HODGE-RIEMANN RELATIONS AND LORENTZIAN POLYNOMIALS

CHRISTOPHER EUR

ABSTRACT. We introduce the theory of Lorentzian polynomials, motivated by the log-concavity properties that volume polynomials of projective varieties satisfy due to the validity of Hodge-Riemann relations.

1. HODGE-RIEMANN RELATIONS

Let $A^{\bullet} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} A^{i}$ be a finitely generated, commutative, and graded \mathbb{R} -algebra, finite dimensional as an \mathbb{R} -vector space. For a linear map deg : $A^{d} \to \mathbb{R}$, the pair (A^{\bullet}, \deg) is said to satisfy *Poincare duality* if

(1) the degree map deg is an isomorphism, and

(2) for every i = 0, ..., d, the pairing $A^i \times A^{d-i} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \deg(\alpha \cdot \beta)$ is non-degenerate. Examples of such A^{\bullet} with Poincare duality include

- $-A^{\bullet} = H^{2\bullet}(X;\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} H^{2i}(X;\mathbb{R})$, the cohomology ring in even degrees of a compact orientable manifold X of real dimension 2d,
- $-A^{\bullet} = H^{\bullet, \bullet}(X; \mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} H^{i,i}(X; \mathbb{R})$, the cohomology ring of real (i, i)-forms on a compact Kähler manifold X of complex dimension d, and in particular,
- $-A^{\bullet} = A^{\bullet}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} A^{i}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, the Chow ring (tensored by \mathbb{R}) of a smooth complex projective variety X of dimension d that admits an affine stratification—for instance, if X is a smooth projective toric variety or a generalized flag variety.

In the latter two cases listed above, the ring A^{\bullet} satisfies further properties often referred to as "Lefschetz properties" or the "Kähler package." Let us focus on a particular portion:

Definition 1.1. Let (A^{\bullet}, \deg) be a Poincare duality \mathbb{R} -algebra with $d \ge 2$. An element $\ell \in A^1$ is said to satisfy the *Hodge-Riemann relations in degrees* ≤ 1 , abbreviated (HR^{≤ 1}), if

- $(HR^0) \deg(\ell^d) > 0$, and
- (HR¹) the symmetric bilinear pairing $A^1 \times A^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \deg(\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \ell^{d-2})$ is non-degenerate with exactly one positive eigenvalue.

For a subset \mathscr{K} in A^1 , a triple $(A^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K})$ is said to satisfy $(\mathrm{HR}^{\leq 1})$ if every $\ell \in \mathscr{K}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{HR}^{\leq 1})$. The triple $(A^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K})$ is said to satisfy the *mixed* $(\mathrm{HR}^{\leq 1})$ if the conditions (HR^0) and (HR^1) are satisfied with ℓ^d and ℓ^{d-2} replaced by the products $\ell_1 \cdots \ell_d$ and $\ell_1 \cdots \ell_{d-2}$ for any choice of $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d \in \mathscr{K}$.

We recall some classical results for a smooth complex projective variety X of dimension d. See [Laz04, §1.4 & §3.1] for details. The Lefschetz (1,1)-theorem states that $H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})$ equals the image of the map $c_1 : (\operatorname{Pic} X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$, so we will refer to the elements of $H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})$ as divisor classes. The degree map deg : $H^{d,d}(X;\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ making $H^{\bullet,\bullet}(X;\mathbb{R})$ into a Poincare duality algebra agrees with intersection theory: For divisors D_1, \ldots, D_d , their intersection multiplicity as elements in the Chow ring of X is equal to deg $(c_1(D_1) \cdots c_1(D_d))$. Let $\mathscr{K}(X) \subset H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})$ be the *ample cone* of X, which is the cone generated by the classes of ample divisors on X, and let $\mathscr{K}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the set of classes of ample Q-divisors on X.

CHRISTOPHER EUR

Theorem 1.2. The triple $(H^{\bullet,\bullet}(X;\mathbb{R}), \deg, \mathscr{K}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfies mixed $(\mathrm{HR}^{\leq 1})$.

Proof. Taking multiples if necessary, we may assume that ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_d are classes of very ample divisors D_1, \ldots, D_d . Then, by Bertini's theorem, we may assume that the intersection $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_d$ is a collection of nonsingular points, and that $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_{d-2}$ is a smooth projective surface Y. Hence, $\deg(\ell_1 \cdots \ell_d) = #(D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_d) > 0$, proving mixed (HR⁰). Writing $\alpha|_Y$ for the restriction of a divisor α on X to Y, we have $\deg(\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \ell_1 \cdots \ell_{d-2}) = \deg(\alpha|_Y \cdot \beta|_Y)$. Repeated application of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem implies that the restriction map $H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R}) \to H^{1,1}(Y;\mathbb{R})$ defined by $(\cdot) \mapsto (\cdot)|_Y$ is injective. Thus, the symmetric bilinear form $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \deg(\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \ell_1 \cdots \ell_{d-1})$ is a restriction of the symmetric bilinear form on $H^{1,1}(Y;\mathbb{R})$ defined by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \deg(\alpha \cdot \beta)$. The latter bilinear form (before restricting) is non-degenerate with exactly one positive eigenvalue by the Hodge index theorem for surfaces [Har77, V.1.9]. Thus, noting that restrictions of ample divisors are ample, we conclude by Cauchy's interlacing theorem (Lemma 2.2.(a)) that the original symmetric bilinear form on $H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is non-degenerate with exactly one positive eigenvalue, verifying mixed (HR¹) as desired.

A key lesson from the above proof of Theorem 1.2 is that, after applying the classical Bertini theorem and Lefschetz hyperplane theorem to reduce dimension, the crux of the Hodge-Riemann relations in degrees ≤ 1 , i.e. its the signature conditions, amounts to the Hodge index theorem for surfaces. In **Exercise 1**, you are asked to verify explicitly (HR^{≤ 1}) for cohomology rings of some smooth complex projective varieties.

Remark 1.3. An element $\ell \in A^1$ is said to satisfy the *hard Lefschetz properties* (in degree ≤ 1) if $\ell^d \neq 0$ and the symmetric bilinear pairing is non-degenerate. In other words, the "new" content of (HR^{≤ 1}) for rings with hard Lefschetz properties is the imposed signature properties. Theorem 1.2 combined with the validity of hard Lefschetz properties for $H^{\bullet,\bullet}(X;\mathbb{R})$ implies mixed (HR^{≤ 1}) for the triple ($H^{\bullet,\bullet}(X;\mathbb{R})$, deg, $\mathscr{K}(X)$).

2. LOG-CONCAVITY

A nonnegative sequence (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_d) is *log-concave* if $c_i^2 \ge c_{i-1}c_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$, and has no internal zeros if $c_i c_j > 0 \implies c_k > 0$ for all $0 \le i < k < j \le d$. A real-valued function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is *log-concave* at a point $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if f(w) > 0 and the Hessian matrix of $\log f$ is negative semidefinite at w. The (HR^{≤ 1}) property leads to two related log-concave properties.

Proposition 2.1. Let a triple $(A^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K})$ satisfy mixed $(HR^{\leq 1})$.

- (a) For two elements α and β in the closure of \mathcal{K} , the sequence (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_d) defined by $c_i = \deg(\alpha^{d-i}\beta^i)$ is log-concave with no internal zeros.
- (b) For elements η_1, \ldots, η_n in A^1 , let us define a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[w_1, \ldots, w_n]$, called the *volume* polynomial with respect to η_1, \ldots, η_n , by

$$f(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \frac{1}{d!} \deg \left((\eta_1 w_1 + \dots + \eta_n w_n)^d \right)$$

=
$$\sum_{\substack{a_1 + \dots + a_n = d \\ a_1, \dots, a_n \ge 0}} \deg (\eta_1^{a_1} \cdots \eta_n^{a_n}) \frac{w_1^{a_1} \cdots w_n^{a_n}}{a_1! \cdots a_n!}$$

If η_1, \ldots, η_n are in the closure of \mathscr{K} , then f has nonnegative coefficients, and as a real-valued function $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, it is either identically zero, or is log-concave on the positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$.

When the triple is $(H^{\bullet,\bullet}(X;\mathbb{R}), \deg, \mathscr{K}(X))$ for a smooth projective variety X, Proposition 2.1.(a) is known classically as Khovanskii-Teissier inequalities. The volume polynomial measures the volumes of Newton-Okounkov bodies associated to (nef) divisors [LM09, KK12]. As such, its log-concavity properties are closely related to the classical Brunn-Minkowski inequalities and Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities of volumes of convex bodies. See [Laz04, §1.6] for a survey of these results.

Proof. One can verify that a limit of log-concave positive sequences are log-concave with no internal zeros. Thus, the conditions to be met in both (a) and (b) are closed conditions, so we may assume $\alpha, \beta, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ to be elements of \mathscr{K} (not just the closure of \mathscr{K}). In this case, both statements follow from Cauchy's interlacing theorem and related results: For (a), setting $\gamma_i = \alpha^{d-i-1}\beta^{i-1}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$, we have that the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \deg(\alpha^2\gamma_i) & \deg(\alpha\beta\gamma_i) \\ \deg(\alpha\beta\gamma_i) & \deg(\beta^2\gamma_i) \end{bmatrix}$ has a non-positive determinant by combining mixed (HR^{≤ 1}) with Lemma 2.2.(a). For (b), the nonnegativity of coefficients follows from mixed (HR⁰), and at every $u \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, consider the symmetric bilinear pairing in (HR¹) with $\ell = u_1\eta_1 + \cdots + u_n\eta_n$, which is non-degenerate with exactly one positive eigenvalue. The restriction to span (η_1, \ldots, η_n) of this pairing also is non-degenerate with exactly one positive eigenvalue by Lemma 2.2.(a), and its matrix with respect to the spanning set η_1, \ldots, η_n is the Hessian matrix $\mathcal{H}_f(u)$ of f at u, which may be singular but still has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

A key lesson from the proof of Proposition 2.1 is that limiting arguments, which are quite useful, result in certain additional constraints (e.g. no internal zeros) that cannot be detected from looking only at the quadric case (i.e. the "surface case").

Next two lemmas, used in the proofs above, are variations on the theme of Cauchy's interlacing theorem.

Lemma 2.2. [Ser10, §6] For an $n \times n$ real symmetric (or more generally Hermitian) matrix A, set $\lambda_1(A) \le \cdots \le \lambda_n(A)$ to be the eigenvalues of A.

(a) If B is a principal $m \times m$ submatrix of A, then

$$\lambda_i(A) \le \lambda_i(B) \le \lambda_{n-m+i}(A)$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$. In particular, if m = n - 1 then

$$\lambda_1(A) \le \lambda_1(B) \le \dots \le \lambda_{n-1}(A) \le \lambda_{n-1}(B) \le \lambda_n(A).$$

(b) For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $B = A + vv^T$, a rank 1 modification of A. Then, one has

$$\lambda_1(A) \le \lambda_1(B) \le \dots \le \lambda_{n-1}(A) \le \lambda_{n-1}(B) \le \lambda_n(A) \le \lambda_n(B).$$

One can use Lemma 2.2.(b) to show:

Lemma 2.3. [BH20, Proposition 2.33] A nonzero homogeneous polynomial f of degree ≥ 2 with nonnegative coefficients is log-concave on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n}$ if and only if the Hessian matrix of f has exactly one positive eigenvalue on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n}$.

CHRISTOPHER EUR

3. LORENTZIAN POLYNOMIALS

For a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[w_1, \dots, w_n]$ of degree $d \ge 2$, the graded \mathbb{R} -algebra

$$A_{f}^{\bullet} = \frac{\mathbb{R}[\partial_{1}, \dots, \partial_{n}]}{\left\langle g \in \mathbb{R}[\partial_{1}, \dots, \partial_{n}] \mid g \cdot f = 0 \text{ where } \partial_{i}\text{'s act on } f \text{ by partial derivatives } \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}} \right\rangle}$$

is a Poincare duality algebra with deg : $A_f^d \to \mathbb{R}$ given by deg $(g) = g \cdot f/d!$. It is straightforward to check that f is the volume polynomial of A_f^{\bullet} with respect to $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n$. In other words,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{homogeneous polynomials} \\ \text{in } \mathbb{R}[w_1, \dots, w_n] \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow[A_f]{\text{volume polynomial}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{graded quotient rings of } \mathbb{R}[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n] \\ \text{that are Poincare duality algebras} \end{array} \right\}$$

For our key question, let us define $\mathscr{K}_f := \{a_1\partial_1 + \cdots + a_n\partial_n \mid a_1, \ldots, a_n > 0\} \subset A_f^1$.

Question 3.1. When does the triple $(A_f^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K}_f)$ satisfy mixed $(HR^{\leq 1})$? That is, how do we extend the correspondence above when we further impose mixed $(HR^{\leq 1})$ on the right-hand-side?

Let H_n^d be the space of all homogeneous degree d polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[w_1, \ldots, w_n]$. The support of $f \in H_n^d$, denoted $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ is the set of exponent vectors of the monomials appearing in f with nonzero coefficients. We write $d\Delta_n = \{ \boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \mid m_1 + \cdots + m_n = d \}$. A subset $S \subseteq d\Delta_n$ is *M*-convex if for any $\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m}' \in S$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $m_i > m'_i$, there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $m_j < m'_j$ and $\boldsymbol{m} - e_i + e_j$ and $\boldsymbol{m}' - e_j + e_i \in S$. Equivalently, S is M-convex if it is the set of lattice points of a generalized permutohedra, which are polytopes characterized by each edge being parallel to $e_i - e_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Theorem 3.2. For $d \ge 2$, the following four subsets of H_n^d coincide.

(I) The space of *Lorentzian polynomials*, defined as the closure in H_n^d of the subset

$$\mathring{L_n^d} = \left\{ f \in H_n^d \middle| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ has positive coefficients (with support } d\Delta_n), \text{ and} \\ \text{for every choice of } i_1, \dots, i_{d-2}, \text{ the quadratic form} \\ \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_{d-2}} f \text{ has the Lorentzian signature } (+, -, \cdots, -) \right\}.$$

(II) The set L_n^d defined as

$$L_n^d = \left\{ f \in H_n^d \middle| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ has nonnegative coefficients with M-convex support, and} \\ \text{for every choice of } i_1, \dots, i_{d-2}, \text{ the quadratic form} \\ \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_{d-2}} f \text{ has at most one positive eigenvalue} \end{array} \right\}.$$

(III) The space of completely log-concave polynomials, defined as

$$\left\{ f \in H_n^d \middle| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ has nonnegative coefficients, and for every nonnegative } m \times n \text{ matrix } (a_{ij}), \\ (a_{11}\partial_1 + \dots + a_{1n}\partial_n) \cdots (a_{m1}\partial_1 + \dots + a_{mn}\partial_n) \cdot f \\ \text{ is either identically zero or log-concave on } \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \end{array} \right\}$$

(IV) The set of volume polynomials of Poincare duality algebras satisfying mixed ($HR^{\leq 1}$), that is, the set

$$\left\{ f \in H_n^d \ \middle| \ \text{the triple} \ (A_f^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K}_f) \ \text{satisfies mixed} \ (\mathrm{HR}^{\leq 1}) \right\}.$$

Proof. The equivalence of (I) and (II) is [BH20, Theorem 2.25], and of (II) and (III) is [BH20, Theorem 2.30]. The inclusion (IV) \subseteq (I) follows from a minor modification of the proof of Proposition 2.1.(b), which yields that f in (IV) is a limit of polynomials in \mathring{L}_n^d . For the inclusion (III) \subseteq (IV), checking mixed (HR⁰) is immediate. To check mixed (HR¹), by Lemma 2.3 it suffices to verify the non-degeneracy of the pairing on A_f^1 given by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \deg(\alpha\beta\ell^{d-2})$ for any $\ell \in \mathscr{K}_f$, the so-called (unmixed) hard Lefschetz property in degree 1. This can be done by an inductive procedure often used in proving the Kähler package; see for instance [MNY21, Theorem 3.8] for details.

In Exercise 2, you are asked to verify that the converses of the following implications are false.

f is Lorentzian \implies the triple $(A_f^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K}_f)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{HR}^{\leq 1}) \implies f$ is log-concave on $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$.

Lorentzian polynomials generalize volume polynomials of projective varieties in the following way.

Corollary 3.3. [BH20, Theorem 4.6] Let η_1, \ldots, η_n be elements in the closure of the ample cone of a (not necessarily smooth) projective variety over an algebraically closed field (of arbitrary characteristic). Then, the corresponding volume polynomial is Lorentzian.

In particular, the support of such volume polynomial is M-convex, which generalizes [CCRL⁺20, Proposition 5.4]. You are asked to prove Corollary 3.3 in **Exercise 3**. Finding Lorentzian polynomials that do not arise as a volume polynomial as given in Corollary 3.3 is an open problem [BH20, Question 4.9]. We remark that Lorentzian polynomials also generalize *stable polynomials* or *hyperbolic polynomials*, which are multivariate generalizations of real-rooted univariate polynomials; see [Wag11] for a survey. The closely related concept of completely log-concave polynomials appeared in [AOGV18].

Lorentzian polynomials are preserved various operations [BH20, §3]. We only mention one kind here, nonnegative linear tranformations, stated below. For a characterization of linear operators on the space of polynomials that preserve Lorentzian polynomials, see [BH20, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 3.4. [BH20, Theorem 2.10] Let $f \in H_n^d$ be a Lorentzian polynomial, and A a nonnegative $n \times m$ matrix. Then $f(Av) \in H_m^d \subset \mathbb{R}[v_1, \ldots, v_m]$ is a Lorentzian polynomial.

We now list some applications of Lorentzian polynomials to combinatorics. While many of the applications are in matroid theory, we speculate that this is more a reflection of the fact that matroid theory happened to be a research focus of the developers of Lorentzian polynomials.

- In [BH18] (reproduced in [BH20, §4.3]) and [ALOGV18], the authors showed that the multivariate Tutte polynomial of a matroid *M* is Lorentzian, and consequently deduced Mason's conjecture on the ultra-log-concavity of the number of independent subsets of varying sizes.
- The authors of [EH20] generalized the previous item to morphisms of matroids.
- The authors of [BES19] showed that the volume polynomial with respect to a certain set of generators for the Chow ring a matroid is Lorentzian, and consequently deduced a simplified proof of the Hodge theory of matroids in degrees ≤ 1, developed originally in [AHK18].
- The authors of [HMMSD19] showed that normalized Schur polynomials are Lorentzian, and consequently deduced a special case of Okounkov's conjecture on the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.
- The authors of [BLP20] used the theory of Lorentzian polynomials to give new lower bounds on the volumes of flow and transportation polytopes.

CHRISTOPHER EUR

4. EXERCISES

Exercise 1. Getting familiar with $(HR^{\leq 1})$ on projective varieties

For each smooth projective variety X below, explicitly verify $(\operatorname{HR}^{\leq 1})$ for the triple $(R^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K}(X))$.

- (a) $X = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.
- (b) $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

A boundary element in the closure of $\mathscr{K}(X)$ often fails to satisfy $(\mathrm{HR}^{\leq 1})$ in several ways. Let $X = \mathrm{Bl}_p \mathbb{P}^3$, the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 at a point, which is the closure in $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of the rational map $\mathbb{P}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given as the projection from the point p. Its ample cone is a 2-dimensional cone with two boundary rays, corresponding to the two distinguished maps $X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ and $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$.

(c) Show that one boundary ray gives a base-point-free divisor for which (HR^0) holds but (HR^1) fails, and that the other gives a base-point-free divisor for which (HR^0) fails but (HR^1) holds.

For those not familiar with cohomology rings of complex varieties and their ample cones, see the bottom of this page for the restatement of Exercise 1 in a purely algebraic language.

Exercise 2. Normalization and log-concave sequences

The normalization N(f) of a polynomial f is obtained by replacing each monomial $w_1^{m_1} \cdots w_n^{m_n}$ appearing in f with $\frac{w_1^{m_1} \cdots w_n^{m_n}}{m_1! \cdots m_n!}$.

(a) Let f be a bivariate homogeneous polynomial $f = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i x^i y^{d-i} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[x, y]$ of degree d with nonnegative coefficients. Show that

N(f) is Lorentzian $\iff (c_0, \ldots, c_d)$ is log-concave with no internal zeros.

- (b) Let $f = x^3y + xy^3$. Conclude from (a) that f is not Lorentzian. Verify that: f is log-concave on $\mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$, but the triple $(A_f^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K}_f)$ fails (HR^{≤ 1}).
- (c) Let $f = x^3y + x^2y^2 + xy^3$. Conclude from (a) that f is not Lorentzian. Verify that: The triple $(A_f^{\bullet}, \deg, \mathscr{K}_f)$ satisfies (HR^{≤ 1}), so f is log-concave on $\mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$, but the triple fails mixed (HR^{≤ 1}).

To go beyond bivariate polynomials, let us define the following notion. For a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[w_1, \ldots, w_n]$ of degree d with nonnegative coefficients, we say that its coefficients form a *log-concave simplex* if, for any $1 \le i < j \le n$ and a monomial w^m of degree $d' \le d$, the coefficients of $\{w_i^k w_i^{d-d'-k} w^m\}_{0 \le k \le d-d'}$ in f form a log-concave sequence.

- (d) Show that if N(f) is Lorentzian, then the coefficients of f form a log-concave simplex.
- (e) In contrast to the bivariate case, give an example of a polynomial f whose support is M-convex and whose coefficients form a log-concave simplex, but N(f) is not Lorentzian.

Exercise 3. Volume polynomials are Lorentzian

Prove Corollary 3.3 by adapting the proof of Theorem 1.2 and the proof of Proposition 2.1.(b). You may use resolution of singularities for surfaces (which is valid in arbitrary characteristic).

Exercise 1 without geometry

(a) The ring is $A^{\bullet} = \mathbb{R}[x, y]/\langle x^3, y^3 \rangle$ with deg : $x^2y^2 \mapsto 1$ and $\mathscr{K} = \{ax + by \mid a, b > 0\}$. (b) The ring is $A^{\bullet} = \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]/\langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle$ with deg : $xyz \mapsto 1$ and $\mathscr{K} = \{ax + by + cz \mid a, b, c > 0\}$. (c) The ring is $A^{\bullet} = \mathbb{R}[h, e]/\langle he, h^3 - e^3 \rangle$ with deg : $h^3 \mapsto 1$ and $\mathscr{K} = \{ah + b(h - e) \mid a, b > 0\}$.

REFERENCES

- [AHK18] Karim Adiprasito, June Huh, and Eric Katz. Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 188(2):381–452, 2018.
- [ALOGV18] Nima Anari, Kuikui Liu, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant. Log-concave polynomials iii: Mason's ultralog-concavity conjecture for independent sets of matroids. https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.01600, 2018.
- [AOGV18] Nima Anari, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant. Log-concave polynomials, entropy, and a deterministic approximation algorithm for counting bases of matroids. In 59th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science—FOCS 2018, pages 35–46. IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2018.
- [BES19] Spencer Backman, Christopher Eur, and Connor Simpson. Simplicial generation of chow rings of matroids. https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.07114, 2019.
- [BH18] Petter Brändén and June Huh. Hodge-riemann relations for potts model partition functions. https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.01696, 2018.
- [BH20] Petter Brändén and June Huh. Lorentzian polynomials. Ann. of Math. (2), 192(3):821–891, 2020.
- [BLP20] Petter Brändén, Jonathan Leake, and Igor Pak. Lower bounds for contingency tables via lorentzian polynomials. https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.05907, 2020.
- [CCRL⁺20] Federico Castillo, Yairon Cid-Ruiz, Binglin Li, Jonathan Montaño, and Naizhen Zhang. When are multidegrees positive? Adv. Math., 374:107382, 34, 2020.
- [EH20] Christopher Eur and June Huh. Logarithmic concavity for morphisms of matroids. Adv. Math., 367:107094, 2020.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. Algebraic geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [HMMSD19] June Huh, Jacob Matherne, Karola Mészáros, and Avery St. Dizier. Logarithmic concavity of schur and related polynomials. https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.09633, 2019.
- [KK12] Kiumars Kaveh and A. G. Khovanskii. Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory. Ann. of Math. (2), 176(2):925–978, 2012.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. Positivity in algebraic geometry. I, volume 48 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [LM09] Robert Lazarsfeld and Mircea Mustață. Convex bodies associated to linear series. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 42(5):783–835, 2009.
- [MNY21] Satoshi Murai, Takahiro Nagaoka, and Akiko Yazawa. Strictness of the log-concavity of generating polynomials of matroids. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 181:Paper No. 105351, 22, 2021.
- [Ser10] Denis Serre. *Matrices*, volume 216 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2010. Theory and applications.
- [Wag11] David G. Wagner. Multivariate stable polynomials: theory and applications. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 48(1):53– 84, 2011.