# MSCF 944 Homework

The homework policy on the class website will be *strictly* enforced.

## **Assignment 1** (assigned 2021-10-21, due 2021-10-28).

- 1. Let  $0 < f_1 < f_2 < f_3$ , and r > -1. Consider a financial market with a stock and a money market account. The money market has interest rate r > -1. The stock price changes according to the roll of a fair 3 sided die. If the die rolls  $i \in \{1, \ldots, 3\}$ , then  $S_1 = f_i S_0$ . (Here  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are the stock prices at time 1 and time 0 respectively.)
  - (a) Find necessary and sufficient conditions on  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  under which the market has no arbitrage.
  - (b) Assuming the market has no arbitrage, find  $\tilde{p}_1, \ldots \tilde{p}_3 \in (0,1)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{p}_i = 1$$
 and  $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{p}_i f_i = (1+r)$ ?

- (c) Are the numbers  $\tilde{p}_i$  in the previous part unique? Prove it, or find more than one such triple of such numbers.
- (d) Suppose now  $S_0 = \$1$ ,  $f_1 = 1/2$ ,  $f_2 = 1$  and  $f_3 = 2$ , and consider a security that pays (at time 1) -1\$ if the die rolls 1, 0\$ if the die rolls 1, and 2\$ if the die rolls 3. Can you replicate this security? If yes, find the (unique) arbitrage free price. If no, is there at least one price at which the security can be traded so that the extended market still has no arbitrage? (In either case prove your answer.)
- (e) Let  $S_0$ ,  $f_i$  be as in the previous part, suppose r > 0, and consider a call option on the stock with strike price \$1. (This option would pay \$1 if the die rolls 3, and \$0 otherwise.) Can you replicate this security?
- (f) (Bit harder) For the call option in the previous part, find all  $V_0 \ge 0$  such that introducing this option into the market at price  $V_0$  keeps the market arbitrage free.
- 2. Let  $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$  be the sample space corresponding to two tosses of a coin. (For notational convenience, we're writing sample points as HH, instead of (H, H) as we did in class.) Let X and Y be the number of heads on the first and second tosses respectively. That is

$$X(HH) = X(HT) = 1, \quad X(TH) = X(TT) = 0,$$
  
 $Y(HH) = Y(TH) = 1, \quad Y(HT) = Y(TT) = 0.$ 

(a) Enumerate  $\sigma(X)$ , and  $\sigma(Y)$  explicitly. [Here  $\sigma(X)$  is the collection of all events that can be observed from X (i.e.  $\sigma(X) = \{\{X \in A\} \mid A \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}$ ). Similarly define  $\sigma(Y)$ .]

(b) Define a mass function p by

$$p(HH) = \frac{1}{12}, \quad p(HT) = \frac{1}{6}, \quad p(TH) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad p(TT) = \frac{1}{2},$$

and let P denote the associated probability measure. Are X and Y independent under P? Justify your answer.

(c) Define a second probability mass function  $\tilde{p}$  by

$$\tilde{p}(HH) = \frac{1}{12}, \quad \tilde{p}(HT) = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \tilde{p}(TH) = \frac{3}{8}, \quad \tilde{p}(TT) = \frac{3}{8},$$

and let  $\tilde{P}$  be the associated probability measure. Are X and Y independent under  $\tilde{P}$ ? Justify your answer.

3. Consider a market consisting of a money market account with interest rate r=1/4 and a stock. The stock price is initially \$12. At every time step we flip a coin which lands heads with probability 4/9 and tails with probability 5/9, and is independent of all previous coin flips. If the coin lands heads, the stock price increases by 50% (i.e. the new stock price is 1.5 times the old stock price). If the coin lands tails, the stock price stays the same. A European put option with strike price \$20 and maturity N=3 is a security that gives the holder to the right to sell the stock at price \$20 at the maturity time. What is the arbitrage free price of this option at time n=1. Also find the number of shares you need to hold at time 1 to replicate this option.

## **Assignment 2** (assigned 2021-10-28, due 2021-11-04).

Unless otherwise noted,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  is the Brownian filtration, and W is a standard Brownian motion. In all problems that ask you to simply compute something, you should also explain how you arrived at the answer and not simply state the answer.

- 1. Consider the N period binomial model with parameters 0 < d < 1 + r < u, and interest rate r > -1. Let g be a given function, and  $S_n$  denote the stock price at time n, and consider a security that pays  $g(S_N)$  at maturity time N.
  - (a) Show that the arbitrage free price of this security at time  $n \leq N$  is a function of the stock price. That is show that  $V_n = f_n(S_n)$  for some (non-random) function  $f_n$ . Moreover, find a recurrence relation expressing  $f_n$  in terms of  $f_{n+1}$ .
  - (b) Suppose N=5, u=2, d=1/2, r=1/4 and  $S_0=32$ . Find the arbitrage free price of a European call option with strike 32 and maturity 5. The computational cost to the pricing formula  $V_0 = \tilde{E}_0(D_N V_N)$  is  $O(2^N)$ . Even for N=100, this is more than we can handle with current technology. If you use the recurrence relation you derived in this problem, then you can reduce the computational cost to  $O(N^2)$  which can be handled in miliseconds by even a smart-watch.
- 2. (a) (Chebychev's inequality) For any  $p, \lambda > 0$ , prove  $P(X > \lambda) \leq E(|X|^p)/\lambda^p$ . [Hint: For p = 1, verify and use the fact that  $\lambda \mathbf{1}_{\{X > \lambda\}} \leq |X|$ .]
  - (b) (Jensen's inequality) If  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function,  $t \geq 0$ , and X is a random variable, show that  $\varphi(E_tX) \leq E_t\varphi(X)$ . [Hint: Use the fact that convex functions are always above their tangent. Namely, for any  $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\varphi(a) + (x a)\varphi'(a) \leq \varphi(x)$ . If this hint isn't sufficient, this should be done in most standard references.]
- 3. (a) If X is a continuous random variable with density p, we know  $EX = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xp(x) dx$ . If X is also nonnegative, use the above formula to derive the layer cake formula

$$EX = \int_0^\infty P(X \geqslant t) dt,$$

in this special case.

(b) Let X be a nonnegative random variable (which may or may not have a density), and let  $\varphi$  be a differentiable, nonnegative, increasing function with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Use the layer cake formula to show that

$$\boldsymbol{E}\varphi(X) = \int_0^\infty \varphi'(t) \, \boldsymbol{P}(X \geqslant t) \, dt \, .$$

- (c) Is this formula still valid if  $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ ?
- 4. (a) If s < t, compute  $\mathbf{E}_s W_t^3$ .
  - (b) Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , find  $\alpha$  so that the process  $M_t = \exp(\lambda W_t \alpha t)$  is a martingale.
- 5. Let Y be a standard normal random variable, and let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $g(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \boldsymbol{E}((e^{(x+Y)} - K)^+)$ . Express g explicitly in terms of the cumulative normal distribution function

$$N(d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2} d\xi,$$

for two different values of d. [Your answer will look something like the Black-Scholes formula.]

(b) Suppose now X is another standard normal random variable that is independent of Y. Compute  $E((e^{X+Y}-K)^+ \mid X)(\omega)$ . [Even though the variable  $\omega$  is usually suppressed from all formulae, include it explicitly in this problem for clarity. Recall that  $E((e^{X+Y}-K)^+ \mid X)$  is shorthand for  $E((e^{X+Y}-K)^+ \mid \sigma(X))$ .]

The remaining problems are optional. They are useful to think about, but you don't have to turn them in.

- \* Let  $M_n$  be a discrete time martingale, and define  $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{E}_k (M_{k+1} M_k)^2$ . The process A is called the *quadratic variation* of the process M. Show that A is predictable (i.e.  $A_{n+1}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable), increasing (i.e.  $A_{n+1} \geqslant A_n$  almost surely) and  $M_n^2 A_n$  is a martingale.
- \* What is the quadratic variation of an unbiased random walk?

## **Assignment 3** (assigned 2021-11-04, due 2021-11-11).

Unless otherwise noted,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  is the Brownian filtration, and W is a standard Brownian motion. In all problems that ask you to simply compute something, you should also explain how you arrived at the answer and not simply state the answer.

1. Let  $t_1 > 0$  and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable, and  $\xi_1$  be a  $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}$  measurable random variable. Let I, A be the process defined by

$$I_t = \begin{cases} \xi_0 W_t & t < t_1, \\ \xi_0 W_{t_1} + \xi_1 (W_t - W_{t_1}) & t \geqslant t_1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_t = \begin{cases} \xi_0^2 t & t < t_1, \\ \xi_0^2 t_1 + \xi_1^2 (t - t_1) & t \geqslant t_1. \end{cases}$$

Explicitly check that the processes I and  $I^2 - A$  are martingales, and conclude that [I, I] = A.

NOTE: This is a special case of Chapter 3, Lemma 4.1 in the notes, and is an immediate consequence of the properties of Itô integrals. However, please do not use Lemma 4.1 or Theorem 4.2 here, and do this problem directly. This is the key idea behind the construction of the Itô integral (and the proofs of the above lemmas), and it is very helpful if you explicitly check this yourself explicitly.

HINT: I recommend you start by showing I is a martingale. For this you need to show  $E(I_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = I_s$ . Split the analysis into three cases:  $s < t < t_1$ ,  $s < t_1 \leqslant t$  and  $t_1 \leqslant s < t$ , and use properties of conditional expectations you know. The same strategy can be used to show  $I^2 - A$  is a martingale. Once you figure this out, the general case (stated in class) follows by the same idea and some technical suffering with summation indices.

- 2. (a) Suppose  $(X_1, X_2)$  is jointly Gaussian with  $EX_i = 0$ ,  $EX_i^2 = \sigma_i^2$ , and  $EX_1X_2 = \rho$ . Find  $E(X_1 \mid X_2)$  (recall from your previous homework that  $E(X_1 \mid X_2)$  is shorthand for  $E(X_1 \mid \sigma(X_2))$ ). Express your answer in the form  $g(X_2)$ , where g is some function you have an explicit formula for. Hint: Let  $Y = X_1 \alpha X_2$ , and choose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $EYX_2 = 0$ . By the normal correlation theorem we know Y is independent of  $X_2$ . Now use the fact that  $X_1 = Y + \alpha X_2$  to compute  $E(X_1 \mid X_2)$ .
  - (b) Use the previous part to compute  $E(W_s \mid W_t)$  when s < t. [This was asked in a job interview.]
- 3. Let  $\alpha, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and define  $S_t = S_0 \exp\left(\left(\alpha \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma W_t\right)$ .
  - (a) Given a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , find a function  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$\boldsymbol{E}(f(S_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = g(S_s) \,.$$

Your formula for g will involve f and an integral involving the density of the normal distribution. [Hint: Let  $Y = \exp((\alpha - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(t - s) + \sigma(W_t - W_s))$ , and note  $S_t = S_s Y$  where  $S_s$  is  $\mathcal{F}_s$  measurable and Y is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ . Use this to compute  $E(f(S_s Y) | \mathcal{F}_s)$ .]

(b) Find functions  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$S_t = S_0 + \int_0^t f(s, S_s) ds + \int_0^t g(s, S_s) dW_s.$$

HINT: Use the Itô formula to compute  $dS_t = S_0 d(\exp(\cdots))$ . If you get the right answer you'll realize the importance of the process S to financial mathematics. The fact that I called it S and not X might have already given you a clue...

- (c) Using the previous part find all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  for which S is a martingale?
- (d) Let  $\mu_t = \mathbf{E}S_t$ . Find a function h so that  $\partial_t \mu_t = h(t, \mu_t)$ . [You can do this directly using the formula for S, of course. But it might be easier (and more instructive) to use your answer to part (b) instead.]
- (e) Find a function h so that  $[S, S]_t = \int_0^t h(s, S_s) ds$ .

In part (a) above, we observe that if we apply any function f to the process S at time t and condition it on  $\mathcal{F}_s$ , the whole history up to time s, we get something that only depends on  $S_s$  (the "state" at time s) and not anything before. This is called the Markov property. Explicitly, a process X is called Markov if for any function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and any s < t we have  $E(f(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_s) = g(X_s)$  for some function g.

- (f) Is Brownian motion a Markov process? Justify.
- 4. (a) Find functions f, g so that  $W_t^4 = \int_0^t f(s, W_s) ds + \int_0^t g(s, W_s) dW_s$ .
  - (b) Compute  $EW_t^4$  explicitly as a function of t.
  - (c) Find a function h so that  $[W^4, W^4]_t = \int_0^t h(s, W_s) ds$ .
- 5. Determine whether the following identities are true or false, and justify your answer.

(a) 
$$e^{2t}\sin(2W_t) = 2\int_0^t e^{2s}\cos(2W_s) dW_s$$
.

(b)  $|W_t| = \int_0^t \operatorname{sign}(W_s) dW_s$ . [Recall  $\operatorname{sign}(x) = 1$  if x > 0,  $\operatorname{sign}(x) = -1$  if x < 0 and  $\operatorname{sign}(x) = 0$  if x = 0.]

## Assignment 4 (assigned 2021-11-11, due never).

In light of your midterm on 11/16, this homework is optional. It will not be graded. No solution or answers will be posted. All the problems are good exam practice, so I recommend trying them. Also, a few problems will make their way to your next homework.

Unless otherwise noted,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  is the Brownian filtration, and W is a standard Brownian motion. In all problems that ask you to simply compute something, you should also explain how you arrived at the answer and not simply state the answer.

1. For each process X defined below explicitly find adapted processes  $b, \sigma$  such that for any s < t we have

$$X(t) = X(s) + \int_{s}^{t} b(r) dr + \int_{s}^{t} \sigma(r) dW(r)$$

(a) 
$$X(t) = \frac{2t}{1 + 3W(t)^2}$$
.

(b) 
$$X(t) = (1 + 2tW(t))^{10}$$

(c) 
$$X(t) = \ln(1 + 2W(t)^4)$$

(d) 
$$X(t) = W(t) \int_0^{W(t)} \exp(-ts^2) ds$$
.

2. Determine if the following processes are martingales.

(a) 
$$X(t) = (W(t) + t) \exp(-W(t) - t/2)$$
.

(b) 
$$X(t) = \left(W(t) + \frac{t^2}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\int_0^t s \, dW(s) - \frac{t^3}{6}\right)$$

(c) 
$$X(t) = \left(W(t) + \int_0^t b(s) \, ds\right) \exp\left(-\int_0^t b(s) \, dW(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b(s)^2 \, ds\right)$$
, where  $b$  is any differentiable function of time.

Answer: Yes, to all parts. Note: The second and third parts can be done using only what you know so far, but require some cleverness. We will later cover the multidimensional Itô formula, and product rule, which makes the last two parts easier. All three parts are special cases of the Girsanov theorem, which we will be important later, and we will revisit these problems after doing the Girsanov theorem.

Note that if X is a process with mean 0 independent increments (i.e. X(t) - X(s) is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s^X$ ), then X must be a martingale with respect to the filtration generated by X. The converse is false. Here is a counter example.

- 3. Let  $M(t) = \int_0^t W(s) dW(s)$ .
  - (a) For s < t, compute  $\mathbf{E}((M(t) M(s))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s)$ .
  - (b) Compute  $E(M(t) M(s))^2 W(s)^2$  and  $E(M(t) M(s))^2 EW(s)^2$ , and verify that they are not equal. Conclude M(t) M(s) is not independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ .

(c) (Unrelated) Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and s < t show that

$$\boldsymbol{E}(e^{\lambda(M(t)-M(s))} \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_s^t \boldsymbol{E}(e^{\lambda(M(r)-M(s))} W(r)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s) dr.$$

- 4. (Itô and martingale representation theorems.) Fix T > 0, and suppose  $f = f(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function.
  - (a) We know that  $E(f(W(T)) | \mathcal{F}_t) = \varphi(t, W(t))$ , for some function  $\varphi = \varphi(t, x)$  that is given by an explicit formula involving an integral of f and the density of the normal distribution. (We encountered this in class, and again on your previous homework.) Show that  $\partial_t \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 \varphi = 0$ .
  - (b) Show that  $f(W(T)) = \mathbf{E}f(W(T)) + \int_0^T \partial_x \varphi(s, W(s)) dW(s)$ . [Now using an approximation argument one can show that for any  $\mathcal{F}_T$  measurable random variable  $\xi$ , we must have  $\xi = \mathbf{E}\xi + \int_0^T \sigma(s) dW(s)$  for some adapted process  $\sigma$ . This is called the  $It\hat{o}$  representation theorem.

Using this, one can quickly show that if M is any (square integrable) martingale with respect to the Brownian filtration, then we must have  $M(T) = EM(0) + \int_0^T \sigma(s) \, dW(s)$ . This is called the *martingale representation theorem*. (Note that Itô integrals are always martingales. The martingale representation theorem guarantees the converse.) This will (almost surely) come up in the second mini.]

5. In the discrete time setting, suppose X is a  $\mathcal{F}_N$ -measurable random variable,  $n \leq N$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $A = \{E_n X = \alpha\}$ . If

$$P(X = 2) = 0.3$$
  $P(X = 3) = 0.7$   $P({X = 2} \cap A) = 0.2$   $P(A) = 0.6$ ,

then find  $\alpha$ . (Answer:  $\alpha = 8/3 \approx 2.67$ )

- 6. Consider a (discrete time) financial market with a money market account (with interest rate r=0) and a stock with initial price \$100. At time n+1 the stock price either increases by \$10, or decreases by \$10 based on a coin toss. Consider an European call on this stock with strike \$80 and maturity time 5. What is the price of this call at time 0? (Answer: \$22.5)
- 7. Consider a market consisting of a money market account with interest rate 10% and a stock. The stock price is initially \$10. At every time step we flip a coin which lands heads with probability 0.3 and tails with probability 0.7, and is independent of all previous coin flips. When the coin lands heads, the stock price increases by 20%. When the coin lands tails, the stock price decreases by 20%. Consider a security that pays \$1000 at time N=10 if the first 7 coin tosses are heads and the last three are tails. For any other sequence of coin tosses, the security pays nothing. Find the arbitrage free price of this security after the first coin toss. Also find the number of shares held at time 1 in the replicating portfolio. (Answer  $V_1 \approx \$1.18$  if the first coin toss is heads, and \$0 otherwise.)

Your answer to both parts may depend on the outcome of the coin tosses. You should express all quantities arising in your answer as decimal numbers, correct to two decimal places. That is, don't write  $2(1.23^{-10} + 3)$  if the first coin is heads in your answer. Instead evaluate this, and get a decimal number correct to two decimal places.

## **Assignment 5** (assigned 2021-11-16, due 2021-11-24).

Unless otherwise noted,  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  is the Brownian filtration, and W is a standard Brownian motion. In all problems that ask you to simply compute something, you should also explain how you arrived at the answer and not simply state the answer.

Note that if X is a process with mean 0 independent increments (i.e.  $X_t - X_s$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s^X$  and  $\mathbf{E}(X_t - X_s) = 0$ ), then X must be a martingale with respect to the filtration generated by X. The converse is false. Here is a counter example.

- 1. Let  $M_t = \int_0^t W_s \, dW_s$ .
  - (a) For s < t, compute  $\mathbf{E}((M_t M_s)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s)$ .
  - (b) Compute  $E(M_t M_s)^2 W_s^2$  and  $E(M_t M_s)^2 E W_s^2$ , and verify that they are not equal. Conclude  $M_t M_s$  is not independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ .
  - (c) (Unrelated) Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and s < t show that

$$\boldsymbol{E}_s e^{\lambda(M_t - M_s)} = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_s^t \boldsymbol{E}_s \left( e^{\lambda(M_r - M_s)} W_r^2 \right) dr.$$

- 2. (Itô and martingale representation theorems.) Fix T > 0, and suppose  $f = f(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function.
  - (a) We know that  $E_t f(W_T) = \varphi(t, W_t)$ , for some function  $\varphi = \varphi(t, x)$  that is given by an explicit formula involving an integral of f and the density of the normal distribution. (We encountered this in class, and again on your previous homework.) Show that  $\partial_t \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 \varphi = 0$ .
  - (b) Show that  $f(W_T) = \mathbf{E} f(W_T) + \int_0^T \partial_x \varphi(s, W_s) dW_s$ . [Now using an approximation argument one can show that for any  $\mathcal{F}_T$  measurable random variable  $\xi$ , we must have  $\xi = \mathbf{E} \xi + \int_0^T \sigma_s dW_s$  for some adapted process  $\sigma$ . This is called the Itô representation theorem.

Using this, one can quickly show that if M is any (square integrable) martingale with respect to the Brownian filtration, then we must have  $M_T = E M_0 + \int_0^T \sigma_s \, dW_s$ . This is called the *martingale representation theorem*. (Note that Itô integrals are always martingales. The martingale representation theorem guarantees the converse.) This will (almost surely) come up in the second mini.]

3. (Leibniz' Rule). Let f(t,x) be a function of two variables, t and x, and assume that the partial derivatives  $\partial_t f(t,x)$  and  $\partial_x f(t,x)$  exist. If we replace x by a function x(t) that is differentiable, then the total derivative of f(t,x(t)) is

(6) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t,x(t)) = \partial_t f(t,x(t)) + \partial_x f(t,x(t))x'(t).$$

In differential notation, we write this as

$$df(t,x(t)) = \partial_t f(t,x(t)) dt + \partial_x f(t,x(t)) x'(t) dt.$$

Now let g(s, x) be a function of two variables, s and x, and assume that the partial derivative  $\partial_x g(s, x)$  exists. We can then define

$$f(t,x) = \int_0^t g(s,x) \, ds.$$

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus implies that the partial derivative of f with respect to t is

$$\partial_t f(t,x) = g(t,x).$$

The partial derivative of f with respect to x is

$$\partial_x f(t,x) = \int_0^t \partial_x g(s,x) \, ds.$$

Again we can replace x by a differentiable function x(t). In this special case, (6) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^t g(s, x(t)) \, ds \right) = g(t, x(t)) + \left( \int_0^t \partial_x g(s, x(t)) \, ds \right) x'(t).$$

This equation is called *Leibniz' Rule for Riemann integration*. In differential notation, we write Leibniz' Rule for Riemann integration as

(7) 
$$d\left(\int_0^t g(s,x(t)) ds\right) = g(t,x(t)) dt + \left(\int_0^t \partial_x g(s,x(t)) ds\right) x'(t) dt.$$

This rule says that when we are computing the differential with respect to t of  $\int_0^t g(s,x(t))\,ds$ , we must compute the differential with respect to both places t appears in this expression. According to the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, the differential with respect to t in the upper limit of integration is  $g(t,x(t))\,dt$ . To that we must add the differential with respect to the t appearing as the argument of x(t), and this requires that we differentiate with respect to x, obtaining  $\partial_x g(s,x(t))$  under the integral sign, and then multiply this by the differential  $x'(t)\,dt$  of x(t).

Under the same assumptions, namely that g(s,x) is a function of two variables s and x and the partial derivative  $\partial_x g(s,x)$  exists, and that x(t) is a nonrandom differentiable function of t, Leibniz' Rule for Itô integration says that

(8) 
$$d\left(\int_0^t g(s, x(t)) dW_s\right) = g(t, x(t)) dW_t + \left(\int_0^t \partial_x g(s, x(t)) dW_s\right) x'(t) dt.$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \ge 0$ , we now define

$$I(t,x) = \int_0^t (x-s) dW_s.$$

- (a) Use Leibniz' Rule for Itô integration (8) to compute the differential of I(t,t).
- (b) From the definition of I(t, x), we have

$$I(t,x) = \int_0^t x \, dW_s - \int_0^t s \, dW_s = xW_t - \int_0^t s \, dW_s,$$

and therefore

$$I(t,t) = \int_0^t t \, dW_s - \int_0^t s \, dW_s = tW_t - \int_0^t s \, dW_s.$$

Compute the differential of  $tW_t - \int_0^t s \, dW_s$  and check that it agrees with your answer in the first part.

- (c) Is  $I(t,t) = \int_0^t (t-s) dW_s$  a martingale? Why or why not?
- (d) What is EI(t,t)?
- 4. This problem outlines how you would go about "solving" the Black-Scholes-Merton PDE. Suppose c=c(t,x) solves  $\partial_t c + rx\partial_x c + \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{2}\partial_x^2 c = rc$ , with boundary conditions c(t,0)=0, linear growth as  $x\to\infty$ , and terminal condition  $c(T,x)=(x-K)^+$ .
  - (a) Set  $y = \ln x$  and compute  $\partial_x c$ ,  $\partial_x^2 c$  in terms of y,  $\partial_y c$  and  $\partial_y^2 c$ . Use this to find constants  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\partial_t c + \beta_1 \partial_y c + \beta_2 \partial_y^2 c = rc$ .
  - (b) Let  $\tau = T t$ ,  $z = y + \gamma_2 \tau$  and  $v(\tau, z) = e^{\gamma_1 \tau} c(t, y)$ . Find  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  so that  $\partial_{\tau} v = \kappa \partial_z^2 v$  for some constant  $\kappa > 0$ . Express  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  and  $\kappa$  in terms of  $\sigma^2$  and  $\tau$ .

The equation you obtained for v above is called the *heat equation*, whose solution formula can be found in any standard PDE book. Namely, if we set f(y) = v(0, y), then at times  $\tau > 0$  the function v is given by

$$v(\tau, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\kappa\tau}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y - z) \exp\left(\frac{-z^2}{4\kappa\tau}\right) dz$$

(This is very similar to the formula you should have obtained in question 2.(a). In fact, by rescaling time one can derive the above formula using what you obtained in question 2.(a).)

(c) (Optional) Using the above formula for v, substitute back and derive the Black, Scholes, Merton formula for c. [While this is good practice, it is a little tedious. We will derive the formula in class using risk neutral measures.]

#### Assignment 6 (assigned 2021-11-23, due 2021-12-01).

1. Let S be a geometric Brownian motion with mean return rate  $\alpha$  and volatility  $\sigma$ . Let  $\gamma > 0$  and consider a security that pays  $S_T^{\gamma}$  at time T. Compute the arbitrage free price of this security.

HINT: Now look for a solution to the Black, Scholes, Merton equations that is in the form  $f(t,x) = \theta(t)\varphi(x)$  for some functions  $\varphi, \theta$ , and then find  $\varphi$  and  $\theta$  explicitly.

2. Question asked on a job interview (a few years ago)

Determine the final value of a delta-hedge of a long call position if the realized volatility is different from the implied volatility.

The question asked was the sentence above. Here is the same question posed in more detail. Let

$$c(t,x) = xN(d_{+}(T-t,x)) - Ke^{-r(T-t)}N(d_{-}(T-t,x))$$

be the price of a European call, expiring at time T with strike price K, if the stock price at time t is x, where

$$d_{\pm}(T-t,x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1\sqrt{T-t}} \left[ \log \frac{x}{K} + \left(r \pm \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2\right)(T-t) \right].$$

This call price formula assumes the underlying stock is a geometric Brownian motion with volatility  $\sigma_1 > 0$ . For this problem we take this to be the market price of the call. In other words,  $\sigma_1$  is the *implied volatility*, the one that makes the Black-Scholes formula agree with the market price of the call.

Suppose, however, that the underlying stock is really a geometric Brownian motion with volatility  $\sigma_2 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$dS_t = \alpha S_t dt + \sigma_2 S_t dW_t.$$

We assume for most of this problem that  $\sigma_2$  is constant. After we observe the stock price between times 0 and T, if we estimate the so-called *realized volatility*, we get  $\sigma_2$ . Consequently, the market price of the call at time zero is incorrect, although we do not know this at time zero.

We set up a portfolio whose value at each time t we denote by  $X_t$ . We begin with  $X_0 = 0$ . At each time t, the portfolio is long one European call and is short  $\partial_x c(t, S_t) = N(d_+(T - t, S_t))$  shares of stock. This is the delta-hedge of the long call position.

There is a cash position associated with this hedge which is often neglected. Here we keep track of it. We start with zero initial capital, and so at the initial time the portfolio has a cash position

$$-c(0, S_0) + S_0 \partial_x c(0, S_0) = K e^{-rT} N(d_-(T, S_0)),$$

because we spend  $c(0, S_0) = S_0 N(d_+(T, S_0)) - Ke^{-rT} N(d_-(T, S_0))$  to buy the call and we receive  $S_0 \partial_x c(0, S_0) = S_0 N(d_+(T, S_0))$  when we short the stock. This cash

is invested in a money market account with a constant continuously compounding interest rate r. At subsequent times, as we adjust the position in stock, we finance this by taking money from the money market account or depositing money into the money market account, depending on whether we are buying or selling stock, respectively. Therefore, the differential of the portfolio value is

$$dX_t = dc(t, S_t) - \partial_x c(t, S_t) dS_t + r [X_t - c(t, S_t) + S_t \partial_x c(t, S_t)] dt$$

for  $0 \le t \le T$ . The term  $dc(t, S_t)$  accounts for the profit or loss from the long call position. The term  $-\partial_x c(t, S_t) dS_t$  accounts for the profit or loss from the short stock position. Finally, since  $X_t$  is the total portfolio value, if we take into account the long call and the short stock positions, we see that the cash position is

$$X_t - c(t, S_t) + S_t \partial_x c(t, S_t)$$
.

This is invested at interest rate r. The term

$$r[X_t - c(t, S_t) + S_t \partial_x c(t, S_t)] dt$$

in the above formula for  $dX_t$  keeps track of these interest earnings.

- (a) Determine the value of  $X_T$ . In particular, discuss the relationship among  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  and the sign of  $X_T$ . Hint: Compute  $d(e^{-rt}X_t)$ .
- (b) How would the analysis change if, instead of being constant,  $\sigma_2$  is an adapted process  $\sigma_2(t)$ ?
- 3. (Asian options) Let S be a geometric Brownian motion with mean return rate  $\alpha$  and volatility  $\sigma$ , modelling the price of a stock. Let  $Y_t = \int_0^t S_s ds$ .
  - (a) Let f = f(t, x, y) be any function that is  $C^2$  in x, y and  $C^1$  in t. Find a condition on f such that  $X_t = f(t, S_t, Y_t)$  represents the wealth of an investor that has a portion of his wealth invested in the stock, and the rest in a money market account with return rate r.

HINT: We know that if X represents the wealth of such an investor and  $\Delta_t$  is the number of shares of the stock held at time t, then  $dX_t = \Delta_t dS_t + r(X_t - \Delta_t S_t) dt$ .

Let V = V(x,y) be a function and consider a derivative security that pays  $V(S_T, Y_T)$  at time T. Note, if  $V(x,y) = (y/T - K)^+$  then this is exactly an Asian option with strike price K.

- (b) Suppose this security can be replicated and c = c(t, x, y) is a function such that  $c(t, S_t, Y_t)$  is the wealth of the replicating portfolio of this security at time t. Assuming c is  $C^1$  in t and  $C^2$  in x, y when t < T, find a PDE and boundary conditions satisfied by c.
  - [The PDE you obtain will be similar to the Black-Scholes PDE, but will also involve derivatives with respect to the new variable y. Unlike the case of European options, the PDE you obtain here will not have an explicit solution.]
- (c) Conversely, if c is the solution to the PDE you found in the previous part then show that the security can be replicated, and  $c(t, S_t, Y_t)$  is the wealth of the replicating portfolio at time t.

#### **Assignment 7** (assigned 2021-11-30, due 2021-12-07).

In light of your FINAL, solutions to this homework will post on the due date, and late homework will not be accepted.

1. Let W and B be two independent (one dimensional) Brownian motions. Let M, N be defined by

$$M(t) = \int_0^t W(s) dB(s)$$
 and  $N(t) = \int_0^t B(s) dW(s)$ .

Show [M, N] = 0. Also verify  $EM(t)^2EN(t)^2 \neq EM(t)^2N(t)^2$ , and show that M, N are not independent even though [M, N] = 0.

2. Consider a financial market consisting of a stock and a money market account. Suppose the money market account has a constant return rate r, and the stock price follows a geometric Brownian motion with mean return rate  $\alpha$  and volatility  $\sigma$ . Here  $\alpha$ ,  $\sigma$  and r > 0 are constants. Let K, T > 0 and consider a derivative security that pays  $(S(T)^2 - K)^+$  at maturity T. Compute the arbitrage free price of this security at any time  $t \in [0,T)$ . Your answer may involve r,  $\sigma$ , K, t, T, S, and the CDF of the normal distribution, but not any integrals or expectations.

HINT: The simplest way to solve this problem is to use the risk neutral pricing formula, along with the explicit Black-Scholes formula you already know.

3. Consider our usual one stock financial market, with (time dependent) interest rate  $R_t$ , and stock whose price satisfies  $dS_t = \alpha_t S_t dt + \sigma_t S_t dW_t$ . Let  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}$  be the risk neutral measure, and recall  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}$  is chosen so that  $\tilde{W}_t = \int_0^t \theta_s ds + W_t$  is a  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}$ -Brownian motion. Let  $D_t$  be the discount factor, and  $X_t$  be some adapted process so that  $d(D_t X_t) = \Gamma_t d\tilde{W}_t$  for some adapted process  $\Gamma$ . Find a process  $\Delta$  so that  $dX_t = \Delta_t dS_t + R_t(X_t - \Delta_t S_t) dt$ .

[Note: The martingale representation theorem, which we saw on an earlier homework, guarantees that if  $D_t X_t$  is a  $\tilde{P}$  martingale, then there must be a process  $\Gamma$  so that  $d(D_t X_t) = \Gamma_t d\tilde{W}_t$ . Now, after you solve this problem and find  $\Delta$ , you have shown that X is the wealth of a self financing portfolio. Thus, in this market, any  $\tilde{P}$  martingale must be the wealth of a self financing portfolio, proving what we stated in class. ]

The remaining problems are optional. They are useful to think about, but you don't have to turn them in.

\* The main idea behind arbitrage free pricing is to reproduce the pay-off of a derivative security by trading the underlying risky asset and a riskless money market account. At time t, let S(t) be the price of the risky asset,  $M(t) = e^{rt}$  the price of one share in the money market (that is assumed to have a constant return rate r), X(t) be the value of a portfolio that holds  $\Delta(t)$  shares of the risky asset,  $\Gamma(t)$  shares of the money market. Then we should have

$$X(t) = \Delta(t)S(t) + \Gamma(t)M(t).$$

Assuming that no external cash is injected into the portfolio we should also have

$$dX(t) = \Delta(t) dS(t) + r(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t)) dt.$$

Use these two equations to derive the self-financing condition

$$S(t) d\Delta(t) + d[S, \Delta](t) + M(t) d\Gamma + d[M, \Gamma](t) = 0.$$

\* Let W be a d-dimensional Brownian motion with an invertible covariance matrix A. (This means that W is a continuous d-dimensional process such that for s < t,  $W(t) - W(s) \sim N(0, (t-s)A)$ , and is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ .) Let b be a bounded adapted process, and suppose

$$dX(t) = b(t) dt + dW(t).$$

Let T > 0. Find a measure  $\tilde{P}$  such that  $\tilde{P}$  and P are equivalent, and X is a martingale under  $\tilde{P}$ , up to time T. Express  $d\tilde{P}$  as Z(T) dP for some process Z you find explicitly. [Hint: Any covariance matrix A can be expressed in the form  $\sigma\sigma^*$ , where  $\sigma$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix and  $\sigma^*$  is the transpose of  $\sigma$ .)]