## CA LECTURE 30

## SCRIBE: LARS AIKEN

Recall the setting from last time: R is graded N'ian ring,  $R_0$  is a subring, we have fixed homogeneous generators  $h_1, \ldots h_k$ . M is a fg graded R-module so as we saw each  $M_n$  is fg as an  $R_0$ -module.  $\lambda$  is additive on fg  $R_0$ -modules.  $P(M, t) = \sum_n \lambda(M_n)t^n$ .

Cultural note: this is a "generating function" of the sort beloved by combinatorists.

Thm: P(M,t) is of the form

$$\frac{f}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1-t^{deg(h_k)})}$$

for some  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ .

Pf: By induction on k. If k = 0 then  $R = R_0$ , and since M is fg we see (look at grading) that  $M_n = 0$  for large n. So  $\lambda(M_n) = 0$  for large n and P(M, t) is a polynomial.

The idea of the proof is used again below so we do a more general version than is needed here. Let  $r \in R_s$ , that is r is a homogeneous element of degree s. Consider the map  $\alpha_r : M \to M$  given by  $m \mapsto rm$ . It is linear so if  $K = ker(\alpha_r) = \{m : rm = 0\}$  and  $L = coker(\alpha) = M/rM$  then we get an exact

$$0 \to K \to M \to M \to L \to 0$$

A priori this is just a sequence of *R*-modules but easily  $K = \bigoplus_n (K \cap M_n)$  and  $L = \bigoplus_n M_n / r M_{n-s}$  (by convention  $M_i = 0$  for i < 0) so *K* and *L* are graded *R*-modules. Breaking it up level by level we get for each *n* an exact sequence of  $R_0$ -modules

$$0 \to K_n \to M_n \to M_{n+s} \to L_{n+s} = M_{n+s}/rM_n \to 0$$

Since  $\lambda$  is additive we get

$$\lambda(K_n) - \lambda(M_n) + \lambda(M_{n+s}) - \lambda(L_{n+s}) = 0$$

Multiplying by  $t^{n+s}$  and summing over n we get

$$t^{s}P(K,t) - t^{s}P(M,t) + P(M,t) - P(L,t) + g = 0$$

where  $g = \sum_{i < s} (\lambda(L_i)t^i - \lambda(M_i)t^i)$ . Observe that by construction r "annihilates" K and L, that is rK = 0 and rL = 0.

Now let  $r = h_k$  so now  $s = deg(h_k)$ . We observe that since R is N'ian and M is fg, each of K and L is fg as an R-module. But  $R = R_0[h_1, \ldots h_k]$  and  $h_k$  annihilates K and L, so actually (using the same generating sets if you like) K and L are fg as  $R_0[h_1, \ldots h_{k-1}]$ -modules.

Solving for P(M, t) and appealing to the induction hypothesis we are done.

DIGRESSION: Any rational function with integer coefficients can be written as  $C \prod_{i=1}^{a} (x - a_i)^{n_i}$  where the  $a_i$  are distinct complex constants, C is a complex constant and the  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $n_i < 0$  then the rational function is said to have a pole of order  $-n_i$  at  $t = a_i$ .

We define d(M) to be 0 if P(M, t) has no pole at t = 1 and to be the order of the pole at t = 1 otherwise.

Theorem: If  $deg(h_i) = 1$  for all *i*, then there is a rational polynomial *G* of order d(M) - 1 such that  $G(n) = \lambda(M_n)$  for all large *n*.

Proof: Let d = d(M). By the last theorem P(M,t) is  $f/(1-t)^k$  for some integer polynomial f. Dividing by a suitable power of 1-t we get  $P(M,t) = g/(1-t)^d$ where  $g(1) \neq 0$ . Let  $g = \sum_{l=0}^{N} a_l t^l$ , so that  $g(1) = \sum_l a_l \neq 0$ .

We adopt the conventions

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = 1,$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} n\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$deg(0) = -1.$$

Now

$$(1-t)^{-d} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} {d-1+m \choose d-1} t^m$$

and so equating coefficient we get that for  $n \ge N$  the coefficient of  $t^n$  in P(M, t) is

$$\lambda(M_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{N} a_l \binom{d-1+n-l}{d-1}$$

Each term is a polynomial in n with leading term  $a_l n^{d-1}/(d-1)!$ , so since  $\sum_l a_l \neq 0$  we see that the RHS is G(n) for G a polynomial of degree exactly d-1.

Ultimate goal: if R is N; ian local with maxl ideal I then dim(R) is the least size of a gnerating set for an I-primary ideal, in particular it's finite Use the "Hilbert polynomials" to build a bridge between these disparate notions.

Technical lemma: Let R be a N; ian local ring with maxl ideal I, let Q be an I-primary ideal, let M be a fg R-module with a stable Q-filtration  $\{M_n\}$ , and let s be the least size of a generating set for Q.

Then  $l(M/M_n)$  is finite and for large *n* is given by G(n) for *G* some polynomial of degree at most *s*. What is more the degree and leading coefficient of *G* are independent of the choice of filtration.

Proof: We build an associated graded ring  $G_Q(R) = \bigoplus_n Q^n / Q^{n+1}$  and an associated graded module  $G_Q(M) = \bigoplus_n M_n / M_{n+1}$ .

We can easily verify:  $G_Q(R)$  is N'ian, it is generated by a set of size s of homogeneous elements of degree 1, and  $G_Q(M)$  is fg.

By the most recent HW R/Q is Artinian. Since each  $M_n/M_{n+1}$  is fg as an R/Q-module it has finite length. Also length is additive so we get

$$l(M/M_n) = \sum_{i < n} l(M_i/M_{i+1})$$

What is more  $l(M_i/M_{i+1})$  is given by a polynomial of degree less than s for all large i, so that easily  $l(M/M_n)$  is given by a polynomial g of degree at most s for all large n.

## CA LECTURE 30

Finally let  $M'_n$  be another stable filtration and let  $l(M/M'_n) = g'(n)$  for all large n. Stable filtrations have bounded difference so there is k such that  $M'_{n+k} \subseteq M_n \subseteq M'_{n-k}$  for all large n. But then  $g'(n+k) \ge g(n) \ge g'(n-k)$  for all large n, so easily the polynomials g and g' have the same leading term.