

Alternative description

Note Title

3/31/2005

$P_i = \{ \text{paths from } s_i \rightarrow b_i \}$

$$P = \bigcup P_i$$

$$f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$\sum_{p \in P_i} f_p = r_i$$

requirements
satisfied

$$f_e = \sum_{P \ni e} f_p \quad \text{flow on } e$$

$$l_e(f_e) = \text{latency of } e$$

It is assumed that l_e is continuous and non-decreasing.

$$l_p(f) = \sum_{e \in P} l_e(f_e)$$

Latency of P . "time taken
to traverse P ".

$$C(f) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} l_p(f) f_p$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E} l_e(f_e) f_e$$

Total cost of flow f

f is a Nash flow if

$$\forall i, \forall P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_i, s \in [0, f_{P_1}]$$

$$l_{P_1}(f) \leq l_{P_2}(f)$$

$$f_P = \begin{cases} f_P - s & P = P_1 \\ f_P + s & P = P_2 \\ f_P & P \neq P_1, P_2 \end{cases}$$

Equivalently:

$$\forall i \text{ and } P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_i \text{ with } f_{P_1} > 0,$$

$$l_{P_1}(f) \leq l_{P_2}(f).$$

Thus in a Nash flow every path
with positive flow has same latency

$$L_i(f)$$

$$C(f) = \sum_i L_i(f) r_i$$

Optimal flows: $c_e(f_e) = l(f_e) \cdot f_e$

NLP: Min $\sum_{e \in E} c_e(f_e)$

s.t.

$$\sum_{p \in P_i} f_p = r_i$$

$$f_e = \sum_{p \ni e} f_p$$

$$f_p \geq 0$$

$f \in \mathcal{F}$

Assume C_e is convex $\forall e$

A flow is optimal iff $\forall i$
and $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_i$ with $f_{P_i} > 0$

$$C'_{P_1}(f) \leq C'_{P_2}(f)$$

where

$$C'_P(f) = \sum_{e \in P} \frac{d}{df_e} C_e(f_e)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Let } l^*(f_e) &= (l_e(f_e) f_e)' \\
 &= l_e(f_e) + l'_e(f_e) f_e
 \end{aligned}$$

Let (G, r, l) be such that

$\text{cl } l_e(\omega)$ is convex $\forall e$.

f is optimal for (G, r, l)



f is Nash for (G, r, l^*)

Lemma

If f, \tilde{f} are both Nash then
 $C(f) = C(\tilde{f})$.

Proof

$$h_e(\sigma) = \int_0^x l_e(t) dt \leftarrow \text{Convex}$$

Consider

$$\text{NLP2: minimise } \sum_e h_e(f_e) : f \in \mathcal{F}$$

Conditions for minimum

$$c'_{p_1}(f) \leq c'_{p_2}(f)$$

are those for being Nash.

If f, \tilde{f} are 2 Nash flows

Then they are both optimal
for NLP2.

If $f_e \neq \tilde{f}_e$ then

$$h(\lambda f_e + (1-\lambda) \tilde{f}_e) \succcurlyeq \lambda h(f_e) + (1-\lambda) h(\tilde{f}_e)$$

Otherwise $\lambda f + (1-\lambda) \tilde{f}$ is better than
either in NLP2

$$\Rightarrow l_e(f_e) = l_e(\tilde{f}_e) \quad \forall e^*$$

$$\Rightarrow C(f) = C(\tilde{f})$$

$$\int_0^{\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B} l(x) dx = \lambda \int_0^A l(x) dx + (1-\lambda) \int_0^B l(x) dx$$

$\Rightarrow l(x)$ constant on $[A, B]$

[Differentiate w.r.t. λ]

Theorem

If f is Nash for (G, r, b)
and f^* is feasible for $(G, 2r, b)$
Then $C(f) \leq C(f^*)$.

Proof

$$\bar{l}_e(x) = \begin{cases} l_e(f_e) & x \leq f_e \\ l_e(\infty) & x > f_e \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_e \bar{l}_e(f_e^*) f_e^* - C(f^*) =$$

$$\sum_e f_e^* (\bar{l}_e(f_e^*) - l_e(f_e^*))$$

$$\leq \sum_e l_e(f) f_e$$

$$= C(f)$$

We write this as

$$C(f^*) \geq \sum_P \bar{l}_P(f_P^*) f_P^* - C(f)$$

$$\bar{L}_p(\text{zero flow}) \geq L_i(f) \Rightarrow$$

$$\bar{L}_p(f^*) \geq L_i(f)$$

$$\sum_p \bar{L}_p(f^*) f_p^* \geq$$

$$\sum_i \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} L_i(f) f_p^* =$$

$$\sum_i L_i(f) \times 2r_i$$

$$= 2C(f).$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} C(f^*) &\geq \sum_P \bar{\ell}_P(p^*) f_P^* - C(f) \\ &\geq 2C(f) - C(f) \end{aligned}$$



Linear Latency Functions:

Now assume that

$$l_e(x) = a_e x + b_e$$

(a) f is Nash iff $\forall i, \sum_{p \in P} p'_i f_p > 0$

$$\sum_{e \in P} a_e f_e + b_e \leq \sum_{e \in P'} a_e f_e + b_e$$

(b) f is optimal iff $\forall i, \sum_{p \in P} p'_i f_p > 0$

$$\sum_{e \in P} 2a_e f_e + b_e \leq \sum_{e \in P'} 2a_e f_e + b_e$$

Lemma

f is Nash for $(G, r, l) \Rightarrow$

(i) $f/2$ is optimal for $(G, r/2, l)$

(Compare (a), (b) on previous page)

(ii) Marginal cost of increasing flow

on P w.r.t. $f/2$ equals latency δ

P w.r.t. f

(marginal cost δ^* for $\ell = ax + b$ is
 $(ax + b)x' = 2ax + b$)

i.e. $\ell^*(x/2) = \ell(x)$

Lemma

f^* is optimal for (G, r, l)

f is feasible for $(G, (1+s)r, l)$

$$\Rightarrow C(f) \geq C(f^*) + s \sum_i L_i^*(f^*) r_i$$

$L_i^*(f^*)$ is the minimum

marginal cost of increasing

flow along an $s_i - t_i$ path

w.r.t. f^* .

Proof

$$C(f) = \sum_e l_e(f_e) f_e$$

$$\geq \sum_e l_e(f_e^*) f_e^* + \sum_e (f_e - f_e^*) l_e^*(f_e^*)$$

$$= C(f^*) + \sum_i \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} l_p^*(r^*) (f_P - f_p^*)$$

$[L_i^*(f^*) \leq l_p^*(f^*)$, $\forall i, P \in \mathcal{P}_i$ and
equality holds, unless $f_p^* = 0$]

$$\geq C(f^*) + \sum_i L_i(f^*) \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} (f_P - f_p^*)$$

$$= C(f^*) + S \sum_{l=1}^k L_l^*(f^*) r_l$$

□

Theorem

If f is Nash for (G, r, l) then

$$C(f) \leq \frac{4}{3} C(\text{opt})$$

Proof

Take $S=1$ in previous lemma.

Let f^* be any feasible flow.

$$C(f^*) \geq C(\beta/2) + \sum_i L_i^*(\beta/2) \frac{r_i}{2}$$

||

$$= C(\beta/2) + \sum_i L_i(\beta) r_i$$

$$= C(\beta/2) + \frac{1}{2} C(f)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(f/2) &= \sum_e \frac{1}{4} a_e f_e^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_e f_e \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_e a_e f_e^2 + b_e f_e \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} C(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

□