

# Set theory and operator algebras (the final thirty minutes of the talk)

Ilijas Farah

York University

Carnegie Mellon, February 9, 2008

## Normal operators

Fix a separable complex Hilbert space  $H$  and consider unitary equivalence of operators on  $H$ :

$$a \sim b \Leftrightarrow (\exists u)a = ubu^*.$$

The spectral theorem provides complete invariants for unitary equivalence of normal operators. ( $a$  is *normal* if  $aa^* = a^*a$ .) However, the invariants are quite complicated, and necessarily so.

**Theorem (Kechris–Sofronidis, 1998)**

*The unitary equivalence of unitary operators does not admit any effectively assigned complete invariants coded by countable structures. (The same holds for self-adjoint operators.)*

# Equivalence modulo compact operators

$a$  and  $b$  are *compalent*  $\Leftrightarrow (\exists c) a \sim c$  and  $c - b$  is compact.

## Theorem (Weyl–von Neumann–Berg)

*The essential spectrum,  $\sigma_e(a)$ , provides a complete invariant for compalence of normal operators.*

### Proof.

If  $a$  is normal, then there is a diagonal operator  $d$  such that  $a - d$  is compact and  $\sigma(d) = \sigma_e(a)$ . □

### Example

For  $g \in S_\infty$  the equations  $u_g(e_n) = e_{g(n)}$  uniquely define a unitary operator  $u_g$ . If  $g$  has arbitrarily long cycles, or an infinite cycle, then  $\sigma_e(u_g) = \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $u_g$  is compalent with the bilateral shift of the basis.

# The Calkin algebra

$\mathcal{B}(H)$ : The algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$ .

$\mathcal{K}(H)$ : Its ideal of compact operators.

$\mathcal{C}(H) = \mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$  is the *Calkin algebra*.

$\pi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(H)$ : The quotient map.

## Fact

*Operators  $a$  and  $b$  are compalent if and only if  $\pi(a) \sim \pi(b)$ .*

## Corollary

*For normal operators  $a$  and  $b$  TFAE.*

1.  $\sigma_e(a) = \sigma_e(b)$ ,
2.  $a$  and  $b$  are compalent,
3.  $\pi(a) \sim \pi(b)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ ,
4.  $(\exists \Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}(H))) \Phi(\pi(a)) = \pi(b)$ .

Let  $S(e_n) = e_{n+1}$  and  $\dot{S} = \pi(S)$ .

Question (Brown–Douglas–Fillmore, 1977)

*Is there an automorphism  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  such that*

1.  $\Phi(\dot{S}) = \dot{S}^*$ ?
2. *there are  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  such that  $\Phi(a) = b$  but  $uau^* \neq b$  for all  $u$ ?*
3. *Is there an outer automorphism of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ?*

The answer to (2) is negative if  $a$  and  $b$  are images of normal operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  (BDF).

## Differences between $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{Fin}$ and $\mathcal{C}(H)$

If  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{Fin}$ , then so is  $\Psi_1 \oplus \Psi_2$

### Proposition

*An automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  is inner iff its restriction to  $\mathcal{C}(H_0)$  for some (any) infinite-dimensional subspace  $H_0$  of  $H$  is implemented by a unitary.*

### Proof.

Fix  $u$  such that  $\Phi(b) = ubu^*$  for  $b \in \mathcal{C}(H_0)$ .

Fix  $v \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  so that  $vv^* = P_{H_0}$  and  $v^*v = I$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(a) &= \Phi(v^*)\Phi(vav^*)\Phi(v) \\ &= \Phi(v^*)uvav^*u^*\Phi(v)\end{aligned}$$

With  $w = \Phi(v^*)uv$  we have  $\Phi(a) = waw^*$ .



## An attempt and a misleading fact

1. Start from Rudin's nontrivial automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ .
2. Extend it to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ .
3. Check that it is outer.

This does not work.

### Proposition

*An automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{Fin}$  extends to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  if and only if it is trivial.*

All nontrivial elements of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{Fin}$  belong to the same orbit of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{Fin})$ .

In  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  many orbits.



# Orbits of triples

Club many countable subalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{Fin}$  are isomorphic to the countable atomless boolean algebra.

On finite-dimensional vector subspaces of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  one can define metric  $\delta_{cb}$  - an operator space variant of the Banach–Mazur distance. This metric is an automorphism invariant.

Theorem (Junge–Pisier, 1995)

1.  $\delta_{cb}$  is separable on subspaces of any separable algebra.
2.  $\delta_{cb}$  is nonseparable on three-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ .

Corollary (Phillips, 2000)

*No separable subalgebra of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  realizes all 3-types.  
No separable  $C^*$  algebra is injectively universal.*

## Theorem (Phillips–Weaver, 2006)

*CH implies there is an outer automorphism of the Calkin algebra.*

The proof uses very nontrivial methods developed for analysis of a deep extension of BDF theory, Kasparov's KK-theory, for separable  $C^*$  algebras.

I will present my own elementary proof of the Phillips–Weaver theorem.

# Coherent families of unitaries I

For a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  into finite intervals  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_n J_n$

$$E_n = \overline{\text{Span}}\{e_i \mid i \in J_n\}$$

is a decomposition of  $H$  into finite-dimensional orthogonal subspaces.

Then  $\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}] = \mathcal{D}[\vec{E}]$  is the algebra of all operators that have each  $E_n$  as an invariant subspace.

Let

$$\vec{J}^{\text{even}} = (J_{2n} \oplus J_{2n+1})_n$$

$$\vec{J}^{\text{odd}} = (J_{2n+1} \oplus J_{2n+2})_n.$$

A unitary  $u$  defines an inner automorphism  $\text{Ad } u(a) = uau^*$ .

### Lemma

Assume  $u$  is a unitary and  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\alpha_n| = 1$  for all  $n$ . If

$$v = \sum_n \alpha_n P_{J_n} u$$

then  $\text{Ad } u$  and  $\text{Ad } v$  agree on  $\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]$ .

*Pf.*  $a \in \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]$  iff  $a = \sum_n P_{J_n} a$ . Then

$$vav^* = \sum_n \alpha_n P_n P_n a \overline{\alpha_n} P_n = \sum_n P_n a.$$



Write  $\mathcal{U}(1) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\alpha| = 1\}$ .

For  $\alpha \in (\mathcal{U}(1))^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$u_{\alpha} = \sum_n \alpha(n) P_{\{n\}}$$

is a unitary.

Define  $\rho: \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathcal{U}(1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ :

$$\rho(i, j, \alpha, \beta) = |\alpha(i)\overline{\alpha(j)} - \beta(i)\overline{\beta(j)}|.$$

For  $J = [m, n] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $(\mathcal{U}(1))^{\mathbb{N}}$  let

$$\Delta_I(\alpha, \beta) = \sup_{m \leq i < j \leq n} \rho(i, j, \alpha, \beta).$$

## Fact

$$\Delta_I(\alpha, \beta) \approx \|P_I(\text{Ad } u_\alpha - \text{Ad } u_\beta)P_I\|.$$

Let  $u \sim_{\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]} v$  iff  $\text{Ad } u(a) - \text{Ad } v(a)$  is compact for all  $a \in \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]$ .

## Lemma

For  $\alpha, \beta \in (\mathcal{U}(1))^{\mathbb{N}}$  TFAE:

1.  $u_\alpha \sim_{\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]} u_\beta$
2.  $\limsup_n \Delta_{J_n}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ .

# Nontrivial coherent families of unitaries

For partitions  $\vec{J}, \vec{K}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  into finite intervals let

$$\vec{J} \ll \vec{K} \quad \text{iff} \quad (\forall m)(\exists n) J_m \subseteq K_n \cup K_{n+1}.$$

## Fact

1. *The ordering  $\ll$  is  $\sigma$ -directed.*
2. *It is cofinally equivalent to  $(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq^*)$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{DD}[\vec{J}] = \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}^{\text{even}}] \cup \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}^{\text{odd}}]$ .

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of pairs  $(\vec{J}, u)$  is a *coherent family of unitaries* if

1.  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\vec{J} \mid (\exists u_{\mathcal{F}})(\vec{J}, u) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , is  $\ll$ -cofinal,
2. For  $\vec{J} \ll \vec{K}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  we have  $u_{\vec{J}} \sim_{\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]} u_{\vec{K}}$ .

### Lemma

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent family of unitaries then there is the unique automorphism  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  such that  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}(\pi(a)) = \pi(uau^*)$  for all  $(\vec{J}, u) \in \mathcal{F}$  and all  $a \in \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]$ .

*Pf.* For any  $a$  in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  there are a f.d.o.d  $\vec{J}$ ,  $a^0 \in \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}^{\text{even}}]$  and  $a^1 \in \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}^{\text{odd}}]$  such that  $a - a^0 - a^1$  is compact.  $\square$

A coherent family of unitaries is *trivial* if there is  $u_0$  such that  $u_0 \sim_{\vec{J}} u$  for all  $(\vec{J}, u) \in \mathcal{F}$ . The automorphism  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is inner iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is trivial.



## Theorem (Farah, 2007)

*Assume CH. Then there is a nontrivial coherent family of unitaries.*

*Pf.* Construct  $\ll$ -increasing and cofinal  $\mathcal{J}^\xi$ ,  $\xi < \omega_1$ , and  $\alpha^\xi \in (\mathcal{U}(1))^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that for  $\xi < \eta$

$$\limsup_n \Delta_{J_n^\xi}(\alpha^\xi, \alpha^\eta) = 0.$$

This defines an automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ . One can build  $2^{\aleph_1}$  different ones, and some of them ought to be trivial.  $\square$

## Corollary (Phillips–Weaver, 2006)

*CH implies there is an outer automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ .*

$\square$

Unlike my original proof, the above proof (due to S. Geschke) shows:

**Theorem (Geschke)**

$\mathfrak{d} = \aleph_1$  and  $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$  imply there are nontrivial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ .

**Todorćević's Axiom:** If  $G = (V, E)$  is a graph such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n \times V_n,$$

then  $G$  is either countably chromatic or it has an uncountable clique.

TA is among the axioms that are sometimes called OCA.

## Two consequences of TA

### Lemma (Farah, 2007)

*TA implies that every coherent family of unitaries  $\mathcal{F}$  is trivial. In particular, if  $\Phi$  is inner on each  $\mathcal{C}[\vec{J}]$  then it is inner.*

*Pf.* Wlog for each  $\vec{E}$  we have

$$u_{\vec{J}} = u_{\alpha(\vec{J})}$$

for some  $\alpha(\vec{J}) \in (\mathcal{U}(1))^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $G_k$  be the graph on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  in which  $\vec{J}$  and  $\vec{K}$  are adjacent iff

$$\sup_{m,n} \Delta_{J_m \cap K_n}(\alpha(\vec{J}), \alpha(\vec{K})) > \frac{1}{k}$$

There are no uncountable cliques for any  $k$ .

Pick  $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{X}_1 \supseteq c\mathcal{X}_2 \supseteq \dots$  such that each  $\mathcal{X}_k$  is  $G_k$ -independent and  $\ll^*$ -cofinal. Then

$$\alpha = \lim_k \lim_{\vec{J} \in \mathcal{X}_k} \alpha(\vec{J})$$

works.  $\square$

For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\mathcal{D}_M[\vec{J}] = \{a \in \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}] \mid aP_{J_n} = 0 \text{ for all } n \notin M\}.$$

### Lemma

*Assume  $|J_n| \leq |J_{n+1}|$  for all  $n$ . If  $\Phi$  is implemented by a unitary on  $\mathcal{C}_M[\vec{J}]$  for some infinite  $M$  then it is implemented by a unitary on  $\mathcal{C}[\vec{J}]$ ,*

### Proof.

Find  $v$  such that  $v^*v = I$  and  $v\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]v^* \subseteq \mathcal{D}_M[\vec{J}]$ .

Then for  $a \in \mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(a) &= \Phi(v^*)\Phi(vav^*)\Phi(v) \\ &= \Phi(v^*)uvav^*u^*\Phi(v)\end{aligned}$$

hence with  $w = \Phi(v^*)uv$  we have that  $\text{Ad } w$  is a representation of  $\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]$ . □

## Representations (liftings)

Some  $\Psi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a representation of  $\Phi: \mathcal{C}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(H)$  if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(H) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{B}(H) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{C}(H) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{C}(H). \end{array}$$

Let  $\Psi$  be a representation of  $\Phi$

Wlog, for all  $a$ :

1.  $a$  is a projection/unitary/self-adjoint iff  $\Psi(a)$  is projection/unitary/self-adjoint.
2.  $\|a\| = \|\Psi(a)\|$ .
3.  $\Psi(a^*) = \Psi(a)^*$ .

## Uniformizations (selections)

A subset of a Polish space is *analytic* if it is a continuous image of a Borel set. Sets in the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by analytic sets are *C-measurable*.

### Theorem (Jankov, von Neumann)

*If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Polish spaces and  $B \subseteq X \times Y$  is analytic, then  $B$  can be uniformized by a C-measurable function.*

The strong operator topology on  $\mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1} = \{a \mid \|a\| = 1\}$  is Polish.



## Theorem (Farah, 2007)

*If  $\Phi$  has a  $C$ -measurable representation on  $\mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1}$ , then it is inner.*

Proof.

Some other time. □

## Corollary

*TFAE:*

1.  $\Phi$  is inner,
2.  $\Phi$  has a  $C$ -measurable representation on  $\mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1}$
3.  $\Gamma_{\Phi} = \{(a, b) \mid \|a\| \leq 1, \|b\| \leq 1, \Phi(\dot{a}) = \dot{b}\}$  is analytic.

## $\varepsilon$ -approximations

$\Psi: \mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to  $\Phi$  if  $\|\pi(\Psi(a)) - \Phi(\pi(a))\| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{C}(H)_{\leq 1} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{C}(H)_{\leq 1}. \end{array}$$

## Proposition

*TFAE:*

1.  $\Phi$  is inner.
2. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$   $\Phi$  has a  $C$ -measurable  $\varepsilon$ -approximation.
3. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  
 $\Gamma_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon} = \{(a, b) \mid \|a\| \leq 1, \|b\| \leq 1, \|\Phi(\dot{a}) - \dot{b}\| \leq \varepsilon\}$  is analytic.

## Proof.

(3) implies (1):  $\bigcap_n \Gamma_{\Phi}^{1/n}$  is analytic; apply Jankov, von Neumann to get a  $C$ -measurable representation. □

### Lemma

*Assume  $|E_n| \leq |E_{n+1}|$  for all  $n$ . If  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is infinite and  $\Phi$  has a  $C$ -measurable  $\varepsilon$ -approximation on  $\mathcal{D}_M[\vec{J}]$ , then  $\Phi$  has a  $C$ -measurable  $\varepsilon$ -approximation on  $\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]$ .*

### Proof.

Fix  $v$  such that  $v^*v = I$  and  $v\mathcal{D}[\vec{J}]v^* \subseteq \mathcal{D}_M[\vec{J}]\dots$



A set  $\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1} \times \mathcal{B}(H)_{\leq 1}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -narrow if

$$(\forall a, b, c)((a, b) \in \Delta \text{ and } (a, c) \in \Delta \text{ implies } \|\dot{b} - \dot{c}\| \leq \varepsilon).$$

Fact

1.  $\Gamma_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -narrow
2. If  $\mathcal{X} \supseteq \Phi$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -narrow, then  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \Gamma_{\Phi}^{\varepsilon}$ . □

Fix  $\vec{J}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{\varepsilon} &= \{M \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid \Gamma_{\Phi} \text{ can be covered by countably many } \varepsilon\text{-narrow} \\ &\quad \text{analytic sets on } \mathcal{D}_M[\vec{J}]\} \\ &= \{M \subseteq \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there are } \varepsilon\text{-narrow analytic sets } \Delta_i, i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ such that} \end{aligned}$$

$$\{(a, b) \in \Gamma_{\Phi} \mid a \in \mathcal{D}_M[\vec{J}]\} \subseteq \bigcup_i \Delta_i$$

### Proposition

*If  $M = \dot{\bigcup}_n M_n$  is in  $\mathcal{J}^\varepsilon$ , then  $\Gamma_\Phi$  has a  $C$ -measurable  $4\varepsilon$ -approximation on  $\mathcal{C}_{M_n}[\vec{J}]$  for some  $n$ .*

### Proof.

Diagonalization.



### Corollary

*Assume that  $\mathcal{J}^\varepsilon$  contains an infinite set for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .  
Then  $\Phi$  is inner on  $\mathcal{C}[\vec{J}]$ .*

### Lemma

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $\vec{J}$ , TA implies that  $\mathcal{J}_\Phi^\varepsilon$  contains an infinite set.

*Proof.* Index  $\vec{J}$  as  $J_s$ ,  $s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all pairs  $(S, a)$  such that

1.  $S$  is contained in a maximal branch  $B(S)$  in  $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$
2.  $a \in \mathcal{D}_S[\vec{J}]_{\leq 1}$  and  $a \notin \mathcal{K}(H)$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define a graph  $G_n$  with vertex set  $\mathcal{X}$  such that there is an edge between  $(S, a)$  and  $(T, b)$  iff all of the following hold:

(K1)  $B(S) \neq B(T)$ ,

(K2)  $(\forall i \in S \cap T) \|(a - b)P_{\{i\}}\| < 2^{-i}$ .

(K3)  $\|\Psi(a)\Psi(P_T) - \Psi(P_S)\Psi(b)\| > 2^{-n}$  or  
 $\|\Psi(P_T)\Psi(a) - \Psi(b)\Psi(P_S)\| > 2^{-n}$ .

Fact

$G_n$  has no uncountable cliques for any  $n$ .



## Fact

*Assume  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_j \mathcal{X}_j$ , each  $\mathcal{X}_j$  is  $G_n$ -independent and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  is countable and dense in  $\mathcal{X}_j$ . If  $B \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is distinct from  $B(S)$  for all  $(S, a) \in \bigcup_j \mathcal{D}_j$ , then  $\bigcup_{k \in B} J_k \in \mathcal{J}^{10/n}$ .*



## Open problems

$M(A)$  is the *multiplier algebra* of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

If  $A = C_0(X)$  then  $M(A) = C(\beta X)$ .

If  $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$  then  $M(A) = \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

### Question (Elliott)

*What can be said about automorphisms of the corona algebras  $M(A)/A$ ? In particular, what if  $A$  is a UHF (uniformly hyperfinite) algebra?*

## Theorem (Farah, 1997)

*Assume  $TA+MA$ . If  $A = C_0(\xi)$  for a countable ordinal  $\xi$  then all automorphisms of  $M(A)/A$  are trivial.*

Each known automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  is 'pointwise inner'

$$(\forall a)(\exists u)\Phi(a) = uau^*.$$

## Question

*Is there an automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  that sends the unilateral shift to its adjoint?*

Such an automorphism cannot be inner (Fredholm index!), hence  $TA$  implies the negative answer.