Lecture 33 (11/22). Please enable video if you can.

7.3. Second fundamental theorem.

Definition 7.13. A market is said to be *complete* if every derivative security can be hedged.

Theorem 7.14. The market defined in Section 7.1 is complete and arbitrage free if and only if there exists a unique risk neutral measure.

 $(\Rightarrow \forall n \leq N, \chi_n = migne AFP of the security)$

 $\exists a \text{ self fin fort } (X_n, \Delta_n) \text{ such that } X = 1$

Wealth trading strat

 $\overline{Q} = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^{M} \mid v_{i} \ge 0 \quad \forall i \right\}$ Recall : Ry: $Q = \left| \frac{1}{100} \right| = \left\{ V \in \mathbb{R}^{M} \right| V_{\circ} > 0 \quad \forall i \notin \mathcal{S}$ Low $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{M}$ a subseque. $O \vee O \overline{Q} = 202 \implies \exists \widehat{A} \in Q \Rightarrow \widehat{A} \perp V$ $\& |\widehat{A}| = 1$ Usetway Say $V = \{0\}$, then $\exists a migned \in Q \neq h \perp V$, $|h| = 1 \iff dim(V) = M - 1$

Lemma 7.15. The market is complete if and only if for every \mathcal{F}_{n+1} -measurable random variable X_{n+1} , there exists a (not necessarily unique) \mathcal{F}_n measurable random vector $\underline{\Delta}_n = (\Delta_n^0, \dots, \Delta_n^d)$ such that $\underline{X_{n+1}} = \underline{\Delta}_n \cdot \underline{S_{n+1}}$.

$$P_{\xi}^{\circ} \bigoplus S_{ag} \quad n+1 = N$$

$$S_{ag} \quad n_{av}het \quad is \quad complete.$$

$$X_{N} \quad is \quad some \quad f_{\xi}-n_{eas} \quad RV.$$

$$het \quad V_{N} = X_{N} = p_{ag} \quad off \quad of a \quad security \quad at \quad time \quad N$$

$$Complete \quad \Rightarrow \quad con \quad he \quad verticated.$$

$$G \quad \Rightarrow \exists \quad a \quad seld \quad fin \quad port \quad (X_{n}, \Delta_{n}) \quad t \quad X_{N} = V_{N}.$$

Know () Wealth at time $M = X_n = \Delta_n \cdot S_n = \sum_{n=1}^{d} \Delta_n \cdot S_n$ $\mathcal{L}(2) \bigtriangleup S_{n+1} = \bigtriangleup S_{n+1} \cdot S_{n+1}$ $\Rightarrow \chi_{\rm ntl} = \Delta_{\rm n} \circ S_{\rm ntl}$ $\Rightarrow V_{N} = X_{N} = \Delta_{N-1} \cdot S_{N}.$

Conversely: Say
$$\forall F_{n+1}$$
 meas $RV = V_{n+1}$, $\exists \Delta_n = \langle F_n - meas \rangle$
 $\forall V_{n+1} = \Delta_n \cdot S_{n+1}$
NTS: Market is complete.
At $V_N = payoff = payoff$

Let $X_N = V_N$. Let $X_{N-1} = \Box_{N-1} \cdot S_{N-1}$ Assumption $\Rightarrow \exists \Delta (\not F - meas) \neq \chi = \Delta S_{N-2} S_{N-1}$ Set $\chi_{N-2} = \Delta_{N-2} \cdot S_{N-2} \cdot Compane.$ Sel fin : AN-2 SN-1 Want AN-1 SN-1 Nde: $X_{N-1} = \Delta_{N-2} \cdot S_{N-1} \cdot k \text{ by choice } X_{N-1} = \Delta_{N-1} \cdot S_{N-1}$

 $\Rightarrow \Delta_{N-2} \cdot S_{N-1} = \Delta_{N-1} \cdot S_{N-1}$ $(\text{nelpeot} \implies \text{self fin})$ QED.

Proof of Theorem 7.14 NTS RNM migne
$$\geq$$
 complete λ and fine.
lese 1: $N = 1$.
Let $Y = \{\Delta_0, S_1 \mid \Delta_0, S_0 = 0\}$
 $= \{(\Delta_0, S_1(1)) \mid \Delta_0, S_0 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^M$ (cobserve).
 $\Delta_0, S_1(2) \mid \Delta_0, S_0 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^M$ (cobserve).
Let $U = \{\Delta_0, S_1 \mid \Delta_0, S_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ $C \in \mathbb{R}^M$ (subserve).

Recall: V If $\exists \hat{n} \in \hat{Q}$ (i.e. $\hat{n}_{0} > 0$) $\neq \hat{n} \perp V$ Hun can we have a RNM. (Chare $\tilde{F}_{1}(1)$ the \tilde{h}_{0} get a RNM $\tilde{\Sigma}$ \tilde{n}_{0} \tilde{J}_{1} \tilde{J}_{1} \tilde{J}_{1} L conversely $\widetilde{F}(i)$ is the RN probability that it die role i then $(\widetilde{F}(i)) \in \mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{L} \vee$ L

Say Market is complete & and free.

$$\Rightarrow V \cap \overline{Q} = \underline{203} \qquad (follows give no mb)$$

$$\& U = R^{M} \qquad (follows give the mobil is complete
& home 7.11).$$
In this case

$$\dim(V) = \dim(U) - 1 \qquad (on HW)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(V) = M - 1 \qquad (2 \vee \cap \overline{Q} - \underline{201}) \Rightarrow \widehat{U} \in \overline{Q} \text{ is migne}$$
we see to combate $C \Rightarrow \overline{f_1}$ is urigne

(i.e. RNM is unique).
Converely: Suffere The RNM is inque.
Knos if
$$\hat{n} \in \hat{Q}$$
 & $\hat{n} \perp V$
Hun can receale conductive of $\hat{n} \perp Q$ of a RNM.
RNM nique $\iff \hat{n}$ is unque $\iff dim(V) = M - 1$
 $\implies dim(W) = M$ (completeness).