) \\ &= (1 - qm)a + (-qn)b \end{aligned}$$

- hence r is a linear combo of a, b . ①
- we know $r \geq 0$. If $r > 0$, then $re \in S$.
- but $r < d$, so $re \in S$ would contradict minimality of d .
- hence $r \notin S$, i.e. $r = 0$.
- $\Rightarrow a = q \cdot d$ so $d | a$.

② similarly can prove $d | b$.

Claim 2 d is greatest common divisor of a, b .

PF. - SpS $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t | a$ and $t | b$.

- we prove $t | d$ which gives $t \leq d$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } a = \ell t \quad b = kt$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } d &= am + bn \\ &= \ell t m + k t n \\ &= t(\ell m + kn) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow t | d.$$

Claim ① + Claim ② establishes
Theorem ✓

Def'n Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say a, b are relatively prime (iff $\gcd(a, b) = 1$) (10)

Corollary of Bezout: if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are relatively prime then $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $am + bn = 1$.

Pf: immediate since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

Ex's (1) $\gcd(25, 36) = 1$. So Bezout guarantees $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $25m + 36n = 1$.

And indeed: $25(-23) + 36(16) = 1$

(2) observe: if p is prime, then for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ either $p|a$ or $\gcd(p, a) = 1$. In particular, if p, q are distinct primes then $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Hence $\exists m, n$ s.t. $pm + qn = 1$, by Bezout.

e.g. if $p = 7$ $q = 31$ then

$$7(a) + 31(-2) = 1.$$

Theorem (Euclid's Lemma) (11)
Fix $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a|bc$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$
then $a|c$.

PF: Sps $a|bc$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

- then $\exists l \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $bc = al$.

- by Bezout, also $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$am + bn = 1$$

$$- c = c \cdot 1$$

$$= c(am + bn)$$

$$= acm + bcn$$

$$= acm + aln$$

$$= a(cm + ln)$$

$$\Rightarrow a|c$$

Corollary: Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a prime.

If $p|ab$ then either $p|a$ or $p|b$. (or both)

PF: - If $p|a$, we are done. So sps $p|a$.

- then must be $\gcd(p, a) = 1$

- hence by Euclid: $p|b$ ~~or~~



Thm (Fund thm of arithmetic)

Every $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1$, can be written uniquely (up to the order of factors) as a product of primes.

Pr: Two parts: (i) existence: every $n > 1$ can be written as a product of primes (proved already by strong induction) ✓
(ii) uniqueness: HW.

Ex's ① $21 = 3 \cdot 7 = 7 \cdot 3$ — no other way to factor into primes.

$$21 \neq 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \\ \neq 2 \cdot 11$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad 200 = 2 \cdot 100 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 50 \\ = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 25 \\ = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \\ = 2^3 5^2$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad 97 = 97 \text{ (is prime)}$$

We proved following thm on day 1, but let's prove again (using FTOA)

Thm There are infinitely many primes (13)

Pf: - Sps there are only finitely many primes, say p_1, \dots, p_N .

- Define $P = p_1 p_2 \dots p_N + 1$

- By FTOA, P has a prime factorization in particular P is divisible by some prime p .

- must have $p \in \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$ (these are the only primes), i.e. $p = p_j$ for some j $1 \leq j \leq N$.

- Hence $P = p_j \cdot k$

But we know: $P = p_1 p_2 \dots p_N + 1$
- $p_j (p_1 p_2 \dots p_{j-1} p_{j+1} \dots p_N) + 1$
= $p_j \cdot M + 1$

Hence $P = p_j k = p_j M + 1$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = p_j M - p_j k$$

$$= p_j (M - k) = 1 \Rightarrow p_j \mid 1,$$

a contradiction, as $p_j > 1$ (since prime) ✓

Note: proof actually shows that if $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N\}$ is any set of primes then $p_1 p_2 \dots p_N + 1$ can only be divisible by primes $p \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$

e.g. consider $\{3, 5, 7\}$

$$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 + 1 = 106 = 2 \cdot 53$$

no 3, 5, 7 in factors.

Modular arithmetic: Recall: if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ means $n | b - a$.

- $\equiv \pmod{n}$ is an equiv. relation
- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ denotes $\{[a]_n \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

Let's finally prove:

Prop'n Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ iff a, b have same remainder when divided by n .

PF: By division algorithm \exists unique integers

$$q_1, r_1, q_2, r_2 \text{ with } 0 \leq r_1, r_2 < n \text{ s.t.}$$

$$a = q_1 n + r_1, \quad b = q_2 n + r_2$$

$$\text{So: } b-a = (q_2 - q_1)n + (r_2 - r_1) \quad (15)$$

(\Rightarrow) Assume $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ i.e. $n \mid b-a$.

- then $b-a = nk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{- hence } nk = (q_2 - q_1)n + (r_2 - r_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow n(k - q_2 + q_1) = r_2 - r_1$$

$$\Rightarrow n \mid r_2 - r_1$$

but since $r_1, r_2 < n$ must have $|r_2 - r_1| < n$

Hence $n \mid r_2 - r_1 \Rightarrow r_2 - r_1 = 0$, i.e. $r_2 = r_1$ ✓

(\Leftarrow) Assume $r_2 = r_1$

$$\text{Then } b-a = (q_2 - q_1)n$$

$$\Rightarrow n \mid b-a \Rightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{n} \checkmark$$

Ex: $17 \equiv 37 \pmod{4}$

\hookrightarrow calc check: $4(37-17)$

\hookrightarrow or observe $37 = 9 \cdot 4 + 1$
 $17 = 4 \cdot 4 + 1$ same r .

since only pos. remainders when dividing by n are $0, 1, \dots, n-1$, the prop'n justifies another fact:

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{[0]_n, [1]_n, \dots, [n-1]_n\}$$