

SDE:

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a prob space, W a B.M., ξ a R.V. let $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\bigcup_{s \leq t} W_s, U \cup \xi) \cup \mathcal{N}$ ($\mathcal{N} = \{\text{null sets}\}$).

Def: We say X is a strong solution to the SDE $dX_t = b_t(X_t) dt + \sigma_t(X_t) dW_t$, $\textcircled{*}$ with initial data ξ if ① $X_0 = \xi$ a.s., ② X_t is \mathcal{F}_t meas, ③ $\int_0^t |b_s(X_s)|^2 ds < \infty$ a.s. & $\int_0^t \text{tr}(\sigma_s(X_s))^2 ds < \infty$ a.s. & ④ $X_t^{(i)} = \xi^{(i)} + \int_0^t b_s^{(i)}(X_s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_s^{(i,j)}(X_s) dW_s^{(j)}$ a.s.

Remark: SDEs arise through the study of diffusions: Processes with given spatial mean

$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} E^x(X_t - x)$ & covariances $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} E^x(X_t^{(i)} - x^{(i)})(X_t^{(j)} - x^{(j)})$. Can easily check

that for solutions to $\textcircled{*}$, $b(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} E^x(X_t - x)$ & $\sum_k \sigma_{ik}(x) \sigma_{jk}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} E^x(X_t^{(i)} - x^{(i)})(X_t^{(j)} - x^{(j)})$

Def: We say strong uniqueness holds for the SDE $\textcircled{*}$ if any two strong solutions (with the same initial data) are indistinguishable.

Thm. (Uniqueness) Say $\exists C \geq 0 \forall t, |b_t(x) - b_t(y)| \leq C|x - y|$ & $|\sigma_t^{(i,j)}(x) - \sigma_t^{(i,j)}(y)| \leq C|x - y| \forall x, y$ & $|\sigma_t^{(i,j)}(x)| \leq C \forall x$, then strong uniqueness holds for $\textcircled{*}$.

Lemma (Gronwall) If y_t is some fn $\forall t \leq a + \int_0^t b_s y_s ds$, where $b \geq 0 \Rightarrow y_t \leq a e^{\int_0^t b_s ds}$.

Pf: let $z_t = a + \int_0^t b_s y_s ds$. Then $\dot{z}_t = b_t y_t \leq b_t z_t \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-\int_0^t b_s ds} z_t) = e^{-\int_0^t b_s ds} (\dot{z}_t - b_t z_t) \leq 0$.
 $\Rightarrow e^{-\int_0^t b_s ds} z_t \leq z_0 \Rightarrow y_t \leq z_t \leq z_0 e^{\int_0^t b_s ds} = a e^{\int_0^t b_s ds}$. QED.

Pf (Uniqueness) Say X & Y are 2 strong solutions to $\textcircled{*}$, with $X_0 = Y_0$ a.s. Put $z = X - Y$. Then $\dot{z}_t^{(i)} = \int_0^t (b_s^{(i)}(X_s) - b_s^{(i)}(Y_s)) ds + \sum_j \int_0^t (\sigma_s^{(i,j)}(X_s) - \sigma_s^{(i,j)}(Y_s)) dW_s^{(j)}$. (let $c_1 = c_1(d, C)$ be a constant that changes from line to line)
 $\Rightarrow E|z_t^{(i)}|^2 \leq c_1 E \left(\int_0^t |b_s(X) - b_s(Y)|^2 ds \right) + c_1 E \left(\int_0^t \text{tr}(\sigma_s^{(i,j)}(X) - \sigma_s^{(i,j)}(Y))^2 ds \right)$
 $\leq c_1 t E \int_0^t |X_s - Y_s|^2 ds + c_1 E \int_0^t |X_s - Y_s|^2 ds = c_1(1+t) \int_0^t E|z_s|^2 ds$
 $\Rightarrow \forall t \leq T, E|z_t|^2 \leq c_1(1+T) \int_0^t E|z_s|^2 ds \Rightarrow E|z_t|^2 \leq 0 e^{\int_0^t c_1 ds} = 0$. QED.

Remark: By localizing, one can show strong uniqueness holds for $\textcircled{*}$ if we assume $\forall n \exists C_n \geq$

$\sup_t (|\sigma_t(x) - \sigma_t(y)| + |b_t(x) - b_t(y)|) \leq C_n |x - y|$ whenever $|x| \leq n$ & $|y| \leq n$. (locally lip)

Thm (Existence) Say $\forall t \geq 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, |b_t(x) - b_t(y)| \leq C|x - y|, |\sigma_t(x) - \sigma_t(y)| \leq C|x - y|$

& $|b_t(x)| \leq C(1+|x|), |\sigma_t(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)$, then $\textcircled{*}$ has a strong solution, which is cts in time.

Remark: Linear growth is necessary to prove existence of global in time solutions, even for ODEs.

Pf: let $X_t^{(0)} = \xi, X_t^{(n+1)} = \xi + \int_0^t b_s(X_s^{(n)}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s^{(n)}) dW_s$. Notation: $(\int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s)^{(i)} = \sum_j \int_0^t \sigma_s^{(i,j)} dW_s^{(j)}$

Claim: let $T > 0, \exists c_2 = c_2(d, C, T) \forall t \leq T, E(X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^{(n)})^2 \leq \frac{(c_2 t)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$

Pf: For $n=1, X_t^{(1)} - X_t^{(0)} = \int_0^t b_s(\xi) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(\xi) dW_s \Rightarrow E(X_t^{(1)} - X_t^{(0)})^2 \leq c_1(d)(c_2^2 t + c_2^2 t) \leq c_1(d) c_2(1+T) t$

For $n > 1, X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^{(n)} = \int_0^t (b_s(X_s^{(n)}) - b_s(X_s^{(n-1)})) ds + \int_0^t (\sigma_s(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma_s(X_s^{(n-1)})) dW_s$.

$E \int_0^T |\sigma_s(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma_s(X_s^{(n-1)})|^2 ds \leq c^2 \int_0^T E|X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)}|^2 ds \leq c^2 \frac{c_2^2 T^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} < \infty$.

$\Rightarrow E \left| \int_0^t (\sigma_s(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma_s(X_s^{(n-1)})) dW_s \right|^2 = E \int_0^t |\sigma_s(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma_s(X_s^{(n-1)})|^2 ds$ by Itô.

$\Rightarrow E|X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^{(n)}|^2 \leq c_1(d) \left(c_2^2 t \int_0^t E|X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)}|^2 ds + c^2 \int_0^t E|X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)}|^2 ds \right)$
 $\leq c_1(d) c^2 (1+T) \int_0^t \frac{(c_2 s)^n}{n!} ds = \frac{c_2^{n+1} t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \Rightarrow$ QED (Claim 1)

$\therefore \forall t, (X_t^{(n)})$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t)$. $\Rightarrow \exists X_t \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t) + (X_t^{(n)}) \rightarrow X_t$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t)$.

Note that $\sup_{t \leq T} E|X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)}|^2 \leq \frac{(c_2 T)^n}{n!} \Rightarrow \sup_{s \leq T} E|X_s^{(n)} - X_s| \rightarrow 0$.

$\Rightarrow \int_0^T E|X_s^{(n)} - X_s|^2 ds \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow (X^{(n)}) \rightarrow X$ in $L_T(W) \Rightarrow \forall t \leq T,$

$E \left[\int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s^{(n)}) dW_s - \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s) dW_s \right]^2 \leq c^2 E \int_0^t |X_s^{(n)} - X_s|^2 ds \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow X_t = \xi + \int_0^t b_s(X_s) ds$
 & $E \left[\int_0^t b_s(X_s^{(n)}) ds - \int_0^t b_s(X_s) ds \right]^2 \leq c^2 t E \int_0^t |X_s^{(n)} - X_s|^2 ds \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s) dW_s$ a.s., $\forall t$

for time continuity, note X is (jointly) meas. So now let $Y_t = \xi + \int_0^t b_s(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s) dW_s$,

then $E \int_0^T |b_s(X_s) - b_s(Y_s)|^2 ds = \int_0^T E|1|^2 ds = 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^T |b_s(X_s) - b_s(Y_s)|^2 ds = 0$ a.s.

\Rightarrow a.s., $\forall t \leq T, \int_0^t b_s(X_s) ds = \int_0^t b_s(Y_s) ds$ & $\int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s) dW_s = \int_0^t \sigma_s(Y_s) dW_s$.

$\Rightarrow Y_t = \xi + \int_0^t b_s(Y_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(Y_s) dW_s$. Finally since T is arbitrary \Rightarrow QED.

Def: We say $X, W, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is a weak solution to $dX_t = b_t(X_t)dt + \sigma_t(X_t)dW_t$ if

① X_t is \mathcal{F}_t meas, ② $\int_0^t |b_s(X_s)|^2 ds < \infty$ a.s. & $\int_0^t |\sigma_s(X_s)|^2 ds < \infty$ a.s.

& ③ $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s(X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s)dW_s$ a.s.

Remark: Strong solutions, Ω, \mathcal{F}, P & W given, $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ the (augmented) filtration of W & the I.D.

Weak solutions, Ω, \mathcal{F}, P & more imp, $W, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ are part of the solution & can be chosen needed.

Def (Uniqueness) ① Strong uniqueness: X, Y two strong solutions, $X_0 = Y_0$ a.s. $\Rightarrow X \& Y$ are indistinguishable

* ② Pathwise uniqueness: X, Y two (possibly weak) solutions on the same prob space (w/ the same B.M & filtration) then $X_0 = Y_0$ a.s. $\Rightarrow X \& Y$ are indistinguishable.

③ Weak uniqueness: If $X \& \tilde{X}$ are two (weak) solutions & $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), P(X_0 \in A) = \tilde{P}(Y_0 \in A)$

then $X \& \tilde{X}$ have the same law (i.e. $P((X_{t_0}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \in A) = \tilde{P}((\tilde{X}_{t_0}, \dots, \tilde{X}_{t_n}) \in A) \forall n, A, t_0, \dots, t_n$).

Remark: Strong existence \Rightarrow Weak existence & the converse is false.

Thm (Yamada Watanabe) ① Weak existence & pathwise uniqueness \Rightarrow Strong existence.

② Strong Uniqueness \Rightarrow Weak uniqueness. (Converse is false)

Eg (Tanaka) The SDE $dX = \text{sign}(X)dW$, with initial data 0 has weak existence & uniqueness, but not

strong exist or unig. (Here $\text{sign}(x) = \text{sign}_-(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ -1 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$ for convenience)

① If X is a (weak) solution, then $d\langle X \rangle = \text{sign}(X)^2 dt = dt$. Hence $\Rightarrow X$ is a BM \Rightarrow weak uniqueness.

② If X is a weak solution, let $Y = -X$. $Y_t = -X_t = \int_0^t \text{sign}_+(-X_s) dW_s$. Since X is a B.M.,

$|\{t | X_t = 0\}| = 0$ a.s. $\Rightarrow \int_0^t (\text{sign}_+(X_s) - \text{sign}_-(X_s))^2 dt = 0$ a.s. $\Rightarrow dY_t = -\text{sign}_-(Y_t) \Rightarrow$ no strong unig.

③ Let X be a B.M. Let $W_t = \int_0^t \text{sign}(X_s) dX_s$. Hence $\Rightarrow d\langle W \rangle_t = \text{sign}(X_s)^2 dt = dt$

$\Rightarrow W$ is a B.M. Also, $dW_s = \text{sign}(X_s) dX_s \Rightarrow dX_s = \text{sign}(X_s) dW_s \Rightarrow$ Weak existence.

④ Say X is a strong solution. Since X is a BM, by Tanaka,

$|X_t| = \int_0^t \text{sign}(X_s) dX_s + L_t^0(X)$, where $L_t^0(X) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} |\{s \in [0, t] | |X_s| < \epsilon\}|$

$\Rightarrow |X_t| = W_t + L_t^0(X) \Rightarrow W_t = |X_t| - L_t^0(X) \Rightarrow W_t$ is adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{|X|}\} \neq \{\mathcal{F}_t^X\} \subseteq \{\mathcal{F}_t^W\} \Leftrightarrow$

Thm: Say $\exists C + |b(x)| \leq C \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, s \leq T$. Then $dX_t = b_t(X_t)dt + dW_t$ has weak existence & uniqueness \forall initial distributions μ .
 Idea: X a B.M. $W = \int_0^t b_s(X_s)ds + X_t$ \leftarrow Change measure & make W a B.M.
 New measure $dX = b(X)dt + dW$!

Pr: ① Existence: Let $(X, \mathcal{F}_t, \{\mathbb{P}^x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ be a Brownian family. Let $Z_t = \exp(\int_0^t b_s^{(i)}(X_s) dX_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |b_s^{(i)}|^2 ds)$

& let $d\mathbb{P}_t^x = Z_t d\mathbb{P}^x$. $|b| \leq C \Rightarrow \langle I(b(X), X) \rangle_T \leq C^2 T \Rightarrow Z-1 \in M_0[0, T] \Rightarrow$ Girsanov applies.

Let $\tilde{W}_t = -\int_0^t b_s(X_s) ds + X_t$. Girsanov $\Rightarrow \{\tilde{W}_t\}_{t \leq T}, \{\mathbb{P}_t^x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ is a Brownian family & $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s(X_s) ds + \int_0^t d\tilde{W}_s$, & $X_0 = x \mathbb{P}^x$ a.s. Now define $Q^x(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}_t^x(A) d\mu(x)$.

Then $X_0 \sim \mu$ (under Q^x) & is the desired weak solution. Q.E.D

② Uniqueness. Say $X^{(i)}, W^{(i)}, \mathbb{P}^{(i)}$ are two weak solutions with the same I.D.

Let $Z_t^{(i)} = \exp(-\int_0^t b_s(X_s^{(i)}) \cdot dW_s^{(i)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |b_s^{(i)}|^2 ds)$. As above, Girsanov applies.

Let $d\mathbb{P}_t^{(i)} = Z_t^{(i)} d\mathbb{P}^{(i)}$. By Girsanov, $\{X_t^{(i)}\}_{t \leq T}$ is a BM under $\mathbb{P}_t^{(i)}$, \Rightarrow Laws of $X^{(i)}$ under $\mathbb{P}_t^{(i)}$ are equal. Since $W_t^{(i)} = X_t^{(i)} - \int_0^t b_s(X_s^{(i)}) ds$, \Rightarrow Joint laws of $(X^{(i)}, W^{(i)})$ under $\mathbb{P}_t^{(i)}$

are equal. \Rightarrow Laws of $\int_0^t b_s(X_s^{(i)}) \cdot dW_s^{(i)}$ under $\mathbb{P}_t^{(i)}$ are equal. \Rightarrow Joint laws of $(Z^{(i)}, X^{(i)})$ under $\mathbb{P}_t^{(i)}$ are equal. $\Rightarrow \forall 0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$, we have

$P((X_{t_1}^{(1)}, \dots, X_{t_n}^{(1)}) \in A) = E \mathcal{1}_A = E^{(1)} \mathcal{1}_A = \frac{1}{Z_T^{(1)}} E^{(2)} \mathcal{1}_A = \frac{1}{Z_T^{(2)}} E^{(2)} \mathcal{1}_A = P((X_{t_1}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{t_n}^{(2)}) \in A) \Rightarrow$ weak uniqueness. Q.E.D.

Remark: ① Assumption $|b| \leq C$ can be weakened to assuming Z is a martingale.

② Assumption $\sigma \equiv 1$ can be replaced with something that would guarantee strong existence of

$dX = \sigma(X)dW$ & $Z_t = \exp(\int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s)^{-1} b_s(X_s) \cdot dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\sigma_s(X_s)^{-1} b_s(X_s))^2 ds)$ is a martingale.

(usually this requires an ellipticity assumption saying $\sigma \sigma^* \geq \lambda I$).