Collapsibility of simplicial complexes of graphs and hypergraphs

> Alan Lew Technion – Israel Institute of Technology

Bar-Ilan Combinatorics Seminar November 2019

Let X be a simplicial complex and $\sigma \in X$ such that:

- $|\sigma| \leq d$,
- σ is contained in a unique maximal face $\tau \in X$.

Let X be a simplicial complex and $\sigma \in X$ such that:

- $|\sigma| \leq d$,
- σ is contained in a unique maximal face $\tau \in X$.

Elementary *d*-collapse: $X \xrightarrow{\sigma} X' = X \setminus \{\eta : \sigma \subset \eta \subset \tau\}.$

Let X be a simplicial complex and $\sigma \in X$ such that:

- $|\sigma| \leq d$,
- σ is contained in a unique maximal face $\tau \in X$.

Elementary *d*-collapse: $X \xrightarrow{\sigma} X' = X \setminus \{\eta : \sigma \subset \eta \subset \tau\}.$

X is d-collapsible if there is a sequence of elementary d-collapses:

$$X = X_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} X_k = \emptyset.$$

Let X be a simplicial complex and $\sigma \in X$ such that:

• $|\sigma| \leq d$,

• σ is contained in a unique maximal face $\tau \in X$.

Elementary *d*-collapse: $X \xrightarrow{\sigma} X' = X \setminus \{\eta : \sigma \subset \eta \subset \tau\}.$

X is d-collapsible if there is a sequence of elementary d-collapses:

$$X = X_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} X_k = \emptyset.$$

Collapsibility of X:

C(X) = minimal d such that X is d-collapsible.

Example 1:

 $C(X) = 0 \iff X$ is a simplex



Example 1:

 $C(X) = 0 \iff X$ is a simplex



Example 2:



Example 1:

 $C(X) = 0 \iff X$ is a simplex



Example 2:



X is not 1-collapsible







































<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q () 4/31





$$C(X)=2.$$

A graph G = (V, E) is chordal if it does not contain a cycle of length ≥ 4 as an induced subgraph.

A graph G = (V, E) is chordal if it does not contain a cycle of length ≥ 4 as an induced subgraph.



A graph G = (V, E) is chordal if it does not contain a cycle of length ≥ 4 as an induced subgraph.

A graph G = (V, E) is chordal if it does not contain a cycle of length ≥ 4 as an induced subgraph.

The Clique complex X(G) of a graph G = (V, E):

- Vertices= V
- Simplices= Cliques in G

A graph G = (V, E) is chordal if it does not contain a cycle of length ≥ 4 as an induced subgraph.

The Clique complex X(G) of a graph G = (V, E):

- Vertices= V
- Simplices= Cliques in G



イロン 不通 とうせい イヨン

Theorem (Wegner '75):

A simplicial complex X is 1-collapsible if and only if X = X(G) for some chordal graph G.

Theorem (Wegner '75):

A simplicial complex X is 1-collapsible if and only if X = X(G) for some chordal graph G.

The proof relies on the following fact:

Lemma (Lekkerkerker-Boland '62):

Any chordal graph contains a simplicial vertex (a vertex whose neighbors form a clique).

Some properties of *d*-collapsibility

Claim: [Wegner '75]

X is d-collapsible \implies X is homotopy equivalent to a complex of dimension < d.

Some properties of *d*-collapsibility

Claim: [Wegner '75]

X is d-collapsible \implies X is homotopy equivalent to a complex of dimension < d.

In particular:

Corollary:

If X is d-collapsible, then $\tilde{H}_i(X) = 0$ for $i \ge d$.

Some properties of *d*-collapsibility

Claim: [Wegner '75]

X is d-collapsible \implies X is homotopy equivalent to a complex of dimension < d.

In particular:

Corollary:

If X is d-collapsible, then $\tilde{H}_i(X) = 0$ for $i \ge d$.

Claim: [Wegner '75]

Every induced subcomplex of a *d*-collapsible complex is *d*-collapsible.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ be a family of sets. The nerve of the family is the simplicial complex:

$$N(\mathcal{F}) = \{I \subset [n] : \cap_{i \in I} F_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ be a family of sets. The nerve of the family is the simplicial complex:

$$N(\mathcal{F}) = \{I \subset [n] : \cap_{i \in I} F_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i = \emptyset$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ be a family of sets. The nerve of the family is the simplicial complex:



Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ be a family of sets. The nerve of the family is the simplicial complex:



Theorem: [Wegner '75] The nerve of a family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d is *d*-collapsible.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ be a family of sets. The nerve of the family is the simplicial complex:



Theorem: [Wegner '75] The nerve of a family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d is *d*-collapsible. Theorem: [Matoušek-Tancer '09] The nerve of a family of finite sets of size $\leq d$ is *d*-collapsible.

Complex of hypergraphs with bounded covering number Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph.

Complex of hypergraphs with bounded covering number

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph.

A set C is a cover of \mathcal{H} if $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$.

Complex of hypergraphs with bounded covering number

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph.

A set C is a cover of \mathcal{H} if $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$.

The covering number: $\tau(\mathcal{H}) = \text{minimal size of a cover}$.

Complex of hypergraphs with bounded covering number

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. A set *C* is a cover of \mathcal{H} if $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$. The covering number: $\tau(\mathcal{H}) =$ minimal size of a cover. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p} = \{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} : \tau(\mathcal{F}) \leq p\}.$$
Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. A set *C* is a cover of \mathcal{H} if $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$. The covering number: $\tau(\mathcal{H}) =$ minimal size of a cover. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p} = \{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} : \tau(\mathcal{F}) \leq p\}.$$

Example Let $\mathcal{H} = {[4] \choose 3} = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}.$

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. A set *C* is a cover of \mathcal{H} if $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$. The covering number: $\tau(\mathcal{H}) =$ minimal size of a cover. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p} = \{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} : \tau(\mathcal{F}) \leq p\}.$$



Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. A set *C* is a cover of \mathcal{H} if $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$. The covering number: $\tau(\mathcal{H}) =$ minimal size of a cover. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p} = \{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} : \tau(\mathcal{F}) \leq p\}.$$



Remark: $Cov_{\mathcal{H},1} = N(\mathcal{H}).$

Homology of $Cov_{\mathcal{H},P}$

Question:

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. What is the maximal *i* such that $\tilde{H}_i(\text{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}) \neq 0$?

Homology of $Cov_{\mathcal{H},P}$

Question:

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. What is the maximal *i* such that $\tilde{H}_i(\text{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}) \neq 0$?

Some previously known results:

Theorem (Jonsson '05):

Let K_n be the complete graph on *n* vertices, and let $p \leq 3$. Then

$$\tilde{H}_i(\operatorname{Cov}_{K_n,p})=0$$

for $i \ge \binom{p+2}{2} - 1$.

Homology of $Cov_{\mathcal{H},P}$

Question:

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. What is the maximal *i* such that $\tilde{H}_i(\text{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}) \neq 0$?

Some previously known results:

Theorem (Jonsson '05):

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices, and let $p \leq 3$. Then

$$\tilde{H}_i(\operatorname{Cov}_{K_n,p}) = 0$$

for $i \ge \binom{p+2}{2} - 1$.

Theorem (Matoušek-Tancer '09):

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. Then $\tilde{H}_i(\text{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},1}) = 0$ for $i \geq r$.

```
Theorem 1: [L '19]

\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p} is \binom{r+p}{r} - 1-collapsible.
```

Theorem 1: [L '19] $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $(\binom{r+p}{r} - 1)$ -collapsible. Example Let $\mathcal{H} = \binom{[r+p]}{r}$.

Theorem 1: [L '19] $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Example

Let $\mathcal{H} = \binom{[r+p]}{r}$. The complex $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is an $\binom{(r+p)}{r} - 2$ -dimensional sphere.

Theorem 1: [L '19] $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Example

Let $\mathcal{H} = {\binom{[r+p]}{r}}$. The complex $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is an ${\binom{r+p}{r}} - 2$ -dimensional sphere. In particular, $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is not ${\binom{r+p}{r}} - 2$ -collapsible.

Theorem 1: [L '19] $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Example

Let $\mathcal{H} = {\binom{[r+\rho]}{r}}$. The complex $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},\rho}$ is an ${\binom{r+\rho}{r}} - 2$ -dimensional sphere. In particular, $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},\rho}$ is not ${\binom{r+\rho}{r}} - 2$ -collapsible.

Corollary:

$$ilde{H}_i(\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p})=0$$

for all $i \ge \binom{r+p}{r} - 1$.

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph.

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. \mathcal{H} is (pairwise) intersecting if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. \mathcal{H} is (pairwise) intersecting if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$. Let

 $\mathsf{Int}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{ \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} : \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is intersecting} \}.$

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. \mathcal{H} is (pairwise) intersecting if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$. Let

 $\mathsf{Int}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{ \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} : \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is intersecting} \}.$

Example

Let $\mathcal{H} = {[4] \choose 2} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\},\{3,4\}\}.$

Let \mathcal{H} be an *r*-uniform hypergraph. \mathcal{H} is (pairwise) intersecting if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$. Let

 $\mathsf{Int}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{ \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} : \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is intersecting} \}.$

Example

Let $\mathcal{H} = {[4] \choose 2} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\},\{3,4\}\}.$



Theorem 2: [L '19] Int_{\mathcal{H}} is $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2r}{r}$ -collapsible.

Theorem 2: [L '19] Int_{\mathcal{H}} is $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2r}{r}$ -collapsible.

Example Let $\mathcal{H} = {\binom{[2r]}{r}}$.

Theorem 2: [L '19] Int_H is $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2r}{r}$ -collapsible. Example Let $\mathcal{H} = \binom{[2r]}{r}$. Int_H is a $(\frac{1}{2}\binom{2r}{r} - 1)$ -dimensional sphere.

Theorem 2: [L '19] $Int_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ is } \frac{1}{2} \binom{2r}{r} \text{-collapsible.}$ Example Let $\mathcal{H} = \binom{[2r]}{r}$. Int_{\mathcal{H}} is a $\left(\frac{1}{2}\binom{2r}{r} - 1\right)$ -dimensional sphere. In particular, Int_{\mathcal{H}} is not $\left(\frac{1}{2}\binom{2r}{r} - 1\right)$ -collapsible.

Theorem 2: [L '19] Int_H is $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2r}{r}$ -collapsible. Example Let $\mathcal{H} = \binom{[2r]}{r}$. Int_H is a $(\frac{1}{2}\binom{2r}{r} - 1)$ -dimensional sphere. In particular, Int_H is not $(\frac{1}{2}\binom{2r}{r} - 1)$ -collapsible. Corollary:

 $\tilde{H}_i(\operatorname{Int}_{\mathcal{H}}) = 0$

for all $i \geq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2r}{r}$.

X = a simplicial complex.

X = a simplicial complex.

S(X) = the set of sequences of vertices (v_1, \ldots, v_k) satisfying the following property:

X = a simplicial complex. S(X) = the set of sequences of vertices (v_1, \ldots, v_k) satisfying the following property:

There exist maximal faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{k+1}$ of X such that:

•
$$v_i \notin \sigma_i$$
 for all $1 \le i \le k$,

X = a simplicial complex. S(X) = the set of sequences of vertices (v_1, \ldots, v_k) satisfying the following property:

There exist maximal faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{k+1}$ of X such that:

- $v_i \notin \sigma_i$ for all $1 \le i \le k$,
- $v_i \in \sigma_j$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k+1$.

X = a simplicial complex. S(X) = the set of sequences of vertices (v_1, \ldots, v_k) satisfying the following property:

There exist maximal faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{k+1}$ of X such that:

- $v_i \notin \sigma_i$ for all $1 \le i \le k$,
- $v_i \in \sigma_j$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k+1$.

d'(X) = maximal length of a sequence in S(X).

X = a simplicial complex. S(X) = the set of sequences of vertices (v_1, \ldots, v_k) satisfying the following property:

There exist maximal faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{k+1}$ of X such that:

- $v_i \notin \sigma_i$ for all $1 \le i \le k$,
- $v_i \in \sigma_j$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k+1$.

d'(X) = maximal length of a sequence in S(X). Theorem: [Matoušek-Tancer '09, L '19] X is d'(X)-collapsible.

$$X \setminus v = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma \},\$$

$$X \setminus v = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma \},\$$

$$lk(X, v) = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma, \sigma \cup \{v\} \in X \}.$$

$$X \setminus \mathbf{v} = \{ \sigma \in X : \mathbf{v} \notin \sigma \},\$$

$$\operatorname{lk}(X, v) = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma, \, \sigma \cup \{v\} \in X \}.$$



$$X \setminus \mathbf{v} = \{ \sigma \in X : \mathbf{v} \notin \sigma \},\$$

$$\operatorname{lk}(X, v) = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma, \, \sigma \cup \{v\} \in X \}.$$



$$X \setminus v = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma \},\$$

$$\operatorname{lk}(X, v) = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma, \, \sigma \cup \{v\} \in X \}.$$



X a simplicial complex, v a vertex of X.

$$X \setminus \mathbf{v} = \{ \sigma \in X : \mathbf{v} \notin \sigma \},\$$

$$lk(X, v) = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma, \sigma \cup \{v\} \in X \}.$$

Lemma: [Tancer '11]

 $C(X) \leq \max\{C(X \setminus v), C(\operatorname{lk}(X, v)) + 1\}.$

X a simplicial complex, v a vertex of X.

$$X \setminus v = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma \},\$$

$$lk(X, v) = \{ \sigma \in X : v \notin \sigma, \sigma \cup \{v\} \in X \}.$$

Lemma: [Tancer '11]

$$C(X) \leq \max\{C(X \setminus \nu), C(\operatorname{lk}(X, \nu)) + 1\}.$$

Lemma:

If v is not contained in all maximal faces of X, then

$$d'(X) \geq \max\{d'(X \setminus v), d'(\operatorname{lk}(X, v)) + 1\}.$$

イロン 不得 とうほう イロン 二日

Reminder - Theorem:

 $C(X) \leq d'(X).$
Reminder - Theorem:

 $C(X) \leq d'(X).$

Proof

• If X is a simplex, then C(X) = 0 = d'(X).

Reminder - Theorem:

$$C(X) \leq d'(X).$$

- If X is a simplex, then C(X) = 0 = d'(X).
- Otherwise, there is a vertex v and a maximal face σ such that $v \notin \sigma$.

Reminder - Theorem:

$$C(X) \leq d'(X).$$

- If X is a simplex, then C(X) = 0 = d'(X).
- Otherwise, there is a vertex v and a maximal face σ such that $v \notin \sigma$.

$$C(X) \leq \max\{C(X \setminus v), C(\operatorname{lk}(X, v)) + 1\}$$

Reminder - Theorem:

$$C(X) \leq d'(X).$$

- If X is a simplex, then C(X) = 0 = d'(X).
- Otherwise, there is a vertex v and a maximal face σ such that $v \notin \sigma$.

$$\mathcal{C}(X) \leq \max\{\mathcal{C}(X \setminus v), \ \mathcal{C}(\operatorname{lk}(X, v)) + 1\}$$

$$\leq \max\{d'(X\setminus v),\, d'(\operatorname{lk}(X,v))+1\}$$

Reminder - Theorem:

$$C(X) \leq d'(X).$$

- If X is a simplex, then C(X) = 0 = d'(X).
- Otherwise, there is a vertex v and a maximal face σ such that $v \notin \sigma$.

$$C(X) \leq \max\{C(X \setminus v), C(\operatorname{lk}(X, v)) + 1\}$$

$$\leq \max\{d'(X \setminus v), \, d'(\operatorname{lk}(X, v)) + 1\}$$

$$\leq d'(X)$$
.

Lemma: [Frankl '82, Kalai '84] Let $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$, $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ families of sets such that:

$\begin{array}{l} A_1,\ldots,A_i,\ldots,A_j\,,\ldots,A_k\\ \\ B_1,\ldots,B_i,\ldots,B_j\,,\ldots,B_k\end{array}$

Lemma: [Frankl '82, Kalai '84] Let $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$, $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ families of sets such that:

• $|A_i| \le r, |B_i| \le p$ for all $1 \le i \le k$.

$\begin{array}{l} A_1,\ldots,A_i,\ldots,A_j\,,\ldots,A_k\\ \\ B_1,\ldots,B_i,\ldots,B_j\,,\ldots,B_k\end{array}$

Lemma: [Frankl '82, Kalai '84] Let $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$, $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ families of sets such that:

- $|A_i| \le r, |B_i| \le p$ for all $1 \le i \le k$.
- $A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i \le k$.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} A_1,\ldots,A_i,\ldots,A_j,\ldots,A_k\\ |& | \oslash & |\\ B_1,\ldots,B_i,\ldots,B_j,\ldots,B_k \end{array}$

Lemma: [Frankl '82, Kalai '84] Let $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$, $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ families of sets such that:

- $|A_i| \leq r, |B_i| \leq p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- $A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i \le k$.
- $A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k$.

 $\begin{array}{c} A_1,\ldots,A_i,\ldots,A_j,\ldots,A_k\\ \neq \emptyset\\ B_1,\ldots,B_i,\ldots,B_j,\ldots,B_k\end{array}$

Lemma: [Frankl '82, Kalai '84] Let $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$, $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ families of sets such that:

- $|A_i| \leq r, |B_i| \leq p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- $A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i \le k$.
- $A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k$.

$$\begin{array}{c} A_1,\ldots,A_i,\ldots,A_j,\ldots,A_k\\ \neq^{\oslash}\\ B_1,\ldots,B_i,\ldots,B_j,\ldots,B_k\end{array}$$

Then

$$k \leq \binom{r+p}{r}.$$

Reminder- Theorem 1: $Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Reminder- Theorem 1: $Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Proof:

Let $(A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in S(Cov_{\mathcal{H},p})$. There exist maximal faces $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \in Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ such that

• $A_i \notin \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

Reminder- Theorem 1: $Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Proof:

Let $(A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in S(Cov_{\mathcal{H},p})$. There exist maximal faces $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \in Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ such that

- $A_i \notin \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_j$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k+1$.

Reminder- Theorem 1: $Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Proof:

Let $(A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in S(Cov_{\mathcal{H},p})$. There exist maximal faces $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \in Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ such that

- $A_i \notin \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_j$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k+1$.

For all $1 \le i \le k + 1$, there is a set C_i of size at most p that covers \mathcal{F}_i .

Reminder- Theorem 1: $Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

Proof:

Let $(A_1, \ldots, A_k) \in S(Cov_{\mathcal{H},p})$. There exist maximal faces $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \in Cov_{\mathcal{H},p}$ such that

- $A_i \notin \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_j$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k+1$.

For all $1 \le i \le k + 1$, there is a set C_i of size at most p that covers \mathcal{F}_i . Since \mathcal{F}_i is maximal, then for all $A \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$A \in \mathcal{F}_i \iff A \cap C_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Look at the families $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k, \emptyset\}$ and $\{C_1, \ldots, C_{k+1}\}$. We have:

Look at the families $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k, \emptyset\}$ and $\{C_1, \ldots, C_{k+1}\}$. We have:

• $|A_i| \le r$ for all $1 \le i \le k$, $|C_i| \le p$ for all $1 \le j \le k+1$.

$\begin{array}{l} A_1,\ldots,A_i,\ldots,A_j,\ldots,A_k, \varnothing \\\\ C_1,\ldots,C_i,\ldots,C_j,\ldots,C_k,C_{k+1} \end{array}$

Look at the families $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k, \emptyset\}$ and $\{C_1, \ldots, C_{k+1}\}$. We have:

- $|A_i| \le r$ for all $1 \le i \le k$, $|C_i| \le p$ for all $1 \le j \le k+1$.
- For all $1 \le i \le k$, $A_i \notin \mathcal{F}_i$; hence, $A_i \cap C_i = \emptyset$.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}A_1,\ldots,A_i,\ldots,A_j,\ldots,A_k, \oslash\\ & & & \\ C_1,\ldots,C_i,\ldots,C_j,\ldots,C_k,C_{k+1}\end{array}$$

Look at the families $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k, \emptyset\}$ and $\{C_1, \ldots, C_{k+1}\}$. We have:

- $|A_i| \le r$ for all $1 \le i \le k$, $|C_i| \le p$ for all $1 \le j \le k+1$.
- For all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $A_i \notin \mathcal{F}_i$; hence, $A_i \cap C_i = \emptyset$.
- For all $1 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$, $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_j$; hence, $A_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$.



By Frankl-Kalai: $k+1 \leq \binom{r+p}{r}$.

By Frankl-Kalai:
$$k + 1 \leq \binom{r+p}{r}$$
.
So,
 $C(\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}) \leq d'(\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}) \leq \binom{r+p}{r} - 1$.
That is, $\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathcal{H},p}$ is $\binom{r+p}{r} - 1$ -collapsible.

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の へ () 20 / 31

Problem:

Let G be a graph, $n \ge 1$. Find minimal k such that for any family of independent sets I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k of size n in G (not necessarily distinct), there exists a rainbow independent set of size n.

Problem:

Let G be a graph, $n \ge 1$. Find minimal k such that for any family of independent sets I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k of size n in G (not necessarily distinct), there exists a rainbow independent set of size n.

That is, there exist $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \le k$ and $v_{i_j} \in I_{i_j}$ such that $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_n}\}$ is independent in G.

Problem:

Let G be a graph, $n \ge 1$.

Find minimal k such that for any family of independent sets

 I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k of size *n* in *G* (not necessarily distinct), there exists a rainbow independent set of size *n*.

That is, there exist $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \le k$ and $v_{i_j} \in I_{i_j}$ such that $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_n}\}$ is independent in G. Denote such minimal k by $f_G(n)$.

Problem:

Let G be a graph, $n \ge 1$.

Find minimal k such that for any family of independent sets

 I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k of size *n* in *G* (not necessarily distinct), there exists a rainbow independent set of size *n*.

That is, there exist $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \le k$ and $v_{i_j} \in I_{i_j}$ such that $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_n}\}$ is independent in G. Denote such minimal k by $f_G(n)$.



Problem:

Let G be a graph, $n \ge 1$.

Find minimal k such that for any family of independent sets

 I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k of size *n* in *G* (not necessarily distinct), there exists a rainbow independent set of size *n*.

That is, there exist $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \le k$ and $v_{i_j} \in I_{i_j}$ such that $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_n}\}$ is independent in G. Denote such minimal k by $f_G(n)$.



Problem: Let G be a graph, $n \ge 1$.

Find minimal k such that for any family of independent sets I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k of size n in G (not necessarily distinct), there exists a rainbow independent set of size n.

That is, there exist $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \le k$ and $v_{i_j} \in I_{i_j}$ such that $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_n}\}$ is independent in G. Denote such minimal k by $f_G(n)$.



Problem:

Let G be a graph, $n \ge 1$.

Find minimal k such that for any family of independent sets

 I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k of size *n* in *G* (not necessarily distinct), there exists a rainbow independent set of size *n*.

That is, there exist $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \le k$ and $v_{i_j} \in I_{i_j}$ such that $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_n}\}$ is independent in G. Denote such minimal k by $f_G(n)$.



Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a chordal graph, and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then

 $f_G(n) \leq n.$

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a chordal graph, and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then

 $f_G(n) \leq n$.

Theorem (Aharoni-Briggs-Kim-Kim):

Let G be a k-colorable graph, and $n \ge 1$ an integer. Then

$$f_G(n) \leq k(n-1) + 1.$$

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a chordal graph, and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then

 $f_G(n) \leq n$.

Theorem (Aharoni-Briggs-Kim-Kim):

Let G be a k-colorable graph, and $n \ge 1$ an integer. Then

$$f_G(n) \leq k(n-1) + 1.$$

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ , and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then

$$f_G(n) \leq \Delta(n-1)+1.$$

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a chordal graph, and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then

 $f_G(n) \leq n$.

Theorem (Aharoni-Briggs-Kim-Kim):

Let G be a k-colorable graph, and $n \ge 1$ an integer. Then

$$f_G(n) \leq k(n-1) + 1.$$

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ , and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then

$$f_G(n) \leq \Delta(n-1)+1.$$

Last bound is not tight for $\Delta \geq 3$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$f_G(2) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1,$$

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$f_G(2) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1,$$

and

$$f_G(3) \leq egin{cases} \Delta+3 & ext{if } \Delta ext{ is even,} \ \Delta+2 & ext{if } \Delta ext{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Aharoni–Briggs–Kim–Kim):

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$f_G(2) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1,$$

and

$$f_{\mathcal{G}}(3) \leq egin{cases} \Delta+3 & ext{if } \Delta ext{ is even,} \ \Delta+2 & ext{if } \Delta ext{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Conjecture (Aharoni-Briggs-Kim-Kim):

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ , and let n be a positive integer. Then

$$f_G(n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil (n-1) + 1.$$

23/31

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

Example (Aharoni-Briggs-Kim-Kim)

Let Δ be even.
Let Δ be even. ${\it G}_{\Delta,n}=$ cycle of length $\left({\Delta\over 2}+1
ight) n$

Let Δ be even. $G_{\Delta,n} = \text{cycle of length } \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n + \text{edges connecting any two}$ vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$.

Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1) n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Choose independent sets l_1 , l_2 , l_3 , l_4 , l_5 , l_6 .

Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Choose independent sets l_1 , l_2 , l_3 , l_4 , l_5 , l_6 . There is no rainbow independent set of size 3.

Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Choose independent sets l_1 , l_2 , l_3 , l_4 , l_5 , l_6 . There is no rainbow independent set of size 3. So

 $f_{G_{4,3}}(3) \geq 7.$

Let Δ be even.

 $G_{\Delta,n} =$ cycle of length $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)n +$ edges connecting any two vertices of distance at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}$. For example, for n = 3, $\Delta = 4$:



Choose independent sets l_1 , l_2 , l_3 , l_4 , l_5 , l_6 . There is no rainbow independent set of size 3. So

$$f_{G_{4,3}}(3) \geq 7.$$

In general, $f_{G_{\Delta,n}}(n) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)(n-1) + 1.$

24 / 31

A D > A D > A D > A D >

G = (V, E) a graph, $n \ge 1$ an integer. Define the simplicial complex:

 $I_n(G) = \left\{ U \subset V : \begin{array}{c} U \text{ does not contain an independent} \\ \text{set of } G \text{ of size } n \end{array} \right\}.$

G = (V, E) a graph, $n \ge 1$ an integer. Define the simplicial complex:

$$I_n(G) = \left\{ U \subset V : \begin{array}{c} U \text{ does not contain an independent} \\ \text{set of } G \text{ of size } n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Examples

•
$$I_1(G) = \{\emptyset\}.$$

G = (V, E) a graph, $n \ge 1$ an integer. Define the simplicial complex:

$$I_n(G) = \left\{ U \subset V : \begin{array}{c} U \text{ does not contain an independent} \\ \text{set of } G \text{ of size } n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Examples

•
$$I_1(G) = \{\emptyset\}.$$

• $I_2(G) = X(G)$ (the Clique Complex of G).

G = (V, E) a graph, $n \ge 1$ an integer. Define the simplicial complex:

 $I_n(G) = \left\{ U \subset V : \begin{array}{c} U \text{ does not contain an independent} \\ \text{set of } G \text{ of size } n \end{array} \right\}.$

Examples

•
$$I_1(G) = \{\emptyset\}.$$

• $I_2(G) = X(G)$ (the Clique Complex of G).

Proposition:

$$f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1.$$

Topological colorful Helly Theorem (Kalai–Meshulam '05): X a *d*-collapsible complex on vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}$. If $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{d+1}\} \in X$ for every choice of vertices $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \in V_{d+1}$, then there exists some $1 \le i \le d+1$ such that $V_i \in X$.

Topological colorful Helly Theorem (Kalai–Meshulam '05):

X a *d*-collapsible complex on vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}$. If $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{d+1}\} \in X$ for every choice of vertices $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \in V_{d+1}$, then there exists some $1 \le i \le d+1$ such that $V_i \in X$.

Reminder: want to show:

 $f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1.$

Proof sketch Assume $C(I_n(G)) = d$.

Topological colorful Helly Theorem (Kalai–Meshulam '05):

X a *d*-collapsible complex on vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}$. If $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{d+1}\} \in X$ for every choice of vertices $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \in V_{d+1}$, then there exists some $1 \le i \le d+1$ such that $V_i \in X$.

Reminder: want to show:

 $f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1.$

Proof sketch Assume $C(I_n(G)) = d$. Let $I_1, \ldots I_{d+1}$ a family of disjoint independent sets of size n in G, assume for contradiction there is no rainbow independent set of size n.

Topological colorful Helly Theorem (Kalai–Meshulam '05):

X a *d*-collapsible complex on vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}$. If $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{d+1}\} \in X$ for every choice of vertices $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \in V_{d+1}$, then there exists some $1 \le i \le d+1$ such that $V_i \in X$.

Reminder: want to show:

 $f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1.$

Proof sketch

Assume $C(I_n(G)) = d$.

Let $I_1, \ldots I_{d+1}$ a family of disjoint independent sets of size n in G, assume for contradiction there is no rainbow independent set of size n.

That is, all rainbow sets belong to $I_n(G)$,

Topological colorful Helly Theorem (Kalai–Meshulam '05):

X a *d*-collapsible complex on vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}$. If $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{d+1}\} \in X$ for every choice of vertices $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \in V_{d+1}$, then there exists some $1 \le i \le d+1$ such that $V_i \in X$.

Reminder: want to show:

 $f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1.$

Proof sketch

Assume $C(I_n(G)) = d$.

Let $I_1, \ldots I_{d+1}$ a family of disjoint independent sets of size n in G, assume for contradiction there is no rainbow independent set of size n.

That is, all rainbow sets belong to $I_n(G)$,

Therefore, by K-M, there exists $1 \le j \le d+1$ such that $I_j \in I_n(G)$.

Topological colorful Helly Theorem (Kalai–Meshulam '05):

X a *d*-collapsible complex on vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}$. If $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{d+1}\} \in X$ for every choice of vertices $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \in V_{d+1}$, then there exists some $1 \le i \le d+1$ such that $V_i \in X$.

Reminder: want to show:

 $f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1.$

Proof sketch

Assume $C(I_n(G)) = d$.

Let $I_1, \ldots I_{d+1}$ a family of disjoint independent sets of size n in G, assume for contradiction there is no rainbow independent set of size n.

That is, all rainbow sets belong to $I_n(G)$, Therefore, by K-M, there exists $1 \le j \le d+1$ such that $I_j \in I_n(G)$. But I_j is an independent set of size n in G, a contradiction.

Theorem (Kim–L):

Let G be a chordal graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq n-1.$

Theorem (Kim-L):

Let G be a chordal graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq n-1.$

Theorem (Kim–L):

Let G be a k-colorable graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq k(n-1).$

Theorem (Kim-L):

Let G be a chordal graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq n-1.$

Theorem (Kim–L):

Let G be a k-colorable graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq k(n-1).$

In fact, dim $(I_n(G)) \leq k(n-1) - 1$.

Theorem (Kim-L):

Let G be a chordal graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq n-1.$

Theorem (Kim–L):

Let G be a k-colorable graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq k(n-1).$

In fact, dim $(I_n(G)) \leq k(n-1) - 1$.

Theorem (Kim-L):

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_n(G)) \leq \Delta(n-1).$$

Theorem (Kim-L):

Let G be a chordal graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq n-1.$

Theorem (Kim–L):

Let G be a k-colorable graph. Then

 $C(I_n(G)) \leq k(n-1).$

In fact, $\dim(I_n(G)) \le k(n-1) - 1$.

Theorem (Kim-L):

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_n(G)) \leq \Delta(n-1).$$

Last bound is not tight for $\Delta \geq 3$.

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_2(G)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_2(G)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathit{I}_3(\mathcal{G})) \leq egin{cases} \Delta+2 & ext{ if } \Delta ext{ is even,} \ \Delta+1 & ext{ if } \Delta ext{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_2(G)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathit{I}_3(\mathcal{G})) \leq egin{cases} \Delta+2 & ext{ if } \Delta ext{ is even,} \ \Delta+1 & ext{ if } \Delta ext{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since $f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1$, we recover the bounds from ABKK, The following bound is new:

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_2(G)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathit{I}_3(\mathcal{G})) \leq egin{cases} \Delta+2 & ext{ if } \Delta ext{ is even,} \ \Delta+1 & ext{ if } \Delta ext{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since $f_G(n) \leq C(I_n(G)) + 1$, we recover the bounds from ABKK, The following bound is new:

Theorem (Kim-L):

Let G be a claw-free graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$f_G(n) \leq \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1\right)(n-1) \right\rfloor_{(n-1)} + 1.$$

Collapsibility of $I_n(G)$ for bounded degree graphs

Conjecture:

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_n(G)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil (n-1).$$

Collapsibility of $I_n(G)$ for bounded degree graphs

Conjecture:

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_n(G)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil (n-1).$$

Holds for $\Delta = 2$ and $n \leq 3$.

Collapsibility of $I_n(G)$ for bounded degree graphs

Conjecture:

Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then

$$C(I_n(G)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta+1}{2} \right\rceil (n-1).$$

Holds for $\Delta = 2$ and $n \leq 3$. But it is not true in general! A counterexample for $\Delta = 3$

For $\Delta = 3$ we want: $C(I_n(G)) \leq 2(n-1)$.

A counterexample for $\Delta = 3$

For $\Delta = 3$ we want: $C(I_n(G)) \leq 2(n-1)$.

However, for the graph G =



we obtain for n = 8

$$C(I_8(G)) \ge 16 > 14 = 2(n-1).$$

A counterexample for $\Delta = 3$

For $\Delta = 3$ we want: $C(I_n(G)) \leq 2(n-1)$.

However, for the graph G =



we obtain for n = 8

$$C(I_8(G)) \ge 16 > 14 = 2(n-1).$$

On the other hand

$$f_G(8) \leq 11 < 2(n-1) + 1.$$

Thank you!