Out: Sept. 27th, 2013

Homework 1

In: Oct. 11th, 2013

Lecturer: Charalampos E. Tsourakakis

## Comments

If you write more than 100 points, they will count as bonus. You are all required to solve 1.2(B), 1.3(A) through (E) and 1.3(H).

# 1.1 Probabilistic inequalities [30 points]

(A) Cauchy-Schwartz inequality [10 points] Prove the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for random variables X, Y

 $\left|\mathbb{E}\left[XY\right]\right| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[Y^2\right]}.$ 

(B) Bonferonni Inequalities [10 points] Let  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n$  be events in a sample space. We have been using the union bound a lot in our class:

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[E_1\cup\ldots\cup E_n\right]\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Pr}\left[E_i\right].$$

In this exercise you will prove a more general result. Define

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Pr} [E_i]$$
$$S_2 = \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{Pr} [E_i \cap E_j]$$

and for  $2 < k \leq n$ ,

$$S_k = \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_k)} \mathbf{Pr} \left[ E_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{i_k} \right],$$

where the summation is taken over all ordered k-tuples of distinct integers.

<u>Prove</u> for *odd*  $k, 1 \le k \le n$ 

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[E_1\cup\ldots\cup E_n\right] \leq \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} S_j.$$

and for even  $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$ 

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[E_1\cup\ldots\cup E_n\right] \ge \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} S_j.$$

(C) [10 points] Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$  be a collection of events in a probability space. Let  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Pr[A_i]$  be the expected number of events from  $\mathcal{A}$  that occur. Given a fixed integer l, let Q be the event that some set of l independent events from  $\mathcal{A}$  occur. In other words, Q is the event that, among the events in  $\mathcal{A}$  that occur, there are l that are mutually independent. Show that

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[Q\right] \le \frac{\mu^l}{l!}.$$

### 1.2 Erdös-Rényi graphs [55 points]

(A) Practicing the first moment method [5 points] Let  $G \sim G(n, p)$  where  $p = o(n^{-3/2})$ . Prove that G consists of isolated vertices and independent edges.

(B) Cycles in G(n,p) [30 points] Prove that the threshold for the emergence of cycles in G(n,p) is  $p^* = \frac{1}{n}$ .

(C) Perfect matchings in random bipartite graphs B(n, n, p) [20 points] Let  $p = \frac{\log n + c}{n}$  where c is a constant. Let G be a random subgraph of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$ . given by taking each edge with probability p, where choices are made independently. Show that

 $\mathbf{Pr}[G \text{ has a perfect matching}] \rightarrow e^{-2e^{-c}}$ 

as  $n \to +\infty$ .

Hints: (a) Use the Bonferroni inequalities to "sandwich" the probability of the event "no vertex is isolated". [10 points] (b) Then, prove that the main reason why there can be no perfect matching in G are isolated vertices. In other words, show that the probability that Hall's theorem is violated for any other reason is o(1). [10 points]

# 1.3 Empirical Properties of Networks [65 points]

In this problem you will study empirically various properties of networks<sup>1</sup>. First, download the following  $\operatorname{graphs}^2$ 

- 1. Amazon product co-purchasing network from March 2 2003 from http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices/SNAP/amazon0302.html
- Arxiv High Energy Physics paper citation network from http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices/SNAP/cit-HepPh.html
- Road network of Pennsylvania from http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices/SNAP/roadNet-PA.html
- 4. Web graph of Notre Dame from http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices/SNAP/web-NotreDame.html
- 5. Gnutella peer to peer network from August 9 2002 from http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices/SNAP/p2p-Gnutella09.html.

You may use your favorite programming language to code up the following tasks. You may re-use existing software (actually, you should). Check the Web page under the Resources tab to find links to useful packages.

(A) [2 points] For each graph: if it is directed, make it undirected, by ignoring the direction of each edge. Remove multiple edges and self-loops.

(B) [8 points] For each graph:

- Report the number of vertices and edges. Compute the average degree and the variance of the degree distribution.
- Generate the following frequenty plot: the x-axis will correspond to degrees and the y-axis to frequencies. The function you will plot is f(x) = #vertices with degree x. Re-plot the same function in log-log scale.
- Use the code available at http://tuvalu.santafe.edu/~aaronc/powerlaws/ to fit a power-law distribution to the degree sequence of the graph. Report the output of the *plfit* function.

(C) [10 points] Plot a histogram of the sizes of the connected components of each graph.

(D) [10 points] For each graph, pick any vertex v in the connected component of the largest order. Report the id of the vertex you chose and compute for each k = 1, 2, ..., f(k) = # vertices at distance k from v. Plot f(k) versus k.

(E) [5 points] For each graph compute the diameter of the largest connected component.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Send me your code by e-mail.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ The files are .mat. If you are not using MATLAB you can download the same graphs in different format from http://snap.stanford.edu/data/.

#### (F) [10 points] For each graph:

- 1. Compute for each vertex v in how many  $K_{3s}$  it participates in.
- 2. Compute the local clustering coefficients and plot their distribution.
- 3. Let k=degree, f(k) =average number of triangles over all vertices of degree k. Plot f(k) versus k in log-log scale, including error bars for the variance. Fit a least squares line and report the slope.
- 4. How can you use the previous answer to find outliers in a network?

(G) [5 points] For each graph report the top-20 eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix.

(H) [5 points] For each of the five (5) graphs, generate a random binomial graph on the same number of vertices  $n_i$ , where  $n_i$  is the number of vertices in  $G_i$ , i = 1, ..., 5 with  $p = \frac{2 \log n_i}{n_i}$ . Answer questions (A) through (G) for these graphs.

(I) [10 points] Make a high-level evaluation of your findings. For instance, how different is the road network from the Web graph? Also, compare your findings between real-world networks and random binomial graphs.