## CA LECTURE 5

## SCRIBE: YIMU YIN

**Definition 1.** An *R*-module *M* is Noetherian (N'ian) iff every submodule  $N \leq M$  is fg.

In particular a N'ian M is fg.

**Definition 2.** A ring R is N'ian iff R is N'ian as an R-module, that is to say every ideal of R is fg.

Here are some alternative characterisations:

Claim 1. Let M be an R-module. The following are equivalent:

- (1) M is N'ian.
- (2) Every increasing chain  $M_0 \leq M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  stabilises, that is there is N such that  $M_n = M_N$  for  $n \geq N$ .
- (3) Every non-empty set X of submodules of M has an element N which is maximal under inclusion, that is to say  $N \in X$  and  $N \subseteq N' \in X \implies N = N'$ .

*Proof.* 1 implies 2:  $\bigcup M_i$  is a submodule, and if X is a finite generating set then we can find N such that  $X \subseteq M_N$ .

2 implies 3: If 3 fails we can construct by induction an infinite strictly increasing sequence of elements in X.

3 implies 1: Suppose that  $N \leq M$  is not fg and then construct by induction a sequence of elements  $n_i \in N$  such that  $n_i \notin N_i = (n_i : i < j)_R$ . The set of submodules  $\{N_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has no maximal element.

**Theorem 1.** Let M be an R-module and  $N \leq M$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is N'ian.
- (2) Both N and M/N are N'ian.

*Proof.* Suppose first that M is N'ian. Every submodule of N is a submodule of M hence fg. Every submodule of M/N has form  $\overline{M}/N$  where  $N \leq \overline{M} \leq M$ ,  $\overline{M}$  is fg and (taking cosets of a finite set of generators) we see that  $\overline{M}/N$  is fg.

Now suppose that both N and M/N are N'ian and let  $A \leq M$ . Choose finite generating sets  $\{b_i\}$  for  $A \cap N \leq N$  and  $\{c_j + N\}$  for  $(A + N)/N \leq M/N$ , making sure that  $c_j \in A$ . Let  $a \in A$  be arbitrary and express a + N in terms of the cosets  $c_j + N$ , that is  $a + N = \sum_j r_j(c_j + N)$ . Now  $a - \sum_j r_jc_j \in N$  and also in A, so we may express it as  $\sum_i s_i b_i$ . It follows that the  $c_j$  and  $b_i$  together generate A.

Remark: let R be a Noetherian ring and I an ideal of R. Then R/I is a Noetherian R-module and so easily is itself a Noetherian ring.

**Theorem 2.** If R is N'ian then all fg R-modules are N'ian.

*Proof.* Let  $M = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)_R$  be an fg *R*-module. The map  $(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \mapsto \sum_i r_i m_i$  is a surjective *R*-module HM from  $R^n$  to M, so M is isomorphic to  $R^n/N$  for some  $N \leq R^n$ .

So it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a N'ian  $\mathbb{R}$ -module for all n which we do by induction. The base case is just the hypothesis that  $\mathbb{R}$  is a N'ian ring.

For the induction step: let N be the submodule of  $R^{n+1}$  consisting of elements  $(r, 0, \ldots 0)$  so that  $N \simeq R$  and  $R^{n+1}/N \simeq R^n$  as R-modules. Now use the last result.

**Theorem 3.** (Hilbert Basissatz) If R is a N'ian ring then R[x] is a N'ian ring.

Before starting proof, let us stress that R[x] is NOT a N'ian *R*-module.

*Proof.* Let I be an ideal of R[x]. Define  $I_n$  to be the subset of R consisting of those  $a_n$  such that for some choice of  $a_0, \ldots a_{n-1}$  we have  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in I$ . Easily  $I_n$  is an ideal of R, and since  $f \in I \implies xf \in I$  we see also that  $I_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$ .

Find N such that  $I_n = I_N$  for  $n \ge N$ , and choose for each  $i \le N$  a finite set  $\{f_{i,j}\}$  of polynomials in I of degree i whose leading coefficients generate  $I_i$  as an ideal of R. We claim the set of all  $f_{i,j}$  generates I as an ideal of R[x]. We show that every  $h \in I$  can be generated by induction on deg(h). If  $deg(h) = M \ge N$  then the leading coefficient of h is in  $I_M = I_N$ , so that subtracting a suitable R-linear combination of polynomials  $x^{M-n}f_{N,j}$  we produce a polynomial in I of smaller degree (or zero). Similarly if deg(h) = i < N then we may substract an R-linear combination of polynomials  $f_{i,j}$  to produce a polynomial of smaller degree (or zero).

(Thanks to Peter Lumsdaine and Lars Aiken for smoothing the proof I gave in class).

Now suppose that R and S are rings with  $R \leq S$ . We discuss two important "finiteness conditions" that S may satisfy over R.

**Definition 3.** S is module-finite over R iff S is fg as an R-module, that is  $S = (s_1, \ldots s_n)_R$  for some  $s_i \in S$ .

If  $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$  we denote by  $R[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$  the least subring of S containing  $R \cup \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ . It is easy to see that the map  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to R[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$  given by  $f \mapsto f(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$  is a surjective HM of rings, so that  $R[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$  is isomorphic to  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$  for some ideal I.

**Definition 4.** S is ring-finite over R iff S is fg as an R-algebra, that is  $S = R[s_1, \ldots s_n]$  for some  $s_i \in S$ .

**Theorem 4.** If  $R \leq S$ , R is a N'ian ring and S is ring-finite over R then S is a N'ian ring.

*Proof.* By repeated application of the Bassisatz  $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is N'ian and as we saw above S is IM'ic to a quitient of this polynomial ring.