

Thu ~~May~~ June 1, 2010

①

## Duality

The dual of the  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{standard} \\ \text{problem} \end{array} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(P)} \\ \text{primal} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad (i=1..m) \\ & x_j \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is the standard problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(D)} \\ \text{dual} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \geq c_j \quad (j=1..n) \\ & y_i \geq 0 \quad (i=1..m) \end{aligned}$$

How did we get it?

- Transpose matrix of coeffs.
- RHS of constraints become coeff in obj. func.
- coeffs in obj. func. become RHS in constr.
- # variables becomes # of constr. & vice versa.
- directions of ineqs. reversed.
- max becomes min & vice versa.
- variables are non neg.

Rules are symmetric so they give duals of both ~~max~~ standard max & min.

Ex.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(P)} \quad \max \quad & 3x_1 + 2x_2 - 4x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 6 \\ & x_1 + \quad + 2x_3 \leq 2 \\ & x_1 - 2x_2 + 4x_3 \leq 1 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(D)} \quad \min \quad & 6y_1 + 2y_2 + y_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \end{aligned}$$

Thm (Weak Duality) For every feasible soln  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  & every feasible  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \leq \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i$$

PF: 
$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \right) x_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) y_i$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \quad \square$$

Thm (The Duality Thm) If the primal (P) has an optimal solution  $(x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$  then the dual (D) has an optimal solution  $(y_1^*, \dots, y_m^*)$  s.t.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i^*$$

PF: To do the pf, we need  $(y_1^*, \dots, y_m^*)$  s.t.

Say we introduced slacks

$$(*) \quad x_{n+i} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \quad (i=1 \dots m),$$

solved by simplex & eventually arrive at

$$z = z^* + \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \bar{c}_k x_k \quad (*)$$

each  $\bar{c}_k \leq 0$  &  $\bar{c}_k = 0$  when  $x_k$  is basic.

$$z^* \text{ optimal} \Rightarrow z^* = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j^*$$

let  $y_i^* = -\bar{c}_{n+i}$  ( $i=1 \dots m$ ). (Show this satisfies what we want)

Substituting  $\sum c_j x_j$  for  $z$  &  $(**)$  in for slacks,  $(*)$  says

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j = z^* + \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_j x_j - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)$$

which  $\Rightarrow$  
$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j = \left( z^* - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* b_i \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^* \right) x_j$$

So we get

$$z^* - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i^* = 0 \Rightarrow z^* = \sum b_i y_i^* \text{ (optimal)}$$

$$* \quad c_j = \bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^*$$

$$\bar{c}_j \leq 0 \text{ } \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^* \geq c_j \\ y_i^* \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ dual fees}$$

Since dual of dual is primal again, we get

	Dual		
	optimal	Infeas	Unbdd
optimal	✓	✗	✗
Infeas	✗	✓	✓
Unbdd	✗	✓	✗

✓ = possible  
✗ = impossible

Ex: Class on 5/20, did

$$\max 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3$$

$$\text{st. } x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 4$$

$$(P) \quad 2x_1 + 3x_3 \leq 5$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 7$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc|c} \text{opt} & \text{tab} & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & \\ \hline 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 2 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{21}{2} \end{array}$$

$$\min 4y_1 + 5y_2 + 7y_3$$

$$(D) \text{ st. } y_1 + 2y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 3$$

$$y_1 + y_3 \geq 2$$

$$2y_1 + 3y_2 + 3y_3 \geq 4$$

$$y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0$$

So Thom says  $y_1 = 2, y_2 = \frac{1}{2}, y_3 = 0$   
is opt. lts see  
satisfies all constr. ✓

$$4 \cdot 2 + \frac{5}{2} = \frac{16}{2} + \frac{5}{2} = \frac{21}{2} \quad \checkmark$$

6/1

6

So we have a way of reading off dual soln from optimal tableau.

But we can ~~not~~ also derive dual soln easily from optimal ~~tableau~~ ~~soln~~  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*$ .

Thm (Complementary Slackness) Let  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*$  be feasible soln of (P), &  $y_1^*, \dots, y_m^*$  be feas. soln of (D). These are simultaneously optimal iff

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^* = c_j \quad \text{or} \quad x_j^* = 0 \quad j=1, \dots, n$$

and  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j^* = b_i \quad \text{or} \quad y_i^* = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m$

These conditions often written as  $(c_j - \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^*) x_j^* = 0$  and  $(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j^*) y_i^* = 0$ .

PS: Recall

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j^* \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^* \right) x_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j^* \right) y_i^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i^*$$

So to have equality of LHS & RHS, ineqs must be equality.

So  $x_j = 0$

Ex: Know  $x_1 = 5/2$ ,  $x_2 = 3/2$ ,  $x_3 = 0$ .

$$y_1 + 2y_2 + 2y_3 = 3$$

1<sup>st</sup> constr tight  $\Rightarrow$  ~~not~~

$$y_1 + y_3 = 2$$

$$y_3 = 0$$

$$\rightarrow y_1 = 2, y_2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad \checkmark$$

6/7

5

This can be used to verify the claim that a soln is ~~unig~~ optimal, by using the following

Thm: A feasible soln  $x_1^* \dots x_n^*$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  is optimal iff there are  $y_1^*, \dots, y_m^*$  s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^* = c_j \text{ when } x_j^* > 0$$

$$y_i^* = 0 \text{ when } \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j^* < b_i$$

~~only~~ and ~~the~~  $y_1^*, \dots, y_m^*$  is feasible for (D).

Consider the claim that

$x_1^* (0, 2, 0, 7, 0)^T$  is optimal for  $\max 8x_1 - 9x_2 + 12x_3 + 4x_4 + 11x_5$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\ 7 & 3 & -2 & 1 \\ 5 & 4 & -6 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 22 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-3y_1 + 7y_2 + 4y_3 = -9$$

$$y_1 - 2y_2 + 2y_3 = 4$$

$$y_2 = 0$$

$$5 \cdot \frac{3}{10} = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\frac{34}{5} + \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\frac{68}{10} + \frac{15}{10}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 4 & -9 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ -3 & 4 & -9 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 10 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_3 = \frac{3}{10}, \Rightarrow y_1 = 4 - \frac{3}{5} = \frac{17}{5}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3.4 \\ 0 \\ .3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$13.6 - 1.8 = 11.8$$

Does not satisfy 3<sup>rd</sup> Dual ~~con~~ constraint.