

Risk Neutral Measures.

Motivation: $S \rightarrow$ ~~GBM~~ GBM(α, σ) (Stock Price).

Constant Interest rate r .

$X(t) =$ any Pf = $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta(t) \text{ shares in stock.} \\ X(t) - S(t) \Delta(t) \text{ in cash.} \end{array} \right.$

IF $\alpha = r$ then: $e^{-rt} X(t)$ is a mg !! (F00).
(You check Ito)

Say Consider a security on S which pays $V(T)$
at maturity T .

Let $X(t)$ = value of R. Pf.

$\Rightarrow X(T) = V(T)$ & $e^{-rt} X(t)$ is a mg.

Price security: $X(t) = e^{rt} \left(\underbrace{e^{-rt} X(t)} \right)$
 $= e^{rt} \left(E \left(e^{-rT} V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right) \right)$

Price at time $t = E \left(e^{-r(T-t)} V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$

Goal: Replace $P \rightarrow$ new measure \tilde{P} such that
If $\tilde{P} =$ under \tilde{P} , mean return rate $(S) =$ Interest rate.

① Change of measure:

$A \subseteq \Omega$ some event.

$P(A) \rightarrow$ prob of A occurring.

Define $\tilde{P}(A) \rightarrow$ prob of A occurring (under a new measure \tilde{P}).

Typically: Set $\tilde{P}(A) = E(z \mathbb{1}_A) = \int_A z dP.$

$z \rightarrow$ some R.V.

Need ① $z \geq 0$ (infact $z > 0$).

② $\tilde{P}(\Omega) = 1 \Leftrightarrow E z = 1.$

Notation: If $\tilde{P}(A) = \int_A z \, dP$, we say $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = z$.

(Radon Nikodym derivative of \tilde{P} w.r.t P).

Claim: X is a R.V. $EX =$ expected value of X
w.r.t the measure P .

$\tilde{E}X =$ expected value of X w.r.t the new measure \tilde{P} .

$$\tilde{E}X = \int X \, d\tilde{P} = \int Xz \, dP = E(Xz).$$

$$\tilde{E}X = E(Xz)$$

Notation: $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(X | \mathcal{F}) = \text{cond exp of } X \text{ given } \mathcal{F}$
wrt $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

Theorem (the Cameron-Martin-Girsanov theorem).

Let $b(t) = (b_1(t), \dots, b_d(t))$. d -dim adapted proc.

$W(t) = (W_1(t), \dots, W_d(t))$ " " B.M.

Let $\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t b(s) ds$.

(i.e. $\tilde{W}_i(t) = W_i(t) + \int_0^t b_i(s) ds$).

$$\text{Let } Z(t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t b(s) \cdot dW(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |b(s)|^2 ds\right).$$

$$\left(\int_0^t b(s) \cdot dW(s) = \sum_i \int_0^t b_i(s) dW_i(s) \right.$$

$$\left. \& |b(s)|^2 = \sum_i b_i(s)^2 \right).$$

Fix $T > 0$. Define \tilde{P} by $d\tilde{P} = Z(T) dP$

$$\text{(i.e. } \tilde{P}(A) = \int_A Z(T) dP \text{),}$$

If Z is a mg, then \tilde{W} is a BM under \tilde{P}
(up to time T),

Note: $Z(0) = 1$. & $Z > 0$.

If Z is a mg $E(Z(T)) = E(Z(0)) = 1$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{P}$ is a prob measure.

Compute dZ .

$$\text{Let } M(t) = \int_0^t b(s) \cdot dW(s) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t b_i(s) dW_i(s).$$

$$\text{Let } \cancel{f(x, t)} = \exp\left(-x - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |b(s)|^2 ds\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow Z(t) = \cancel{f}(M(t)) = f(t, M(t)).$$

$$\Rightarrow dz = \partial_t f dt + \partial_x f dM + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 f d[M, M].$$

$$\textcircled{1} \partial_t f(t, x) = \cancel{f} \left(-\frac{1}{2} |b(t)|^2 \right) \cdot f.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \partial_x f = -f \quad \& \quad \partial_x^2 f = f$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{3} d[M, M] &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d b_i b_j d[W_i, W_j] = \sum b_i^2 dt \\ &= |b|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow dz = -z dM + \left(\cancel{f \left(-\frac{1}{2} |b(t)|^2 \right)} + \cancel{\frac{1}{2} f \cdot |b|^2} \right) dt$$

$$\Rightarrow dz = - \sum_{i=1}^d z(t) b_i(t) dW_i + 0$$

? $\Rightarrow z$ is a mg

(NO! z is only guaranteed to be a mg if

$$E \int_0^t z(s)^2 |b(s)|^2 ds < \infty,$$

Need not be true in general! ;),

Idea of Pfo.

Use Levy: (1) Check \tilde{W} to be cts mg under \tilde{P} .

$$(2) d[\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{W}_j] = \frac{1_{\{i=j\}}}{dt} dt.$$

Note (2) Easy. $\therefore d[\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{W}_j] = d[W_i, W_j]$
 $= \frac{1_{\{i=j\}}}{dt} dt.$

(1) \rightarrow Will check now.

Formula for Cond exp under $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$:

let $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

$X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_t$ measurable.

$$\underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{new} \\ \text{measure}}}{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{1}{Z(s)} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{old} \\ \text{measure}}}{\mathbb{E}}(Z(t) X | \mathcal{F}_s).$$

Proof: ① Pick $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

Compute $\int_A \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ in 2 ways.

$$\textcircled{1} \int_A \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) d\tilde{P} \stackrel{\text{def of } \tilde{P}}{=} \int_A \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) z(T) dP.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \int_A \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) d\tilde{P} \stackrel{\text{def of } \tilde{E}}{=} \int_A X d\tilde{P}$$

Simplify both: $\textcircled{2} : \int_A X d\tilde{P} = \int_A X z(T) dP.$

$$= \int_A \tilde{E}(X z(T) | \mathcal{F}_s) dP.$$

$$= \int_A E(\tilde{E}(X z(T) | \mathcal{F}_t) | \mathcal{F}_s) dP.$$

$$= \int_A E(X z(T) | \mathcal{F}_s) dP$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \int_A \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) d\tilde{P} = \int_A \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) z(\dot{T}) dP$$

$$= \int_A E\left(\underbrace{\tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s)} z(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) dP.$$

$$= \int_A \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) \underbrace{E(z(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_s)}_{z(s)} dP$$

\Rightarrow for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

$$\int_A E(\tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) z(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_s) dP = \int_A z(s) \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) dP$$

$$\Rightarrow E(X z(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) = z(s) \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{1}{z(s)} E(X z(t) | \mathcal{F}_s)$$

Lemma: An adapted process $M(t)$ is a mg wrt $\tilde{P} \leftarrow$ new

$\Leftrightarrow M(t)z(t)$ is a mg wrt ~~\tilde{P}~~ $P \leftarrow$ old.

Pf.: Say M is a mg under \tilde{P} .

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{E}(M(t) | \mathcal{F}_s) = M(s)$$

$$\Rightarrow M(s) = \frac{1}{z(s)} E(M(t) z(t) | \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$$\Rightarrow M(s)z(s) = E(M(t)z(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$\Rightarrow MZ$ is a mg under \mathbb{P} .

Converse: Suppose MZ is a mg under \mathbb{P} .

$$\Rightarrow M(s)z(s) = E(M(t)z(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s).$$

$$\Rightarrow M(s) = \frac{1}{z(s)} E(M(t)z(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = \tilde{E}(M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s).$$

$\Rightarrow M$ is a mg under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}!$

Proof of Girsanov:

$$d\tilde{W}_i = dW_i + b_i dt.$$

Z is a mg. $dZ = - \sum_{j=1}^d z_j(t) b_j(t) dW_j$

$$d\tilde{P} = Z(T) dP.$$

Claim: \tilde{W} is a BM under \tilde{P} .

Proof: Knows \tilde{W} is ds & $d[\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{W}_j] = \mathbb{1}_{\{i=j\}} dt$.

Only need to show \tilde{W}_i is a mg under \tilde{P} .

\Leftrightarrow Showing $\tilde{W}_i z$ is a mg under P .
 $\tilde{W}_i(t) z(t)$

Check: $d(\tilde{W}_i z) = \tilde{W}_i dz + z d\tilde{W}_i + d[\tilde{W}_i, z]$

$$= \underbrace{\tilde{W}_i dz}_{\text{mg}} + z(d\tilde{W}_i + b_i dt) + (-z b_i) dt$$

$$= \underbrace{\tilde{W}_i dz + z d\tilde{W}_i}_{\text{Mg}} + 0$$

Q.E.D.