## 21-301 Combinatorics Homework 8 Due: Monday, November 14

1. Given any sequence of n integers, positive or negative, not necessarily all different, show that some consecutive subsequence has the property that the sum of the members of the subsequence is a multiple of n.

**Solution:** Let the sequence be  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  and let  $s_i = x_1 + \cdots + x_i$ mod n for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . If there exists i with  $s_i = 0$  then n divides  $x_1 + \cdots + x_i$ . Otherwise,  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$  all take values in [n - 1]. By the pigeon-hole principle, there exist i < j such that  $s_i = s_j$  and then n divides  $x_{i+1} + \cdots + x_j$ .

2. Suppose that  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in [n]$  and  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in [n]$ . An interval I is a set of the form  $\{i, i + 1, \ldots, j\}$ . Let  $a_I = a_i + a_{i+1} + \cdots + a_j$  and  $b_I = b_i + b_{i+1} + \cdots + b_j$ . Show that there exist intervals I, J such that  $a_I = b_J$ .

Hint: Assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i$ . Then show that for each k there exists j and  $R_k \in [0, n-1]$  such that  $\sum_{s=1}^{j} a_s = \sum_{t=1}^{k} b_t + R_k$ .

**Solution:** Now, for any k it is always possible to express  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i$  as  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i + R_k \ R_k \in [0, n-1]$  for some  $j = j(k) \in [0, n]$ . Indeed, either  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i < a_1$  and then we can take j = 1 and directly see that  $R_k = a_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \in [0, n-1]$ . Otherwise, take the largest j such that  $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i \ge 0$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i$  then there is nothing to prove and so we assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i > \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i$ . This implies that j < n. If  $S_k \ge n$  then  $S_{k+1} \ge 0$ , contradiction.

Consider the set of values  $R_k$ , for  $k \in [1, n]$ . If  $R_k = 0$ , for some k then we are done since  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j(k)} a_i$ . If not, there are only n-1 possible values for the n quantities  $R_1, \ldots, R_n$  and so there exist  $k_1 < k_2$  such that  $R_{k_1} = R_{k_2}$ . But then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{j(k_1)} a_i = R_{k_1} = R_{k_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{j(k_2)} a_i$$

and therefore,

$$\sum_{i=j_1}^{k_2} b_i = \sum_{i=j(k_1)}^{j(k_2)} a_i.$$

3. Prove that if  $n \geq R(2k, 2k)$  and if we 2-color the edges of  $K_{n,n}$  then there is a mono-chromatic copy of  $K_{k,k}$ .

**Solution:** Given a coloring  $\sigma$  of  $K_{n,n}$  we construct a coloring  $\tau$  of the edges of  $K_n$  as follows. If i < j then we give the edge (i, j) of  $K_n$  the same color that is given to edge (i, j) under  $\sigma$ .

Since  $n \geq R(2k, 2k)$  we see that  $K_n$  contains a mono-colored copy of  $K_{2k}$ . If the set of vertices of this copy is S, divide S into two parts  $S_1, S_2$  of size k where max  $S_1 < \min S_2$ . It follows that the bipartite sub-graph of  $K_{n,n}$  defined by  $S_1, S_2$  is mono-colored under  $\sigma$ .