HIGHER ORDER AMBROSIO-TORTORELLI SCHEME WITH NON-NEGATIVE SPATIALLY DEPENDENT PARAMETERS

IRENE FONSECA

Department of Mathematics, Carnegie Mellon University 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213

PAN LIU

Department of Radiology, First Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China

XIN YANG LU

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada

August 25, 2021

ABSTRACT. The Ambrosio-Tortorelli approximation scheme with weighted underlying metric is investigated. It is shown that it F-converges to a Mumford-Shah image segmentation functional depending on the weight ωdx , where ω is a special function of bounded variation, and on its values at the jumps.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

One of the most succesful methods for image denoising involves minimizing an energy of the form

$$MS_{\alpha}(u) + ||u - u_0||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$
,

where Ω is a given domain, u_0 is a (given) corrupted image, the argument of the minimization $u \in SBV(\Omega)$ is a special function of bounded variation, encoding an image, with its jump set S_u representing the edges of such image. The functional MS_{α} is the so-called Mumford-Shah image segmentation functional, defined as

$$MS_{\alpha}(u) := \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \beta \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u), \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(1.1) mumfordshah

Extensive literature is available, from both computational (see e.g. $\frac{\text{prideousy, nizver(1)}}{[16, 18]}$ and theoretical points of view (see e.g. 1.101)

In the following, we will always take $\alpha = \beta$ in $(\underbrace{\text{numfordshahori}}{(I.1)}$. The scalar tuning parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ in $(\underbrace{\text{numfordshahori}}{(I.1)}$, which uniformly determines the regularization strength over the entire image, plays an important role. The problem of finding a "good" tuning parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is still open, and widely discussed (see e.g., [11, 19]). However, the uniform regularization strength provided by a scalar tuning parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is undesirable when both fine details and large flat areas are present in the same image, which is often the case in image denoising problems. Ideally, one should impose a weaker regularization strength in regions with fine details, so to preserve them, and a greater regularization

ori

E-mail addresses: fonseca@andrew.cmu.edu, dragonrider.liupan@gmail.com, xlu8@lakeheadu.ca.

strength over large flat areas, so to remove the noise.

To this aim, the following Mumford Shah functional, coupled with a spatially dependent parameter function ω : $\Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, was introduced in [14]:

$$MS_{\omega}(u) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \, dx + \int_{S_u} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \qquad (1.2) \quad \boxed{\text{mumfordshahorion}}$$

where $\omega \in \underline{SBV}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is positive and bounded away from 0, and ω^{-} is defined in (\underline{L}^{D}) below. The minimization problem (I.2) can be viewed as a weighted version of the minimizing problem (I.1), with underlying metric $\omega \mathcal{L}^{N} \mid \Omega$ instead of $\mathcal{L}^{N} \mid \Omega$, where $\mathcal{L}^{N}_{\text{numfordshahori}}$ denotes the N dimensional Lebesgue measure. However, it is well known that the minimization problem (II.1) is numerically difficult to solve in an efficient and robust way, and hence we would expect $\mathbb{L}^{11}_{\text{numfordshahori}}$ issues. To overcome this drawback, an alternative approach has been proposed in [14], by adopting the approximation scheme of Ambrosio and Tortorelli from [4], and by changing the underlying metric in an appropriate manner. To be precise, in [14] the authors introduced the family of elliptic functionals with a spatially dependent parameter function ω

$$AT_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u,v) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 v^2 \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \left[\varepsilon \, |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} (v-1)^2 \right] \omega \, dx, \tag{1.3}$$
 mumfordshahorion

where $(u, v) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and a rigorous analysis of properties of the functional (I.3) was undertaken. It turns out that, for a parameter function $\omega \in SBV(\Omega)$ satisfying $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_{\omega}) < +\infty$ and

$$0 < l_1 \le \operatorname{ess\,inf} \left\{ \omega(x) : \ x \in \Omega \right\} \le \operatorname{ess\,sup} \left\{ \omega(x) : \ x \in \Omega \right\} \le l_2 < +\infty, \tag{1.4} \quad \left| \operatorname{pos_lower_ass2} \right| \le l_2 < +\infty, \tag{1.4}$$

the functionals $AT_{\omega,\varepsilon}$ Γ -converge $\begin{pmatrix} \text{attouch1984variational} \\ [5] \end{pmatrix}$ to the functional $MS_{\omega}(u)$ in the $L^1 \times L^1$ topology.

At this point, how to construct a "good" parameter function ω becomes relevant. In [112016optimal] to construct ω via a spatially dependent bilevel learning scheme (see also [9, 19]). Although the parameter function ω suggested in [21] does belong to $SBV(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, i.e., the upper bound l_2 in (12) lower as parameter function that the positive lower bound l_1 exists too. In fact, the analysis in both [17, 21] suggests that in certain situation a vanishing parameter function can yield a better denoising result, and in particular, mitigate the so called staircasing effect. Hence, it is necessary to improve the method proposed in [14] so that the positive lower bounded requirement can be removed, and this is the main topic of this article.

In addition, we remark (1.3) is one among many that approximation schemes to (1.2). Indeed, recalling that the original Ambrosio and Tortorelli approximation introduced in [4] ($\omega \equiv 1$ in (1.3)) is the reminiscent of the "first order" Cahn-Hilliard approximation, we may also consider an approximation by using the "second order" Cahn-Hilliard approximation or even higher order Cahn-Hilliard approximations (see [15]).

In view of this, in this article we will consider a family of approximation schemes defined by, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$,

$$AT^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u,v) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 v^2 \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla^{(k)} v \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon} (1-v)^2 \right] \omega \, dx,$$

where

$$c_k := \inf \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} \left| v^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k} (1-v)^2 dx : v \in W^{k,2}_{\text{loc}}(0,+\infty) \\ v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots v^{(k-1)}(0) = 0, \ v(t) = 1 \text{ if } t > K_k \text{ for some } K_k > 0 \text{ depends on } k \right\}.$$

It has been observed in $[8] \frac{MB3429728}{[8]}$ for $\omega(x) \equiv \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and k = 2, the second order Ambrosio and Tortorelli approximation, i.e., $AT_{\alpha}^2(u, v)$, shows several advantages. For example, certain structure that are larger than a typical noise, but still not relevant for the segmentation (edge), can be suppressed. Hence, we should expect that the weighted version of AT_{α}^2 , i.e., AT_{α}^2 , to inherit similar advantages.

In order to state the main result of our paper, we first introduce some notations.

haishiyoudehao2

Notation 1.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open, bounded, Lipschitz regular domain, and let $\omega \in SBV(\Omega)$ be a non-negative function.

- Page 3
- 1. We say that $S \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ if \bar{S} is $\mathcal{H}_{ambrosio2000 functions}^{N-1}(\bar{S} \setminus S) = 0$ (note that \bar{S} is \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -rectifiable implies that S is \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -rectifiable. See [3], Proposition 2.76).
- 2. Set $F^t(\omega) := \{x \in \Omega : \ \omega(x) > t\}$, for t > 0, and

$$P^{\infty}(\omega) := \bigcap_{t>0} F^{t}(\omega) \text{ and } P^{0}(\omega) := \bigcap_{t>0} \left(\Omega \setminus F^{t}(\omega) \right).$$

$$(1.5) \quad \text{regularity_assumption}$$

3. Define $A_{\delta} := \{x \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(x, A) < \delta\}$ for $A \subset \Omega$ and $\delta > 0$.

We can now introduce the parameter functions used in our main theorem.

Definition 1.2 (The spatially dependent parameter function). Let $\omega: \Omega \to [0, +\infty]$ belong to $SBV(\Omega)$.

- 1. We say that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ if $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_{\omega}) < +\infty$, and $P^{0}(\omega) \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$.
- 2. We say that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_r(\Omega)$ if $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\partial ((P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\delta})} \omega \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{\partial ((P^{0}(\omega))_{\delta})} \omega \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = 0. \tag{1.6}$$
 infinite_small_cover

We remark that any positive, bounded, and continuous function ω satisfies (1.6).

3. We say that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_b(\Omega)$ if $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and satisfies (1.4).

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open, bounded, Lipschitz regular domain, let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_r(\Omega)$, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{AT}^k_{\omega,\varepsilon} \colon L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\Omega) \to [0, +\infty]$ be given by

$$\mathcal{AT}^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u,v) := \begin{cases} AT^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u,v) & \text{if } (u,v) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), \ 0 \leq v \leq 1, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the functionals $\mathcal{AT}^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}$ Γ -converge, with respect to the $L^1 \times L^1$ topology, to

$$\mathcal{MS}_{\omega}(u, v) := \begin{cases} MS_{\omega}(u) & \text{if } u \in GSBV_{\omega}(\Omega) \text{ and } v = 1 \text{ a.e.}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $GSBV_{\omega}(\Omega)$ is defined in Definition 2.3.

Although the parameter function proposed in [21] belongs to L^{∞} , here we allow ω to be unbounded, although the structure of the set $P^{\infty}(\Omega)$ has to satisfy the restrictive requirement ([1.6).

The proof of the Γ -lim inf requires only $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. To this aim, we first restrict our analysis to the domain $\Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\delta}$, with $\delta > 0$. Hence ω is bounded away from zero in $\Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\delta}$. Together with a truncation argument on ω , we have $\omega_K := \omega \wedge K \in \mathcal{P}_b(\Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\delta})$, and hence the Γ -lim inf result obtained in [21] can be applied. Second, we take the limit $\delta \to 0$, and using the assumption $\partial(P^0(\omega)) \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$, we can obtain the lower bound in $\Omega \setminus \overline{P^0(\omega)}$. Finally, by using the definition of $P^0(\omega)$, we recover the Γ -lim inf inequality in the entire domain Ω .

The proof of the Γ -lim sup is more delicate, requiring the extra assumption $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_r(\Omega)$. Still, similarly to the Γ -lim inf integral first restrict our analysis to the subset Ω' of Ω such that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_b(\Omega')$, and apply the construction from [21]. Then, using ([1.6), we can construct the recovery sequence in the entire domain Ω . To conclude this section, we state a lower semicontinuity result, which will be used in Section [4, which can be viewed as the weighted version of the main theorem of [2].

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem $\frac{\text{Lsc}_{SBV}_{thm}}{4.2}$). Assume that $\omega \in SBV(\Omega)$ has a positive lower bound, and define

$$F_{\omega}(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, \omega \, dx + \int_{S_u} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where f(x, s, p) is integrable in x, continuous in s, convex with respect to p, and satisfies

 $|p|^2 \leq f(x,s,p) \leq a(x) + \Phi(|s|)(1+|p|^2)$ for all $(x,s,p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$

for some $a \in L^1(\Omega)$, and some continuous function $\Phi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$. Then the functional F_{ω} is $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ is lower semicontinuous in $SBV(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

This article is organized as follows: in Section $\frac{\text{per prerss}}{2 \text{ we will}}$ introduce the main definitions, and recall several preliminary results. In Section $\frac{B}{3}$, we prove the Γ -lim inf inequality, and $\frac{\text{sec sev}_{thm_{1}}}{1 \text{ we construct}}$ the recovery sequence by using fine properties of SBV functions, and we prove Theorem 1.4.

t_Function_Space

AT_n_intro

intro om 1sc F

Def_Preres

2. Definitions and Preliminary Results

Throughout this paper, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open, bounded set with Lipschitz boundary, and I := (-1, 1).

polyhedral Definition 2.1. We say that a subset $P \subset \Omega$ is (N-1) polyhedral if it is the intersection of Ω with finitely many (N-1)-dimensional simplexes of \mathbb{R}^N .

SBV_SBV2_GSBV

Definition 2.2. We say that $u \in BV(\Omega)$ is a special function of bounded variation, and we write $u \in SBV(\Omega)$, if the Cantor part of its derivative, $D^c u$, is zero, so that (see [3, equation (3.89)])

$$Du = D^a u + D^j u = \nabla u \mathcal{L}^N \lfloor \Omega + (u^+ - u^-) \nu_u \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \lfloor S_u.$$
 absolutely_cont_

Moreover, we say that

1. $u \in SBV^2(\Omega)$ if $u \in SBV(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega)$; 2. $u \in GSBV(\Omega)$ if $K \wedge u \vee -K \in SBV(\Omega)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here we always identify $u \in SBV(\Omega)$ with its representative \bar{u} , where $\bar{u}(x) := \left(u^+(x) + u^-(x)\right)/2$, with

$$u^{+}(x) := \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B(x,r) \cap \{u > t\})}{r^{N}} = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$u^{-}(x) := \sup\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B(x,r) \cap \{u < t\})}{r^{N}} = 0\right\}.$$
(2.1)
$$\boxed{\text{mm_negative_part}}$$

We note that u^- , u^+ , and \bar{u} are all Borel measurable (see [12, Lemma I]).

parameter_space Definition 2.3. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ be given. We say that $u \in SBV_{\omega}(\Omega)$ if $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, $u \in SBV(\Omega \setminus (P^{0}(\omega))_{\delta})$ for every $\delta > 0$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \, dx + \int_{S_u^0} \left| u^+ - u^- \right| \,\omega \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} < +\infty, \tag{2.2} \quad \boxed{\text{define_zero_int}}$$

where the jump set S_u^0 of $u \in SBV_{\omega}(\Omega)$, with a vanishing parameter ω , is defined by

$$S_u^0 := \left(\bigcup_{\delta > 0} S_u^\delta\right) \cup P^0(\omega).$$

Here S_u^{δ} denotes the jump set of u in $SBV(\Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\delta})$. Moreover, we say that $u \in GSBV_{\omega}(\Omega)$ if $K \wedge u \vee -K \in SBV_{\omega}(\Omega)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2.4. Since $u \in SBV(\Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\delta})$ for every $\delta > 0$, ∇u is defined \mathcal{L}^N a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\delta}$, and hence \mathcal{L}^N a.e. in $\Omega \setminus \overline{P^0(\omega)}$. Recalling that $P^0(\omega) \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$, which implies that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\overline{P^0(\omega)}\right) < +\infty$, we have that ∇u is defined \mathcal{L}^N a.e., hence the first integral in $(\frac{22.2}{12.2})$ is well defined. Similarly, u^{\pm} is well defined for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{P^0(\omega)}$, hence the second integral in $(\frac{22.2}{12.2})$ us also well defined. Finally, it is clear that if ω has a positive lower bounded, then $P^0(\omega) = \emptyset$ and $S_u^0 = S_u$.

haishiyoudehao haishiyoudehao_Q

haishiyoudehao_T

haishiyoudehao Tt

Notation 2.5. Let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be a \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -rectifiable set, and let $x \in \Gamma$ be given.

1. We denote by $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$ the normal vector at x with respect to Γ , and by $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x,r)$ the cube centered at x with side length r and two faces normal to $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$;

- 2. $T_{x,\nu_{\Gamma}}$ denotes the hyperplane through x and normal to $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$, and $\mathbb{P}_{x,\nu_{\Gamma}}$ denotes the projection operator from Γ onto $T_{x,\nu_{\Gamma}}$;
- 3. we define, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the hyperplane $T_{x,\nu_{\Gamma}}(t) := T_{x,\nu_{\Gamma}} + t\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$;

1

4. we define the half-spaces and half-cubes by,

$$H_{
u_{\Gamma}}(x)^{+(-)} := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^N : \ \nu_{\Gamma}(x) \cdot (y-x) \ge (\le) 0 \right\}$$

and

$$Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}^{\pm}(x,r) := Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x,r) \cap H_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x)^{\pm},$$

respectively;

haishiyoudehao_R 5. for given $\tau > 0$, we denote by $R_{\tau,\nu_{\Gamma}}(x,r)$ the part of $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x,r)$ which lies strictly between the two hyperplanes $T_{x,\nu_{\Gamma}}(-\tau r)$ and $T_{x,\nu_{\Gamma}}(\tau r)$.

.

3.2. General case: $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Now we are ready to prove Proposition $\frac{\operatorname{pinint}_{\operatorname{part}_{\operatorname{c}}} c}{3.1}$. In the following, we set

$$L_{\delta} := \{ x \in \Omega : \ \omega(x) > \delta \} \cap \left(\Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\delta} \right),$$

where $P^0(\omega)$ is from Definition $\frac{Muckenhoupt_Function_Space}{1.2, and}$

$$\omega_l := l \wedge \omega, \qquad l > 0.$$

We recall from [12, Theorem 1] that, for \mathcal{L}^1 a.e. $\delta > 0$, L_{δ} has finite perimeter.

Proof of Proposition $\frac{\text{liminf part c}}{|\mathcal{G}.I.}$ Without loss of generality, assume that $M := MS_{\omega}^{-}(u) < \infty$. Let $\{(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be such that $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega), v_{\varepsilon} \to 1$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = MS_{\omega}^{-}(u)$. Fix $\delta > 0$ and l > 0, and note that

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \\ &\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{L_{\delta}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega_{l} \, dx + \frac{1}{c_{k}} \int_{L_{\delta}} \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon 4^{k}} (1-v_{\varepsilon})^{2} \right] \omega_{l} \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{L_{\delta}} |\nabla u|^{2} \omega_{l} \, dx + \int_{S_{u}^{\delta} \cap L_{\delta}} \omega_{l}^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \\ \lim_{l \text{ liminf part ref}} |\nabla u|^{2} \omega_{l} \, dx + \int_{S_{u}^{\delta} \cap L_{\delta}} \omega_{l}^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we used Proposition $\frac{\mu \lim_{l \to 1} part_rer}{3.2.}$ Letting $l \nearrow +\infty$ on the right hand side, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \ge \int_{L_{\delta}} |\nabla u|^2 \, \omega \, dx + \int_{S^{\delta}_u \cap L_{\delta}} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 a.e. $\delta > 0$.

Finally, taking the limit $\delta \searrow 0$ on the right hand side, in view of (1.5), and the fact that $S_u^{\delta} \cap L_{\delta} \subset S_u^{\delta'} \cap L_{\delta'}$ for $\delta > \delta'$, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem we infer

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) &\geq \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{P^0(\omega)}} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \, dx + \int_{S^0_u \setminus \overline{P^0(\omega)}} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \, dx + \int_{S^0_u \setminus P^0(\omega)} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \, dx + \int_{S^0_u} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \end{split}$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that $\omega^{-}(x) \leq \omega(0) = 0$ in $P^{0}(\omega)$.

t_n_D_C

limsup_n_c

lsc_SBV_om

4. The Γ -lim sup Inequality

This section is devoted to the proof of the Γ -lim sup inequality, and Theorem 1.3, under the additional assumption $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_r(\Omega)$.

The main goal is to prove the following proposition.

٢

Proposition 4.1. (Γ -lim sup) Given $u \in L^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_r(\Omega)$, and

$$\begin{split} MS^+_{\omega}(u) &:= \inf \left\{ \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) : \\ (u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \to u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), v_{\varepsilon} \to 1 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), 0 \le v_{\varepsilon} \le 1 \right\} \end{split}$$

Then $E^+_{\omega}(u) \leq E_{\omega}(u)$.

To prove this result, we will establish some preliminary results on the lower semicontinuity of convex integrals in the space $SBV_{\omega}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, under the condition that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ has a positive lower bound.

4.1. Lower semicontinuity results in the space $SBV_{\omega}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}$ with a positive lower bounded ω . In this section we study the lower semicontinuity of integral functionals defined in $SBV_{\omega}(\Omega)$, with respect to the $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ topology. Consider

$$F_\omega(u):=\int_\Omega f(x,u,\nabla u)\,\omega\,dx+\int_{S_u}\omega^-d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where f(x, s, p) is a nonnegative Carathéodory function in x, and continuous in (s, p), and the parameter function $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is assumed to be bounded from below by a constant l > 0, i.e.

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}\left\{\omega^{-}(x): \ x \in \Omega\right\} = l > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Without loss of generality, we take l = 1. This condition implies that the space SBV_{ω} is embedded in $SBV(\Omega)$, and hence we may apply results concerning $SBV(\Omega)$.

The main result is the following.

Isc_SBV_thm Theorem 4.2. Given $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ satisfying $(\overset{\texttt{lll1_om_lsc_F}}{\underbrace{\texttt{4.1}}, assume}$ that f(x, s, p) is convex with respect to p, and satisfies the condition

$$|p|^{2} \leq f(x,s,p) \leq a(x) + \Phi(|s|)(1+|p|^{2}) \text{ for all } (x,s,p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

for some $a \in L^1(\Omega)$, and some continuous function $\Phi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$. Then, for any sequence $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, and

$$\sup\left\{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}: \varepsilon > 0\right\} < +\infty, \tag{4.2}$$
 buqingyuandebdd

we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_{\omega}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge F_{\omega}(u).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M := \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} F(u_{\varepsilon}) < +\infty$. Hence,

$$F_1(u_{\varepsilon}) \le F_{\omega}(u_{\varepsilon}) \le M+1 \tag{4.3} \quad \texttt{finite_jump_num}_{-}$$

SBV_om_lsc_F

llll_om_lsc_F

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, by (4.2) and (3), Theorem 4.7], there exists $u \in SBV(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u$ in $BV(\Omega)$. Fix $K \in \mathbb{N}$, and define

$$f_K(x,s,p) := f(x,s,p)(\omega \wedge K)$$

and by [2, Theorem 0.1], we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \, \omega \, dx \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} f_K(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f_K(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx.$$

Letting $K \nearrow +\infty$, we recover

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \, \omega \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, \omega \, dx.$$

We next show that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \ge \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$
(4.4) [lower_bdd_3dnd]

To this aim, we first prove it in the case N = 1, and then recover the general case N > 1 using the slicing argument from [21, Lemma 3.9].

In the case N = 1, we need to show that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{x \in S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-}(x) \ge \sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x).$$
(4.5) [lower_bdd_2dnd]

Recalling (4.3), note that

 $\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{H}^0(S_{u_{\varepsilon}}) < +\infty \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^0(S_u) < +\infty,$

and, without loss of generality, we may assume that $S_{u_{\varepsilon}} = \{x_{\varepsilon}\}$, and $S_u = \{x\}$. Hence, the convergence $u \rightharpoonup u$ in $BV(\Omega)$ implies that $x_{\varepsilon} \to x$. We claim that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \omega^{-}(x_{\varepsilon}) \ge \omega^{-}(x). \tag{4.6}$$

If $x \notin S_{\omega}$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\omega \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta) = \emptyset$$

S

so ω is absolutely continuous in $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$, and $(\frac{1 \text{over} \text{bdd} 1 \text{dnd}}{4.6})$ is trivially satisfied with $\omega(x) = \omega^{-}(x)$, with the inequality in $(\frac{4.6}{4.6})$ being actually an equality.

Suppose that $x \in S_{\omega}$ and, without loss of generality, assume that x = 0. Since $\mathcal{H}^0(S_{\omega}) < \infty$, choose $\bar{r} > 0$ such that

$$S_{\omega} \cap (0 - \bar{r}, 0 + \bar{r}) = 0.$$

As ω is absolutely continuous in $(-\bar{r}, 0)$ and $(0, \bar{r})$, we may extend ω uniquely to x = 0 to the left and right (see [20, 10]) $(-\bar{r}, 0)$ and $(0, \bar{r})$, we may extend ω uniquely to x = 0 to the left and right (see [20, 10]) $(-\bar{r}, 0)$ and $(0, \bar{r})$. Exercise 3.7, (1)], which allows us to define

$$(0^+) := \lim_{x \searrow 0^+} \omega(x) \text{ and } \omega(0^-) := \lim_{x \nearrow 0^-} \omega(x).$$

This gives immediately

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \omega^-(x_\varepsilon) \ge \omega(0^-).$$

We next claim that $\omega(0^-) = \omega^-(0)$. By part 2 of Theorem $\frac{\text{fine_properties_BV}}{2.6$, we have

$$\omega^{-}(0) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{-r}^{0} \omega(t) \, dt = \omega(0^{-})$$

where in the last equality we used basic properties of absolutely continuous functions, and the definition of $\omega(0^-)$. Thus (4.6) holds, hence (4.5) holds too.

We now claim (14.4). Define $\omega_K := \omega \wedge K$, and by Lemma A.6, we obtain a set $S \subset S_u$ such that Lemmas (A, G, h, G, h, h) are satisfied. Fixed one such $Q \in Q$, and observe that, due to $\omega_{x,\nu}^-(t) = \omega^-(x+t\nu)$ (see (3, Remark 3.109)), we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \cap Q} \omega_{K}^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

lemma_jump_jiuehuishi

$$\begin{split} &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}(x_{0},r_{0})\right]_{\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} \left(\sum_{t \in S_{(u_{\varepsilon})_{x,\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} \cap \left[Q_{\nu_{S}}(x_{0},r_{0})\right]_{x,\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} (\omega_{K}^{-})_{x,\nu_{S}(x_{0})}(t) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\ &\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{T_{g}(x_{0},r_{0})} \left(\sum_{t \in S_{(u_{\varepsilon})_{x,\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} \cap \left[Q_{\nu_{S}}(x_{0},r_{0})\right]_{x,\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} (\omega_{K}^{-})_{x,\nu_{S}(x_{0})}(t) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\ &\geq \int_{T_{g}(x_{0},r_{0})} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\sum_{t \in S_{(u_{\varepsilon})_{x,\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} \cap \left[Q_{\nu_{S}}(x_{0},r_{0})\right]_{x,\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} (\omega_{K}^{-})_{x,\nu_{S}(x_{0})}(t) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\ &= \int_{T_{g}(x_{0},r_{0})} \omega_{K}^{-}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) = \int_{T_{g}(x_{0},r_{0})} \omega_{K}^{-}(x',l_{x_{0}}(x')) d\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(x'). \end{aligned}$$
similar argument as in

Using a s

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega_{K}^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \cap Q} \omega_{K}^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{S \cap Q} \omega_{K}^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}} \left(\int_{S_{u}} \omega_{K}^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \|\omega_{K}\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta \right). \end{split}$$
g first the limits $\tau \searrow 0$ and $\eta \searrow 0$, and then $K \nearrow +\infty$, gives ($\frac{\|\operatorname{lover_bdd_3dnd}}{|4.4|}$.

Taking first the limits $\tau \searrow 0$ and $\eta \searrow 0$, and then $K \nearrow +\infty$, gives $(\overline{4.4})$.

Lemma 4.3. Given $u \in SBV_{\omega}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying $MS_{\omega}(u) < +\infty$, where $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{r}(\Omega)$ and satisfies $(\overset{||111_om\ lsc_F}{4.1})$, there exists a sequence $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset SBV_{\omega}^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that the following assertions hold: finite_down 1. $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}} < ||u||_{L^{\infty}}$: `

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \texttt{finite_down_a} \\ \hline \texttt{finite_down_b} \\ \hline \texttt{regu}_\texttt{siniota_dppnos} \end{array} & 1. & \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}}; \\ 2. & S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \subset \Omega \setminus (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{o(\varepsilon)} \text{ (note that } \\ 3. \\ & \lim \int |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \omega \end{array}$$

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \to 0}^{\infty} (\omega))_{o(\varepsilon)} \quad (note \ that \ ess \ sup \left\{ \omega(x) : \ x \in \Omega \setminus (P^{\infty}(\omega)_{o(\varepsilon)} \right\} < +\infty);$$
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \ \omega \ dx + \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} \ \omega \ dx + \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small, so that

$$\int_{(P^{\infty}(\omega))_{o(\varepsilon)}} |\nabla u|^2 \, \omega dx < o(\varepsilon). \tag{4.7}$$
 [finite_down_shri

Let K_{ε} be a compact subset of $S_u \setminus (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{o(\varepsilon)}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u \setminus K_{\varepsilon}) \le \varepsilon$$
 and $\int_{S_u \setminus K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^- \le \varepsilon$.

Consider the minimization problem

$$\min\left\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_v \setminus K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u - v|^2 \,\omega \,dx : v \in SBV_{\omega}^2(\Omega) \text{ and } S_v \subset \Omega \setminus (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{o(\varepsilon)} \right\}.$$
(4.8)
yi_limit

By a truncation argument, we may impose the restriction that v satisfies $\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. Let $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a minimizing sequence. Then,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 \, dx + \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left(S_{v_n} \setminus K_{\varepsilon} \right) + \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left(K_{\varepsilon} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u - v_n|^2 \, dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{l} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 \, \omega \, dx + \int_{S_{v_n} \setminus K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u - v_n|^2 \, \omega \, dx \right] < \frac{1}{l} (M_{\varepsilon} + 1), \end{split}$$

where M_{ε} is defined as the minimum of $(\underline{4.8})$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed.

Assume first that $M_{\varepsilon} < +\infty$. By [3, Theorem 4.7], there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in SBV(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightharpoonup u_{\varepsilon}$ in $BV(\Omega)$, and for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x_{\varepsilon} \in S_{\varepsilon}$, there exists $x_n \in S_{v_n}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, which implies that $S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \subset \Omega \setminus (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{o(\varepsilon)}$. Moreover, by Theorem 4.2, we have $u_{\varepsilon} \in SBV_{\omega} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dx + \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \setminus K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u - u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \omega dx$$

$$\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{n}|^{2} \omega dx + \int_{S_{v_{n}} \setminus K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u - v_{n}|^{2} \omega dx.$$

$$(4.9) \quad \text{[yi_limit2]}$$

Define

$$\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} := \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{2o(\varepsilon)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $S_{\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}} \subset \Omega \setminus (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{o(\varepsilon)}$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \omega \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, \omega \, dx.$$

In view of $(\stackrel{\texttt{linfinite_small_cover}}{\texttt{I.6}},$

$$\int_{S_{\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{\partial((P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\varepsilon})} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + O(\varepsilon),$$

hence $M_{\varepsilon} < +\infty$. Let $v = \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$ in (4.8), by (4.7) and (4.9), we have

In particular,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u - u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \omega \, dx \le C \varepsilon \to 0.$$

By $(\frac{\text{yi_limit2}}{4.9})$, Theorem $\frac{\text{lsc_SBV_thm}}{4.2}$, and ess inf $\omega > l > 0$, up to a subsequence it holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \omega \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, \omega \, dx, \text{ and } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \ge \int_{S_u} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

Hence, in view of (4.10),

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_u} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_{u_\varepsilon}} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_{u_\varepsilon} \setminus K_\varepsilon} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{K_\varepsilon} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u - u_\varepsilon|^2 \,\omega \,dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_u} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} (O(\varepsilon) + o(\varepsilon)/O(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_u} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \end{split}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_u} \omega^- \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{S_{u_{\varepsilon}} \setminus K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} = 0, \text{ and } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} \omega^{-} = \int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-}, \qquad (4.11) \quad \boxed{\text{yi_limit4}}$$

concluding the proof

Page 10

Remark 4.4. We note that u_{ε} is a local minimum for the function

4.2. Construction of recovery sequence with $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_b(\Omega)$.

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \,\omega \, dx + \int_{S_v} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u - v|^2 \,\omega \, dx$$

in $\Omega \setminus K_{\varepsilon}$. It can be shown that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{(\overline{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \setminus S_{u_{\varepsilon}}) \cap (\Omega \setminus K_{\varepsilon})} \omega^{-} = 0,$$

which, together with (4.11), yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\overline{S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \setminus S_{u_{\varepsilon}}} \omega^{-} = 0. \tag{4.12}$$
 yi_limits

Although $(\frac{\forall i = 1 \text{ imit 5}}{(4.12) \text{ could simplify the argument used in Section } \frac{\forall i = 1 \text{ imit sec}}{4.3, \text{ and relax the assumptions on } P^{\infty}(\omega)$, to keep this article self contained, we refrain from using this fact.

first_step_finites

limsup_n_ref

yi_limit_sec

Proposition 4.5 (
$$\overset{\text{[Liu2016optimal]}}{[21, \text{Proposition 4.1]}}$$
). Given $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_b(\Omega)$ and $u \in L^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, set
 $MS^+_{\omega}(u) := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT^1_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) : (u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \to u \text{ in } L^1, v_{\varepsilon} \to 1 \text{ in } L^1, 0 \le v_{\varepsilon} \le 1 \right\}$

Then $MS^+_{\omega}(u) \leq MS_{\omega}(u)$.

4.3. Proof of Proposition $\overset{\underline{\text{μimsup_n$}}_{\varepsilon}}{4.1}$. We are now ready to prove the main result of this section. To do so, we define localized versions of MS_{ω} and $AT^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}$ by

$$MS_{\omega}(u)(A) := \int_{A} |\nabla u|^2 \, \omega \, dx + \int_{S_u \cap A} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

and

$$AT^{k}_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u,v)(A) := \int_{A} |\nabla u|^{2} v^{2} \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{2c_{k}} \int_{A} \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla^{(k)} v \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon} (1-v)^{2} \right] \omega \, dx,$$

respectively. Here $A\subset \Omega$ is an open set.

Proof of Proposition $\frac{\lim_{t \to \infty} p_n c}{4.1}$. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_r(\Omega)$ be given. By Definition $\frac{\text{Muckenhoupt_Function_Space}}{1.2}$, we have for any $\tau > 0$, ess inf $\left\{ \omega(x) : x \in \Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_\eta \right\} > 0$.

Define

$$u_{\eta} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in (P^0(\omega))_{\eta/3}, \\ u(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $S_{u_{\eta}} \subset \Omega \setminus (P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4}$, and observe that

$$\begin{split} MS_{\omega}(u_{\tau}) &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\eta}|^{2} \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_{u_{\eta}}} \omega^{-} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_{u}^{0}} \omega^{-} dx + \int_{\partial((p^{0}(\omega))_{\eta})} \omega^{-} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} \,\omega \,dx + \int_{S_{u}^{0}} \omega^{-} dx + O(\eta) = MS_{\omega}(u) + O(\eta). \end{split}$$

Applying Lemma $\frac{11110}{4.3}$ on u_{η} , inside $\Omega \setminus (p^0(\omega))_{\eta/4}$, gives a sequence $u_{\eta,\tau}$ such that

$$MS(u_{\eta,\tau})(\Omega \setminus (p^0(\omega))_{\eta/4}) \le MS(u_{\tau}) + O(\tau) \le MS(u) + O(\tau) + O(\eta),$$

and

$$S_{u_{\eta,\tau}} \subset \Omega \setminus \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \cup (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\tau} \right) \text{ and } \omega \in \mathcal{P}_b \left(\Omega \setminus \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \cup (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\tau} \right) \right).$$
Proposition $\frac{1 \text{ insup } n_r \text{ref}}{4.5 \text{ there exists}}$

Then, by Proposition $\overline{4.5}$, there exists

$$\{ \tilde{u}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon}, \tilde{v}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon} \}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset W^{1,2} \left(\Omega \setminus \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \cup (P^\infty(\omega))_\tau \right) \right) \times W^{1,2} \left(\Omega \setminus \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \cup (P^\infty(\omega))_\tau \right) \right)$$

such that either

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT^{1}_{\omega,\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon},\tilde{v}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon}) \left(\Omega \setminus \left((P^{0}(\omega))_{\eta/4} \cup (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\tau} \right) \right) \le MS(u_{\eta,\tau})(\Omega \setminus (p^{0}(\omega))_{\eta/4}),$$

or

$$AT^{1}_{\omega,\varepsilon}(\tilde{u}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon},\tilde{v}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon})\left(\Omega\setminus\left((P^{0}(\omega))_{\eta/4}\cup(P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\tau}\right)\right)\leq MS(u_{\eta,\tau})(\Omega\setminus(p^{0}(\omega))_{\eta/4})+O(\varepsilon)$$

Let $\varphi_{\eta,\tau}$ to be a cut off function such that $\varphi_{\eta,\tau} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$\varphi_{\eta,\tau}(x) \equiv 1 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/3} \cup (P^\infty(\omega))_{2\tau} \right) \text{ and } \varphi_{\eta}(x) \equiv 0 \text{ in } \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \cup (P^\infty(\omega))_{\tau} \right).$$

Define

holds.

$$u_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon} := (1 - \varphi_{\eta,\tau}) \, \tilde{u}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon}$$

and

$$v_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon} := \tilde{v}_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon} \wedge \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(\operatorname{dist}(\partial \left[(P^{0}(\omega))_{\eta/4} \cup (P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\tau} \right])),$$

with \tilde{v}_{ε} from [14, equation (4.29)].

Hence, we have $\{u_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon}, v_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} A^{1}_{\omega,\eta}(u_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon}, v_{\eta,\tau,\varepsilon}) \leq MS_{\omega}(u_{\eta,\tau}) + \int_{\partial \left((P^{0}(\omega))_{\eta/4} \right)} \omega^{+} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{\partial ((P^{\infty}(\omega))_{\tau})} \omega^{+} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$
(4.13)
$$\boxed{\texttt{last_need_1111}}$$

We claim that the last term on the right hand side of $(\frac{\mu asc_neeu_1111}{4.13})$ vanishes. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{\eta \to 0} \int_{\partial \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \right)} \omega^+ d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &= \limsup_{\eta \to 0} \int_{\partial \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \right)} (2\omega - \omega^-) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \limsup_{\eta \to 0} \int_{\partial \left((P^0(\omega))_{\eta/4} \right)} 2\omega \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = 0, \\ \text{where in the last equality we used} \underbrace{(\overset{\text{infinite small cover}}{\text{(I.6). This, together with }} (\overset{\text{hast need 1111}}{4.13), \text{ concludes the proof by letting } \eta \to 0. \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The limit inequality follows from Proposition 3.1. On the other hand, for any given $u \in$ $GSBV(\Omega)$ such that $MS_{\omega}(u) < \infty$, we have, by Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$MS_{\omega}(u) = \lim_{K \to \infty} MS_{\omega}(K \land u \lor -K).$$

Using a diagonal argument, together with Proposition 4.1, concludes the proof.

Appendix

We consider the one-dimensional case N = 1 first, and then extend to the general case N > 1 via the slicing argument introduced in [14]. To avoid confusion, when N = 1, we define the approximating functional, with a spatially dependent parameter $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, as

$$T_{\omega,\varepsilon}^k(u,v) = \int_I \left| u' \right|^2 v^2 \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_I \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla v \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2^k \varepsilon} (1-v)^2 \right] \omega \, dx,$$

and the one-dimensional Mumford-Shah functional, with a spatially dependent parameter $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, by

$$T_{\omega}(u) = \int_{I} \left| u' \right|^{2} \omega \, dx + \sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x)$$

We recall that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ implies $\mathcal{H}^0(S_\omega) < \infty$. Also, we note that ω^- is defined \mathcal{H}^0 -a.e, hence everywhere in I. We begin with an auxiliary result.

Proposition A.1. Let $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(I)$ be such that $0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1$, $v_{\varepsilon} \to 1$ in $L^{1}(I)$ and a.e., and

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{I} \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4^{k} \varepsilon} (1-v_{\varepsilon})^{2} \right] \, dx < \infty.$$

Then, for any $0 < \eta < 1$, there exists an open set $H_{\eta} \subset I$ such that $I \setminus H_{\eta}$ is a collection of finitely many points in I, and for every set $T \subset H_{\eta}$, we have $T \subset B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}$ for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, where

$$B^{\eta}_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ x \in I : v^2_{\varepsilon}(x) \ge \eta \right\}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 2.7, we have there exists $C := C(\varepsilon_0, k, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{I} \left[\varepsilon \left| v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} (1 - v_{\varepsilon})^{2} \right] dx \leq C \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{I} \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4^{k}\varepsilon} (1 - v_{\varepsilon})^{2} \right] dx < \infty.$$

Hence, by the arguments from [4, pages 1020-1021], we conclude the proof.

Section .0

. |

sl

We next study the minimization problem

$$c_k := \inf \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} \left| v^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k} (1-v)^2 dx : v \in W^{k,2}_{\text{loc}}(0,+\infty) \right.$$
$$v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots v^{(k-1)}(0) = 0, \ v(t) = 1 \text{ if } t > K_k \text{ for some } K_k > 0 \text{ depends on } k \right\}.$$

represent_constant_k Lemma A.2

Lemma A.2. The constant c_k is positive and

$$c_k = \inf \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} \left| v^{(k)}(x) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k} (1 - v(x))^2 dx : v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{k,2}(0, +\infty), \\ v(0) = v'(0) = \cdots v^{(k-1)}(0) = 0, \lim_{x \to \infty} v(x) = 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. The proof employs the arguments used in $\begin{bmatrix} fonseca2000second \\ 15, Lemma 2.5 \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover, by solving the associated Euler-Lagrange equation, we have also

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2}, \quad c_3 = \frac{1}{16}.$$

liminf_part_1d_c Proposition A.3. (Γ -liminf) Given $u \in L^1(I)$, let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ satisfying $(\underline{B.1})$, and

$$T_{\omega}^{-}(u) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) : \right.$$

$$(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \in W^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), u_{\varepsilon} \to u \text{ in } L^1, v_{\varepsilon} \to 1 \text{ in } L^1, 0 \le v_{\varepsilon} \le 1 \right\}.$$

Then $T_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq T_{\omega}(u)$.

Proof. Assume that $M := T_{\omega}^{-}(u) < \infty$, and choose u_{ε} and v_{ε} that are admissible for $T_{\omega}^{-}(u)$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = T_{\omega}^{-}(u)$. Since $\inf_{x \in I} \omega(x) \ge 1$, we have $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_{1,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) < +\infty$. By Theorem 2.7 we have

$$T_{1,\varepsilon}^{1}(u_{\varepsilon},v_{\varepsilon}) \leq C_{k}T_{1,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon},v_{\varepsilon}) \leq C_{k}T_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{k}(u_{\varepsilon},v_{\varepsilon}) \leq M+1,$$

and by [4], we get also

$$u \in GSBV(I) \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^0(S_u) < +\infty.$$
 (A.1) use_la_nonsm0

The proof would be complete provided we show the following inequalities:

$$\int_{I} |u'|^{2} \omega \, dx \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{I} |u'_{\varepsilon}|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega \, dx < +\infty, \tag{A.2}$$
use_la_nonsm1

and

$$\sum_{x \in S_u} \omega^-(x) \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{c_k} \int_I \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2^k \varepsilon} (1-v_{\varepsilon})^2 \right] \omega \, dx < +\infty.$$
(A.3)
$$\boxed{\text{use_la_nonsm2}}$$

Up to a (not relabeled) subsequence, we have $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ and $v_{\varepsilon} \to 1$ a.e. in I, with

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_I \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2^k \varepsilon} (1-v_{\varepsilon})^2 \right] dx \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_I \left[\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| v_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2^k \varepsilon} (1-v_{\varepsilon})^2 \right] \omega \, dx < +\infty.$$

By Proposition A.I, we deduce that, for a fixed $\eta \in (1/2, 1)$, there exists a set H_{η} such that for every $T \subset H_{\eta}$, it holds

$$\int_{T} \left| u' \right|^{2} \omega \, dx \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{T} \left| u'_{\varepsilon} \right|^{2} \omega \, dx \le \frac{1}{\eta} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{I} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \left| u'_{\varepsilon} \right|^{2} \omega \, dx. \tag{A.4} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{liminf}_2}$$

Here we used $\begin{bmatrix} \text{fonseca2015modern} \\ [13, \text{Theorem 6.3.7}] \end{bmatrix}$ in the first inequality. By taking the limit $T \nearrow H_{\eta}$ on the left hand side of $(A.4)^{\text{liminf}_2}$ first, and then the limit $\eta \nearrow 1$ on the right hand side, we get (A.2).

We next show $\begin{pmatrix} use \ la \ nonsm2}{(A.3) \ Let \ t \in S_u}$ be given, and for simplicity, assume that t = 0 and $t \in S_\omega$. By the same arguments in $[A, page \ 1021]$, we can prove that there exist $\{t_n^1\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{t_n^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $-1 < t_n^1 < s_n < t_n^2 < 1$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n^1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = 0$,

and, up to a subsequence, also

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}(t_n^1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}(t_n^2) = 1, \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}(s_n) = 0.$$

We conclude, using Lemma A.2, that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_{t_n^1}^{s_n} \left[\varepsilon(n)^{2k-1} \left| (v_{\varepsilon(n)})^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon(n)} (1 - v_{\varepsilon(n)})^2 \right] dx \ge \frac{c_k}{2c_k} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and, since ω is positive,

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_{t_n^1}^{t_n^*} \left[\varepsilon(n)^{2k-1} \left| (v_{\varepsilon(n)})^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon(n)} (1 - v_{\varepsilon(n)})^2 \right] \omega(x) \, dx \\ & \geq \left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathop{\mathrm{ess\,inf}}_{r \in (t_n^1, t_n^2)} \omega(r) \right) \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2c_k} \left\{ \int_{t_n^1}^{s_n} \left[\varepsilon(n)^{2k-1} \left| (v_{\varepsilon(n)})^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon(n)} (1 - v_{\varepsilon(n)})^2 \right] dx \quad (A.5) \quad \boxed{\operatorname{revel_1d_c_lower}} \\ & + \int_{s_n}^{t_n^2} \left[\varepsilon(n)^{2k-1} \left| (v_{\varepsilon(n)})^{(k)} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon(n)} (1 - v_{\varepsilon(n)})^2 \right] dx \right\} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega^-(0) = \omega^-(0). \end{split}$$

Moreover, if $t \in S_u \setminus S_\omega$, we may use the above arguments to infer that $(\overline{A, S})$ holds also with $\omega^-(0)$ replaced by $\omega(0)$, since $t = 0 \notin S_{\omega}$ implies $\omega^{-}(0) = \omega(0)$.

Finally, since $S_u \subset I \setminus H_\eta$, by (A.1) we have that S_u is a finite collection of points, and we may repeat the above arguments for all $t \in S_u$ by partitioning I into disjoint intervals, each of which containing at most one single point of S_u , to deduce (A.3).

We next recall some notations and results from $\frac{\text{\muiu2016weightedMS}}{[14], \text{ and prove}}$ Proposition $\frac{\text{\muiminf_part_c}}{B.1 \text{ with } N} > 1$, under the assumption that $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(I)$ satisfies $(\underline{3.1})$

Let \mathcal{S}^{N-1} be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N , and let $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be a fixed direction. We set

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_{\nu} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} : \langle x, \nu \rangle = 0 \right\}, \ \Omega_{\nu} := \left\{ x \in \Pi_{\nu} : \Omega_{x,\nu} \neq \emptyset \right\}, \\ \Omega_{x,\nu}^{1} := \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : x + t\nu \in \Omega \right\} \quad \text{for } x \in \Pi_{\nu}, \\ \Omega_{x,\nu} := \left\{ y = x + t\nu : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cap \Omega, \\ u_{x,\nu}(t) := u(x + t\nu), \ x \in \Omega_{\nu}, \ t \in \Omega_{x,\nu}^{1}. \end{cases}$$
(A.6) Include the set of the set

Set $x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ denotes the first N-1 components of $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and given $l: \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, we define the graph of l over G as

$$F(l;G) := \left\{ (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x' \in G, \, x_N = l(x') \right\}.$$

If l is Lipschitz regular, then we call F(l;G) a Lipschitz - (N-1) - graph.

Theorem A.4 ([4], Theorem 3.3). Let $\nu \in S^{N-1}$ be given, and assume that $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then, for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\nu}$, $u_{x,\nu}$ belongs to $W^{1,2}(\Omega_{x,\nu})$ and $u'_{x,\nu}(t) = \langle \nabla u(x+t\nu), \nu \rangle$.

Proposition A.5 ([14, Proposition 3.6]). Let $\nu \in S^{N-1}$ be a fixed direction, $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma) < \infty$, and $\mathbb{P}_{\nu} \colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \Pi_{\nu}$ be a projection operator, where by (A.6), $\Pi_{\nu} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} . Then ject_lemma_lip_h \mathcal{H}^{l}

$$\mathcal{U}^{N-1}(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}(\Gamma)) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma)$$

and, for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in \Pi_{\nu}$,

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\Omega_{x,\nu}\cap\Gamma)<+\infty$$

 $\textbf{Lemma A.6} \ (\begin{bmatrix} \underline{l} \ \underline{l} \ \underline{u} \ \underline{2016} \\ \underline{l} \ \underline{4}, \ \underline{Lemma 3.9} \end{bmatrix}). \ Let \ \tau > 0 \ and \ \eta > 0 \ be \ given. \ Let \ u \in SBV(\Omega) \ and \ assume \ that \ \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u) < \infty.$ The following statements hold:

a. there exist a set $S \subset S_u$ with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u \setminus S) < \eta$, and a countable collection \mathcal{Q} of mutually disjoint, open cubes centered on elements of S_u , such that $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q \subset \Omega$, and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \setminus \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q\right) = 0$;

b. for every
$$Q \in \mathcal{Q}$$
 there exists a direction vector $\nu_Q \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^0(S \cap Q_{x,\nu_Q}) = 1$ for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} a.e. $x \in Q \cap S$;

c. $S \cap Q$ is contained in a Lipschitz (N-1)- graph Γ_Q , with Lipschitz constant not exceeding τ .



slicing_singleb

slicing_singlec

slice_deri_dirc

project_lemma_lip_1



(A.7)

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this Section.

Proof of Proposition $\frac{\lim \inf f_part_c}{\Im.1, with \omega}$ satisfying $(\stackrel{easy_vay_out}{\boxtimes.1)$. Assume that $M := MS_{\omega}^{-}(u) < \infty$. Let $\{(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be such that $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ in $L^1, v_{\varepsilon} \to 1$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT_{\omega,\varepsilon}^k(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = MS_{\omega}^{-}(u)$. Since $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \omega(x) \ge 1$, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT^k_{1,\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} AT^k_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) < \infty,$$

and by [4], we deduce that

$$u \in GSBV(\Omega)$$
 and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u) < \infty$.

We show separately that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, v_{\varepsilon} \, \omega \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, \omega \, dx, \tag{A.8}$$

`first_part_ATCw`

and

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_{\Omega} \left(\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon} (1-v_{\varepsilon})^2 \right) \omega \, dx \ge \int_{S_u} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \tag{A.9}$$

Let A be an open subset of Ω . Fix $\nu \in S^{N-1}$, and define $A_{x,\nu}$, $A_{x,\nu}^1$, and A_{ν} as in $(A.6)_{\underline{k1ccing_notation}} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ set $u_K := K \wedge u \vee -K$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and we observe, by Fubini's Theorem, Fatou's Lemma, Theorem A.4, equation (A.2), and Theorem 2.3 in [4], that

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{A} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \,\omega \,dx &\geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{A_{x,\nu}^{1}} \left| (u_{\varepsilon})_{x,\nu}' \right|^{2} (v_{\varepsilon})_{x,\nu}^{2} \,\omega_{x,\nu} \,dt \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\ &\geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \int_{A_{x,\nu}^{1}} \left| (u_{K})_{x,\nu}' \right|^{2} \omega_{x,\nu} \,dt \,d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \geq \int_{A} |\langle \nabla u_{K}(x), \nu \rangle|^{2} \,\omega \,dx. \end{split} \tag{A.10} \quad \underbrace{\text{use_below_ff}}$$

Taking the limit $K \to \infty$, and using Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{A} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \,\omega \, dx \ge \int_{A} |\langle \nabla u(x), \nu \rangle|^{2} \,\omega \, dx. \tag{A.11}$$
 Ifslicecont

Let $\phi_n(x) := |\langle \nabla u(x), \nu_n \rangle|^2 \omega$ for \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a dense subset of \mathcal{S}^{N-1} , and let

$$\mu(A) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_A |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \, v_\varepsilon^2 \, \omega \, dx.$$

Then μ is positive, super-additive on any pair of open sets A and B with disjoint closures, and, by $\begin{bmatrix} braides2002gamma \\ Lemma 15.2 \end{bmatrix}$ and (A.11), we conclude (A.8), we prove (A.9). By Fubini's Theorem, Fatou's Lemma, (A.7), and (A.3), and using similar arguments as in (A.10), we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2c_k} \int_A \left(\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla^{(k)} v_\varepsilon \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon} (1-v_\varepsilon)^2 \right) \omega \, dx \quad \geq \quad \int_{A_\nu} \left[\sum_{t \in Su_{x,\nu} \cap A_{x,\nu}^1} \omega_{x,\nu}^-(t) \right] d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (A.12) \quad \boxed{\texttt{liminf_cont_lateries}} = \sum_{t \in Su_{x,\nu} \cap A_{x,\nu}^1} \left[\sum_{t \in Su_{x,\nu} \cap A_{x,\nu}^1} \omega_{x,\nu}^-(t) \right] d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x).$$

Next, given arbitrary $\tau \geq 0$ and $\eta > 0$, we choose a set $S \subset S_u$ and a collection \mathcal{Q} of mutually disjoint cubes according to Lemma A.6 with respect to S_u . Fix one such cube $Q_{\nu_S}(x_0, r_0) \in \mathcal{Q}$. By Lemma A.6, we have, up to rigid motions,

$$\Gamma_{x_0} = \left\{ (y', l_{x_0}(y')) : \ y \in T_{x_0, \nu_S} \cap Q_{\nu_S}(x_0, r_0) \right\} \text{ and } \|\nabla l_{x_0}\|_{L^{\infty}} < \tau.$$

In (A.12), set $A = Q_{\nu_S}(x_0, r_0)$ and $\nu = \nu_S(x_0)$. Using the same notation from the proof of Lemma A.6, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}(x_{0},r_{0})\right]_{\nu_{S}(x_{0})}} \left(\sum_{t \in S_{u_{x,\nu_{S}}(x_{0})} \cap \left[Q_{\nu_{S}}(x_{0},r_{0})\right]_{x,\nu_{S}(x_{0})}} \omega_{x,\nu_{S}(x_{0})}^{-}(t)\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\ & \geq \int_{T_{g}(x_{0},r_{0})} \omega^{-}(x) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) = \int_{T_{g}(x_{0},r_{0})} \omega^{-}(x',l_{x_{0}}(x')) d\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(x'). \quad (A.13) \quad \boxed{\texttt{single_int_tmp}} \end{split}$$

eady_coro_limsup

jrcl_small jrcl_disjoint jrcl_subinter jrcl_fenduan ineq_finite_sum

jrcl_ineq_main

Next, considering that $\omega_{x,\nu}^{-}(t) = \omega^{-}(x+t\nu)$ (see $\frac{ambrosio2000 functions}{[3, \text{Remark 3.109}]}$), we have that

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q_{\nu_S}(x_0,r_0)\cap S} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &= \int_{T_{x_0,\nu_S}\cap Q_{\nu_S}(x_0,r_0)} \omega^-(x',l_{x_0}(x'))\sqrt{1+|\nabla l_{x_0}(x')|^2} dx' \\ &\leq \sqrt{1+\tau^2} \int_{T_{x_0,\nu_S}\cap Q_{\nu_S}(x_0,r_0)} \omega^-(x',l_{x_0}(x')) dx', \end{split}$$
 withou_t_above2

which, together with (A.12) and (A.13), yields

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} & \int_{\Omega} \left(\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon} (1 - v_{\varepsilon})^2 \right) \omega \, dx \\ & \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q} \left(\varepsilon^{2k-1} \left| \nabla^{(k)} v_{\varepsilon} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4^k \varepsilon} (1 - v_{\varepsilon})^2 \right) \omega \, dx \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^2}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{S \cap Q} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^2}} \left(\int_{S_u} \omega^- \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \, \eta \right). \end{split}$$

Finally, $(\overline{A.9})$ follows by the arbitrariness of η and τ .

We recall $Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_0, r)$ and $T_{x_0, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}(l)$ from Notation $\frac{\text{haishigoodidehoyQudehao_T}}{2.5, 1, \text{ and } 2, \text{ and define } I}(t_0, t) := (t_0 - t, t_0 + t) \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Proposition A.7. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ and $\tau \in (0, 1/4)$ be given. Then, there exist a set $S \subset S_{\omega}$, and a countable family of disjoint cubes $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_n, r_n) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, with $r_n < \tau$, such that the following assertions hold:

a.
$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_{\omega} \setminus S) < \tau$$
 and $S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu S_{\omega}}(x_n, r_n) \subset \Omega;$
b. $\operatorname{dist}(Q_{\nu S_{\omega}}(x_n, r_n), Q_{\nu S_{\omega}}(x_n', r_{n'})) > 0$ for $n \neq n';$
c. $S \cap Q_{\nu S_{\omega}}(x_n, r_n) \subset R_{\tau/2, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_n, r_n);$
d. $(1 + \tau^2)^{-1}r_n^{N-1} \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \cap Q_{\nu S_{\omega}}(x_n, r_n)\right) \leq (1 + \tau^2)r_n^{N-1};$
e. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n^{N-1} \leq 4\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_{\omega});$
f. for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t_n \in (2.5\tau r_n, 3.5\tau r_n)$ and $0 < t_{x_n, r_n} < t_n$, depending on τ , r_n , and x_n , such that $T_{x_n, \nu S_{\omega}}(-t_n \pm t_{x_n, r_n}) \cap Q_{\nu S_{\omega}}(x_n, r_n) \subset Q_{\nu S_{\omega}}^{-}(x_n, r_n) \setminus R_{\tau/2, \nu S_{\omega}}(x_n, r_n)$ and, where we recall $I(t_n, t) := (-t_n - t_n - t_n + t).$

$$\sup_{0 < t \le t_{x_n,r_n}} \frac{1}{|I(t_n,t)|} \int_{I(t_n,t)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{S_\omega}}(x_n,r_n) \cap T_{x_n,\nu_{S_\omega}}(-l)} \omega^-(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} dl$$

$$\leq \int_{S \cap Q_{\nu_{S_\omega}}(x_n,r_n)} \omega^- d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + O(\tau) r^{N-1}.$$
(A.14) upper_sup_ready_limsup_jum

Proof. The proof uses similar arguments as in $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \text{id2016weightedMS} \\ 14, & \text{Proposition 4.4} \end{bmatrix}$.

Since this proof is quite lengthy, we summarize the main ideas. We modify the bulk part of S_u by replacing it with (N-1) polyhedra located in the $-\nu_{S_\omega}$ direction of S_ω , and note that both the L^1 -norm of u and the L^2 -norm of ∇u do not change much. This will be done via a reflection argument around suitable hyperplanes. For the remaining part of S_u , we shall cover them using a finite collection of cubes, and change the value of u to 0 over such cubes. Hence, in this way, we transfer the jump set of S_u to a finite union of polyhedra.

 $\begin{array}{l} \hline \textbf{irst_step_finite} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Proposition A.8. Let } u \in SBV^2(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ be given, satisfying } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\overline{S_u}) < +\infty \text{ and } \omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega). \end{array} \\ exists a sequence \{(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ such that} \end{array}$

$$\limsup_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}} E_{\omega,\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \leq E_{\omega}(u).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $E_{\omega}(u) < +\infty$, which implies $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u)_{\substack{\text{jump ready core_limsup} \\ \text{Step 1:}}} \underset{S_{\tau}, a \text{ collection } \mathcal{F}_{\tau} = \left\{ Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_n, r_n) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and corresponding $t_n \in (2.5\tau r_n, 3.5\tau r_n)$ and t_{x_n, r_n} , for which (A.14)

ext_fint_part

Page 16

holds. Extract a finite collection $\mathcal{T}_{\tau} = \left\{ Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_n, r_n) \right\}_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}$ from \mathcal{F}_{τ} with $M_{\tau} > 0$, large enough such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[S_{\tau} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_n, r_n)\right] < \tau,$$

and set $F_{\tau} := S_{\tau} \cap \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_n, r_n)\right]$. Note that

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_u \setminus F_{\tau}\right) \le \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_u \setminus S_{\tau}\right) + \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\tau} \setminus F_{\tau}\right) < 2\tau.$$
(A.15) qu_left_F_cont

We observe that

$$\mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\{x\in\Omega,\,\bar{u}(x)\neq\bar{u}_{\tau}(x)\}\right)=\mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}U_{n}\right)\leq\sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}\mathcal{L}^{N}(U_{n})\leq7\tau^{2}\sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}r_{n}^{N-1}\leq O(\tau),$$

where in the last inequality we used Propositions A.7 and e. We note that

a. \bar{u}_{τ} is a reflection of \bar{u} within the set with measure less than $O(\tau)$; b. $\mathcal{L}^{N}(\{\bar{u} \neq u\}) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \mathcal{L}^{N}(Q_{m}) \leq O(\tau)$; c. $u \in SBV^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Then,

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\bar{u}_{\tau} - u| \, dx = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\tau \to 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\tau} - \nabla u|^2 \, dx = 0.$$
(A.16) Liomcont

For brevity, in the rest of the proof we abbreviate $Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x_n, r_n)$ by Q_n , $T_{x_n,\nu_{S_u}}$ by T_{x_n} , and $T_{x_n,\nu_{S_u}}(-t_n)$ by $T_{x_n}(-t_n)$. Note that the jump set of \bar{u}_{τ} is contained in

$$P_{\tau} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} [T_{x_n}(-t_n) \cap Q_n] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_n \cap \overline{U_n} \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial Q_m \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial R_m,$$

and $S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}} \subset P_{\tau}$ and P_{τ} are both union of finitely many polyhedra. We also observe that, denoting by cl(·) the closure of a set,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left[\operatorname{cl} \left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_n \cap \overline{U_n} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial Q_m \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \partial R_m \right) \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial Q_n \cap \overline{U_n}) + \sum_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial Q_m) + \sum_{m=1}^{Y_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial R_m)$$

$$\leq 2\tau + C\tau \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n^{N-1}\tau + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\overline{S_u} \setminus S_u) \leq O(\tau) + 2\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\overline{S_u} \setminus S_u) < +\infty,$$

$$(A.17) \quad \text{finite_size_redent}$$

where we used Proposition A.7 e, (A.15), and the assumption that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\overline{S_u}) < +\infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\varepsilon^2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \ll \min \{ a_\tau, t_{x_n, r_n} \text{ for } 1 \le n \le M_\tau \}.$$

Hence, by Propositions A.7 and I, we have

$$\varepsilon^2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon} < t_{x_n, r_n} < |t_n| < \frac{1}{4}\tau r_n < r_n.$$

We set $u_{\tau,\varepsilon} := (1 - \varphi_{\varepsilon})\bar{u}_{\tau}$, where φ_{ε} is such that $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega; [0,1])$, $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \equiv 1$ on $(\overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}})_{\varepsilon^{2}/4}$, and $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$ in $\Omega \setminus (\overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}})_{\varepsilon^{2}/2}$. By (A.17) we have $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}}) < +\infty$, hence $\{u_{\tau,\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, and (A.16), we conclude that $u_{\tau,\varepsilon} \to u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$.

finite_small_pos

poly_p_tau

finite_small_pos

Consider the sequence $\{v_{\tau,\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ given by $v_{\tau,\varepsilon}(x) := \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(d_{\tau}(x))$, where $d_{\tau}(x) := \operatorname{dist}(x, P_{\tau})$ and \tilde{v}_{ε} are defined by

$$\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq \varepsilon^{2}, \\ -e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{t-\varepsilon^{2}}{\varepsilon}} + 1 & \text{if } \varepsilon^{2} \leq t \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{2}, \\ 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} & \text{if } t > \sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{2}. \end{cases}$$

An explicit computation shows that

 $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}'(t) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} (1 - \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t))$

for $\varepsilon^2 \leq t \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^2$ and $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, and we remark that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t) \right)^2 \right) = \left(1 - \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}'(t) \ge 0.$$

Moreover, since $S_{u_{\tau}} \subset P_{\tau}$ and by (A.16), we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\tau,\varepsilon}|^2 \, v_{\tau,\varepsilon}^2 \, \omega \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_{\tau}|^2 \, \omega \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, \omega \, dx + O(\tau).$$

Step 2: For the general case $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S_u \setminus S_\omega) > 0$, the proof follows by applying the same construction in Step 1 on $\overline{S_u}$, and noticing that $\omega^-(x) = \omega(x)$ if $x \in S_u \setminus S_\omega$.

Acknowledgements

The authors warmly thank the Center for Nonlinear Analysis, where this work was carried out. The research of the first author was partially funded by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. DMS - 1411646 and No. DMS - 1906238. The second author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS - 1411646. The third author was partially supported by his Lakehead University startup grant, and NSERC Discovery Grant "Regularity of minimizers and pattern formation in geometric minimization problems". We are also grateful to Todd J. Falkenholt, and the NSERC USRA grant supporting him when he was working under the supervision of the third author during the semester of Summer 2021, for useful comments and suggestions. Part of this work was carried out when the second author was a Ph.D. student of Carnegie Mellon University.

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio. Variational problems in SBV and image segmentation. Acta Appl. Math., 17(1):1–40, 1989.
- [2] L. Ambrosio. On the lower semicontinuity of quasiconvex integrals in SBV(Ω, ℝ^k). Nonlinear Anal., 23(3):405–425, 1994.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara. Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [4] L. Ambrosio and V. M. Tortorelli. Approximation of functionals depending on jumps by elliptic functionals via Γ-convergence. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 43(8):999–1036, 1990.
- [5] H. Attouch. Variational convergence for functions and operators. Applicable Mathematics Series. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1984.
- [6] A. Braides. Γ-convergence for beginners, volume 22 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [7] H. Brezis. Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [8] M. Burger, T. Esposito, and C. I. Zeppieri. Second-order edge-penalization in the Ambrosio-Tortorelli functional. Multiscale Model. Simul., 13(4):1354–1389, 2015.
- [9] L. Calatroni, C. Chung, J. C. D. L. Reyes, C.-B. Schönlieb, and T. Valkonen. Bilevel approaches for learning of variational imaging models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.02120, 2015.
- [10] E. De Giorgi, M. Carriero, and A. Leaci. Existence theorem for a minimum problem with free discontinuity set. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 108(3):195–218, 1989.

benshen

benzun

ele_multi_ago1

ele_multi_ago2

cont_naj_est2

o1989variational mbrosio1994lower sio2000functions 990approximation h1984variational braides2002gamma is2010functional MR3429728 troni2015bilevel de1989existence

	Page 18 Sect	ion .0
reyes2015structure	[11] J. C. De Los Reyes, CB. Schönlieb, and T. Valkonen. The structure of optimal parameters for image resto problems. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 434(1):464–500, 2016.	ration
evans2015measure	[12] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. Measure theory and fine properties of functions. Studies in Advanced M matics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.	1athe-
fonseca2015modern	 [13] I. Fonseca and G. Leoni. Modern methods in the calculus of variations: Sobolev spaces. Springer Monog in Mathematics. Unpublished yet, 2015. 	graphs
liu2016weightedMS	[14] I. Fonseca and P. Liu. The Ambrosio - Tortorelli Approximation with spatially dependent param arXiv:1608.03878, Aug. 2016.	ieters.
fonseca2000second	[15] I. Fonseca and C. Mantegazza. Second order singular perturbation models for phase transitions. SIAM J. Anal., 31(5):1121–1143 (electronic), 2000.	Math.
MR1488299	[16] M. Gobbino. Finite difference approximation of the Mumford-Shah functional. Comm. Pure Appl. 5 51(2):197-228, 1998.	Math.,
2016arXiv160901074H	[17] M. Hintermüller, K. Papafitsoros, and C. N. Rautenberg. Analytical aspects of spatially adapted total var regularisation. ArXiv e-prints, Sept. 2016.	iation
MR2049779	[18] M. Hintermüller and W. Ring. An inexact Newton-CG-type active contour approach for the minimizat the Mumford-Shah functional. J. Math. Imaging Vision, 20(1-2):19–42, 2004. Special issue on mathematic image analysis.	ion of cs and
kunisch2013bilevel	 [19] K. Kunisch and T. Pock. A bilevel optimization approach for parameter learning in variational models. J. Imaging Sci., 6(2):938–983, 2013. 	SIAM
leoni2009first	[20] G. Leoni. A first course in Sobolev spaces, volume 105 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American M matical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.	1athe-
liu2016optimal	[21] P. Liu. The spatially dependent bi-level learning scheme for image reconstruction problem. Submitted.	