## Directed graphs

Digraph $D=(V, A)$.
$V=\{$ vertices $\}, A=\{\operatorname{arcs}\}$

$\mathrm{V}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \ldots, \mathrm{h}\}, \quad \mathrm{A}=\{(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}), \ldots\}$
( 2 arcs with endpoints (c,d))

Thus a digraph is a graph with oriented edges.
$D$ is strict if there are no loops or repeated edges.

Digraph $D: G(D)$ is the underlying graph obtained by replaced each arc $(a, b)$ by an edge $\{a, b\}$.


The graph underlying the digraph on previous slide

Graph $G$ : an orientation of $G$ is obtained by replacing each edge $\{a, b\}$ by $(a, b)$ or $(b, a)$.


G


Orientation of G

There are $2^{|E|}$ distinct orientations of $G$.

Walks, trails, paths, cycles now have directed counterparts.


Directed Walk: (c, d,e,f,a,b,g,f).
Directed Path: (a,b,g,f).
Directed Cycle: (g,a,b,a)
(e,f,g,a) is not a directed walk -- there is no $\operatorname{arc}(f, g)$.

The indegree $d_{D}^{-}(v)$ of vertex $v$ is the number of arcs $(x, v), x \in V$. The outdegree $d_{D}^{+}(v)$ of vertex $v$ is the number of $\operatorname{arcs}(v, x), x \in V$.


$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll} 
& b & b & d & e & f & g & h \\
d^{+} & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
d^{-} & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 0
\end{array}
$$

Note that since each arc contributes one to a vertex outdegree and one to a vertex indegree,

$$
\sum_{v \in V} d^{+}(v)=\sum_{v \in V} d^{-}(v)=|A| .
$$

## Strong Connectivity or Diconnectivity

Given digraph $D$ we define the relation $\sim$ on $V$ by $v \sim w$ iff there is a directed walk from $v$ to $w$ and a directed walk from $w$ to $v$.

This is an equivalence relation (proof same as directed case) and the equivalence classes are called strong components or dicomponents.


Here the strong components are

$$
\{a, b, g\},\{c\},\{d\},\{e, f, h\} .
$$

A graph is strongly connected if it has one strong component i.e. if there is a directed walk between each pair of vertices.

For a set $S \subseteq V$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.N^{+}(S)=\{w \notin S: \exists v \in S \text { s.t. }(v, w) \in A)\right\} . \\
& \left.N^{-}(S)=\{w \notin S: \exists v \in S \text { s.t. }(w, v) \in A)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem $1 D$ is strongly connected iff there does not exist $S \subseteq V, S \neq \emptyset, V$ such that $N^{+}(S)=\emptyset$.

Proof Only if: suppose there is such an $S$ and $x \in S, y \in V \backslash S$ and suppose there is a directed walk $W$ from $x$ to $y$. Let ( $v_{1}=x, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}=y$ ) be the sequence of vertices traversed by $W$. Let $v_{i}$ be the first vertex of this sequence which is not in $S$. Then $v_{i} \in N^{+}(S)$, contradiction, since arc $\left(v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right)$ exists.

If: suppose that $D$ is not strongly connected and that there is no directed walk from $x$ to $y$. Let $S=\{v \in$ $V: \exists$ a directed walk from $x$ to $v\}$.

$$
S \neq \emptyset \text { as } x \in S \text { and } S \neq V \text { as } y \notin S .
$$



S
V/S

Then $N^{+}(S)=\emptyset$. If $z \in N^{+}(S)$ then there exists $w \in S$ such that $(w, z) \in A$. But then since $w \in$ $S$ there is a directed walk from $x$ to $w$ which can be extended to $z$, contradicting the fact that $z \notin S$.

A Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) is a digraph without any directed cycles.


Lemma 1 If $D$ is a DAG then $D$ has at least one source (vertex of indegree 0) and at least one sink (vertex of outdegree 0 ).

Proof Let $P=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ be a directed path of maximum length in $D$. Then $v_{1}$ is a source and $v_{k}$ is a sink.

Suppose for example that there is an edge $x v_{1}$. Then either
(a) $x \notin\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. But then $(x, P)$ is a longer directed path than $P$ - contradiction.
(b) $x=v_{i}$ for some $i \neq 1$ and $D$ contains the cycle $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i}, v_{1}$.

A topological ordering $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\nu}$ of the vertex set of a digraph $D$ is one in which

$$
v_{i} v_{j} \in A \text { implies } i<j \text {. }
$$



Theorem $2 D$ has a topological ordering iff $D$ is a DAG.

Proof Only if: Suppose there is a topological ordering and a directed cycle $v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}$. Then

$$
i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}<i_{1}
$$

which is absurd.
if: By induction on $\nu$. Suppose that $D$ is a DAG. The result is true for $\nu=1$ since $D$ has no loops. Suppose that $\nu>1, v_{\nu}$ is any sink of $D$ and let $D^{\prime}=D-v_{\nu}$.
$D^{\prime}$ is a DAG and has a topological ordering $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots$, $v_{\nu-1}$, induction. $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\nu}$ is a topological ordering of $D$. For if there is an edge $v_{i} v_{j}$ with $i>j$ then (i) it cannot be in $D^{\prime}$ and (ii) $i \neq \nu$ since $v_{\nu}$ is a sink.

Theorem 3 Let $G=G(D)$. Then $D$ contains a directed path of length $\chi(G)-1$.

Proof Let $D=(V, A)$ and $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$ be a minimal set of edges such that $D^{\prime}=D-A$ is a DAG.

Let $k$ be the length of the longest directed path in $D^{\prime}$.

Define $c(v)=$ length of longest path from $v$ in $D^{\prime}$. $c(v) \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, k\}$. We claim that $c(v)$ is a proper colouring of $G$, proving the theorem.

Note first that if $D^{\prime}$ contains a path $P=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(x_{1}\right) \geq c\left(x_{k}\right)+k-1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We can add the longest path $Q$ from $x_{k}$ to $P$ to create a path ( $P, Q$ ). This uses the fact that $D^{\prime}$ is a DAG.)

Suppose $c$ is not a proper colouring of $G$ and there exists an edge $v w \in G$ with $c(v)=c(w)$. Suppose $v w \in A$ i.e. it is directed from $v$ to $w$.

Case 1: $v w \notin A^{\prime}$. (1) implies $c(v) \geq c(w)+1-$ contradiction.

Case 2: $v w \in A^{\prime}$. There is a cycle in $D^{\prime}+v w$ which contains $v w$, by the minimality of $A^{\prime}$. Suppose that $C$ has $\ell \geq 2$ edges. Then (1) implies that $c(w) \geq$ $c(v)+\ell-1$.

## Tournaments

A tournament is an orientation of a complete graph $K_{n}$.

$1,2,5,4,3$ is a directed Hamilton Path
Corollary 1 A tournament $T$ contains a directed Hamilton path.

Proof $\quad \chi(G(T))=n$. Now apply Theorem 3 .

Theorem 4 If $D$ is a strongly connected tournament with $\nu \geq 3$ then $D$ contains a directed cycle of size $k$ for all $3 \leq k \leq \nu$.

Proof By induction on $k$.
$k=3$.
Choose $v \in V$ and let $S=N^{+}(V), T=N^{-}(v)=$ $V \backslash(S \cup\{v\})$.

$S \neq \emptyset$ since $D$ is strongly connected. Similarly, $S \neq$ $V \backslash\{v\}$ else $N^{+}(V \backslash\{v\})=\emptyset$.

Thus $N^{+}(S) \neq \emptyset . v \notin N^{+}(S)$ and so $N^{+}(S)=T$. Thus $\exists x \in S, y \in T$ with $x y \in A$.

Suppose now that there exists a directed cycle $C=$ $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{1}\right)$.

Case 1: $\exists w \notin C$ and $i \neq j$ such that $v_{i} w \in A, w v_{j} \in$ A.


It follows that there exists $\ell$ with $v_{\ell} w \in A, w v_{\ell+1} \in$ A.
$C^{\prime}=\left(w, v_{\ell+1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}, w\right)$ is a cycle of length $k+1$.


Case $2 V \backslash C=S \cup T$ where
$w \in S$ implies $w v_{i} \in A, 1 \leq i \leq k$.
$w \in T$ implies $v_{i} w \in A, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

$S=\emptyset$ implies $T=\emptyset$ (and $C$ is a Hamilton cycle) or $N^{+}(T)=\emptyset$.
$T=\emptyset$ implies $N^{+}(C)=\emptyset$.
Thus we can assume
$S, T \neq \emptyset$ and $N^{+}(T) \neq \emptyset$.
$N^{+}(T) \cap C=\emptyset$ and so $N^{+}(T) \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Thus $\exists x \in T, y \in S$ such that $x y \in A$.


The cycle ( $v_{1}, x, y, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{1}$ ) is a cycle of length $k+1$.

## Robbin's Theorem

Theorem 5 A connected graph $G$ has an orientation which is strongly connected iff $G$ is 2-edge connected.

Only if: Suppose that $G$ has a cut edge $e=x y$.


If we orient $e$ from $x$ to $y$ (resp. $y$ to $x$ ) then there is no directed path from $y$ to $x$ (resp. $x$ to $y$ ).

If: Suppose $G$ is 2 -edge connected. It contains a cycle $C$ which we can orient to produce a directed cycle.

At a general stage of the process we have a set of vertices $S \supseteq C$ and an orientation of the edges of $G[S]$ which is strongly connected.


If $S \neq V$ choose $x \in S, y \notin S$.
There are 2 edge disjoint paths $P_{1}, P_{2}$ joining $y$ to $x$.
Let $a_{i}$ be the first vertex of $P_{i}$ which is in $S$.
Orient $P_{1}\left[y, a_{1}\right]$ from $y$ to $a_{1}$.
Orient $P_{2}\left[y, a_{2}\right]$ from $a_{2}$ to $y$.

Claim: The subgraph $G\left[S \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right]$ is strongly connected.

Let $S^{\prime}=S \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2}$. We must show that there is a directed path from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in S^{\prime}$.
(i) $\alpha, \beta \in S: \exists$ a directed path from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ in $S$.
(ii) $\alpha \in S, \beta \in P_{1} \backslash S$ : Go from $\alpha$ to $a_{2}$ in $S$, from $a_{2}$ to $y$ on $P_{2}$, from $y$ to $\beta$ along $P_{1}$.
(iii) $\alpha \in S, \beta \in P_{2} \backslash S$ : Go from $\alpha$ to $a_{2}$ in $S$, from $a_{2}$ to $\beta$ on $P_{2}$.
(iv) $\alpha \in P_{1} \backslash S, \beta \in S$ : Go from $\alpha$ to $\alpha_{1}$ on $P_{1}$, from $a_{1}$ to $\beta$ in $S$.
(v) $\alpha \in P_{2} \backslash S, \beta \in S$ : Go from $\alpha$ to $y$ on $P_{1}$, from $y$ to $a_{1}$ on $P_{1}$, from $a_{1}$ to $\beta$ in $S$.

Continuing in this way we can orient the whole graph.

## Directed Euler Tours

An Euler tour of a digraph $D$ is a directed walk which traverses each arc of $D$ exactly once.

Theorem 6 A digraph $D$ has an Euler tour iff $G(D)$ is connected and $d^{+}(v)=d^{-}(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

Proof This is similar to the undirected case.
If: Suppose $W=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}, v_{1}\right)$
( $m=|A|$ ) is an Euler Tour. Fix $v \in V$. Whenever $W$ visits $v$ it enters through a new arc and leaves through a new arc. Thus each visit requires one entering arc and one leaving arc. Thus $d^{+}(v)=d^{-}(v)$.

Only if: We use induction on the number of arcs. $D$ is not a DAG as it has no sources or sinks. Thus it must have a directed cycle $C$. Now remove the edges of $C$. Each component $C_{i}$ of $G(D-C)$ satisfies the degree conditions and so contains an Euler tour $W_{i}$. Now, as in the undirected case, go round the cycle $C$ and the first time you vist $C_{i}$ add the tour $W_{i}$. This produces an Euler tour of the whole digraph $D$.

As a simple application of the previous theorem we consider the following problem. A 0-1 sequence $x=$ ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}$ ) has proprty $P_{n}$ if for every 0-1 sequence $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right.$ there is an index $k$ such that $x_{k}=y_{1}, x_{k+1}=y_{2}, \ldots, x_{k+n-1}=y_{n}$. Here $x_{t}=x_{m+1-t}$ if $t>m$.

Note that we must have $m \geq 2^{n}$ in order to have a distinct $k$ for each possible $x$.

Theorem 7 There exists a sequence of length $2^{n}$ with property $P_{n}$.

Proof Define the digraph $D_{n}$ with vertex set $\{0,1\}^{n-1}$ and $2^{n}$ directed arcs of the form $\left(\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right),\left(p_{2}, p_{3}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)\right)$. $G\left(D_{n}\right)$ is connected as we can join ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n-1}$ ) to ( $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n-1}$ ) by the path ( $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n-1}$ ), $\left(p_{2}, p_{3} \ldots, p_{n-1}, q_{1}\right),\left(p_{3}, p_{4}, \ldots, p_{n-1}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right), \ldots$, $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right)$. Each vertex of $D_{n}$ has indegree and outdegree 2 and so it has an Euler tour $W$.


Suppose that $W$ visits the vertices of $D_{n}$ in the sequence $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2^{n}}\right.$. Let $x_{i}$ be the first bit of $v_{i}$. We claim that $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2^{n}}$ has property $P_{n}$. Give $\operatorname{arc}\left(\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right),\left(p_{2}, p_{3}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)\right)$ the label $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$. No other arc has this label.

Given $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ let $k$ be such that ( $v_{k}, v_{k+1}$ ) has this label. Then $v_{k}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$ and $v_{k+1}=\left(y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ and then $x_{k}=y_{1}, x_{k+1}=$ $y_{2}, \ldots, x_{k+n-1}=y_{n}$.

