

# Number Theory

→ study of the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  and their arithmetic

"The queen of mathematics"  
— Gauss

↳ since primes are the multiplicative building blocks of all integers, they play an important role.

Def'n: Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$ .

①  $n$  is prime iff its only positive divisors are 1 and  $n$ .

②  $n$  is composite iff it is not prime, i.e. iff  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $a, b > 1$  s.t.  $n = a \cdot b$ .

We proved (by strong induction): any  $n > 1$

can be written as a product of primes:

on how you'll prove: unique way to do this.

Divisors: Def'n  $m$  is a divisor of  $n$  iff  $m | n$ , i.e. iff  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $n = m \cdot k$ .

Note every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a divisor of 0, since  $0 = 0 \cdot n$ . However, if  $n \neq 0$  and  $m|n$ , then  $|m| \leq |n|$ . ②

Def'n: Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both 0. The greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$ , written  $\gcd(m, n)$ , is the largest  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  dividing both  $m, n$ .

Ex: ① What is  $\gcd(42, 60)$ ?

Divisors of 42 =  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6, \pm 7, \pm 14, \pm 21, \pm 42\}$

Divisors of 60 =  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 10, \pm 12, \pm 15, \pm 20, \pm 30, \pm 60\}$

Common divisors =  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6\}$

$\Rightarrow \gcd(42, 60) = 6$

②  $\gcd(42, 0) = 42$ , since 42 is largest divisor of 42 and also  $42|0$ .

③  $\gcd(-42, 60) = 6$ . (still positive)

next theorem says: if we divide out by  $\gcd$ , get #'s with no common factors except  $\pm 1$ .

Theorem: Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  (not both 0) and  
let  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ .

Then:  $\gcd\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$ .

Pf: • let  $a = \gcd\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right)$       WTS:  $a = 1$ .

• so  $a \geq 1$  and  $a \mid \frac{m}{d}$  and  $a \mid \frac{n}{d}$

• i.e.  $\exists k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.

$$\frac{m}{d} = ak \quad \frac{n}{d} = al$$

$$\Rightarrow m = (ad)k \quad n = (ad)l$$

$$\Rightarrow ad \mid m \quad \text{and} \quad ad \mid n$$

$\Rightarrow$  so  $ad$  is a common divisor of  $m, n$

$\Rightarrow$  but  $d$  is ~~the~~ the greatest common divisor  
of  $m, n$ , so  $ad \leq d$

$$\Rightarrow a \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

ex:  $\gcd\left(\frac{42}{6}, \frac{60}{6}\right) = \gcd(7, 10)$

$= 1$  (as expected  
from theorem)

Q: Is there a better way of finding  $\gcd(m, n)$  than writing out all divisors of  $m, n$ ? (4)

A: yes! The Euclidean algorithm (will do later...)

First: Theorem (Division algorithm)

• Fix  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$

Then: there exist unique integers  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq r < a$  s.t.

$$b = aq + r.$$

( $q$  is the quotient of  $b$  when divided by  $a$ ,  $r$  is the remainder).

before pf: example illustrating idea.

if  $b = 14$ ,  $a = 3$

consider:

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \cdot 1 &= 3 \\ 3 \cdot 2 &= 6 \\ 3 \cdot 3 &= 9 \\ 3 \cdot 4 &= 12 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 14 - 3 \cdot 1 &= 11 > 3 \\ 14 - 3 \cdot 2 &= 8 > 3 \\ 14 - 3 \cdot 3 &= 5 > 3 \\ 14 - 3 \cdot 4 &= 2 < 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 14 = 3 \cdot 4 + 2$$

pf: Define  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}) n = b - ak\}$

(e.g. if  $b=14, a=3$ , then  $S = \{2, 5, 8, 11, \dots\}$ )

Observe: •  $S \neq \emptyset$  since  $b - ak \geq 0$  whenever  $b \geq ak$  ( $k$  can be negative)

• but  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ , hence by WOP,  $S$  has a least el't  $r$ .

• So let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  be the integer s.t.

$$b - aq = r$$

$$\Rightarrow b = aq + r.$$

Claim:  $r < a$

PF: - If not,  $r \geq a$

- so  $r = a + r_1$  with  $r_1 \geq 0$  and  $r_1 < r$

- but then:  $b = aq + r = aq + ar_1 = a(q+1) + r_1$

- hence  $r_1 \in S$

$\hookrightarrow$  contradiction, as  $r$  was least in  $S$ .  $\checkmark$

- So we've proved existence of  $r, q$  s.t.  $b = aq + r$  and  $r < a$ .

- need to prove uniqueness of  $r$  and  $q$ .

- So sps  $q', r' \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq r' < a$  and  $b = aq' + r'$ .

WTS:  $q = q'$  and  $r = r'$

(6)

Well: either  $r \geq r'$  or  $r' \geq r$ .

Let's assume  $r \geq r'$ ; other case is similar.

then:  $r - r' = aq' - aq$

$$\Rightarrow r - r' = a(q' - q)$$

$\Rightarrow a \mid r - r'$ . But  $0 \leq r - r' < a$ .

Hence it must be  $r - r' = 0$   
i.e.  $r = r'$ .

$$\Rightarrow b = aq + r = aq' + r$$

$$\Rightarrow aq = aq' \Rightarrow q = q' \checkmark$$

Ex's ① Let  $a = 15$ ,  $b = 107$

$$\text{Then } 107 = 15 \cdot 7 + 2 \quad (\text{i.e. } q = 7, r = 2)$$

② Let  $a = 6$ ,  $b = -29$

$$\text{Then } -29 = 6(-5) + 1 \quad (q = -5, r = 1)$$

③  $a = 3$ ,  $b = 12$

$$\text{Then } b = 3 \cdot 4 + 0. \quad (q = 4, r = 0)$$

Next theorem is the (IM0) Fundamental result about divisibility.

Bezout's Theorem: Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  (not both 0) ⑦  
and let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$

Then  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$d = am + bn$$

" $d$  can be written  
as a linear combination  
of  $a, b$ "

note:  
 $m, n$  not  
unique

and  $d$  is the least natural number that  
can be so written

Example before proof: Consider  $a = 27$   
 $b = 21$

Q: if we +/- 21's and 27's in any  
combination: how small a positive number  
can we get?

e.g.  $27 - 21 = 6$ , i.e.  $21(-1) + 27(1) = 6$

or better yet:  $21(4) + 27(-3) = 3$

can we do better than 3? Doesn't  
seem so, but we can get 3 in more  
than one way, e.g.  $21(-5) + 27(4) = 3$ .

Notice:  $\gcd(21, 27) = 3$ . Bezout says:  
our discovery above is no accident.

i.e.  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $21m + 27n = 3$   
and there are no  $m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  
 $0 < 21m' + 27n' < 3$ .

Pf of Bezout:

- Define  $S = \{c \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}) c = am + bn\}$   
 = set of positive linear combinations of ~~two~~  $a, b$ .

- Observe:  $S$  is not empty since  $|a| + |b| \in S$ .

- Hence by WOP:  $S$  has a least elt  $d$ .

- Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $d = am + bn$  (possible since  $d \in S$ ).

- WTS:  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ .

Claim 1:  $^{\textcircled{1}} d|a$  and  $^{\textcircled{2}} d|b$ .

PF: (1) by division algorithm we can

write:  $a = q \cdot d + r$   $0 \leq r < d$  (WTS:  $r=0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r &= a - q \cdot d \\ &= a - q(am + bn) \\ &= (1 - qm)a + (-qn)b. \end{aligned}$$



- hence  $r$  is a linear combo of  $a, b$
- we know  $r \geq 0$ . If  $r > 0$ , then would have  $r \in S$ .
- but  $r < d$ , so  $r \in S$  would contradict minimality of  $d$ .
- hence  $r \notin S$ , i.e.  $r = 0$ .
- i.e.  $a = q \cdot d$ , so  $d | a$ . ✓
- 2) similarly prove  $d | b$  ✓

Claim 2  $d$  is greatest common divisor of  $a, b$ .

PF: - Sps  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t | a$  and  $t | b$   
 - we prove  $t | d$ , which gives  $t \leq d$   
 →  $\exists k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $a = lt$   $b = kt$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{so: } d &= am + bn \\
 &= ltm + kn \\
 &= (lm)t + (kn)t \\
 &= t[lm + kn] \\
 &\Rightarrow t | d. \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1 + Claim 2  $\Rightarrow d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$  ✓

Def'n Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say  $a, b$  are relatively prime iff  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . (10)

Corollary of Bezout: If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  are relatively prime then  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.

$$am + bn = 1.$$

Pf: immediate since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

Ex's (1)  $\gcd(25, 36) = 1$ . So Bezout guarantees

$$\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } 25m + 36n = 1.$$

And indeed:

$$25(-23) + 36(16) = 1.$$

$$(-575 + 576 = 1)$$

(2) Observe: If  $p$  is prime, then for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , either  $p|a$  or  $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ .

In particular: If  $p, q$  are distinct primes then  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ . Hence  $\exists m, n$  s.t.

$$pm + qn = 1.$$

e.g. If  $p = 7, q = 31$  then:

$$7(9) + 31(-2) = 1.$$

## Theorem (Euclid's Lemma) (11)

Fix  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $a|bc$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $a|c$ .

PF: Sp.  $a|bc$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

- then  $\exists l \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $bc = al$

- by Bezout we also have:  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  
 $am + bn = 1$ .

~~⊗~~ - hence

$$c \cdot 1 = c$$

$$\Rightarrow c(am + bn) = c$$

$$\Rightarrow acm + bcn = c$$

$$\Rightarrow acm + aln = c$$

$$\Rightarrow a(cm + ln) = c$$

$$\Rightarrow a|c.$$

Corollary: Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  a prime.

If  $p|ab$  then either  $p|a$  or  $p|b$  (or both)

PF: - If  $p|a$ , we are done. So suppose  $p \nmid a$ .

- then must be  $\gcd(p, a) = 1$

- hence by Euclid:  $p|b$  ✓