

TRANSFERRING SATURATION, THE  
FINITE COVER PROPERTY, AND  
STABILITY \*

John T. Baldwin<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, IL 60680

Rami Grossberg

Department of Mathematics  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Saharon Shelah<sup>‡</sup>

Institute of Mathematics  
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem  
Jerusalem, 91094 Israel

&

Department of Mathematics  
Rutgers University  
New Brunswick, NJ 08902

May 29, 1998

## Abstract

Saturation is  $(\mu, \kappa)$ -transferable in  $T$  if and only if there is an expansion  $T_1$  of  $T$  with  $|T_1| \leq |T| + \aleph_0$  such that if  $M$  is a  $\mu$ -saturated model of  $T_1$  and  $|M| \geq \kappa$  then  $M|L(T)$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated.

**Theorem A.** *The following are equivalent for a complete theory  $T$ . (1)  $T$  does not have the finite cover property.*

*(2)  $\forall \lambda \geq |T|^+$ , saturation is  $(|T|^+, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .*

*(3)  $\exists \lambda > 2^{|T|}$  such that saturation is  $(|T|^+, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .*

**Theorem B.** *Suppose that there exists a cardinal  $\mu \geq |T|$  such that  $2^\mu > \mu^+$ . For a complete theory  $T$ , the following are equivalent:*

*(1)  $T$  is stable.*

*(2)  $\forall \mu \geq |T|$ , saturation is  $(\mu^+, 2^\mu)$ -transferable in  $T$ .*

*(3)  $\exists \mu \geq |T|$ , saturation is  $(\mu^+, \mu^{++})$ -transferable in  $T$ .*

## 1 Introduction

The finite cover property (f.c.p.) is in a peculiar position with respect to the stability hierarchy. Theories without the f.c.p. are stable; but f.c.p. is independent from  $\omega$ -stability or superstability. We introduce a notion of transferability of saturation which rationalizes this situation somewhat by placing f.c.p. in a natural hierarchy of properties. For countable theories the hierarchy is  $\omega$ -stable without f.c.p., (superstable) without f.c.p., not f.c.p., and stable. In Section 3 we identify the latter notions with increasingly weaker degrees of transferability without regard to the cardinality of the theory. For appropriate  $(\mu, \kappa)$  each of these these classes of theories is characterized by  $(\mu, \kappa)$ -transferability of saturation in following sense.

**Definition 1.1** *Saturation is  $(\mu, \kappa)$ -transferable in  $T$  if and only if there is*

---

\*The authors thank the United States - Israel Binational Science foundation for supporting this research as well as the Mathematics department of Rutgers University where part of this research was carried out.

<sup>†</sup>Partially supported by NSF grant 9308768

<sup>‡</sup>This is item # 570 on Shelah's list of publications.

an expansion  $T_1$  of  $T$  with  $|T_1| = |T|$  such that if  $M$  is a  $\mu$ -saturated model of  $T_1$  and  $|M| \geq \kappa$ , then  $M|L(T)$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated.

The finite cover property was introduced by Keisler in [Ke] to produce unsaturated ultrapowers and one of his results and a slightly later set theoretic advance by Kunen yield (3) implies (1) of Theorem A immediately. The finite cover property was also studied extensively by Shelah in [Sh 10] and chapters II, VI and VII of [Sh c]; those techniques are used here.

Our notation generally follows [Sh c] with a few minor exceptions:  $|T|$  is the number of symbols in  $|L(T)|$  plus  $\aleph_0$ . We do not distinguish between finite sequences and elements, i.e. we write  $a \in A$  to represent that the elements of the finite sequence  $a$  are from the set  $A$ . References of the form IV x.y are to [Sh c].

Extending the notation we write *saturation is  $(0, \kappa)$ -transferable in  $T$*  if and only if there is an expansion  $T_1$  of  $T$  with  $|T_1| = |T|$  such that if  $M \models T_1$  and  $|M| \geq \kappa$ ,  $M|L(T)$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated. In particular, taking  $|M| = \kappa$ ,  $PC(T_1, T)$  is categorical in  $\kappa$ . Now, Theorems VI.5.4 and VIII.4.1 yield.

**Fact 1.2** *For a countable theory  $T$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $T$  does not have the finite cover property and is  $\omega$ -stable.
- (2)  $\forall \lambda > \aleph_0$ , saturation is  $(0, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .
- (3)  $\exists \lambda > \aleph_0$  such that saturation is  $(0, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .

We thank the referee for the formulation of Theorem 2.3 which generalizes our earlier version and for correcting an oversight in another argument.

## 2 $(\kappa(T), \lambda)$ -transferability and the f.c.p

There are several equivalent formulations of the finite cover property. The following, which looks like a strengthening of the compactness theorem, is most relevant here.

**Definition 2.1** *The first order theory  $T$  does not have the finite cover property if and only if for every formula  $\phi(x; y)$  there exists an integer  $n$  depending on  $\phi$  such that for every  $A$  contained in a model of  $T$  and every subset  $p$  of  $\{\phi(x, a), \neg\phi(x, a); a \in A\}$  the following implication holds: if every  $q \subseteq p$  with cardinality less than  $n$  is consistent then  $p$  is consistent.*

The main consequence of f.c.p. used here is the following easy fact.

**Fact 2.2 (II.4.6)** *Let  $T$  be a complete first order theory without the f.c.p. If  $M \models T$  is a saturated model (and  $A \subseteq M$  with  $|A| < |M|$ ) at least  $\kappa_\Delta$  then there exists  $J \subseteq M$  a set of  $\Delta$ -indiscernibles (over  $A$ ) extending  $I$  of cardinality  $|M|$ .*

The principal tool for establishing the transfer of saturation is Theorem III.3.10 which asserts that a model  $M$  of a stable theory is  $\lambda$ -saturated if  $M$  is  $F_{\kappa(T)}^a$ -saturated and for each set of indiscernibles  $I$  contained in  $M$  there is an equivalent set of indiscernibles  $J$  with  $|J| = \lambda$ . This is the key to the following result. The idea for guaranteeing  $F_{\kappa(T)}^a$ -saturation is taken from Proposition 1.6 of [Ca]; the referee suggested moving it from a less useful place in the argument to here.

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $T$  does not have the f.c.p. then for any  $\lambda > |T|$ , saturation is  $(\kappa(T), \lambda)$ -transferable.*

**Proof:** Let  $T$  be a theory without the f.c.p.. By II.4.1,  $T$  is stable. Let  $\lambda \geq |T|^+$  be given. We must find a  $T_1$  to witness  $(\kappa(T), \lambda)$ -transferability. Form  $L_1$  by adding to  $L$  an  $n+2$ -ary function symbol  $F_n$  for each  $n$  and new  $k$ -ary function symbols  $f_i^{\theta, E}$ , for  $i < m = m(\theta, E)$ , for each pair of formulas  $\theta(z), E(x, y, z)$  with  $\text{lg}(z) = k$  such that for any  $M \models T$  and  $a \in M$ , if  $M \models \theta(a)$  then  $E(x, y, a)$  is an equivalence relation with  $m$  classes. The theory  $T_1$  consists of  $T$  and the following axioms:

1. For each  $k$ -ary sequence  $z$  such that  $\theta(z)$ , the elements  $f_i^{\theta, E}(z)$ ,  $i < m$  provide a complete set of representatives for  $E(x, y, z)$ .

2. For each  $x$  and  $n$ -ary sequence  $z$ , the function  $F_n(x, z, \cdot)$  is injective.
3. For every finite  $\Delta \subseteq L(T)$  and  $n$ -ary sequence  $z$ , let  $k_\Delta$  be the integer from Fact 2.2. If  $I$  is a finite set of  $\Delta$ -indiscernibles over  $z$  of cardinality at least  $k_\Delta$  then there exists an  $x_I$  such that
  - (a) the range of  $F_n(x_I, z, \cdot)$  contains  $I$ ,
  - (b) the range of  $F_n(x_I, z, \cdot)$  is a set of  $\Delta$ -indiscernibles over  $z$  and

It should be clear that the above axioms can be formulated in first order logic in the language  $L_1$ .

**Claim 2.4** *The theory  $T_1$  is consistent.*

**Proof:** To see that  $T_1$  is consistent, consider a saturated model  $N$  of  $T$ . It is easy to choose Skolem functions  $f_i^{\theta, E}(z)$  to give sets of representatives for the finite equivalence relations. Fix a 1 – 1 correspondence between finite sets of  $\Delta$ -indiscernibles  $\mathbf{I}$  with  $|\mathbf{I}| \geq k_\Delta$  and elements  $x_{\mathbf{I}}$  of  $N$ . By Fact 2.2, each sufficiently large finite sequence of  $\Delta$ -indiscernibles  $\mathbf{I}$  in  $N$  extends to one with  $|\mathbf{I}|$  elements. Fix a 1 – 1 correspondence between the universe of  $N$  and this sequence. Interpret  $F_n(x_I, z, x)$  as this correspondence. Thus, we expand  $M$  to a model of  $T_1$ . ■<sub>2.4</sub>

Now suppose that  $N^* \models T_1$  is a  $\kappa(T)$ -saturated model of cardinality at least  $\lambda$ . Let  $N$  be the reduct of  $N^*$  to  $L(T)$ . We will show  $N$  is  $F_{\kappa(T)}^a$ -saturated and for each set of indiscernibles  $I$  contained in  $N$  there is an equivalent set of indiscernibles  $J$  with  $|J| = \lambda$ .

For the first, for any  $q = \text{stp}(d/C)$  with  $|C| < \kappa(T)$ , note that  $q$  is equivalent to the  $L_1$ -type over  $C$  consisting of the formulas  $E(x, f_i^{\theta, E}(c))$  for  $E$  a finite equivalence relation defined over the sequence  $c \in C$  and  $E(d, f_i^{\theta, E}(c))$ .

Now let  $I = \{b_n : n < \omega\}$  be an infinite set of indiscernibles in  $N$ . Let  $p^* = \text{Av}(I, N)$  and, since  $N$  is  $F_{\kappa(T)}^a$ -saturated, choose  $C$  with  $|C| < \kappa(T)$  such that  $p^*|C$  is stationary and  $p^*$  does not fork over  $C$ . Now we show there

is a sequence  $\mathbf{J}$  of indiscernibles based on  $p^*|C$  with  $|\mathbf{J}| = |N|$ . Let  $q_1(x)$  be a type over  $C$  that contains for all  $m < \omega$  and all subsets  $c$  of  $C$  with  $|c| = m$ , first, the collection of formulas  $(\forall y_1) \dots (\forall y_n) \phi(F_m(x, c, y_1), \dots, F_m(x, c, y_n))$  where  $N \models \phi(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$  for arbitrary  $\phi$  defined over  $c$  and with  $n < \omega$  and secondly, the assertion that  $F_m(x, c, \cdot)$  is injective.

To show  $q_1(x)$  is consistent, fix a finite  $q^* \subseteq q_1(x)$  and let  $\Delta$  be a finite subset of  $L(T)$  such that all the  $L(T)$ -formulas from  $q^*$  appear in  $\Delta$ . Let  $k < \omega$  be sufficiently large so that all the elements of  $C$  appearing in  $q^*$  are among  $\{c_0, \dots, c_{k-1}\}$  and  $k \geq k_\Delta$ . By the second and third conditions defining  $T_1$ , there is a sequence of size  $|N|$  of  $\Delta$ -indiscernibles contained in  $N$  extending  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$ . Thus, there exists an element  $a \in N^*$  and interpretations of the  $F_\ell$  with  $\ell < k$  which satisfy  $q^*$ . Finally, a realization of  $q_1$  is a type over a set of cardinality less than  $\kappa(T)$ , and a realization of  $q_1$  guarantees the existence of a set of  $|N|$ -indiscernibles equivalent to  $I$  as required. ■<sub>2.3</sub>

### 3 The transferability hierarchy

**Theorem A.** *The following are equivalent for a complete theory  $T$ .*

- (1)  $T$  does not have the finite cover property.
- (2)  $\forall \lambda \geq |T|^+$ , saturation is  $(|T|^+, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .
- (3)  $\exists \lambda > 2^{|T|}$  such that saturation is  $(|T|^+, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .

It is obvious that (2) implies (3). Since a  $|T|^+$ -saturated model is  $\kappa(T)$ -saturated, (1) implies (2) follows from Theorem 2.3. Now we show (3) implies (1).

**Lemma 3.1** *If  $T$  has the f.c.p. and  $2^{|T|} < \lambda$  then saturation is not  $(|T|^+, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $T_1$  be any extension of  $T$  and  $N_0$  an arbitrary model of  $T_1$  with cardinality at least  $\lambda$ . By Kunen's theorem (see [Ku], or Theorem 6.1.4 in [CK]) there exists an  $\aleph_1$ -incomplete  $|T|^+$ -good ultrafilter  $D$  on  $|T|$ .

Denote by  $N_1$  the ultrapower  $N_0^{|T|}/D$ . By [Ke] 1.4 and 4.1 or VI.5.3,  $N_1$  is  $|T|^+$ -saturated but not  $(2^{|T|})^+$ -saturated. ■<sub>3.1</sub>

The proof of Theorem A yields somewhat more than is necessary. The theory  $T_1$  which is found in the implication (1) implies (2) does not depend on  $\lambda$  and contains only a single additional function symbol. We could obtain a stronger result than (3) implies (1) with the same proof by demanding in a modified (3) that the model witnessing  $(|T|^+, \lambda)$ -transferability have cardinality  $\lambda = \lambda^{|T|} > 2^{|T|}$ .

**Theorem B.** *Suppose that there exists a cardinal  $\mu \geq |T|$  such that  $2^\mu > \mu^+$ . For a complete theory  $T$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T$  is stable.
- (2)  $\forall \mu \geq |T|$ , saturation is  $(\mu^+, 2^\mu)$ -transferable in  $T$ .
- (3)  $\exists \mu \geq |T|$ , saturation is  $(\mu^+, \mu^{++})$ -transferable in  $T$ .

The condition  $\mu^+ < 2^\mu$  is used only for (2) implies (3) (which is obvious with that hypothesis). We now prove in ZFC that (1) implies (2), and that (3) implies (1). This shows in ZFC that stability is bracketed between two transferability conditions. This is carried out in the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $T$  is stable and  $\mu \geq |T|$ , saturation is  $(\mu^+, 2^\mu)$ -transferable in  $T$ .*

**Proof:** We must find an expansion  $T_1$  of  $T$  such that if  $M$  is a  $\mu^+$ -saturated model of  $T_1$  and  $|M| \geq 2^\mu$ ,  $M|L$  is  $2^\mu$ -saturated. Form  $L_1$  by adding one additional binary predicate  $E(x, y)$  and add axioms asserting that  $E$  codes all finite sets. (I.e., for every set of  $k$  elements  $x_i$  there is a unique  $y$  such that  $E(z, y)$  if and only if  $z$  is one of the  $x_i$ .) For any model  $M_1$  of  $T_1$  and any element  $b$  of  $M_1$ , let  $[b] := \{a \in M_1 : M_1 \models E[a, b]\}$ .

Now let  $M_1$  be a  $\mu^+$ -saturated model of  $T_1$  and  $M$  the reduct of  $M_1$  to  $L$ . Suppose  $A \subseteq M$  has cardinality less than  $2^\mu$  and  $p \in S^1(A)$ . We must show  $p$  is realized in  $M$ . By the definition of  $\kappa(T)$  there exists  $B \subseteq A$  of

cardinality less than  $\kappa(T)$  such that  $p$  does not fork over  $B$ . Since  $M_1$  is  $|T|^+$ -saturated, we may take  $p|B$  to be stationary. Let  $\hat{p} \in S(M)$  be an extension of  $p$  that does not fork over  $B$ . Since  $\mu^+ > |T| \geq \kappa(T)$ , by  $\mu^+$ -saturation of  $M$  there exists  $I := \{a_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq M$  such that  $a_n \models \hat{p}(B \cup \{a_k : k < n\})$ . Since the sequence is chosen over a stationary type,  $I$  is a set of indiscernibles.

We now define an  $L_1$ -type  $q$  over  $I$  so that if  $b$  realizes  $q$ ,  $[b] \cup I$  is a set of indiscernibles over the empty set. Let  $q(x)$  be:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\exists y_0 \cdots \exists y_{n-1} [\bigwedge_{i < j < n} y_i \neq y_j \wedge \bigwedge_{i < n} E(y_i, x) | n < \omega]\} \cup \\ & \{\forall y_0 \cdots \forall y_{n-1} [\bigwedge_{i < j < n} y_i \neq y_j \wedge \bigwedge_{i < n} E(y_i, x) \rightarrow \\ & \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \text{ is a set of } \phi\text{-indiscernibles} \mid n < \omega, \phi \in L(T)]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the relation  $E$  codes finite sets, and  $I$  is a set of indiscernibles  $q$  is consistent. By the  $\aleph_1$ -saturation of  $M_1$  there exists  $b \in M$  realizing the type  $q$ . If  $[b]$  has  $2^\mu$  elements we are finished since for each formula  $\phi(x, \bar{y})$  and each  $\bar{a} \in A$  with  $\phi(x, \bar{a}) \in p$ , only finitely many elements of  $[b]$  satisfy  $\neg\phi(x, \bar{a})$ . To show  $[b]$  is big enough, using the  $\mu^+$ -saturation of  $M$ , we define inductively for  $\eta \in 2^{\leq \mu}$  elements  $c_\eta \in M$  such that

1.  $c_\emptyset = b$
2. For any  $\eta$ ,  $[c_{\eta \smallfrown 0}]$  and  $[c_{\eta \smallfrown 1}]$  are disjoint subsets of  $[c_\eta]$ .
3. If  $\text{lg}(\eta)$  is a limit ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $[c_\eta] \subseteq \bigcap_{i < \alpha} [c_{\eta \smallfrown i}]$

Now for  $s \in 2^\mu$ , the  $c_s$  witness that  $|[b]| = 2^\mu$ . ■<sub>3.2</sub>

**Lemma 3.3** *If  $\mu \geq |T|$  and saturation is  $(\mu^+, \mu^{++})$ -transferable in  $T$  then  $T$  is stable.*

**Proof:** For the sake of contradiction suppose  $T$  is an unstable theory and that there is a  $T_1 \supseteq T$  such that if  $M$  is a  $\mu^+$ -saturated model of  $T_1$  with cardinality at least  $\mu^{++}$ ,  $M|L(T)$  is  $\mu^{++}$ -saturated. Fix  $M_0 \models T_1$  with cardinality at least  $\mu^{++}$ . Let  $D$  be a  $\mu$ -regular ultrafilter on  $I = \mu$ . Construct an ultralimit sequence  $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$  as in VI.6 with  $M_{\alpha+1} = M_\alpha^I/D$  and

taking unions at limits. By VI.6.1  $M_{\mu^+}$  is  $\mu^+$ -saturated. But by VI.6.2, since  $T$  is unstable,  $M_{\mu^+}$  is not  $\mu^{++}$ -saturated. ■3.3

**Theorem 3.4** *The following are equivalent.*

1.  $T$  is superstable without the f.c.p.
2. For every  $\lambda > |T|$ , saturation is  $(\aleph_0, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .
3. For some  $\lambda > 2^{|T|}$ , saturation is  $(\aleph_0, \lambda)$ -transferable in  $T$ .

**Proof:** Theorem 2.3 yields (1) implies (2). (2) implies (3) is obvious. From (3) by Theorem A we deduce that  $T$  does not have the f.c.p. (using  $\lambda > 2^{|T|}$ ) and by Theorem B, (3) implies  $T$  is stable. Suppose for contradiction there are a stable but not superstable  $T$  and a  $T_1$  which witnesses  $(\aleph_0, \lambda)$ -transferability in  $T$ . Apply VIII.3.5 to  $\text{PC}(T_2, T)$  taking  $\kappa = \aleph_0$ ,  $\mu = (2^{|T|})^+$  and  $\lambda \geq \mu$ . There are  $2^\mu$  models of  $T_2$  with cardinality  $\lambda$ , which are  $\aleph_0$ -saturated, whose reducts to  $L(T)$  are nonisomorphic. So some are not  $\lambda$ -saturated. ■3.4.

In view of Theorem 2.3 and as pointed out by the referee, the result of Theorem 3.4 holds whenever  $\kappa(T)$  satisfies the set-theoretic conditions of Theorem VIII.3.5. For example, under the GCH this holds if  $\kappa(T)$  is the successor of a singular cardinal.

The methods and concerns of this paper are similar to those in the recent paper of E. Casanovas [Ca]. He defines a model to be expandable if every consistent expansion of  $\text{Th}(M)$  with at most  $|M|$  additional symbols can be realized as an expansion of  $M$ . His results are orthogonal to those here. He shows for countable stable  $T$  that  $T$  has an expandable model which is not saturated of cardinality greater than the continuum if and only if  $T$  is not superstable or  $T$  has the finite cover property.

By varying the parameters in  $(\mu, \kappa)$ -transferability of saturation we have characterized four classes of theories:  $\omega$ -stable without f.c.p., superstable without f.c.p., not f.c.p., and stable. For countable  $T$  and uncountable

$\lambda$ , they correspond respectively to:  $(0, \lambda)$ -transferability,  $(\aleph_0, \lambda)$ -transferability,  $(\aleph_1, \lambda)$ -transferability,  $(\aleph_1, 2^{\aleph_0})$ -transferability.

## References

- [Ca] Enrique Casanovas, Compactly expandable models and stability, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* **60**, 1995, pages 673–683.
- [CK] C.C. Chang and H. Jerome Keisler, **Model Theory**, North-Holland Publ. Co. 1990.
- [Ke] H. Jerome Keisler, Ultraproducts which are not saturated, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* **32**, 1967, pages 23–46.
- [Ku] Kenneth Kunen, Ultrafilters and independent sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **172**, 1972, pages 199–206.
- [Sh 10] Saharon Shelah, Stability, the f.c.p., and superstability; model theoretic properties of formulas in first order theory, *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, **3**, pages 271–362, 1971. — **MR:** 47:6475, (02H05)
- [Sh c] Saharon Shelah, **Classification Theory and the Number of Non-isomorphic Models**, Rev. Ed., North-Holland, 1990, Amsterdam.