

Today: - Conditional Expectation  
- Martingales

Possible  
 $X^{-1}(C, \infty) \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ .

↓  
but not necessarily  
 $\mathcal{F}$ -meas.

Recall:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ ,  $X$  r.v. ( $X$   $\mathcal{G}$ -meas).

Then  $E[X|\mathcal{F}]$  is a RANDOM VARIABLE satisfying:

- i)  $E[X|\mathcal{F}]$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.
- ii) (Partial Averaging Condition).  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$  we have:

$$\int_A E[X|\mathcal{F}] d\mathbb{P} = \int_A X d\mathbb{P}.$$

Fact:  $E[X|\mathcal{F}]$  is the unique r.v. satisfying (1) and (2)

prop:

- ①  $X$   $\mathcal{F}$ -meas  $\Rightarrow E[X|\mathcal{F}] = X$
- ②  $X$  indep. of  $\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow E[X|\mathcal{F}] = E[X]$ .
- ③  $X, Y$  r.v. then:
  - (Linearity):  $E[X + \alpha Y|\mathcal{F}] = E[X|\mathcal{F}] + \alpha E[Y|\mathcal{F}]$ .
  - (positivity):  $X \leq Y$  then  $E[X|\mathcal{F}] \leq E[Y|\mathcal{F}]$ .

$(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$

(4)  $X$   $\mathcal{F}$ -meas,  $Y$  some r.v. then  $E[XY|\mathcal{F}] = X E[Y|\mathcal{F}]$  (Tower).

(5) (Tower property)  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ :

$$E[X|\mathcal{E}] = E[E[X|\mathcal{F}]|\mathcal{E}]$$

Indep Lemma:  $X, Y$  r.v.,  $X$  is indep of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $Y$   $\mathcal{F}$ -meas,  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

then  $E[f(X, Y)|\mathcal{F}] = E[f(X, Y)] = g(Y)$ .

Suppose  $X$  has pdf  $P_X$  then:

$$E[f(X, Y)|\mathcal{F}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, Y) P_X(x) dx$$

$$\mathbb{I}_B(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \in B \\ 0 & \omega \notin B \end{cases}$$

EX 1:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{G}$ . Consider  $\sigma(\mathbb{I}_B) = \{\emptyset, B, B^c, \Omega\}$

Then  $E[\mathbb{I}_A | \sigma(\mathbb{I}_B)] = P(A|B) \mathbb{I}_B + P(A|B^c) \mathbb{I}_{B^c}$ . Recall:  $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$ .

Notation

$X$  is a r.v.  $\sigma(X)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X$   
i.e. smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra s.t.  $X$  is still a r.v. under  $\sigma(X)$ .

X

We just need to show that  $P(A|B)\mathbb{1}_B + P(A|B^c)\mathbb{1}_{B^c}$  satisfies (1) and (2).

(1) Let's show  $X$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_B)$  meas.

$$X(\omega) = \begin{cases} P(A|B) & \omega \in B \\ P(A|B^c) & \omega \in B^c \end{cases}$$

$$c := \min \{ P(A|B), P(A|B^c) \}$$

$$d := \max \{ P(A|B), P(A|B^c) \}$$

$$\{\omega: X(\omega) < a\}$$

for  $a \leq c$

$$X^{-1}(-\infty, a) = \emptyset$$

$$c < a \leq d: X^{-1}(-\infty, a) = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } c = P(A|B) \\ B^c & \text{if } c = P(A|B^c) \end{cases} \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_B)$$

$$a > d: X^{-1}(-\infty, a) = \Omega$$

$$X(\omega) = P(A|B) \quad \omega \in B$$

(2) Need to show  $\int_F X dP = \int_F \mathbb{1}_A dP \quad \forall F \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_B) = \{\emptyset, B, B^c, \Omega\}$

$$\underline{\emptyset}: \int_{\emptyset} X dP = 0 = \int_{\emptyset} \mathbb{1}_A dP \quad \checkmark$$

$$\underline{\Omega}: \int_{\Omega} X dP = E[X] = P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|B^c)P(B^c) = P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B^c) \\ = P((A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B^c)) = P(A) = E[\mathbb{1}_A] = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A dP$$

$$\underline{B}: \int_B X dP = \int_B P(A|B) dP = P(A|B)P(B) = P(A \cap B) = \int_B \mathbb{1}_A dP$$

$$\text{why? } \int_B \mathbb{1}_A dP = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_B dP = E[\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_B] = P(A \cap B)$$

$$\underline{B^c}: \int_{B^c} X dP = \int_{B^c} P(A|B^c) dP = P(A|B^c) P(B^c) = P(A \cap B^c) = \int_{B^c} \mathbb{1}_A dP.$$

$$\therefore E[\mathbb{1}_A | \sigma(\mathcal{I}_B)] = P(A|B) \mathbb{1}_B + P(A|B^c) \mathbb{1}_{B^c}.$$

Ex2:  $X, Y$  independent with  $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$ ,  $Y \sim \text{unif}[0, \lambda]$ . Let  $Z = e^{-XY^2}$ .

$$\text{Find } E[Z|Y] = E[e^{-XY^2}|Y] := E[e^{-XY^2} | \sigma(Y)]$$

Notice we are in the setting of the independence lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By ind. lem! } E[e^{-XY^2}|Y] &= E[e^{-XY^2}] = \int_0^\infty e^{-xy^2} p_X(x) dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-xy^2} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-x(\lambda+y^2)} dx = \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda+y^2} e^{-x(\lambda+y^2)} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\infty} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E[e^{-XY^2}|Y] = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+Y^2}$$

$$\text{Want: } E[e^{-XY^2}] = E[E[e^{-XY^2}|Y]] = \int_0^\lambda \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+y^2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) dy = \int_0^\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda+y^2} dy$$

$$= \frac{\arctan\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \Big|_0^\lambda = \frac{\arctan(\sqrt{\lambda})}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

Can check  $E[e^{-XY^2}]$  directly, but it is tedious!

Def  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$  &  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  filtration. Then a Stochastic process  $M$  is a martingale if

i)  $M$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted (i.e.  $M(t)$  is a.n.v. with respect  $\mathcal{F}_t \forall t$ ).

ii) for  $t \geq s$   $E[M(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = M(s)$ .

Essential: - Stochastic Integration  
 = Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing.

⊕ or  $\ominus$  : Martingales have constant expectations:  $\forall t \geq s$ .

$$E[M(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = M(s) \Rightarrow E[M(t)] = E[E[M(t) | \mathcal{F}_s]] = E[M(s)].$$

⊕ or  $\ominus$  : Do stochastic processes with constant expectations have to be Martingales?

Ex:  $W_t$  is Brownian Motion.  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is the filtration generated by BM.

$$X_s = \frac{1+W_s^2}{1+s} \leftarrow X_t = \frac{1+W_t^2}{1+t} \text{ then } E[X_t] = \frac{1+E[W_t^2]}{1+t} = \frac{1+t}{1+t} = 1$$

$$E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = E\left[\frac{1+W_t^2}{1+t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \stackrel{\text{L.H.}}{=} E\left[\frac{1}{1+t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] + E\left[\frac{W_t^2}{1+t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+t} + \frac{1}{1+t} E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[(W_t - W_s + W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[(W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] + 2E[W_s(W_t - W_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] + E[W_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s]$$

independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$

Note  $W_t - W_s \perp\!\!\!\perp (W_s = W_0)$ .

$$= E[(W_t - W_s)^2] + 2W_s E[(W_t - W_s)] + W_s^2$$

$$= t-s + 0 + W_s^2 \quad \text{//} \quad \frac{(1+W_s^2) + t-s}{1+t}$$

$$E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \frac{(1+t-s) + W_s^2}{1+t} = \frac{X_s(1+s) + t-s}{1+t} \quad \text{Does this equal } X_s?$$

Exercise (You will see  $E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s \Leftrightarrow W_s^2 = s$  which is not true).

Ex: Show that  $X_t = W_t^2 - t$  is a martingale

1) Clearly  $X_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  adapted from above

$$2) E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - t \stackrel{(\text{from above})}{=} (t-s + W_s^2) - t = W_s^2 - s = X_s$$

Hence  $X_t$  is a martingale.

Check:  $M, N$  martingales  
1)  $M+N$  is a martingale.  
2)  $MN$  not a martingale

Note/Warning! Martingale and Markov process are not the same.  
 $X$  stock process

Markov process:  $\forall$  function  $f$ :  $E[f(X_{t+1}) | \mathcal{F}_t] = g(X_t)$