## Math 720: Homework.

Do, but don't turn in optional problems. There is a firm 'no late homework' policy.

## Assignment 1: Assigned Wed 09/05. Due Wed 09/12

Following the notation of Cohn, I use $\lambda$ to denote the Lebesgue measure.

1. For each of the following sets, compute the Lebesgue outer measure.
(a) Any countable set.
(b) The Cantor set.
(c) $\{x \in[0,1] \mid x \notin \mathbb{Q}\}$.
2. (a) If $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a subspace with $\operatorname{dim}(V)<d$, then show that $\lambda(V)=0$.
(b) If $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a polygon show that area $(P)=\lambda(P)$.
3. (a) Say $\mu$ is a translation invariant measure on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ (i.e. $\mu(x+A)=\mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{L}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ ) which is finite on bounded sets. Show that $\exists c \geqslant 0$ such that $\mu(A)=c \lambda(A)$.
(b) Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an orthogonal linear transformation, and $A \in \mathcal{L}$. Show that $T(A) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda(T(A))=\lambda(A)$. [Hint: Express $T$ in terms of elementary transformations.]
4. (a) Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, and $\rho: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be such that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}, X \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\rho(\emptyset)=0$. For any $A \subseteq X$ define

$$
\mu^{*}(A)=\inf \left\{\sum_{1}^{\infty} \rho\left(E_{i}\right) \mid E_{i} \in \mathcal{E}, \text { and } A \subseteq \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} E_{j}\right\}
$$

Show that $\mu^{*}$ is an outer measure.
(b) Let $(X, d)$ be any metric space, $\delta>0$ and define $\mathcal{E}_{\delta}=\{B(x, r) \mid x \in X, r \in$ $(0, \delta)\}$. Given $\alpha>0$ define $\rho(B(x, r))=c_{\alpha} r^{\alpha}$, where $c_{\alpha}=\pi^{\alpha / 2} / \Gamma(1+\alpha / 2)$ is a normalization constant. Let $H_{\alpha, \delta}^{*}$ be the outer measure obtained with this choice of $\rho$ and the collection of sets $\mathcal{E}_{\delta}$. Define $H_{\alpha}^{*}=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_{\alpha, \delta}^{*}$. Show $H_{\alpha}^{*}$ is an outer measure and restricts to a measure $H_{\alpha}$ on a $\sigma$-algebra that contains all Borel sets. The measure $H_{\alpha}$ is called the Hausdorff measure of dimension $\alpha$. [Don't reprove Caratheodory.]
(c) If $X=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $\alpha=d$ show that $H_{d}$ is the Lebesgue measure.
(d) Let $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Show that there exists (a unique) $d \in[0, \infty]$ such that $H_{\alpha}(S)=\infty$ for all $\alpha \in(0, d)$, and $H_{\alpha}(S)=0$ for all $\alpha \in(d, \infty)$. This number is called the Hausdorff dimension of the set $S$.
(e) Compute the Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor set.

Details in class I left for you to check. (Do it, but don't turn it in.)

* We saw in class $\ell(I)=I$ for closed cells. Show it for arbitrary cells.
* Show that $m^{*}(a+E)=m^{*}(E)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$.
* Show that the arbitrary intersection of $\sigma$-algebras on $X$ is also a $\sigma$-algebra.
* Verify that the counting measures and delta measures are measures.
* When proving Caratheodory, we proved in class $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra, and that $\left.\mu^{*}\right|_{\Sigma}$ is finitely additive. Show that $\left.\mu^{*}\right|_{\Sigma}$ is countably additive.

Assignment 2: Assigned Wed 09/12. Due Wed 09/19

1. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a measure space. For $A \in P(X)$ define $\mu^{*}(A)=\inf \{\mu(E) \mid E \supseteq$ $A \& E \in \Sigma\}$, and $\mu_{*}(A)=\sup \{\mu(E) \mid E \subseteq A \& E \in \Sigma\}$.
(a) Show that $\mu^{*}$ is an outer measure.
(b) Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ be disjoint. Show that $\mu_{*}\left(\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right) \geqslant \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu_{*}\left(A_{i}\right)$. [The set function $\mu_{*}$ is called an inner measure.]
(c) Show that for all $A \subseteq X, \mu^{*}(A)+\mu_{*}\left(A^{c}\right)=\mu(X)$.
(d) Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ with $\mu^{*}(A)<\infty$. Show that $A \in \Sigma_{\mu} \Longleftrightarrow \mu_{*}(A)=\mu^{*}(A)$.
2. Here's an alternate (cleaner) approach to proving $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{B}_{\lambda}$. We do it by proving a stronger statement than necessary.
(a) If $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ show that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists two sets $C, U$ such that $C \subseteq A \subseteq U, C$ is closed, $U$ is open and $\lambda(U-C)<\varepsilon$.
(b) For $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, show that that there exists an $F_{\sigma}, F$ and a $G_{\delta}, G$ such that $F \subseteq A \subseteq G$ and $\lambda(G-F)=0$. Conclude $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda}=\mathcal{L}$.
3. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Prove every subset of $A$ is Lebesgue measurable $\Longleftrightarrow \lambda(A)=0$.
4. (a) Prove $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}\right)=\sigma\left(\left\{A \times B \mid A \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \& B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}\right)$.
(b) Prove $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}\right) \supsetneq \sigma\left(\left\{A \times B \mid A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \& B \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}\right)$.
(c) Show $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \supsetneq \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
5. Find $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ so that for all $a<b$, we have $0<\lambda(E \cap(a, b))<b-a$.

We say $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is an algebra if $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under complements and finite unions. We say $\mu_{0}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is a (positive) pre-measure on $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mu_{0}(\emptyset)=0$, and for any countable disjoint sequence of sets sequence $A_{i} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_{i} \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mu_{0}\left(\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu_{0}\left(A_{i}\right)$.
Namely, a pre-measure is a finitely additive measure on an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which is also countably additive for disjoint unions that belong to the algebra.
6. (Caratheodory extension) If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra, and $\mu_{0}$ is a pre-measure on $\mathcal{A}$, show that there exists a measure $\mu$ defined on $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ that extends $\mu_{0}$.

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* Prove any open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a countable union of cells. Conclude $\mathcal{L} \supseteq \mathcal{B}$.
* Show that the cardinality $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is the same as that of $\mathbb{R}$, however, the cardinality of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ is the same as that of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$. Conclude $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$. [There are of course other ways to prove this.]
* If $A_{i} \in \Sigma$ are such that $A_{i} \supseteq A_{i+1}$, show that $\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)$, provided $\mu\left(A_{1}\right)<\infty$. Given an example to show this is not true if $\mu\left(A_{1}\right)=\infty$.
* We saw in class $\lambda(A)=\sup \{\lambda(K) \mid K \subseteq A \& K$ is compact $\}$ for all bounded sets $A \in \mathcal{L}$. Prove it for arbitrary $A \in \mathcal{L}$.
* Show that there exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that if $B \subseteq A$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\lambda(B)=0$, and further, if $B \subseteq A^{c}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\lambda(B)=0$.


## Assignment 3: Assigned Wed 09/19. Due Wed 09/26

1. Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mu$ be a regular Borel measure on $X$. Show that $X$ has a maximal open set of measure 0 . Namely, show that there exists $U \subseteq X$, such that $U$ open set, $\mu(U)=0$ and further for any open set $V \subseteq X$ with $\mu(V)=0$, we must have $V \subseteq U$. [The complement of $U$ is defined to be the support of the measure $\mu$, and denoted by $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$.]
2. Let $\Sigma \supseteq \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and $\mu$ be a regular measure on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \Sigma\right)$. Suppose $A \in \Sigma$ is $\sigma$-finite (i.e. $A=\cup_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}$, and $\left.\mu\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty\right)$. Show that $\mu(A)=\sup \{\mu(K) \mid K \subseteq$ $A$ is compact $\}$. [This remains true if we replace $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with any Hausdorff space.]
3. Let $\mu, \nu$ be two measures on $(X, \Sigma)$. Suppose $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \Sigma$ is a $\pi$-system such that $\mu=\nu$ on $\mathcal{C}$.
(a) Suppose $\exists C_{i} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} C_{i}=X$ and $\mu\left(C_{i}\right)=\nu\left(C_{i}\right)<\infty$. Show that $\mu=\nu$ on $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.
(b) If we drop the finiteness condition $\mu\left(C_{i}\right)<\infty$ is the previous subpart still true? Prove or find a counter example.
4. Let $\kappa \in(0,1)$. Does there exist $E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $a<b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\kappa(b-a) \leqslant \lambda(I \cap(a, b)) \leqslant(1-\kappa)(b-a)$ ? Prove or find a counter example. [I'm aware that this looks suspiciously like a homework problem you already did. Also, this problem has a short, elegant solution using only what we've seen in class so far.]
5. For $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\left(X_{i}, \Sigma_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)$ be two measure spaces with $\mu_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)<\infty$. Define $\Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}=\sigma\left\{A_{1} \times A_{2} \mid A_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}\right\}$.
(a) Let $x_{1} \in X_{1}$ and $A \in \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}$. Let $S_{x_{1}}(A)=\left\{x_{2} \in X_{2} \mid\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in A\right\}$, and $T_{x_{2}}(A)=\left\{x_{1} \in X_{1} \mid\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in A\right\}$. Show that $S_{x_{1}}(A) \in \Sigma_{2}$ and $T_{x_{2}}(A) \in \Sigma_{1}$.
(b) If $A \in \mathcal{P}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right)$ is such that for all $x_{i} \in X_{i}, S_{x_{1}}(A) \in \Sigma_{2}$ and $S_{x_{2}}(A) \in$ $\Sigma_{1}$. Must $A \in \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}$ ?
(c) Show that there exists a measure $\nu$ on $\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}\right)$ such that for all $A_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}$ we have $\nu\left(A_{1} \times A_{2}\right)=\mu_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \mu_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)$.
6. (An alternate approach to $\lambda$-systems.) Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq P(X)$. We say $\mathcal{M}$ is a Monotone Class, if whenever $A_{i}, B_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$ with $A_{i} \subseteq A_{i+1}$ and $B_{i} \supseteq B_{i+1}$ then $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\bigcap_{1}^{\infty} B_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq P(X)$ is an algebra, then show that the smallest monotone class containing $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is exactly $\sigma(A)$. [You should also address existence of a smallest monotone class containing $\mathcal{A}$.]

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* Let $X$ be a second countable locally compact Hausdorff space, and $\mu$ be a Borel measure on $X$ that is finite on compact sets. Show that $\mu$ is regular.
* Is any $\sigma$-finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ regular?
* Show that any $\lambda$-system that is also a $\pi$-system is a $\sigma$-algebra.
* If $\Pi$ is a $\pi$-system, then $\lambda(\Pi)=\sigma(\Pi)$. (We only proved $\lambda(\Pi) \subseteq \sigma(\Pi)$.)

Assignment 4: Assigned Wed 09/26. Due Wed 10/03

1. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable. True or false: $g \circ f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable? Prove or find a counter example.
2. Let $(X, \Sigma)$ be a measure space, and $f, g: X \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ be measurable. Suppose whenever $g=0, f \neq 0$, and whenever $f= \pm \infty, g \in(-\infty, \infty)$. Show that $\frac{f}{g}: X \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is measurable. [ Note that by the given data you will never get a 'meaningless' quotient of the form $\frac{0}{0}$ or $\frac{ \pm \infty}{ \pm \infty}$. The remainder of the quotients (e.g. $\frac{1}{\infty}$ ) can be defined in the natural manner.]
3. Let $f_{n}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of measurable functions such that $\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere (a.e.). Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel function.
(a) If for a.e. $x \in X, g$ is continuous at $f(x)$, then show $\left(g \circ f_{n}\right) \rightarrow g \circ f$ a.e.
(b) Is the previous part true without the continuity assumption on $g$ ?
4. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be convex. Must $C$ be Lebesgue measurable? Must $C$ be Borel measurable? Prove or find counter examples. [The cases $d=1$ and $d>1$ are different.]
5. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a measure space, and $\left(X, \Sigma_{\mu}, \bar{\mu}\right)$ it's completion. Show that $g: X \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is $\Sigma_{\mu}$-measurable if and only if there exists two $\Sigma$-measurable functions $f, h: X \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ such that $f=h \mu$-almost everywhere, and $f \leqslant g \leqslant h$ everywhere.
6. Let $X$ be a metric space, $\Sigma \supseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ a $\sigma$-algebra on $X$, and $\mu$ a regular finite measure on $(X, \Sigma)$. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable.
(a) For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, show that there exists finitely many disjoint compact sets $\left\{K_{i, j}| | j \mid \leqslant N_{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(X-\bigcup_{j=-N_{i}}^{N_{i}} K_{i, j}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i}}, \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(K_{i, j}\right) \subseteq\left[\frac{j}{2^{i}}, \frac{j+1}{2^{i}}\right)
$$

(b) (Lusin's Theorem) For any $\varepsilon>0$ show that there exists $K_{\varepsilon} \subseteq X$ compact such that $f: K_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $\mu\left(X-K_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon$. [HinT: Let $K_{\varepsilon}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{|j| \leqslant N_{i}} K_{i, j}$. Define $g_{i}: K_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{i}(x)=j / 2^{i}$ if $x \in K_{i, j}$ and $|j| \leqslant N_{i}$. Show $g_{i}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\left(g_{i}\right) \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $K_{\varepsilon}$.]

A standard extension theorem now shows that for any $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $g_{\varepsilon}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous such that $\mu\left\{f \neq g_{\varepsilon}\right\}<\varepsilon$.

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* Show that $f: X \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is measurable if and only if any of the following conditions hold
(a) $\{f<a\} \in \Sigma$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
(c) $\{f \leqslant a\} \in \Sigma$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) $\{f>a\} \in \Sigma$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
(d) $\{f \geqslant a\} \in \Sigma$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
* Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the Cantor function, and $g(x)=\inf \{f=x\}$. Show that $f$ is continuous, and the range of $g$ is the Cantor set. Are $f, g$ Hölder continuous? If yes, what are the largest exponents $\alpha, \beta$ for which $f, g$ are respectively Hölder$\alpha$ and Hölder- $\beta$ continuous.


## Assignment 5: Assigned Wed 10/03. Due Wed 10/10

1. (a) Suppose $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a cell, and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable. Show that $f$ is measurable, Lebesgue integrable and that the Lebesgue integral of $f$ equals the Riemann integral.
(b) Is the previous subpart true if we only assume that an improper (Riemann) integral of $f$ exists? Prove or find a counter example.
2. (a) Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a complete measure space, $f: X \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ be measurable and suppose $\int_{X} f d \mu$ is defined. If $g: X \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is such that $f=g$ a.e., then show $\int_{X} f d \mu=\int_{X} g d \mu$.

All the convergence theorems we've seen so far hold if we replace pointwise convergence with a.e. convergence. I ask you to prove one below; you should verify the others on your own.
(b) Suppose $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of measurable functions, $f_{n} \geqslant 0$ a.e., and $\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $f$ a.e. on $E$. Show that $\lim \inf \int_{E} f_{n} d \mu \geqslant \int_{E} f d \mu$.
3. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ be an integrable function such that $\int_{I} f d \lambda=0$ for all cells $I$. Must $f=0$ a.e.? Prove or find a counter example.
4. Let $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. We define the Laplace Transform of $f$ to be the function $F(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-s t) f(t) d t$ wherever defined.
(a) If $\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(t)| d t<\infty$, show that $F:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
(b) If $\int_{0}^{\infty} t|f(t)| d t<\infty$, show that $F:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable.
(c) If $f$ is continuous and bounded, compute $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s F(s)$.
5. (a) Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be linear, and $A \in \mathcal{L}$. Show that $\lambda(T(A))=|\operatorname{det}(T)| \lambda(A)$. [Hint: Check it separately for $\operatorname{det}(T)=0$. For $\operatorname{det}(T) \neq 0$, write $T$ as a product of elementary transformations, and check the result for cells. (This should have been on HW1, but I 'inadvertently' added the assumption that $T$ was orthogonal.)]
(b) (Linear change of variable) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable, $T: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ an invertible linear transformation, and $E \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Show that

$$
\int_{T^{-1}(E)}(f \circ T)|\operatorname{det} T| d \lambda=\int_{E} f d \lambda .
$$

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* For simple functions, check that $\int_{E} s$ is well defined.
* For positive functions check $f \leqslant g \Longrightarrow \int_{E} f \leqslant \int_{E} g$.
* For arbitrary integrable functions, check $\int_{E} \alpha f d \mu=\alpha \int_{E} f d \mu$.
* If $\int_{X} f d \mu<\infty$, then show $f<\infty$ a.e.
* If $\int_{X}|f| d \mu=0$, then show that $f=0$ a.e.
* Prove the following generalization of Fatou's Lemma: If $f_{n} \geqslant 0$ are measurable, then $\liminf \int_{E} f_{n} d \mu \geqslant \int_{E} \lim \inf f d \mu$.
* Finish the proof of showing $\int_{X} g d \mu=\int_{Y} g \circ f d \mu_{f^{-1}}$. Use this to give a quick proof that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x+y) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) d x$. (This trick also helps with Q5(b).)

Assignment 6: Assigned Wed 10/10. Due Never
In view of your Midterm on 10/17, this homework is optional.

* If $\mu(E)=0$, and $f: E \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is any measurable function, then show directly from the definition that $\int_{E} f d \mu=0$.
* Let $\mu$ be the counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$, and $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function.
(a) If $\sum_{1}^{\infty}|f(n)|<\infty$, then show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)=\int_{\mathbb{N}} f d \mu$.
(b) If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$ is conditionally convergent, show that $\int_{\mathbb{N}} f d \mu$ is not defined.
* Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a measure space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ some function. Define $\tau=$ $\left\{A \subseteq Y \mid f\left(f^{-1}(A)\right)=A, \& f^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma\right\}$. For $A \in \tau$, define $\mu_{f}(A)=\mu(f(A))$. Show that $\left(Y, \tau, \mu_{f}\right)$ is a measure space. If $g: Y \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is integrable, can you write $\int_{Y} g d \mu_{f}$ in terms of an integral over $X$ with respect to $\mu$ ?
* Let $g \geqslant 0$ be measurable, and define $\nu(A)=\int_{A} g d \mu$. Show that $\nu$ is a measure, and $\int_{E} f d \nu=\int_{E} f g d \mu$.
* Let $f \sim g$ if $\mu\{f \neq g\}=0$. For $p \in[1, \infty)$, define
$\mathcal{L}^{p}=\left\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ measurable, such that $\left.\int_{X}|f|^{p} d \mu<\infty\right\} \quad$ and $\quad L^{p}=\mathcal{L}^{p} / \sim$.
For $f \in L^{p}$, pick any $f^{\prime} \in f$, and define $\|f\|_{p}=\left(\int_{X}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}$. Show that this is well defined and satisfies all the axioms of a Banach space except completeness and the triangle inequality. [Completeness and the triangle inequality are of course true but are harder to prove. I will prove them in class.]
* Show that $f \leqslant \operatorname{esssup}_{X} f$ almost everywhere.
* For $p \in[0,1)$ show that you need not have $\|f+g\|_{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}+\|g\|_{p}$.
* Prove Hölder's inequality if $p=1$ or $p=\infty$.
* (a) Prove $\|f\|_{1}=\sup _{\|g\|_{\infty}=1} \int_{X} f g d \mu$.
(b) If $X$ is $\sigma$-finite, then show $\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{\|g\|_{1}=1} \int_{X} f g d \mu$.
* (a) (Young's inequality) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in(1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Show that $|x y| \leqslant \frac{|x|^{p}}{p}+\frac{|y|^{q}}{q}$, and equality holds if and only if $|x|^{p}=|y|^{q}$.
(b) Use Young's inequality to give an alternate proof of Hölder's inequality.
* (a) Suppose $\varphi$ is a strictly convex function and $\mu(X)=1$. For what functions can you have equality in Jensen's inequality. Namely, when is $\varphi\left(\int_{X} f d \mu\right)=$ $\int_{X} \varphi \circ f d \mu$ ?
(b) For what functions $f, g$ can you have equality in Hölder's inequality?


## Assignment 7: Assigned Wed 10/17. Due Wed 10/24

1. (a) If $\mu(X)<\infty, 1 \leqslant p<q$, show $L^{q}(X) \subseteq L^{p}(X)$ and the inclusion map from $L^{q}(X) \rightarrow L^{p}(X)$ is continuous. Find an example where $L^{q}(X) \subsetneq L^{p}(X)$. [Hint: Show $\|f\|_{p} \leqslant \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\|f\|_{q}$ ]
(b) Let $\ell^{p}=L^{p}(\mathbb{N})$ with respect to the counting measure. If $1 \leqslant p<q$ show that $\ell^{p} \subsetneq \ell^{q}$. Is the inclusion map $\ell^{p} \hookrightarrow \ell^{q}$ continuous? Prove your answer.
2. (a) Suppose $p, q, r \in[1, \infty]$ with $p<q<r$. Prove that for all $f \in L^{p} \cap L^{r}$, $f \in L^{q}$. Further, find $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that $\|f\|_{q} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}^{\theta}\|f\|_{r}^{1-\theta}$.
(b) If for some $p \in[1, \infty), f \in L^{p}(X) \cap L^{\infty}(X)$ show that $\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\|f\|_{q}=\|f\|_{\infty}$. [This sort of justifies the notation $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.]
(c) Let $p_{0} \in(0, \infty], \mu(X)=1$ and $f \in L^{p_{0}}(X)$. Prove $\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}}\|f\|_{p}=$ $\exp \left(\int_{X} \ln |f| d \mu\right)$.
3. For any $p \in[1, \infty]$, show that simple functions are dense in $L^{p}(X)$. That is, for any $\varepsilon>0, f \in L^{p}(X)$ show that there exists a simple function $s \in L^{p}(X)$ such that $\|f-s\|_{p}<\varepsilon$.
4. Let $X$ be a metric space and $\mu$ be a regular Borel measure on $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$. Assume further and $X=\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} U_{n}$, where $U_{n}$ is open, $\bar{U}_{n}$ is compact, and $\bar{U}_{n} \subseteq U_{n+1}$.
(a) For any $p \in[1, \infty)$, show that continuous compactly supported functions are dense in $L^{p}(X)$. [You may assume the Tizete extension theorem from topology, which guarantees (in a more general situation) that if $C \subseteq X$ is closed and $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then there exists a continuous function $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F=f$ on $C$.]
(b) Is the previous part true for $p=\infty$ ? Prove or find a counter example.
5. (a) Suppose $p \in[1, \infty)$, and $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \lambda\right)$. For $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\tau_{y} f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\tau_{y} f(x)=f(x-y)$. Show that $\left(\tau_{y} f\right) \rightarrow f$ in $L^{p}$ as $|y| \rightarrow 0$.
(b) What happnes for $p=\infty$ ?

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* If $p_{i}, q \in[1, \infty]$ with $\sum_{1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}}=\frac{1}{q}$, show that $\left\|\prod_{1}^{n} f_{i}\right\|_{q} \leqslant \prod\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}$.
* Let $0<p<q<\infty$. Then $L^{p} \nsubseteq L^{q}$ iff $X$ contains sets of arbitarily small, positive, measure. Also, $L^{q} \nsubseteq L^{p}$ iff $X$ contains sets of arbitarily large (but finite) measure.
* (Vitali's convergence theorem.) Let $f_{n}, f \in L^{1}$. Show that $\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow f$ in $L^{1}$ if and only if (1) $\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow f$ in measure, (2) $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly integrable, and (3) For all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $F \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(F)<\infty$ such that $\int_{F^{c}}\left|f_{n}\right|<\varepsilon$. [I proved the forward direction in class, and sketched the reverse. Fill in the details of the reverse.]


## Assignment 8: Assigned Wed 10/24. Due Wed 10/31

1. Suppose $\Sigma=\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, where $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is countable. If $\mu$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, show that $L^{p}(X)$ is seperable (i.e. has a countable dense subset).
2. Let $e_{n}(x)=e^{2 \pi i n x}, X=[0,1]$. For what $p \in[1, \infty]$ does $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ have a convergent subsequence in $L^{p}(X, \lambda)$ ? Prove it.
3. (a) Suppose $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{n} \int_{\left|f_{n}\right|>\lambda}\left|f_{n}\right| d \mu=0$. Show that there exists an increasing funciton $\varphi$ with $\varphi(\lambda) / \lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\operatorname{such}^{\prime}$ that $\sup _{n} \int_{X} \varphi\left(\left|f_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$.
(b) Suppose $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly integrable, and $\sup _{n} \int\left|f_{n}\right|<\infty$. Show that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{n} \int_{\left|f_{n}\right|>\lambda}\left|f_{n}\right|=0$.
(c) Show that the previous part fails without the assumption $\sup _{n} \int\left|f_{n}\right|<\infty$.
4. Recall we defined the variation of $\mu$ by $|\mu|=\mu^{+}+\mu^{-}$, and the total variation by $\|\mu\|=|\mu|(X)$. (You should check that these are well defined.)
(a) If $\mu, \nu$ are two signed measurs on $X$, show that $|\mu+\nu|(A) \leqslant|\mu|(A)+|\nu|(A)$.
(b) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the space of all finite signed measures on $(X, \Sigma)$. Show that $\mathcal{M}$ with total variation norm (i.e. with $\|\mu\|=|\mu|(X))$ is a Banach space.
(c) Show that $\left(\mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mu$ if and only if $\left(\mu_{n}(A)\right) \rightarrow \mu(A)$ uniformly in $A, \forall A \in \Sigma$.
5. (a) For a signed measure, we define $\int_{X} f d \mu=\int_{X} f d \mu^{+}-\int_{X} f d \mu^{-}$. Suppose $\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow f,\left(g_{n}\right) \rightarrow g$, and $\left|f_{n}\right| \leqslant g_{n}$ almost everywhere with respect to $|\mu|$. If $\lim \int_{X} g_{n} d|\mu|=\int_{X} g d|\mu|<\infty$, show that $\lim \int_{X} f_{n} d \mu=\int_{X} f d \mu$.
(b) Suppose $f, f_{n} \in L^{1}$, and $\left(f_{n}\right) \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere. Show that $\lim \int \mid f_{n}-$ $f|d| \mu \mid=0$ if and only if $\lim \int\left|f_{n}\right| d|\mu|=\int|f| d|\mu|$.

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* Show $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is not separable.
* Say $\mu$ is a signed measure, and $A_{i} \in \Sigma$ are pariwise disjoint. If $\left|\mu\left(\bigcup A_{i}\right)\right|<\infty$, then must $\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left|\mu\left(A_{i}\right)\right|<\infty$ ? Prove, or find a counter example.
* If $g \in L^{1}(X, \mu)$, let $\nu(A)=\int_{A} g$. Show that $\nu$ is a signed measure on $X$, and $\int f d \nu=\int f g d \mu$.
* (a) Prove the Hanh decomposition is unique, up to sets of measure 0. [That is show $X=P_{1} \cup N_{1}$ and $X=P_{2} \cup N_{2}$, then $P_{2}=P_{1}-A \cup B$, where all subsets of $A, B$ have measure 0 , and a similar statement for $N$.]
(b) Show that the measures $\mu^{+}$and $\mu^{-}$we defined in class are independent of the Hanh decomposition used to define them.
(c) We say $\mu$ and $\nu$ are mutually singular if $X=A \cup B$ where $A, B \in \Sigma$ with $A \cap B=\emptyset$, and for all measurable $A^{\prime} \subseteq A, B^{\prime} \subseteq B$ we have $\mu\left(A^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\nu\left(B^{\prime}\right)=0$. Show that the Jordan decomposition is unique if the measures are assumed to be mutually singular.
* If $\mu=\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$ where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are positive, show that $\mu_{1} \geqslant \mu^{+}$and $\mu_{2} \geqslant \mu^{-}$.


## Assignment 9: Assigned Wed 10/31. Due Wed 11/07

1. (a) Let $\nu$ be a finite (positive) measure. Prove $\nu \ll \mu \Longleftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta>0$ э $\mu(A)<\delta \Longrightarrow \nu(A)<\varepsilon$. [This sort of justifies the name "absolutely continuous".]
(b) Is the previous part true if $\nu$ is not finite? Prove or find a counter example.
2. (a) Let $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ be two finite signed measures on $X$. Show that there exists a finite signed measure $\nu_{1} \vee \nu_{2}$ such that $\nu_{1} \vee \nu_{2}(A) \geqslant \nu_{1}(A) \vee \nu_{2}(A)$, and for any other finite signed measure $\nu$ such that $\nu(A) \geqslant \nu_{1}(A) \vee \nu_{2}(A)$ we ust have $\nu_{1} \vee \nu_{2} \leqslant \nu$.
(b) If $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ above are absolutely continuous with respect to a positive $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$, prove $\nu_{1} \vee \nu_{2} \ll \mu$ and express $\frac{d\left(\nu_{1} \vee \nu_{2}\right)}{d \mu}$ in terms of $\frac{d \nu_{1}}{d \mu}$ and $\frac{d \nu_{2}}{d \mu}$.
3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a measure space with $P(\Omega)=1$, and $X \in L^{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. [The probabilistic interpretation is that $\Omega$ is the sample space, $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is an event, $X$ is a random variable, and $P(X \in B)$ is the chance that $X \in B$, where $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.]
(a) Suppose $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a $\sigma$-sub-algebra of $F$. Show that there exists a unique $\mathcal{G}$-measurable function $Y$ such that $\int_{A} Y d P=\int_{A} X d P$ for all $A \in \mathcal{G} .[Y$ is called the conditional expection of $X$ given $\mathcal{G}$, and denoted by $E(X \mid \mathcal{G})$.]
(b) (Tower property) If $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is a $\sigma$-sub-algebra, show that $E(X \mid \mathcal{H})=$ $E(E(X \mid \mathcal{G}) \mid \mathcal{H})$ almost everywhere.
(c) (Conditional Jensen) If $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, show that $\varphi(E(X \mid \mathcal{G})) \leqslant$ $E(\varphi(X) \mid G)$ almost everywhere.
(d) Suppose $X \in L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Show that $E(X \mid \mathcal{G})$ is the $L^{2}$-orthogonal projection of $X$ onto the subspace $L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$. [Namely show $E(X \mid \mathcal{G}) \in L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$, and $\int_{\Omega}(X-E(X \mid G)) Y d P=0$ for all $\left.Y \in L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{G}).\right]$
4. Let $\mu$ be a positive measure and $\nu$ a finite signed measure. Let $\nu=\nu_{\mathrm{ac}}+\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ be the Lebesgue decomposition of $\nu$. Show that $\|\nu\|=\left\|\nu_{\mathrm{ac}}\right\|+\left\|\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\right\|$.
5. Let $\mu$ be $\sigma$-finite, and define $\varphi: L^{\infty} \rightarrow\left(L^{1}\right)^{*}$ by $\varphi_{g}(f)=\int_{X} f g d \mu$. Show that $\varphi$ is a bijective linear isometry. [In this sense we say $L^{\infty}$ is the dual of $L^{1}$. The reverse identification is not true in general: $L^{1}$ can be identified with an subspace of $\left(L^{\infty}\right)^{*}$, but need not be all of it. The proof of this requires the Hanh-Banach theorem.]

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* Show that the Radon Nicodym theorem is not true if $\nu$ is $\sigma$-finite, but $\mu$ is not. Where does the proof we had in class break down if $\mu$ is not $\sigma$-finite?
* Finish the proof of the Lebesgue decomposition (existence and uniqueness) when $\nu$ is $\sigma$-finite.
* If $X, Y$ are Banach spaces show that $B(X, Y)$ with operator norm is a Banach space.
* Let $p \in(1, \infty], 1 / p+1 / q=1$, and $c<\infty$. If $g$ is a measurable function such that $\sup \left\{\int_{X} s g \mid s\right.$ is simple, and $\left.\|s\|_{p} \leqslant 1\right\} \leqslant c$, show that $g \in L^{q}$ and $\|g\|_{q} \leqslant c$.
* If $\mu$ is a finite signed measure, show that $\left|\int f d \mu\right| \leqslant \int|f| d|\mu|$.


## Assignment 10: Assigned Wed 11/07. Due Wed 11/14

1. (a) Suppose $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{m, n}\right|\right)<\infty$. Show that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m, n}\right)=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m, n}\right)$.
(b) Give a counter example to (a) if we only assume $\sum_{m} \sum_{n} a_{m, n}<\infty$. Find a counter example where both iterated sums are finite.
2. (a) If $X$ and $Y$ are not $\sigma$-finite, show that Fubini's theorem need not hold.
(b) If $\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu)$ is not assumed to exist (in the extended sense), show that both iterated integrals can exist, be finite, but need not be equal.
3. (Fubini for completions.) Suppose $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $(Y, \tau, \nu)$ are two $\sigma$-finite, complete measure spaces. Let $\pi=(\Sigma \otimes \tau)_{\mu \times \nu}$ denote the completion of $\Sigma \otimes \tau$ with respect to $\mu \times \nu$.
(a) Show that $\Sigma \otimes \tau$ need not be $\mu \times \nu$-complete (i.e. $\pi \supsetneq \Sigma \otimes \tau$ in general).
(b) Suppose $f: X \times Y \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ is $\mathcal{F}$-measurable. Define as usual the slices $\varphi_{f, x}: Y \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ by $\varphi_{f, x}(y)=f(x, y)$, and similarly $\psi_{f, y}(x)=f(x, y)$. Show that for $\mu$-almost all $x \in X, \varphi_{f, x}$ is an $\tau$-measurable, and for $\nu$ almost all $y, \psi_{f, y}$ is an $\Sigma$-measurable.
(c) Suppose $f$ is integrable on $X \times Y$ in the extended sense. Define $F(x)=$ $\int_{Y} f(x, y) d \nu(y)$ and $G(y)=\int_{X} f(x, y) d \mu(x)$. Show $F$ is defined $\mu$-a.e. and $\Sigma$-measurable. Similarly show $G$ is defined $\nu$-a.e., and $\tau$-measurable. Further, show and that $\int_{X} F d \mu=\int_{Y} G d \nu=\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu)$.
4. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu),(Y, \tau, \nu)$ be two $\sigma$-finite measure spaces, $p \in[1, \infty]$, and $f: X \times$ $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\Sigma \otimes \tau$ measurable. Let $F(x)=\int_{Y} f(x, y) d \nu(y)$, and $\psi_{y, f}$ be the slice of $f$ defined by $\psi_{y, f}(x)=f(x, y)$. Show that $\|F\|_{L^{p}(X)} \leqslant \int_{Y}\left\|\psi_{y, f}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} d \nu(y)$. [You should verify that when $Y=\{1,2\}$ with the counting measure, the above is exactly Minkowski's triangle inequality.]
5. For $p \in[1, \infty)$ define $\left.\|f\|_{L^{p, \infty}}=\sup \left\{\lambda \mu\{|f|>\lambda\}^{1 / p} \mid \lambda>0\right\}\right\}$, and the weak $L^{p}$ space (denoted by $L^{p, \infty}$ ) by $L^{p, \infty}=\left\{f \mid\|f\|_{L^{p, \infty}}<\infty\right\}$. [As usual, we use the convention that functions that are equal almost everywhere are identified with each other.]
(a) If $f \in L^{p}$, show $f \in L^{p, \infty}$ and $\|f\|_{L^{p, \infty}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}$. Is the converse true?
(b) If $f, g \in L^{p, \infty}$, show that $f+g \in L^{p, \infty}$. Show further that $\|f+g\|_{L^{p, \infty}} \leqslant$ $c\left(\|f\|_{L^{p, \infty}}+\|g\|_{L^{p, \infty}}\right)$ for some constant $c$ independent of $f, g$. [Thus $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p, \infty}}$ is called a quasi-norm, and $L^{p, \infty}$ is called a quasi-Banach space.]
(c) If $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite, $1 \leqslant p<q<r<\infty$ and $f \in L^{p, \infty} \cap L^{r, \infty}$ then show $f \in L^{q}$.

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* Show that the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ is the product of the Lebesgue measurs on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ respectively. [Note, you've previously seen that $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}\right) \supsetneq$ $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; however $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \otimes \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.]
* For $E \in \Sigma \otimes \tau$, define $f_{E}(x)=\nu\left(S_{x}(E)\right)$ and $g_{E}(y)=\mu\left(T_{y}(E)\right)$. Show that $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable. [Hint: First assume $\mu, \nu$ are finite. Let $\Lambda=\left\{E \mid f_{E}, g_{E}\right.$ are measurable $\}$. Show that $\Lambda$ is a $\lambda$-system, and $\Lambda$ contains all rectangles.]
* Verify that $\mu \times \nu \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{X} \nu\left(S_{x}(E)\right) d \mu(x)$ is a measure.


## Assignment 11: Assigned Wed 11/14. Due Wed 11/21

1. If $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, f \in L^{p}, g \in L^{q}$ show that $f * g$ is bounded and continuous. If $p, q<\infty$, show further $f * g(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.
2. Define $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\left|\forall m, \alpha, \sup _{x}\left(1+|x|^{m}\right)\right| D^{\alpha} f(x) \mid<\infty\right\}$. Here $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{d}$ is a multi-index, and $D^{\alpha} f=$ $\partial_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial_{d}^{\alpha_{d}} f$. The space $\mathcal{S}$ is called the Schwartz Space.
(a) If $p \in[1, \infty), f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, show that $f * g \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and further $D^{\alpha}(f * g)=f *\left(D^{\alpha} g\right)$
(b) Show that $\mathcal{S}$ is dense subset of $L^{p}$ for $p \in[1, \infty)$.
(c) Show that $C_{c}^{\infty}$ is a dense subset of $L^{p}$ for $p \in[1, \infty)$.
3. (a) If $f, g \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}([0,1])$, show that $(f * g)^{\wedge}(n)=\hat{f}(n) \hat{g}(n)$. [Here $L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}([0,1])$ denotes (equivalence classes of) all Lebesgue measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which have period 1 (i.e. $\tau_{1} f=f$ ), and $\int_{0}^{1}|f|^{2} d \lambda<\infty$.]
(b) If $f, g \in L^{2}([0,1])$, show that $(f g)^{\wedge}(n)=\hat{f} * \hat{g}(n) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m) \hat{g}(n-m)$.
4. Though I encourage you to check the properties on the Dirichlet and Fejér kernels stated in the optional problems, you may assume them here without proof.
(a) If $f \in C_{\text {per }}[0,1]$, show that $\left(\sigma_{N} f\right) \rightarrow f$ uniformly. [Here $C_{\text {per }}[0,1]=\{f \in$ $\left.C(\mathbb{R}) \mid \tau_{1} f=f\right\}$ denotes all continuous functions with period 1.]
If $f \in C_{\text {per }}[0,1]$, it turns out that the partial sums $S_{N} f$ need not converge to $f$ even pointwise. (In fact, there exist many $f \in C_{\text {per }}([0,1])$ such that $S_{N} f$ is divergent on a dense $G_{\delta}$ in $[0,1]$.) If, however, $f$ is a little bit better than continuous, then the Fourier series of $f$ converges to $f$ pointwise.
(b) Let $f \in C_{\text {per }}([0,1])$ and $\alpha>0$. If $\sup _{x, y} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}<\infty$, then show that $\left(S_{N} f\right) \rightarrow f$ pointwise, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. [In fact, $\left(S_{N} f\right) \rightarrow f$ uniformly.]
5. Let $\mu$ be a finite signed Borel measure on $[0,1]$. If $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \hat{\mu}(n)=0$, show $\mu=0$.

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* If $f \in L^{p}, g \in L^{q}$ with $p, q \in[1, \infty]$ and $1 / p+1 / q \geqslant 1$, show that $f * g=g * f$.
* If $f \in L^{p}, g \in L^{q}, h \in L^{r}$ with $p, q, r \in[1, \infty]$ and $1 / p+1 / q+1 / r \geqslant 2$, show that $(f * g) * h=f *(g * h)$.
* Define the Derichlet kernel by $D_{N}(x)=\sum_{-N}^{N} \exp (2 \pi i n x)$.
(a) Show that $S_{N} f(x)=D_{N} * f(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{0}^{1} f(y) D_{N}(x-y) d y$. [Recall, $S_{N} f=$ $\sum_{-N}^{N} \hat{f}(n) e_{n}$, where $e_{n}(x)=e^{2 \pi i n x}$, and $\hat{f}(n)=\left\langle f, e_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} f(y) \bar{e}_{n}(y) d y$.]
(b) Show that $D_{N}(x)=\frac{\sin ((2 N+1) \pi x)}{\sin (\pi x)}$. Further show $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon}\left|D_{N}\right|=\infty$.
* Define Fejér kernel by $F_{N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{0}^{N-1} D_{n}$.
(a) Show that $\sigma_{N} f \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{0}^{N-1} S_{n} f=F_{N} * f$.
(b) Show that $F_{N}(x)=\frac{\sin ^{2}(N \pi x)}{N \sin ^{2}(\pi x)}$, and that $\left\{F_{N}\right\}$ is an approximate identity.

Assignment 12: Assigned Wed 11/21. Due Wed 11/28

1. (a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even, $\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}=1$. If $\hat{f} \in \ell^{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z})$, show that $f \in L_{\text {per }}^{n}([0,1])$ and $\|f\|_{L^{n}} \leqslant\|f\|_{\ell^{n^{\prime}}}$. [Hint: Let $n=2 m$. Then $\|f\|_{L^{n}}^{n}=\left\|\left(f^{m}\right)^{\wedge}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}$.]
(b) Let $s>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p} \geqslant 0$, and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. If $f \in H_{\text {per }}^{s}$ show $\hat{f} \in \ell^{q}(\mathbb{Z})$. Further show that the map $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is continuous from $H_{\text {per }}^{s} \rightarrow \ell^{q}$.
(c) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is even, $s>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}$ then show that $H_{\text {per }}^{s} \subseteq L^{n}([0,1])$ and that the inclusion map is continuous. [This is one part of the Sobolev embedding theorem.]
2. Let $f \in L^{2}([0,1])$. Show that there exists $u \in C^{\infty}([0,1] \times(0, \infty))$ such that $u(0, t)=u(1, t), \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\|u(\cdot, t)-f(\cdot)\|_{2}=0$, and $\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{2} u=0$. [Hint: You may assume the result of the optional problems.]
3. Finish the change of variable proof using the following approach. Recall $U, V \subseteq$ $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are open connected sets, and $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ is a $C^{1}$ bijection whose inverse is also $C^{1}$. Our aim is to show $\lambda(\varphi(A))=\int_{A}|\operatorname{det} \nabla \varphi| d \lambda$ for all $A \subseteq U$ Borel.
Assume first that $\varphi, \varphi^{-1}$ are both uniformly $C^{1}$, and $U, V$ are bounded. In this case we showed in class that $\lambda(\varphi(A)) \leqslant \int_{A}|\operatorname{det} \nabla \varphi| d \lambda$ for all Borel $A \subseteq U$.
(a) If $f: V \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is Borel, show that $\int_{V} f \leqslant \int_{U} f \circ \varphi|\operatorname{det} \nabla \varphi| d \lambda$.
(b) Show that $\lambda(A)=\int_{A}|\operatorname{det} \nabla \varphi| d \lambda$. [Hint: This follows very quickly previous part.]
(c) Prove the previous subpart without the additional assumptions that $\varphi, \varphi^{-1}$ are uniformly $C^{1}$, and $U, V$ are bounded.

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* (a) If $f \in L_{\text {per }}^{1}([0,1])$, show that $2|\hat{f}(n)| \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left|f(y)-f\left(y-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)\right| d y$.
(b) Use the previous subpart to give an alternate (perhaps more illuminating) proof of the Riemann Lebesgue lemma.
(c) If $\alpha \in(0,1), f \in C_{\text {per }}^{\alpha}([0,1])$, show that $\sup _{n}|n|^{\alpha}|f(n)|<\infty$.
(d) Show by example that the converse of the previous part is false.
* For any $s \geqslant 0$ show that $H_{\mathrm{per}}^{s}$ is a closed subspace of $L^{2}$.
* Let $0 \leqslant r \leqslant s$. Show that any bounded sequence in $H_{\text {per }}^{s}$ has a subsequence that is convergent subsequence in $H_{\mathrm{per}}^{r}$.
* Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, \alpha \in[0,1) s>1 / 2+n+\alpha$. Show that $H_{\mathrm{per}}^{s} \subseteq C_{\mathrm{per}}^{n, \alpha}[0,1]$ and the inclusion map is continuous. [Recall $C_{\mathrm{per}}^{n, \alpha}[0,1]$ is the set of all $C^{n}$ periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $\tau_{1} f=f$ ) whose $n^{\text {th }}$ derivative is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha$.]
$*$ If $\|\nabla \varphi-I\|_{L^{\infty}}<\varepsilon$, and $\varphi(0)=0$, then show that $\varphi\left((-1,1)^{d}\right) \subseteq(-1-d \varepsilon, 1+d \varepsilon)^{d}$.
* (Polar Coordinates.) Let $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Show that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(x, y) d x d y=\int_{[0, \infty) \times[0,2 \pi)} f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r d r d \theta
$$

## Assignment 13: Assigned Wed 11/28. Due Wed $12 / 05$

1. (a) If $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $f$ is not identically 0 (a.e.), then show that $M f \notin L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. The next few subparts outline a proof that for any $p>1$, the maximal function is an $L^{p}$ bounded sublinear operator. Let $p \in(1, \infty), f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $f \geqslant 0$.
(b) Show that $\lambda\{M f>\alpha\} \leqslant \frac{3^{d}}{(1-\delta) \alpha} \int_{\{f>\delta \alpha\}} f$, for any $t>0, \delta \in(0,1)$ and $f \geqslant 0$ measurable.
(c) Let $p \in(1, \infty]$, and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that there exists a constant $c=c(p, d)$ such that $\|M f\|_{p} \leqslant c\|f\|_{p}$ for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. [Hint: For $p<\infty$, use the previous part, the identity $\|M f\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{0}^{\infty} p \alpha^{p-1} \lambda\{M f>\alpha\} d \alpha$ and optimise in $\delta$.]
2. Let $\mu$ be a finite signed Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Define $D \mu(x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{\lambda(B(x, r))}$.
(a) If $\mu \perp \lambda$, show that $D \mu=0$ almost everywhere with respect to $\lambda$. [Hint: Write $\mu=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$ where $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{1}\right)$ is compact with Lebesgue measure 0 , and $\left\|\mu_{2}\right\|<\varepsilon$.]
(b) If $\mu \perp \lambda$, show that $D|\mu|=\infty$ almost everywhere with respect to $\mu$ !
(c) Show that $D \mu=\frac{d \mu_{\mathrm{ac}}}{d \lambda}$ almost everywhere with respect to $\lambda$. [Here $\mu=\mu_{\mathrm{s}}+\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}$ is the Lebesgue decomposition of $\mu$ with respect to $\lambda$.]
3. Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotone function. Show that $f$ is differentiable almost everywhere. [Hint: Suppose first $f$ is monotone, injective and bounded. Show that $\mu(A)=\lambda(f(A))$ defines a finite Borel measure. How does this help?]
4. If $f, g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are absolutely continuous, then show that $f g$ is absolutely continuous. Conclude $[f g]_{0}^{1}=\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime} g+\int_{0}^{1} f g^{\prime}$.
5. Show that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipshitz if and only if $f$ is absolutely continuous and $f^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Optional problems, and details in class I left for you to check.

* Show that the arbitary union of closed (non-degenerate) cells is Lebesgue measurable.
* Find an example of $E \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda(E \cap B(x, r))}{\lambda(B(x, r))}$ does not exist.
* Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable. Let $\alpha, \beta>0$ with $\alpha / \beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$. If $f$ has period $\alpha$, and also has period $\beta$ (i.e. for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, f(x)=f(x+\alpha)=f(x+\beta))$, then show that $f$ is constant almost everywhere. (But $f$ need not be constant everywhere!)
* We say the family $\left\{E_{r}\right\}$ shrinks nicely to $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ if there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $r, E_{r} \subseteq B(x, r)$ and $\lambda\left(E_{r}\right)>\delta \lambda(B(x, r))$. If $\left\{E_{r}\right\}$ shrinks nicely to $x$, show that $\lim \frac{1}{\lambda\left(E_{r}\right)} \int_{E_{r}} f=f(x)$ for all Lebesgue points of $f$.
* If $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, show that $M f(x) \geqslant|f(x)|$ at all Lebesgue points of $f$.
* If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous, then show that $f$ is of bounded variation, and that the variation is absolutely continuous. Conclude $f$ can be written as the difference of two monotone absolutely continuous functions.

