

last time:  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$

$$f = f(t, x_1, \dots, x_d) \quad g = f(t, x) \quad (\text{with } x = (x_1, x_2 - X_d))$$

$$\text{Itô: } df(t, X) = \partial_t f dt + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i f dX_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j f d[X_i, X_j](t)$$

Multi dim BM:  $W = (W_1, \dots, W_d)$  is a d-dim std B.M. if

① Each  $\star W_i$  is a std 1D B.M.

& ② for  $i \neq j$   $W_i$  is ind of  $W_j$

Compute:  $d[W_i, W_j](t) = \begin{cases} dt & \text{if } i=j \\ 0dt & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$

Recitation tomorrow  
✓ (Q7.5).

Levy:  $M$  is a d-dim cts mg. If  $d[M_i, M_j] = \begin{cases} dt & i=j \\ 0dt & i \neq j \end{cases} \Rightarrow M$  is a B.M.  
 $M = (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_d)$

Eg 1. Say  $f = f(t, x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . &  $w = d$  dim B.M.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Compute } d(f(t, w(t))) &\stackrel{\text{It\^o}}{=} \partial_t f(t, w(t)) dt + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i f(t, w(t)) dW_i(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j f(t, w(t)) d[W_i, W_j](t). \\ &= \partial_t f dt + \sum \partial_i f dW_i(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i^2 f(t, w(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

Note  $\sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i^2 f = \partial_1^2 f + \partial_2^2 f + \dots + \partial_d^2 f = \text{Laplacian of } f = \Delta f$

$$\Rightarrow d f(t, w(t)) = (\partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f) dt + \sum \partial_i f dW_i(t).$$

Eg 2: Choose  $d=2$ .  $W = (w_1, w_2)$  (2D B.M.).

$$f(t, x) = f(t, x_1, x_2) = \ln(|x|) = \ln \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln(x_1^2 + x_2^2).$$

$$\partial_t f = 0 \quad \partial_1 f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|x|^2} 2x_1 = \frac{x_1}{|x|^2}$$

$$\partial_2 f = \frac{x_2}{|x|^2}$$

~~$$\partial_i^2 f$$~~ 
$$\partial_1^2 f + \partial_2^2 f = \Delta f = \text{your check} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d \ln |W(t)| = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{w_i(t)}{|W(t)|^2} dW_i + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \Delta f}_{0} dt$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\ln |W(t)|) = \frac{W_1(t) dW_1(t)}{|W(t)|^2} + \frac{W_2(t) dW_2(t)}{|W(t)|^2}$$

mg
mg.

$$E \ln |W(t)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |x| e^{-|x|^2/2t} \frac{dx_1 dx_2}{2\pi t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{\text{frob}} +\infty$$

Claim: RHS is a "local martingale" (& not a mg).

For  $\int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s)$  to be a mg need

$\sigma$  to be adapted. &  $E \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds < \infty$ .

Risk Neutral measures:

Motivation: Say Financial market. Interest rate  $r$ .

$X(t)$  = wealth of the R. Pf of a security  
with payoff  $V(T)$  at time  $T$ .

Suppose

$e^{-rt} X(t)$  is a mg.

↑  
Discounted wealth.

Then can compute  $X(t)$  in terms of  $V(T)$  !!

Note  $E(V(T) | \mathcal{F}_t) = E(X(T) | \mathcal{F}_t) = e^{+rT} E(e^{-rT} X(T) | \mathcal{F}_t)$

$$= e^{+rt} e^{-rt} X(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow X(t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E(V(T) | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

If Disc wealth is a mg then price securities by

Usually disc wealth is not a mg.

Risk Neutral Measure: Construct a new measure under which.  
the discounted wealth process is a mg

① Equivalent measures:  $P \rightarrow$  prob measure.

$\tilde{P}$  a new prob measure (Financial app: Risk Neutral measure).

$P$  &  $\tilde{P}$  are equivalent if ~~when~~  $P(A) = 0 \iff \tilde{P}(A) = 0$ .

Eg:  $Z$  be a R.V. Assume  $Z > 0$  almost surely.

$$\& E Z = 1.$$

Define a new measure  $\tilde{P}$  by

$$\tilde{P}(A) = \int_A Z dP = E(1_A Z) \in (0, 1].$$

Note  $P(A) = 0 \iff \tilde{P}(A) = 0$  (i.e.  $\tilde{P}$  &  $P$  are equiv.).

Notation: If  $\tilde{P}(A) = \int_A z dP$  write  $d\tilde{P} = z dP$ .

Reason: Can check  $\int_{\Omega} X d\tilde{P} = \int_{\Omega} X z dP$

$\tilde{E}$   $\rightarrow$  Expected value wrt the new measure  $\tilde{P}$ .

$\tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow$  cond exp of  $X$  given the  $\sigma$ -alg  $\mathcal{F}$   
under the new measure  $\tilde{P}$ .

Then (Cameron - Martin - Hirschman theorem).

$b(t) = (b_1(t), b_2(t), \dots, b_d(t)) \leftarrow d \text{ dim adapted process.}$

$W(t) = (W_1(t), \dots, W_d(t)) \leftarrow d \text{ dim B.M.}$

Let  $\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t b(s) ds.$

Let  $Z(T) = \exp \left( - \int_0^T b(s) \cdot dW(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |b(s)|^2 ds \right).$

$$= \exp \left( - \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(s) dW_i(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(s)^2 ds \right).$$

If  $Z$  is a mg, then define  $d\tilde{P} = Z(T) dP.$

Then  $\tilde{W}$  is a B.M. under  $\tilde{P}$  (up to time  $T$ ).

Note: Compute  $dZ$ .

$$\text{let } M(t) = - \int_0^t b(s) \cdot dW(s) = - \int_0^t \sum b_i(s) dW_i(s)$$

$$\Rightarrow [M, M](t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t b_i(s)^2 ds = \cancel{\int_0^t |b(s)|^2 ds}.$$

$$\Rightarrow Z(t) = \exp\left(M(t) - \frac{1}{2} [M, M](t)\right).$$

Itô: let  $f(t, x) = \exp\left(x - \frac{1}{2} [M, M](t)\right)$ .

$$\partial_t f = -\frac{1}{2} f \partial_t [M, M]. \quad \partial_x f = f \quad \& \quad \partial_x^2 f = f.$$

$$dZ = \partial_t f dt + \partial_x f dM + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 f d[M, M].$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \cancel{Z} d[M, M] + Z dM + \frac{1}{2} \cancel{Z} d[M, M]$$

$$= Z dM = Z(t) (-b(t) \cdot dW(t)).$$

$$dZ(t) = - \sum_{i=1}^d Z b_i(t) dW_i(t) \leftarrow \text{looks like a mg!}$$

(could be a LOCAL mg).

Need to assume  $Z$  is a mg in the thm.

Note: For  $\tilde{P}$  to be a prob measure we need

$$E Z(T) = 1.$$

Since  $Z$  is a mg,  $E Z(T) = E Z(0) = 1$ .

Pf of Hirscher:

Lemma let  $0 \leq s \leq t$ .  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_t$  meas R.V.

then  $\hat{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{1}{Z(s)} E(Z(t)X | \mathcal{F}_s)$ . ... (\*)

Cond exp  $\xrightarrow{\text{new meas } \hat{P}}$  cond exp wrt  $P$ .

Pf: Recall: If  $Y$  is any R.V.

then  $\int_A \hat{E}(Y | \mathcal{F}_s) d\hat{P} = \int_A Y d\hat{P}$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$ .

Check  $\textcircled{*}$ : let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \tilde{E}(x | \mathcal{F}_s) d\tilde{P} &\stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{=} \int_A z(t) \tilde{E}(x | \mathcal{F}_s) d\tilde{P} \\ &= \int_A E(z(t) \tilde{E}(x | \mathcal{F}_s) | \mathcal{F}_s) dP \quad (\because A \in \mathcal{F}_s). \\ &= \int_A \tilde{E}(x | \mathcal{F}_s) \underbrace{E(z(t) | \mathcal{F}_s)}_{\text{---}} dP \\ &= \int_A \tilde{E}(x | \mathcal{F}_s) z(s) dP \quad \dots \quad \textcircled{**} \end{aligned}$$

②

$$\text{② } \int_A \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) d\tilde{P} \stackrel{\text{②}}{=} \int_A X d\tilde{P} = \int_A z(t) X dP$$

$$= \int_A E(z(t)X | \mathcal{F}_s) dP$$

$$= \int_A E(E(z(t)X | \mathcal{F}_t) | \mathcal{F}_s) dP$$

$$= \int_A E(z(t)X | \mathcal{F}_s) dP. \quad \dots \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{**x}$$

$$\Rightarrow z(s) \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) = E(z(t)X | \mathcal{F}_s).$$

//

Lemma 2°: An adapted process  $M$  is a mg under  $\tilde{P}$

$\iff z_M$  is a mg under  $P$ .

Pf.: Say  $Mz$  is a mg under  $P$ .

NTS  $M$  is a mg under  $\tilde{P}$ .

Compute  $\tilde{E}(M(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{1}{z(s)} E(z(t) M(t) | \mathcal{F}_s)$

$$= \frac{1}{z(s)} z(s) M(s) = M(s), //$$

Pf of Girsanov.  $d\tilde{W} = b(t)dt + dW(t)$ .

NTS  $\tilde{W}$  is a B.M. under  $\tilde{P}$ .

Pf: herv. ① Check  $\tilde{W}$  is a mg under  $\tilde{P}$   
 ② Check  $d[\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{W}_j] = \begin{cases} 0 & dt \quad i \neq j \\ dt & i=j \end{cases}$

Note ② is immediately true!  $(d[\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{W}_j] = d[W_i, W_j])$ .

For ①. Only need to check  $Z\tilde{W}_j$  is a mg under  $P$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(Z\tilde{W}_j) &= Z d\tilde{W}_j + \tilde{W}_j dz + d[Z, \tilde{W}_j] \\ &= \underbrace{\tilde{W}_j}_{mg} dz + Z \cancel{b_j dt} + Z \underbrace{dW_j}_{mg} - Z \cancel{b_j dt} \end{aligned}$$

OED.