## CA LECTURE 4

## SCRIBE: JONATHAN GROSS

Let R be a ring.

**Definition 1.** The nilradical of R is  $\sqrt{0}$ .

Claim 1.  $\sqrt{0} = \bigcap \{P : P \text{ prime }\}$ 

*Proof.* We already saw that  $\sqrt{0} \subseteq \bigcap \{P : P \text{ prime}\}$ . Suppose  $a \in R$  is not nilpotent, and let  $S = \{1, a, a^2, \ldots\}$ . Note that  $0 \notin S$  and S is multiplicatively closed, so any maximal element in  $\{I : I \cap S = \emptyset, I \text{ ideal}\}$  is a prime ideal not containing a.  $\Box$ 

If a is a unit, then (a) = R so a is not in any maximal ideal. If a is a nonunit, then  $(a) \neq R$ , so (a) can be extended to a maximal ideal of R.

From this, we can conclude that  $\bigcup \{M : M \text{ maximal ideal}\}\$  is the set of nonunits.

**Definition 2.** We say R is local iff R has exactly one maximal ideal.

Claim 2. R is local iff the set of nonunits in R forms an ideal.

**Definition 3.** The Jacobson radical of R (denoted  $\mathfrak{J}$ ) is the intersection of all maximal ideals.

**Claim 3.** Let M be a maximal ideal, and let  $r \in R$ . Then,  $r \notin M$  iff there is  $s \in R$  such that  $rs - 1 \in M$ .

*Proof.* Note that R/M is a field as M is maximal, so  $r \notin M$  iff  $r + M \neq 0$  in R/M iff r + M unit. So this is true iff there is  $s \in R$  such that 1 + M = (r + M)(s + M) = rs + M, or  $rs - 1 \in M$ .

Now,  $r \notin \mathfrak{J}$  iff there is M maximal such that  $r \notin M$ . From the previous lemma,  $r \notin M$  iff there is  $s \in R$  such that  $rs - 1 \in M$ . Taking the contrapositive, we see that  $r \in \mathfrak{J}$  iff for all M maximal and all  $s \in R$ ,  $rs - 1 \notin M$ . This is equivalent to saying that for all  $s \in R$  rs - 1 is a unit. For cosmetic reasons we we rewrite the conclusion as  $r \in \mathfrak{J}$  iff 1 + rs is a unit for all  $s \in R$ .

**Definition 4.** We say that M is an R-module iff

- (1) (M, +) is an abelian group
- (2) There is a map  $R \times M \to M$  that maps  $(r, m) \mapsto rm$  such that
  - (a)  $r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2$
  - (b) (rs)m = r(sm)
  - (c)  $(r_1 + r_2)m = r_1m + r_2m$
  - (d) 1m = m, 0m = 0

Example: Let  $\phi : R \to S$  be a ring HM. Define scalar multiplication  $R \times S \to S$  by  $(r, s) \mapsto \phi(r)s$ . NOTE: As in this course we are assuming  $\phi(1_R) = 1_S$ , this makes S into an R-module.

**Definition 5.** An *R*-algebra is a ring *S* together with a ring  $HM R \rightarrow S$ .

Note: If R is a ring, then R is an R-module.

**Definition 6.** Let M be an R-module. Then,  $N \subseteq M$  is a submodule of M (we write  $N \leq M$ ) iff

- (1)  $(N,+) \leq (M,+)$
- (2)  $\forall r \in R, \forall n \in N, rn \in N.$

Note: The R-submodules of R are the ideals.

If  $N \leq M$ , then M/N has a module structure by r(m+N) = rm + N. This is well-defined since  $m_1 + N = m_2 + N$  iff  $m_1 - m_2 \in N$ , so  $r(m_1 - m_2) \in N$ .

**Definition 7.** If M, N are R-modules, then  $\phi : M \to N$  is a module HM iff

- (1)  $\phi(m_1 + m_2) = \phi(m_1) + \phi(m_2)$
- (2)  $\phi(rm) = r\phi(m)$ .

First IM theorem:  $im(\phi) \cong M/\ker(\phi)$ .

Claim 4. Let M be an R-module,  $X \subseteq M$ . The least submodule of M containing X is

$$(X)_R =_{\text{def}} \{ \sum_{\text{finite}} r_i x_i : r_i \in R, x_i \in X \}$$

**Definition 8.** We say M is finitely generated(fg) iff there is  $X \subseteq M$  finite such that  $(X)_R = M$ .

Fact: There is an integral domain R and a fg R-module M such that not all submodules of M are fg

Example: Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots] = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_i]$ . Let M = R, and let  $N = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)_R$ . Note that  $M = (1)_R$ , so M is f.g. However, N is not f.g. Suppose that  $N = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)_R$ . Choose m so large that all variables appearing in the  $f_i$ s are  $x_j$  for some j < m. As  $x_m \in N$ , we have  $x_m = \sum g_i f_i$  for some  $g_i \in R$ . Set  $x_j = 0$  for j < m and  $x_m = 1$  to get a contradiction, as all polynomials in N have no constant term.