

## Dynkin Systems.

Motivation: Say  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Must  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\tau(\mathcal{Y})$ ?

- ① Clearly need  $\mathcal{Y}$  is closed under intersections. [if not - ③ Suppose  $X \in \Sigma \Rightarrow \Sigma$  is closed under complements. (provided  $\mu(X) < \infty$ )
- ④ Say  $A, B \in \Sigma \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \Sigma$ . (Not directly possible - digressions over)
- ⑤  $A \subseteq B \in \Sigma \Rightarrow B - A \in \Sigma$ . ( $B - A = (B \cup A^c)^c$  

Dynkin Systems:  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a  $\lambda$ -system if ①  $\emptyset \in \Lambda$ , ②  $A \in \Lambda \wedge A, B \in \Lambda \Rightarrow B - A \in \Lambda$

& ③  $A_n \in \Lambda, A_n \subseteq A_{n+1} \Rightarrow \bigcup A_n \in \Lambda$  (aka  $\lambda$ -system/Dynkin system),

Def:  $\pi$ -system:  $\Pi \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a  $\pi$ -system if  $A, B \in \Pi \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \Pi$ .

Prop: Let  $\Pi$  be a  $\pi$ -system &  $\Lambda \supseteq \Pi$  a  $\lambda$ -system. Then  $\Lambda \supseteq \tau(\Pi)$ .

Note: A sub-int of  $\lambda$ -systems is a  $\lambda$  system. So makes sense to talk about

$\lambda(\Pi)$  as the smallest  $\lambda$  system containing  $\Pi$ .

Pf of Prop: Claim:  $\lambda(\Pi) = \tau(\Pi)$ . (Claim  $\Rightarrow$  Prop)

Obs 1: Any  $\lambda$  system that is also a  $\pi$ -system is a  $\sigma$ -alg.

$$(\text{Pf}: A, B \in \Lambda \Rightarrow A \cup B = (A - (A \cap B)) \bigcup B = (B^c - (A - (A \cap B)))^c)$$

Only  $\Pi$  is  $\lambda(\Pi)$  is closed under intersections.

Let  $C \in \Pi$ , let  $\Lambda' = \{B \in \lambda(\Pi) \mid B \cap C \in \lambda(\Pi)\}$ . Claim:  $\Lambda'$  is a  $\lambda$  sys.

¶: ①  $X \in \Lambda'$ . ②  $A, B \in \Lambda' \wedge A \subseteq B$ . Then  $(B - A) \cap C = (B \cap C - A \cap C) \in \Lambda'$

③  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1} \in \Lambda'$ .  $(\bigcup A_i) \cap C = \bigcup (A_i \cap C) \in \Lambda'$ . 

④ Claim:  $\Lambda' \supseteq \Pi \Rightarrow \Lambda' = \lambda(\Pi)$ .

If  $C \in \lambda(\Pi)$ ,  $\lambda'' = \{A \in \lambda(\Pi) \mid C \cap A \in \lambda(\Pi)\}$ .

①  $\Lambda'' \supseteq \Pi$  from above. ②  $\lambda''$  a  $\lambda$ -sys (same proof).  $\Rightarrow \lambda'' = \lambda(\Pi)$ .

$\Rightarrow \lambda(\Pi)$  is closed under intersections.  $\Rightarrow \text{QED}$ .

Put in HW: ①  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  &  $\tau$ -finer  $\Rightarrow \mu = \nu$  on  $\tau(\mathcal{Y})$ .

(Counterexample when  $\mu, \nu$  are not  $\tau$ -finer).

② A  $\lambda$ -system that is closed under intersections is a  $\sigma$ -alg.