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Abstract. The Ambrosio-Tortorelli approximation scheme with weighted underlying metric is investigated. It is shown that it $\Gamma$-converges to a Mumford-Shah image segmentation functional depending on the weight $\omega d x$, where $\omega$ is a special function of bounded variation, and on its values at the jumps.

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

One of the most succesful methods for image denoising involves minimizing an energy of the form

$$
M S_{\alpha}(u)+\left\|u-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2},
$$

where $\Omega$ is a given domain, $u_{0}$ is a (given) corrupted image, the argument of the minimization $u \in \operatorname{SBV}(\Omega)$ is a special function of bounded variation, encoding an image, with its jump set $S_{u}$ representing the edges of such image. The functional $M S_{\alpha}$ is the so-called Mumford-Shah image segmentation functional, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M S_{\alpha}(u):=\alpha \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\beta \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right), \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{+} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

 [ 1,10$]$ ).
 determines the regularization strength over the entire image, plays an important role The problem of finding a "good" tuning parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$is still open, and widely discussed (see e.g., $[11,19]$ ). However, the uniform regularization strength provided by a scalar tuning parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$is undesirable when both fine details and large flat areas are present in the same image, which is often the case in image denoising problems. Ideally, one should impose a weaker regularization strength in regions with fine details, so to preserve them, and a greater regularization

[^0]strength over large flat areas, so to remove the noise.

To this aim, the following Mumford-Shah functional, coupled with a spatially dependent parameter function $\omega$ : $\Omega \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$, was introduced in $[14]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M S_{\omega}(u):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

 problem ( 11.2 ) can be viewed as a weighted version of the minimizing problem ( 11.1 ), with underlying metric $\omega \mathcal{L}^{N}\lfloor\Omega$ instead of $\mathcal{L}^{N}\left\lfloor\Omega\right.$, where $\mathcal{C}^{N}{ }^{N}$ denotes the $N$ dimensional Lebesgue measure. However, it is well known that the minimization problem ( 11.1 ) is numerically difficult to solve in an efficient and robust way, and hence we would expect $(\overline{1.2)}$ to inherit similar issues. To overcome this drawback, an alternative approach has been proposed in $[14]$, by adopting the approximation scheme of Ambrosio and Tortorelli from [4], and by changing the underlying metric in an appropriate manner. To be precise, in $[14]$ the authors introduced the family of elliptic functionals with a spatially dependent parameter function $\omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} v^{2} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(u, v) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and a rigorous analysis of properties of the functional (11.3) was undertaken. It turns out that, for a parameter function $\omega \in S B V(\Omega)$ satisfying $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<l_{1} \leq \operatorname{ess} \inf \{\omega(x): x \in \Omega\} \leq \operatorname{ess} \sup \{\omega(x): x \in \Omega\} \leq l_{2}<+\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

> pos_lower_ass2
the functionals $A T_{\omega, \varepsilon} \Gamma$-converge ([t]t) to the functional $M S_{\omega}(u)$ in the $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ topology.
 to construct $\omega$ via a spatially dependent bilevel learning scheme (see also [9, 19]). Although the parameter function $\omega$ suggested in $[21]$ does belong to $S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, i.e., the upper bound $l_{2}$ in $(1) 4$ that the positive lower bound $l_{1}$ exists too. In fact, the analysis in both $[17,21]$ suggests that in certain situation a vanishing parameter function can yield a better denoising result, and in particular, mitigate the so called staircasing effect. Hence, it is necessary to improve the method proposed in $[14]$ so that the positive lower bounded requirement can be removed, and this is the main topic of this article.
 original Ambrosio and Tortorelli approximation introduced in $[4](\omega \equiv 1$ in $(1.3))$ is the reminiscent of the "first order" Cahn-Hilliard approximation, we may also consider an approximation by using the "second order" Cahn-Hilliard approximation or even higher order Cahn-Hilliard approximations (see [15]).

In view of this, in this article we will consider a family of approximation schemes defined by, for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$,

$$
A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} v^{2} \omega d x+\frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}(1-v)^{2}\right] \omega d x
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k}:=\inf & \left\{\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|v^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k}}(1-v)^{2} d x: v \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{k, 2}(0,+\infty)\right. \\
& \left.v(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=\cdots v^{(k-1)}(0)=0, v(t)=1 \text { if } t>K_{k} \text { for some } K_{k}>0 \text { depends on } k\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It has been observed in $[8]$ HR3429728 that, for $\omega(x) \equiv \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $k=2$, the second order Ambrosio and Tortorelli approximation, i.e., $A T_{\alpha}^{2}(u, v)$, shows several advantages. For example, certain structure that are larger than a typical noise, but still not relevant for the segmentation (edge), can be suppressed. Hence, we should expect that the weighted version of $A T_{\alpha}^{2}$, i.e., $A T_{\omega}^{2}$, to inherit similar advantages.

In order to state the main result of our paper, we first introduce some notations.
Notation 1.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open, bounded, Lipschitz regular domain, and let $\omega \in S B V(\Omega)$ be a non-negative function.

1. We say that $S \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ if $\bar{S}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\text {dmbrosio2dtofifanable and }}^{N-1} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\bar{S} \backslash S)=0$ (note that $\bar{S}$ is $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable implies that $S$ is $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable. See 年3] 3 , Prosioposition 2.76).
2. Set $F^{t}(\omega):=\{x \in \Omega: \omega(x)>t\}$, for $t>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\infty}(\omega):=\bigcap_{t>0} F^{t}(\omega) \text { and } P^{0}(\omega):=\bigcap_{t>0}\left(\Omega \backslash F^{t}(\omega)\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Define $A_{\delta}:=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, A)<\delta\}$ for $A \subset \Omega$ and $\delta>0$.

We can now introduce the parameter functions used in our main theorem.
Definition 1.2 (The spatially dependent parameter function). Let $\omega: \Omega \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ belong to $S B V(\Omega)$.

1. We say that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ if $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<+\infty$, and $P^{0}(\omega) \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$.
2. We say that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{r}(\Omega)$ if $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}\right)} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}\right)} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that any positive, bounded, and continuous function $\omega$ satisfies ( $\frac{\text { infinite_small_cover }}{1.6)}$
3. We say that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(\Omega)$ if $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and satisfies (1.4).

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open, bounded, Lipschitz regular domain, let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{r}(\Omega)$, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon>0$, let $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}: L^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ be given by

$$
\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}(u, v):= \begin{cases}A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}(u, v) & \text { if }(u, v) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the functionals $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k} \Gamma$-converge, with respect to the $L^{1} \times L^{1}$ topology, to

$$
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{S}_{\omega}(u, v):= \begin{cases}M S_{\omega}(u) & \text { if } u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \text { and } v=1 \text { a.e. } \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ is defined in Definition ${ }^{\text {param }}$ 2.3.
Although the parameter function proposed in [21] belongs to $L^{\infty}$, here we allow $\omega$ and to be unbounded, although the structure of the set $P^{\infty}(\Omega)$ has to satisfy the restrictive requirement (in.6).

The proof of the $\Gamma$-liminf requires only $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. To this aim, we first restrict our analysis to the domain $\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}$, with $\delta>0$. Hence $\omega$ is bounded away from zero in $\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}$. Together with a truncation argument on $\omega$, we have $\omega_{K}:=\omega \wedge K \in \mathcal{P}_{b}\left(\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}\right)$, and hence the $\Gamma$-lim inf result obtained in [21] can be applied. Second, we take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and using the assumption $\partial\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right) \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$, we can obtain the lower bound in $\Omega \backslash \overline{P^{0}(\omega)}$. Finally, by using the definition of $P^{0}(\omega)$, we recover the $\Gamma$-liminf inequality in the entire domain $\Omega$.

The proof of the $\Gamma$-limsup is more delicate, requiring the extra assumption $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{r}(\Omega)$. Still, similarly to the $\Gamma$ - liminf inequality, we first restrict our analysis to the subset $\Omega^{\prime}$ of $\Omega$ such that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{b}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$, and apply the construction from $[21]$. Then, using ( 1.6 ), we can construct the recovery sequence in the entire domain $\Omega$. To conclude this section, we state a lower semicontinuity result, which will be used in Section 4 , which can be viewed as the weighted version of the main theorem of $[2]$.


$$
F_{\omega}(u):=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

where $f(x, s, p)$ is integrable in $x$, continuous in $s$, convex with respect to $p$, and satisfies

$$
|p|^{2} \leq f(x, s, p) \leq a(x)+\Phi(|s|)\left(1+|p|^{2}\right) \text { for all }(x, s, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

for some $a \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, and some continuous function $\Phi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$. Then the functional $F_{\omega}$ is $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega)$ is lower semicontinuous in $S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

 fine properties of $S B V$ functions, and we prove Theorem $\frac{1}{1.4}$.
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Throughout this paper, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an open, bounded set with Lipschitz boundary, and $I:=(-1,1)$.
Definition 2.1. We say that a subset $P \subset \Omega$ is $(N-1)$ polyhedral if it is the intersection of $\Omega$ with finitely many $(N-1)$-dimensional simplexes of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Definition 2.2. We say that $u \in B V(\Omega)$ is a special function of bounded variation, and we write $u \in S B V(\Omega)$, if the Cantor part of its derivative, $D^{c} u$, is zero, so that (see [3, equation (3.89)])

$$
D u=D^{a} u+D^{j} u=\nabla u \mathcal{L}^{N}\left\lfloor\Omega+\left(u^{+}-u^{-}\right) \nu_{u} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left\lfloor S_{u}\right.\right.
$$

absolutely_cont_
Moreover, we say that

1. $u \in S B V^{2}(\Omega)$ if $u \in S B V(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$;
2. $u \in G S B V(\Omega)$ if $K \wedge u \vee-K \in S B V(\Omega)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here we always identify $u \in S B V(\Omega)$ with its representative $\bar{u}$, where $\bar{u}(x):=\left(u^{+}(x)+u^{-}(x)\right) / 2$, with

$$
u^{+}(x):=\inf \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B(x, r) \cap\{u>t\})}{r^{N}}=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{-}(x):=\sup \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B(x, r) \cap\{u<t\})}{r^{N}}=0\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $u^{-}, u^{+}$, and $\bar{u}$ are all Borel measurable (see $[12$, Levans2015measure 1$\left.]\right)$.

## 2. Definitions and Preliminary Results

Definition 2.3. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ be given. We say that $u \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ if $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, $u \in S B V\left(\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}\right)$ for every $\delta>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}^{0}}\left|u^{+}-u^{-}\right| \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}<+\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the jump set $S_{u}^{0}$ of $u \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$, with a vanishing parameter $\omega$, is defined by

$$
S_{u}^{0}:=\left(\bigcup_{\delta>0} S_{u}^{\delta}\right) \cup P^{0}(\omega)
$$

Here $S_{u}^{\delta}$ denotes the jump set of $u$ in $S B V\left(\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}\right)$. Moreover, we say that $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ if $K \wedge u \vee-K \in$ $S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2.4. Since $u \in S B V\left(\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}\right)$ for every $\delta>0, \nabla u$ is defined $\mathcal{L}^{N}$ a.e. in $\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}$, and hence $\mathcal{L}^{N}$ a.e. in $\Omega \backslash \overline{P^{0}(\omega)}$. Recalling that $P^{0}(\omega) \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$, which implies that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\overline{P^{0}(\omega)}\right)<+\infty$, we have that $\nabla u$ is defined $\mathcal{L}^{N}$ a.e., hence the first integral in $\left(\frac{\text { define_zero int }}{2.2) \text { is }}\right.$ is well defined. Similarly, $u^{ \pm}$is well defined for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega \backslash \overline{P^{0}(\omega)}$, hence the second integral in (㐬.2) us also well defined. Finally, it is clear that if $\omega$ has a positive lower bounded, then $P^{0}(\omega)=\varnothing$ and $S_{u}^{0}=S_{u}$.
Notation 2.5. Let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable set, and let $x \in \Gamma$ be given.

1. We denote by $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$ the normal vector at $x$ with respect to $\Gamma$, and by $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)$ the cube centered at $x$ with side length $r$ and two faces normal to $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$;
2. $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}$ denotes the hyperplane through $x$ and normal to $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$, and $\mathbb{P}_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}$ denotes the projection operator from $\Gamma$ onto $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}$;
3. we define, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the hyperplane $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}(t):=T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}+t \nu_{\Gamma}(x)$;
4. we define the half-spaces and half-cubes by,

$$
H_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x)^{+(-)}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \nu_{\Gamma}(x) \cdot(y-x) \geq(\leq) 0\right\}
$$

and

$$
Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}^{ \pm}(x, r):=Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r) \cap H_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x)^{ \pm}
$$

respectively;
5. for given $\tau>0$, we denote by $R_{\tau, \nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)$ the part of $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)$ which lies strictly between the two hyperplanes $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}(-\tau r)$ and $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}(\tau r)$.
ne_properties_BV
Theorem 2.6 (evans2015measure
$\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap H_{\nu_{S u}}\left(x_{0}\right)^{ \pm}}\left|u(x)-u^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} d x=0$,
and

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N-1}} \int_{S_{u} \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|u^{+}(x)-u^{-}(x)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)=\left|u^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)-u^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|
$$

Theorem 2.7 ([brezis2010functional Remark 8). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open and bounded domain. Then, for any $\delta<\delta_{0}$,

$$
C\left(\delta_{0}, k, \Omega\right) \delta\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \delta^{2 k-1}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{k} \delta}\|1-u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

where $|\alpha|=k$, and $C\left(\delta_{0}, k, \Omega\right)>0$ is some constant depending on $\delta_{0}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Omega$.

## 3. The $\Gamma$-lim inf inequality

In this section we will prove the $\Gamma$-lim inf inequality.
Proposition 3.1. ( $\Gamma$-liminf) Given $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M S_{\omega}^{-}(u):= & \inf \left\{\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $M S_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq M S_{\omega}(u)$.
3.1. Special case: $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(\Omega)$. In Section |bdd_away_zero 3.1 we prove Proposition |liminf_part_c

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<l_{1} \leq \operatorname{ess} \inf \{\omega(x): x \in \Omega\} \leq \operatorname{ess} \sup \{\omega(x): x \in \Omega\} \leq l_{2}<+\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, without loss of generality, $l_{1}=1$.
Proposition 3.2 ([21]2016optimal , Proposition 3.1). Given $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(\Omega)$ and $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
M S_{\omega}^{-}(u):=\inf \{ & \left\{\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{1}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1 \text { a.e. }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $M S_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq M S_{\omega}(u)$.
3.2. General case: $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Now we are ready to prove Proposition liminf_part_c 3.1. In the following, we set

$$
L_{\delta}:=\{x \in \Omega: \omega(x)>\delta\} \cap\left(\Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\delta}\right)
$$

where $P^{0}(\omega)$ is from Definition $\frac{\text { Muckenhoupt_Function_Space }}{1.2 \text { and }}$

$$
\omega_{l}:=l \wedge \omega, \quad l>0
$$

We recall from $\stackrel{\text { evans2015measure }}{[12, \text { Theorem }} 1]$ that, for $\mathcal{L}^{1}$ a.e. $\delta>0, L_{\delta}$ has finite perimeter.
Proof of Proposition $\frac{\text { liminf } 13.1 \text {. Withort }}{}$. $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega), v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)=M S_{\omega}^{-}(u)$. Fix $\delta>0$ and $l>0$, and note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{L_{\delta}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega_{l} d x+\frac{1}{c_{k}} \int_{L_{\delta}}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon 4^{k}}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega_{l} d x \\
& \quad \geq \int_{L_{\delta}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega_{l} d x+\int_{S_{u}^{\delta} \cap L_{\delta}} \omega_{l}^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we used Proposition ${ }_{3}^{\text {liminf_part_ref }}$ 3. Letting $\nearrow+\infty$ on the right hand side, we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \int_{L_{\delta}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}^{\delta} \cap L_{\delta}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

for $\mathcal{L}^{1}$ a.e. $\delta>0$.
Finally, taking the limit $\delta \searrow 0$ on the right hand side, in view of ( $\begin{gathered}\text { (regularity assumption } \\ 1.5) \text {, and the fact that } S_{u}^{\delta} \cap L_{\delta} \subset S_{u}^{\delta^{\prime}} \cap L_{\delta^{\prime}} .\end{gathered}$ for $\delta>\delta^{\prime}$, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) & \geq \int_{\Omega \backslash \overline{P^{0}(\omega)}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}^{0} \backslash \overline{P^{0}(\omega)}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}^{0} \backslash P^{0}(\omega)} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}^{0}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that $\omega^{-}(x) \leq \omega(0)=0$ in $P^{0}(\omega)$.

## 4. The $\Gamma$-lim sup Inequality

 $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{r}(\Omega)$.

The main goal is to prove the following proposition.
Proposition 4.1. ( $\Gamma$-limsup) Given $u \in L^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{r}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M S_{\omega}^{+}(u):=\inf & \left\{\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{1}(\Omega), v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}(\Omega), 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $E_{\omega}^{+}(u) \leq E_{\omega}(u)$.
To prove this result, we will establish some preliminary results on the lower semicontinuity of convex integrals in the space $S B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, under the condition that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ has a positive lower bound.
4.1. Lower semicontinuity results in the space $S B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}$ with a positive lower bounded $\omega$. In this section we study the lower semicontinuity of integral functionals defined in $S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$, with respect to the $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ topology. Consider

$$
F_{\omega}(u):=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

where $f(x, s, p)$ is a nonnegative Carathéodory function in $x$, and continuous in $(s, p)$, and the parameter function $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is assumed to be bounded from below by a constant $l>0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ess} \inf \left\{\omega^{-}(x): x \in \Omega\right\}=l>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we take $l=1$. This condition implies that the space $S B V_{\omega}$ is embedded in $S B V(\Omega)$, and hence we may apply results concerning $S B V(\Omega)$.

The main result is the following.
 condition

$$
|p|^{2} \leq f(x, s, p) \leq a(x)+\Phi(|s|)\left(1+|p|^{2}\right) \text { for all }(x, s, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

for some $a \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, and some continuous function $\Phi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$. Then, for any sequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}: \varepsilon>0\right\}<+\infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_{\omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq F_{\omega}(u)
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M:=\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<+\infty$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq F_{\omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq M+1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore, by (bugingyuandedbdardosio2000functions (4.2) and $\left\{3\right.$, Theorem 4.7], there exists $u \in S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u$ in $B V(\Omega)$. Fix $K \in \mathbb{N}$, and define

$$
f_{K}(x, s, p):=f(x, s, p)(\omega \wedge K)
$$

and by $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { ambrosio19941ower } \\ {[2, \text { Theorem 0.1] }}\end{array}\right.$, we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \omega d x \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f_{K}\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} f_{K}(x, u, \nabla u) d x
$$

Letting $K \nearrow+\infty$, we recover

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \omega d x \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \omega d x .
$$

We next show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this aim we first prove it in the case $N=1$, and then recover the general case $N>1$ using the slicing argument from [21, Lemma 3.9].

In the case $N=1$, we need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{x \in S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-}(x) \geq \sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

lower_bdd_2dnd

$$
\sup _{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{u_{\varepsilon}}\right)<+\infty \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{u}\right)<+\infty
$$

and, without loss of generality, we may assume that $S_{u_{\varepsilon}}=\left\{x_{\varepsilon}\right\}$, and $S_{u}=\{x\}$. Hence, the convergence $u \rightharpoonup u$ in $B V(\Omega)$ implies that $x_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow x$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^{-}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \omega^{-}(x) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

lower_bdd_1dnd

If $x \notin S_{\omega}$, then there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
S_{\omega} \cap(x-\delta, x+\delta)=\varnothing,
$$

so $\omega_{1}$ is absolutely continuous in $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$, and ( $\left.{ }^{10.6}\right)^{10}$ is trivially satisfied with $\omega(x)=\omega^{-}(x)$, with the inequality in (4.6) being actually an equality.

Suppose that $x \in S_{\omega}$ and, without loss of generality, assume that $x=0$. Since $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<\infty$, choose $\bar{r}>0$ such that

$$
S_{\omega} \cap(0-\bar{r}, 0+\bar{r})=0
$$

As $\omega$ is absolutely continuous in $(-\bar{r}, 0)$ and $(0, \bar{r})$, we may extend $\omega$ uniquely to $x=0$ to the left and right (see 12 eoni Exercise 3.7, (1)]), which allows us to define

$$
\omega\left(0^{+}\right):=\lim _{x \searrow 0^{+}} \omega(x) \text { and } \omega\left(0^{-}\right):=\lim _{x \nearrow 0^{-}} \omega(x) .
$$

This gives immediately

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^{-}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \omega\left(0^{-}\right)
$$

We next claim that $\omega\left(0^{-}\right)=\omega^{-}(0)$. By part 2 of Theorem $\begin{gathered}\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \text { fine_properties_BV } \\ 2.6 \text { we have }\end{gathered}$

$$
\omega^{-}(0)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{-r}^{0} \omega(t) d t=\omega\left(0^{-}\right)
$$

where in the last equality we used basic properties of absolutely continuous functions, and the definition of $\omega\left(0^{-}\right)$. Thus ( 4.6 ) holds, hence ( 4.5 ) holds too.

 we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon} \cap Q}} \omega_{K}^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\left(\sum_{t \in S_{\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \cap\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\left(\omega_{K}^{-}\right)_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}(t)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)}\left(\sum_{\left.t \in S_{\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \cap\left[Q_{\left.\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)} \cap S}\left(\omega_{K}^{-}\right)_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}(t)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) .{ }^{n}\right)}\right. \\
& \geq \int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \liminf \left(\sum_{t \in S_{\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \cap\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)} \cap S}\left(\omega_{K}^{-}\right)_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}(t)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& =\int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega_{K}^{-}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)=\int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega_{K}^{-}\left(x^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d \mathcal{L}^{N-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} & \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega_{K}^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \cap Q} \omega_{K}^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{S \cap Q} \omega_{K}^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}}\left(\int_{S_{u}} \omega_{K}^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}-\left\|\omega_{K}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking first the limits $\tau \searrow 0$ and $\eta \searrow 0$, and then $K \nearrow+\infty$, gives ( ${ }^{\text {(4.4)wer_bdd_3dnd }}$

## finite_down_a

finite_down_b
regu_fm்netb_dpproz
 exists a sequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset S B V_{\omega}^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that the following assertions hold:

1. $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}$;
2. $S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \subset \Omega \backslash\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{o(\varepsilon)}$ (note that ess $\sup \left\{\omega(x): x \in \Omega \backslash\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)_{o(\varepsilon)}\right\}<+\infty\right)$;

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be sufficiently small, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{o(\varepsilon)}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x<o(\varepsilon) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K_{\varepsilon}$ be a compact subset of $S_{u} \backslash\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{o(\varepsilon)}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{S_{u} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} \leq \varepsilon
$$

Consider the minimization problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\min \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{v} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\right. & +\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}|u-v|^{2} \omega d x: v \in S B V_{\omega}^{2}(\Omega) \text { and } S_{v} \subset \Omega \backslash\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{o(\varepsilon)}\right\} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By a truncation argument, we may impose the restriction that $v$ satisfies $\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a minimizing sequence. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x & +\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{v_{n}} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(K_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|u-v_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{l}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{\substack{S_{v_{n} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}} \backslash K^{\prime}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|u-v_{n}\right|^{2} \omega d x\right]<\frac{1}{l}\left(M_{\varepsilon}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{\varepsilon}$ is defined as the minimum of $\left(\frac{y^{\mathrm{i}} \bar{y}^{\text {limit }}}{4.8)}\right.$, with $\varepsilon>0$ fixed.

Assume first that $M_{\varepsilon}<+\infty$. By $\left[3\right.$, Theorem 4.7], there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in S B V(\Omega)$ such that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\varepsilon}$ in $B V(\Omega)$, and for
 by Theorem 4.2 , we have $u_{\varepsilon} \in S B V_{\omega} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{v_{n} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|u-v_{n}\right|^{2} \omega d x \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}:= \begin{cases}u(x) & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{2 o(\varepsilon)} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $S_{\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}} \subset \Omega \backslash\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{o(\varepsilon)}$, and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x
$$

In view of (linfini

$$
\int_{S_{\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\varepsilon)
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x & +\int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|u-\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\varepsilon)+o(\varepsilon) / O(\varepsilon) \leq C<+\infty \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq C \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

By ( $\frac{\text { yi.limit2 }}{4.9), \text { Theorem }} \frac{1 \text { sc_SBV_thm }}{4.2}$, and $\operatorname{ess} \inf \omega>l>0$, up to a subsequence it holds

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x, \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

Hence, in view of $\left(\frac{y \text { in limit3 }}{4.10)}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x & +\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}(O(\varepsilon)+o(\varepsilon) / O(\varepsilon)) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \backslash K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-}=0, \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-}=\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

concluding the proof

Remark 4.4. We note that $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a local minimum for the function

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{v}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}|u-v|^{2} \omega d x
$$

in $\Omega \backslash K_{\varepsilon}$. It can be shown that


$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left(\overline{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \backslash S_{u_{\varepsilon}}\right) \cap\left(\Omega \backslash K_{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega^{-}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{\omega^{-}=0 .}{} \quad{ }^{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \backslash S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

 article self contained, we refrain from using this fact.
4.2. Construction of recovery sequence with $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(\Omega)$.

Proposition $4.5\left(\frac{[142016 o p t i m a l}{[21, ~ P r o p o s i t i o n ~ 4.1]) . ~ G i v e n ~} \omega \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(\Omega)\right.$ and $u \in L^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
M S_{\omega}^{+}(u):= & \inf \left\{\underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{ } \limsup A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{1}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $M S_{\omega}^{+}(u) \leq M S_{\omega}(u)$.
yi_limit_sec
4.3. Proof of Proposition $\frac{1 \text { imsup }_{-} n_{-} c}{4.1 \text {. } \overline{W e}}$ are now ready to prove the main result of this section. To do so, we define localized versions of $M S_{\omega}$ and $A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}$ by

$$
M S_{\omega}(u)(A):=\int_{A}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u} \cap A} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

and

$$
A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}(u, v)(A):=\int_{A}|\nabla u|^{2} v^{2} \omega d x+\frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{A}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}(1-v)^{2}\right] \omega d x
$$

respectively. Here $A \subset \Omega$ is an open set.


$$
\operatorname{ess} \inf \left\{\omega(x): x \in \Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta}\right\}>0
$$

Define

$$
u_{\eta}:= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \in\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 3} \\ u(x) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then we have $S_{u_{\eta}} \subset \Omega \backslash\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}$, and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M S_{\omega}\left(u_{\tau}\right) & =\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\eta}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u_{\eta}}} \omega^{-} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}^{0}} \omega^{-} d x+\int_{\partial\left(\left(p^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta}\right)} \omega^{-} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}^{0}} \omega^{-} d x+O(\eta)=M S_{\omega}(u)+O(\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma $\frac{\text { finite_down }}{4.3 \text { on } u_{\eta}}$, inside $\Omega \backslash\left(p^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}$, gives a sequence $u_{\eta, \tau}$ such that

$$
M S\left(u_{\eta, \tau}\right)\left(\Omega \backslash\left(p^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}\right) \leq M S\left(u_{\tau}\right)+O(\tau) \leq M S(u)+O(\tau)+O(\eta)
$$

and

$$
S_{u_{\eta, \tau}} \subset \underset{\text { limsup_n_ref }}{\subset} \backslash\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right) \text { and } \omega \in \mathcal{P}_{b}\left(\Omega \backslash\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right)\right)
$$



$$
\left\{\tilde{u}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}, \tilde{v}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}\left(\Omega \backslash\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right)\right) \times W^{1,2}\left(\Omega \backslash\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right)\right)
$$

such that either

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{1}\left(\tilde{u}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}, \tilde{v}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}\right)\left(\Omega \backslash\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right)\right) \leq M S\left(u_{\eta, \tau}\right)\left(\Omega \backslash\left(p^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}\right)
$$

or

$$
A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{1}\left(\tilde{u}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}, \tilde{v}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}\right)\left(\Omega \backslash\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right)\right) \leq M S\left(u_{\eta, \tau}\right)\left(\Omega \backslash\left(p^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}\right)+O(\varepsilon)
$$

## holds.

Let $\varphi_{\eta, \tau}$ to be a cut off function such that $\varphi_{\eta, \tau} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$
\varphi_{\eta, \tau}(x) \equiv 1 \text { in } \Omega \backslash\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 3} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{2 \tau}\right) \text { and } \varphi_{\eta}(x) \equiv 0 \text { in }\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right)
$$

Define

$$
u_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}:=\left(1-\varphi_{\eta, \tau}\right) \tilde{u}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}
$$

and

$$
v_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}:=\tilde{v}_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon} \wedge \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{dist}\left(\partial\left[\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4} \cup\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right]\right)\right)
$$

with $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$ from liu2016weightedMS 14, equation (4.29)].
Hence, we have $\left\{u_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}, v_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A_{\omega, \eta}^{1}\left(u_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}, v_{\eta, \tau, \varepsilon}\right) \leq M S_{\omega}\left(u_{\eta, \tau}\right)+\int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}\right)} \omega^{+} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{\infty}(\omega)\right)_{\tau}\right)} \omega^{+} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

last_need_1111
We claim that the last term on the right hand side of (last_need_1111 4.13$)$ vanishes. Indeed, we have
$\limsup _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}\right)} \omega^{+} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=\limsup _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}\right)}\left(2 \omega-\omega^{-}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \limsup _{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\left(\left(P^{0}(\omega)\right)_{\eta / 4}\right)} 2 \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=0$,
where in the last equality we used (linfinite_small_Thever . This, together with (14.13), concludes the proof by letting $\eta \rightarrow 0$.
 $G S B V(\Omega)$ such that $M S_{\omega}(u)<\infty$, we have, by Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$
M S_{\omega}(u)=\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} M S_{\omega}(K \wedge u \vee-K)
$$

Using a diagonal argument, together with Proposition $\frac{\text { limsup_n_c }}{4.1, \text { concludes the proof. }}$

## Appendix

We consider the one-dimensional case $N=1$ first, and then extend to the general case $N>1$ via the slicing argument introduced in [14]. To avoid confusion, when $N=1$, we define the approximating functional, with a spatially dependent parameter $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, as

$$
T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}(u, v)=\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} v^{2} \omega d x+\frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{I}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{k} \varepsilon}(1-v)^{2}\right] \omega d x
$$

and the one-dimensional Mumford-Shah functional, with a spatially dependent parameter $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, by

$$
T_{\omega}(u)=\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x)
$$

We recall that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ implies $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<\infty$. Also, we note that $\omega^{-}$is defined $\mathcal{H}^{0}$-a.e, hence everywhere in $I$. We begin with an auxiliary result.
Proposition A.1. Let $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(I)$ be such that $0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}(I)$ and a.e., and

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x<\infty
$$

Then, for any $0<\eta<1$, there exists an open set $H_{\eta} \subset I$ such that $I \backslash H_{\eta}$ is a collection of finitely many points in $I$, and for every set $T \subset \subset H_{\eta}$, we have $T \subset B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}$ for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, where

$$
B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}:=\left\{x \in I: v_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x) \geq \eta\right\}
$$



$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x \leq C \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x<\infty
$$

Hence, by the arguments from $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { ambrosio1990approximation } \\ 44, \text { pages } 1020-1021] \text {, we conclude the proof. }\end{array}\right.$

We next study the minimization problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k}:=\inf & \left\{\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|v^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k}}(1-v)^{2} d x: v \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{k, 2}(0,+\infty)\right. \\
& \left.v(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=\cdots v^{(k-1)}(0)=0, v(t)=1 \text { if } t>K_{k} \text { for some } K_{k}>0 \text { depends on } k\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma A.2. The constant $c_{k}$ is positive and

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{k}=\inf \left\{\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|v^{(k)}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k}}(1-v(x))^{2} d x: v \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k, 2}(0,+\infty),\right. \\
\left.v(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=\cdots v^{(k-1)}(0)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} v(x)=1\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The proof employs the arguments used in $\stackrel{f \text { fonseca2000second }}{\dagger 15, ~ L e m m a ~ 2.5] . ~ M o r e o v e r, ~ b y ~ s o l v i n g ~ t h e ~ a s s o c i a t e d ~ E u l e r-L a g r a n g e ~}$ equation, we have also

$$
c_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{1}{8} \sqrt{2}, \quad c_{3}=\frac{1}{16}
$$

liminf_part_1d_c
Proposition A.3. ( $\Gamma$-liminf) Given $u \in L^{1}(I)$, let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ satisfying (kasy_way_out

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\omega}^{-}(u):=\inf \left\{\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right):\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $T_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq T_{\omega}(u)$.
Proof. Assume that $M:=T_{\omega}^{-}(u)<\infty$, and choose $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\varepsilon}$ that are admissible for $T_{\omega}^{-}(u)$, such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{\psi_{\text {e equivalent norm }}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)=}^{=}$ $T_{\omega}^{-}(u)$. Since $\inf _{x \in I} \omega(x) \geq 1$, we have $\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{1, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)<+\infty$. By Theorem 2.7 we have
ambrosio1990approximation $T_{1, \varepsilon}^{1}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C_{k} T_{1, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C_{k} T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq M+1$,
and by $[4]$, we get also

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in G S B V(I) \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{u}\right)<+\infty \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof would be complete provided we show the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x<+\infty \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{c_{k}} \int_{I}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x<+\infty \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

use_la_nonsm2

Up to a (not relabeled) subsequence, we have $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ and $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ a.e. in $I$, with

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{I}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{I}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x<+\infty
$$

By Proposition $\bar{A} . \overline{1}$, we deduce that, for a fixed $\eta \in(1 / 2,1)$, there exists a set $H_{\eta}$ such that for every $T \subset \subset H_{\eta}$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{T}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I} v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

 first, and then the limit $\eta \nearrow 1$ on the right hand side, we get ( $\frac{\text { use }}{A} .2$ a $)$.

We next show ( ( ) (Ase 1 la_nonsm 2 arguments in [4, page 1021], we can prove that there exist $\left\{t_{n}^{1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{t_{n}^{2}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
-1<t_{n}^{1}<s_{n}<t_{n}^{2}<1, \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=0
$$

and, up to a subsequence, also

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}\left(t_{n}^{1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}\left(t_{n}^{2}\right)=1, \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}\left(s_{n}\right)=0
$$

We conclude, using Lemma $\underset{\text { A.present_c }}{\text { A. }}$, that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{t_{n}^{1}}^{s_{n}}\left[\varepsilon(n)^{2 k-1}\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon(n)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{2}\right] d x \geq \frac{c_{k}}{2 c_{k}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

and, since $\omega$ is positive,

$$
\begin{align*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{t_{n}^{1}}^{t_{n}^{2}}\left[\varepsilon(n)^{2 k-1}\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon(n)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
\geq & \left(\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{essinf}_{r \in\left(t_{n}^{1}, t_{n}^{2}\right)} \omega(r)\right) \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 c_{k}}\left\{\int_{t_{n}^{1}}^{s_{n}}\left[\varepsilon(n)^{2 k-1}\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon(n)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right.  \tag{A.5}\\
& \left.+\int_{s_{n}}^{t_{n}^{2}}\left[\varepsilon(n)^{2 k-1}\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{(k)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon(n)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right\} \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \omega^{-}(0)=\omega^{-}(0)
\end{align*}
$$

 $\omega(0)$, since $t=0 \notin S_{\omega}$ implies $\omega^{-}(0)=\omega(0)$.

Finally, since $S_{u} \subset I \backslash H_{\eta}$, by (Ase la_nonsm0 ( we have that $S_{u}$ is a finite collection of points, and we may repeat the above arguments for all $t \in T_{\text {use }} u_{\text {norsm }}$ bartitioning $I$ into disjoint intervals, each of which containing at most one single point of $S_{u}$, to deduce ( $\left(\frac{\text { use }}{\mathrm{A}} .3\right)$.
 that $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(I)$ satisfies (3.1).

Let $\mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and let $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be a fixed direction. We set

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Pi_{\nu}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\langle x, \nu\rangle=0\right\}, \Omega_{\nu}:=\left\{x \in \Pi_{\nu}: \Omega_{x, \nu} \neq \emptyset\right\}  \tag{A.6}\\
\Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}:=\{t \in \mathbb{R}: x+t \nu \in \Omega\} \text { for } x \in \Pi_{\nu} \\
\Omega_{x, \nu}:=\{y=x+t \nu: t \in \mathbb{R}\} \cap \Omega \\
u_{x, \nu}(t):=u(x+t \nu), x \in \Omega_{\nu}, t \in \Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Set $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ denotes the first $N-1$ components of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and given $l: \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, we define the graph of $l$ over $G$ as

$$
F(l ; G):=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: x^{\prime} \in G, x_{N}=l\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

If $l$ is Lipschitz regular, then we call $F(l ; G)$ a Lipschitz - $(N-1)$ - graph.
Theorem A. 4 ([|4], Theorem 3.3). Let $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be given, and assume that $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then, for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}-$ a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\nu}, u_{x, \nu}$ belongs to $W^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{x, \nu}\right)$ and $u_{x, \nu}^{\prime}(t)=\langle\nabla u(x+t \nu), \nu\rangle$.
 and $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \Pi_{\nu}$ be a projection operator, where by $\left(\frac{\text { slicing notation }}{\mathbb{A} .6), ~} \Pi_{\nu} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}\right.$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}(\Gamma)\right) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma)
$$

and, for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in \Pi_{\nu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{x, \nu} \cap \Gamma\right)<+\infty \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this Section.
Proof of Proposition ${ }^{\text {liminf_part_c }}$ 3.1, with $\omega$ satisfying ( ${ }^{\text {easy_way_out }}$ (3.1). Assume that $M:=M S_{\omega}^{-}(u)<\infty$. Let $\left\{\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times$ $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)=M S_{\omega}^{-}(u)$. Since $\inf _{x \in \Omega} \omega(x) \geq 1$, we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{1, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A T_{\omega, \varepsilon}^{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)<\infty
$$

and by lambrosio1990approximation

$$
u \in G S B V(\Omega) \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<\infty
$$

We show separately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon} \omega d x \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \omega d x \geq \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $u_{K}:=K \wedge u \vee-K, K \in \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{N}$, and we observe, by Fubini's Theorem, Fatou's Lemma, Theorem A.4, equation $(\mathbb{A} . \overline{2})$, and Theorem 2.3 in [4], that

$$
\begin{align*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x & \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{2} \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)  \tag{A.10}\\
& \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|\left(u_{K}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \geq \int_{A}\left|\left\langle\nabla u_{K}(x), \nu\right\rangle\right|^{2} \omega d x
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit $K \rightarrow \infty$, and using Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{A}|\langle\nabla u(x), \nu\rangle|^{2} \omega d x \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi_{n}(x):=\left|\left\langle\nabla u(x), \nu_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \omega$ for $\mathcal{L}^{N}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{S}^{N-1}$, and let

$$
\mu(A):=\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x .
$$


 using similar arguments as in (A.IV), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 c_{k}} \int_{A}\left(\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \omega d x \geq \int_{A_{\nu}}\left[\sum_{t \in S_{u_{x, \nu} \cap A_{x, \nu}^{1}}} \omega_{x, \nu}^{-}(t)\right] d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, given arbitrary ${ }_{\mid s l i c i n g} \overbrace{\text { single }}^{0} \operatorname{and}^{\text {and }} \eta>0$, we choose a set $S \subset S_{u}$ and a collection $\mathcal{Q}$ of mutually disioint cubes according to Lemma A. 6 with respect to $S_{u}$. Fix one such cube $Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{Q}$. By Lemma A. $\mathfrak{A}$, we have, up to rigid motions,

$$
\Gamma_{x_{0}}=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right): y \in T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S}} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right\} \text { and }\left\|\nabla l_{x_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\tau
$$

In $\left(\frac{\text { liminf_cont_later_slice }}{A .12)}, \operatorname{set} A=Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right.$ and $\nu=\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Using the same notation from the proof of Lemma $\frac{\text { slicing_single }}{A} .6$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\left(\sum_{t \in S_{u_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}}} \cap_{\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \omega_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}^{-}(t)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega^{-}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)=\int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega^{-}\left(x^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d \mathcal{L}^{N-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tag{A.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, considering that $\omega_{x, \nu}^{-}(t)=\omega^{-}(x+t \nu)\left(\right.$ see $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Qmbrosio2000functions } \\ 3, \operatorname{Remark} 3.109\}\end{array}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap S} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} & =\int_{T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S}} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega^{-}\left(x^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}} d x^{\prime} \\
& \leq \sqrt{1+\tau^{2}} \int_{T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S}} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega^{-}\left(x^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d x^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

withou_t_above2
which, together with $\left(\frac{1 \text { iminf_cont_1 }}{\text { A.12 }) \text { and }(A .13), \text { yieldid }}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} & \int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \omega d x \\
& \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}}\left(\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \omega d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{S \cap Q} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}}\left(\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}-\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, ( $\frac{\text { second_part_ATCw_m }}{\text { A.9 }}$ ) follows by by arbitrariness of $\eta$ and $\tau$.
 and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Proposition A.7. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ and $\tau \in(0,1 / 4)$ be given. Then, there exist a set $S \subset S_{\omega}$, and a countable family of disjoint cubes $\mathcal{F}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, with $r_{n}<\tau$, such that the following assertions hold:
a. $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega} \backslash S\right)<\tau$ and $S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \subset \Omega$;
b. $\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right), Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n^{\prime}}, r_{n^{\prime}}\right)\right)>0$ for $n \neq n^{\prime}$;
c. $S \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \subset R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$;
d. $\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)^{-1} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \cap Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) r_{n}^{N-1}$;
e. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq 4 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega}\right)$;
f. for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t_{n} \in\left(2.5 \tau r_{n}, 3.5 \tau r_{n}\right)$ and $0<t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}<t_{n}$, depending on $\tau$, $r_{n}$, and $x_{n}$, such that $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S \omega}}\left(-t_{n} \pm t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}\right) \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \subset Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}^{-}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \backslash R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$ and, where we recall $I\left(t_{n}, t\right):=$ $\left(-t_{n}-t,-t_{n}+t\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{0<t \leq t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}} & \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{n}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{n}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \cap T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}(-l)} \omega^{-}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l  \tag{A.14}\\
& \leq \int_{S \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r^{N-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof uses similar arguments as in $\frac{1142016 \text { weightedMS }}{[14, ~ P r o p o s i t i o n ~ 4.4] . ~}$

Since this proof is quite lengthy, we summarize the main ideas. We modify the bulk part of $S_{u}$ by replacing it with $(N-1)$ polyhedra located in the $-\nu_{S_{\omega}}$ direction of $S_{\omega}$, and note that both the $L^{1}$-norm of $u$ and the $L^{2}$-norm of $\nabla u$ do not change much. This will be done via a reflection argument around suitable hyperplanes. For the remaining part of $S_{u}$, we shall cover them using a finite collection of cubes, and change the value of $u$ to 0 over such cubes. Hence, in this way, we transfer the jump set of $S_{u}$ to a finite union of polyhedra.

Proposition A.8. Let $u \in S B V^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be given, satisfying $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\overline{S_{u}}\right)<+\infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq E_{\omega}(u)
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $E_{\omega}(u)<+\infty$, which implies $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)_{\text {jjump_ready_coro_limsup }}^{+\infty}$
Step 1: Assume $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left(S_{\omega} \backslash S_{u}\right) \cup\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{\omega}\right)\right)=0$. Fix $\tau \in(0,1 / 4)$. Applying Proposition A.7 to $\omega$, we obtain a set $\overline{S_{\tau}}$, a collection $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and corresponding $t_{n} \in\left(2.5 \tau r_{n}, 3.5 \tau r_{n}\right)$ and $t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}$, for which (upper_sup_ready_limsup_jump
holds. Extract a finite collection $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}$ from $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ with $M_{\tau}>0$, large enough such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[S_{\tau} \backslash \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right]<\tau
$$

and set $F_{\tau}:=S_{\tau} \cap\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right]$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}\right) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{\tau}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\tau} \backslash F_{\tau}\right)<2 \tau \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that

$$
\mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\left\{x \in \Omega, \bar{u}(x) \neq \bar{u}_{\tau}(x)\right\}\right)=\mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} U_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \mathcal{L}^{N}\left(U_{n}\right) \leq 7 \tau^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq O(\tau)
$$

where in the last inequality we used Propositions A.7-and e. We note that
a. $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ is a reflection of $\bar{u}$ within the set with measure less than $O(\tau)$;
b. $\mathcal{L}^{N}(\{\bar{u} \neq u\}) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \mathcal{L}^{N}\left(Q_{m}\right) \leq O(\tau)$;
c. $u \in S B V^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{\tau}-u\right| d x=0 \text { and } \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{\tau}-\nabla u\right|^{2} d x=0 \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For brevity, in the rest of the proof we abbreviate $Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$ by $Q_{n}, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}$ by $T_{x_{n}}$, and $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(-t_{n}\right)$ by $T_{x_{n}}\left(-t_{n}\right)$. Note that the jump set of $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ is contained in

$$
P_{\tau}:=\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}\left[T_{x_{n}}\left(-t_{n}\right) \cap Q_{n}\right] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}} \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial Q_{m} \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial R_{m}
$$

and $S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}} \subset P_{\tau}$ and $P_{\tau}$ are both union of finitely many polyhedra. We also observe that, denoting by $\operatorname{cl}(\cdot)$ the closure of a set,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1} & {\left[\operatorname{cl}\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial Q_{m}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial R_{m}\right)\right)\right] } \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\partial Q_{m}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\partial R_{m}\right)  \tag{A.17}\\
& \leq 2 \tau+C \tau \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{n}^{N-1} \tau+2 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\overline{S_{u}} \backslash S_{u}\right) \leq O(\tau)+2 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\overline{S_{u}} \backslash S_{u}\right)<+\infty
\end{align*}
$$


Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that

$$
\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \ll \min \left\{a_{\tau}, t_{x_{n}, r_{n}} \text { for } 1 \leq n \leq M_{\tau}\right\}
$$



$$
\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}<t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}<\left|t_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{4} \tau r_{n}<r_{n}
$$

We set $u_{\tau, \varepsilon}:=\left(1 \underset{\text { finite size redefine }}{ } \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right) \bar{u}_{\tau}$, where $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is such that $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega ;[0,1]), \varphi_{\varepsilon} \equiv 1$ on $\left(\overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2} / 4}$, and $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$ in
 Convergence Theorem, and $(\mathbb{A} .16)$, we conclude that $u_{\tau, \varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$.

Consider the sequence $\left\{v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ given by $v_{\tau, \varepsilon}(x):=\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(d_{\tau}(x)\right)$, where $d_{\tau}(x):=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, P_{\tau}\right)$ and $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$ are defined by

$$
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } t \leq \varepsilon^{2}, \\ -e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{t-\varepsilon^{2}}{\varepsilon}}+1 & \text { if } \varepsilon^{2} \leq t \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}, \\ 1-e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}} & \text { if } t>\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2} .\end{cases}
$$

An explicit computation shows that

$$
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)
$$

benshen

Step 2: For the general case $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{\omega}\right)>0$, the proof follows by applying the same construction in Step 1 on $S_{u}$, and noticing that $\omega^{-}(x)=\omega(x)$ if $x \in S_{u} \backslash S_{\omega}$.
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