NETWORK FLOWS

NETWORK FLOWS

A *network* consists of a loopless digraph D = (V, A) plus a function $c : A \to \mathbf{R}_+$. Here c(x, y) for $(x, y) \in A$ is the *capacity* of the edge (x, y).

We use the following notation: if $\phi : A \rightarrow R$ and S, T are (not necessarily disjoint) subsets of *V* then

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{S},\boldsymbol{T}) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{S} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{T}}} \phi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}).$$

Let s, t be distinct vertices. An s - t flow is a function $f : A \rightarrow R$ such that

 $f(v, V \setminus \{v\}) = f(V \setminus \{v\}, v)$ for all $v \neq s, t$.

In words: flow into v equals flow out of v.

▲ 伊 ▶ ▲ 王 ▶ ▲ 王 ▶ ● の Q @

An s - t flow is *feasible* if

 $0 \le f(x, y) \le c(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in A$.

An s - t cut is a partition of V into two sets S, \overline{S} such that $s \in S$ and $t \in \overline{S}$.

The value v_f of the flow f is given by

 $v_f = f(s, V \setminus \{s\}) - f(V \setminus \{s\}, s).$

Thus v_f is the net flow leaving s.

The *capacity* of the cut $S : \overline{S}$ is equal to $c(S, \overline{S})$.

NETWORK FLOWS

Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

Theorem

$$\max v_f = \min c(S, \bar{S})$$

where the maximum is over feasible s - t flows and the minimum is over s - t cuts.

Proof We observe first that

 $f(S,\bar{S}) - f(\bar{S},S) = (f(S,V) - f(S,S)) - (f(V,S) - f(S,S))$ = f(S,V) - f(V,S)= $v_f + \sum_{v \in S \setminus \{s\}} (f(v,V) - f(V,v))$ = v_f .

 $v_f \leq f(S, \overline{S}) \leq c(S, \overline{S}).$

So,

NETWORK FLOWS

< ロ > < @ > < E > < E > < E > < U > のへで

This implies that

$$\max v_f \leq \min c(S, \bar{S}). \tag{1}$$

Given a flow *t* we define a *flow augmenting path P* to be a sequence of distinct vertices $x_0 = s, x_1, x_2, ..., x_k = t$ such that for all *i*, either

(*x*_{*i*}, *x*_{*i*+1})
$$\in$$
 A and *f*(*x*_{*i*}, *x*_{*i*+1}) < *c*(*x*_{*i*}, *x*_{*i*+1}), or
(*x*_{*i*+1}, *x*_{*i*}) \in *A* and *f*(*x*_{*i*+1}, *x*_{*i*}) > 0.

If *P* is such a sequence, then we define $\theta_P > 0$ to be the minimum over *i* of $c(x_i, x_{i+1}) - f(x_i, x_{i+1})$ (Case (F1)) and $f(x_{i+1}, x_i)$ (Case (F2)).

Claim 1: *f* is a maximum value flow, iff there are no flow augmenting paths.

Proof If *P* is flow augmenting then define a new flow f' as follows:

- $f'(x_i, x_{i+1}) = f(x_i, x_{i+1}) + \theta_P$ or
- **2** $f'(x_{i+1}, x_i) = f(x_{i+1}, x_i) \theta_P$
- So For all other edges, (x, y), we have f'(x, y) = f(x, y).



We can see then that if there is a flow augmenting path then the new flow satisfies

 $V_{f'} = V_f + \theta_P > V_f.$

Let S_f denote the set of vertices v for which there is a sequence $x_0 = s, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k = v$ which satisfies F1, F2 of the definition of flow augmenting paths.

If $t \in S_f$ then the associated sequence defines a flow augmenting path. So, assume that $t \notin S_f$. Then we have,

- ② If $x \in S_f$, $y \in \overline{S}_f$, $(x, y) \in A$ then f(x, y) = c(x, y), else we would have $y \in S_f$.
- If $x \in S_f$, $y \in \overline{S}_f$, $(y, x) \in A$ then f(y, x) = 0, else we would have $y \in S_f$.

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_f &= f(\mathbf{S}_f, \bar{\mathbf{S}}_f) - f(\bar{\mathbf{S}}_f, \mathbf{S}) \\ &= \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{S}, \bar{\mathbf{S}}_f). \end{aligned}$$

We see from this and (1) that *f* is a flow of maximum value and that the cut $S_f : \overline{S}_f$ is of minimum capacity.

This finishes the proof of Claim 1 and the Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem.

Note also that we can construct S_f by beginning with $S_f = \{s\}$ and then repeatedly adding any vertex $y \notin S_f$ for which there is $x \in S_f$ such that F1 or F2 holds. (A simple inductive argument based on sequence length shows that all of S_f is constructed in this way.)

▲ □ > < □ >

Note also that we can construct S_f by beginning with $S_f = \{s\}$ and then repeatedly adding any vertex $y \notin S_f$ for which there is $x \in S_f$ such that F1 or F2 holds.

This defines an algorithm for finding a maximum flow. The construction either finishes with $t \in S_f$ and we can augment the flow.

Or, we find that $t \notin S_f$ and we have a maximum flow.

Note, that if all the capacities c(x, y) are integers and we start with the all zero flow then we find that θ_f is always a positive integer (formally one can use induction to verify this).

It follows that in this case, there is always a maximum flow that only takes integer values on the edges.

(1日) (日)

Let G = (A, B, E) be a bipartite graph with $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. A matching *M* is a set of edges that meets each vertex at most once. A matching is perfect if it meets each vertex.

Hall's theorem:

Theorem

G contains a perfect matching iff $|N(S)| \ge |S|$ for all $S \subseteq A$.

Here $N(S) = \{b \in B : \exists a \in A \text{ s.t. } \{a, b\} \in E\}.$

Define a digraph Γ by adding vertices $s, t \notin A \cup B$. Then add edges (s, a_i) and (b_i, t) of capacity 1 for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Orient the edges *E* for *A* to *B* and give them capacity ∞ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

G has a matching of size *m* iff there is an s - t flow of value *m*. An s - t cut $X : \overline{X}$ has capacity

 $|A \setminus X| + |B \cap X| + |\{a \in X \cap A, b \in B \setminus X : \{a, b\} \in E\}| \times \infty.$

It follows that to find a minimum cut, we need only consider X such that

$$\{a \in X \cap A, b \in B \setminus X : \{a, b\} \in E\} = \emptyset.$$
(2)

For such a set, we let $S = A \cap X$ and $T = X \cap B$. Condition (2) means that $T \supseteq N(S)$. The capacity of $X : \overline{X}$ is now (n - |S|) + |T| and for a fixed *S* this is minimised for T = N(S).

Thus, by the Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem

$$\max\{|M|\} = \min_{X} \{c(X : \bar{X})\} = \min_{S} \{n - |S| + |N(S)\}.$$

This implies Hall's theorem.

A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A

= 990

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. When is it possible to orient the edges of *G* to create a digraph $\Gamma = (V, A)$ so that every vertex has out-degree at least *d*. We say that *G* is *d*-orientable.

Theorem

G is d-orientable iff

 $|\{e \in E : e \cap S \neq \emptyset\}| \ge d|S|$ for all $S \subseteq V$.

Proof If *G* is *d*-orientable then

 $|\{e \in E : e \cap S \neq \emptyset\}| \ge |\{(x, y) \in A : x \in S\}| \ge d|S|.$

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・

(3)

Suppose now that (3) holds. Define a network *D* as follows; the vertices are s, t, V, E - yes, *D* has a vertex for each edge of *G*.

There is an edge of capacity *d* from *s* to each $v \in V$ and an edge of capacity one from each $e \in E$ to *t*. There is an edge of infinite capacity from $v \in V$ to each edge *e* that contains *v*.

Consider an integer flow *f*. Suppose that $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ and f(e, t) = 1. Then either f(v, e) = 1 or f(w, e) = 1. In the former we interpret this as orienting the edge *e* from *v* to *w* and in the latter from *w* to *v*.

Under this interpretation, *G* is *d*-orientable iff *D* has a flow of value d|V|.

・ロト ・ 『 ト ・ ヨ ト

Let $X : \overline{X}$ be an s - t cut in N. Let $S = X \cap V$ and $T = X \cap E$.

To have a finite capacity, there must be no $x \in S$ and $e \in E \setminus T$ such that $x \in e$.

So, the capacity of a finite capacity cut is at least

 $d(|V| - |S|) + |\{e \in E : e \cap S \neq \emptyset\}|$

And this is at least d|V| if (3) holds.



▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

■▶ ■ つへへ

Theorem

if

N

Let a_1, \ldots, a_m and b_1, \ldots, b_n be two sets of non-negative integers where $b_1 \ge \cdots \ge b_n$. Then there is an $m \times n \ 0 - 1$ matrix $M = (M_{i,j})$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{i,j} = b_{j}, j \in [n] \quad and \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{i,j} \le a_{i}, i \in [m] \qquad (4)$$

$$\int_{j=1}^{k} b_{j} \le \sum_{i \in A_{k}} a_{i} + k(m - |A_{k}|), \quad k = 0, \dots, n - 1, \qquad (5)$$
where $A_{k} = \{i : a_{i} < k\}$

NETWORK FLOWS

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Proof Suppose first that the matrix *M* exists. Fix *k* and observe that the number of 1's in the first *k* rows is $b_1 + \cdots + b_k$.

On the other hand the number of 1's in the whole matrix is at least $\sum_{i \in A_k} a_i + k(m - |A_k|)$ and so (5) holds.

Now suppose that (5) holds. Define a network *N* as follows; the vertices are *s*, *t*, *R*, *C* where $R = \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$, $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$.

There is an edge of capacity b_i from s to r_i , $i \in [n]$; an edge of capacity a_j from c_j to $t, j \in [n]$; an edge of capacity 1 from r_i to b_j .

Then matrix *M* exists if there is a flow *f* of value $b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ from *s* to *t*. It is defined by $M_{i,j} = f(r_i, c_j)$.

・ロ・ ・ 同・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

Let $X : \overline{X}$ be an s - t cut and let $S = X \cap R$, $T = X \cap C$ where |S| = k. The capacity of $X : \overline{X}$ is

$$\sum_{i \notin S} b_i + \sum_{j \in T} a_j + |S|(n - |T|)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i + \sum_{j \in A_k} a_j + k(n - |A_k|)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i + \left(\sum_{j \in A_k} a_j + k(n - |A_k|) - \sum_{i=1}^k b_i\right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i,$$

as we have assume that (5) holds. Applying the Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem, we see that there is a flow of value $b_1 + \cdots + b_n$.