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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1: (40pts)

How many ways are there of $k$-coloring the squares of the above picture if the
group acting is $e_0, e_2, p, q$ where $e_j$ is rotation by $2\pi j/4$ and $p, q$ are horizontal
and vertical reflections.
(All small squares are meant to be of the same size here).

Solution

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
g & e_0 & e_2 & p & q \\
|\text{Fix}(g)| & k^{17} & k^9 & k^{12} & k^{12} \\
\end{array}
\]

So the total number of colorings is

\[
\frac{k^{17} + k^9 + k^{12} + k^{12}}{4}.
\]
Q2: (40pts)
Consider the following take-away game: There is a pile of $n$ chips. A move consists of removing 1 or 4 chips. Determine the Sprague-Grundy numbers $g(n)$ for $n \geq 0$ and prove that they are what you claim.

**Solution:** After looking at the first few numbers $0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ one sees that

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 
0 & n = 0, 2 \mod 5 \\
1 & n = 1, 3 \mod 5 \\
2 & n = 4 \mod 5 
\end{cases}$$

We verify this by induction. It is true for $n \leq 10$ by inspection. For $n > 10$ we have that if $n = 5m + s$ then

$$g(n) = mex\{g(n-1), g(n-4)\} = mex\{g(5(m-1)+s+4), g(5(m-1)+s+1)\}$$

So, by induction

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 
mex\{g(5(m-1) + 4), g(5(m-1) + 1)\} = mex\{2, 1\} = 0 & s = 0 \\
mex\{g(5m), g(5(m-1) + 2)\} = mex\{0, 0\} = 1 & s = 1 \\
mex\{g(5m + 1), g(5(m-1) + 3)\} = mex\{1, 1\} = 0 & s = 2 \\
mex\{g(5m + 2), g(5(m-1) + 4)\} = mex\{0, 2\} = 1 & s = 3 \\
mex\{g(5m + 3), g(5m)\} = mex\{0, 1\} = 2 & s = 4 
\end{cases}$$

The result follows by induction.
Q3: (20pts)
In the game Split Nim a player removes chips from a non-empty pile and then if desired, has the further option of splitting the reduced pile into two non-empty piles (if the reduced pile has more than one chip). Show that Split Nim has the same N and P positions as ordinary Nim.

Solution: We prove this by induction on the total number $t$ of chips. $t = 0$ is a P position in both games.

Now suppose that $t > 0$ and the position is an N position for Nim. If the player uses regular Nim strategy then the resulting position is a P position for Nim and by induction this is a P position for Split Nim.

Suppose then that $t > 0$ and the position is a P position for Nim. Suppose that the pile sizes are $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$. Suppose that the player first removes chips to leave $p'_1$ chips in the first pile. We know that $p'_1 \oplus p_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_k \neq 0$ is an N position for Split Nim by induction.

So, suppose that the player now splits the first pile into two piles of size $a, b$. We will argue that $a \oplus b \oplus p_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_k \neq 0$. This is an N position for Nim and it will be an N position for Split Nim by induction. Suppose to the contrary that $a \oplus b \oplus p_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_k = 0$. We will argue that $c = a \oplus b \leq a + b$. It follows that the previous position was in fact an N position for Nim, since the player could have removed $p_1 - c$ chips and left a P position.

But if $a = \sum_i a_i 2^i$ and $b = \sum_i b_i 2^i$ then

$$a + b - (a \oplus b) = \sum_i (a_i + b_i - (a_i \oplus b_i))2^i \geq 0.$$