

# Summary of Day 9

William Gunther

May 30, 2014

## 1 Objectives

- Prove the fundamental theorem of inverses.
- Talk about subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Prove able special subspaces from a matrix.
- Define dimension and basis.

## 2 Summary

- Yesterday we stated this, but did not prove it. Today we will prove it:

**Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem on Invertible Matrices Version 1: Theorem 3.12 of book) Let  $A$  be a square,  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the following are equivalent:

- a.  $A$  is invertible.
  - b.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution for every  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - c.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
  - d. The reduced row echelon form of  $A$  is  $I$ .
  - e.  $A$  is a product of elementary matrices.
- Here we prove a few concepts that are fundamental to our study of vector spaces and matrices. Recall that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an example of a vector space; we define a **subspace** to be a nonempty subset of a vector space that is **closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication**. That is:  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a subspace if:
    1.  $V \neq \emptyset$
    2. Closed under vector addition: For every  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in V$  we have that  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \in V$ .
    3. Closed under scalar addition: For every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and every scalar  $c$  we have  $c\mathbf{v} \in U$ .

**Example** A line through origin is a subspace. Consider the line  $\mathbf{x} = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ; or, written more formally as a set of vectors we are talking about

$$V = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists t. \mathbf{x} = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Let us verify it has the properties.

1.  $V \neq \emptyset$ :  
We have that  $[1, 2] \in V$  when  $t = 1$ , so it is nonempty.
2.  $V$  closed under vector addition:  
Take  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ . We want to show that  $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ . Well, since  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in V$  we have  $\mathbf{v}_1 = t_1[1, 2]$  for some  $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{v}_2 = t_2[1, 2]$ . So  $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = (t_1 + t_2)[1, 2]$ , so  $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ .

3.  $V$  is closed under scalar multiplication.

Take  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $c$  a scalar. As  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  there is a  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = t[1, 2]$ . Then  $c\mathbf{v} = (ct)[1, 2]$ ; as  $ct \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a real number so that  $c\mathbf{v}$  is a multiple of  $[1, 2]$  so  $c\mathbf{v} \in V$ .

**Example** Consider the line  $\mathbf{x} = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ; is this subspace?

**Example** Consider  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mathbf{x} = [x, y] \text{ and } xy \geq 0\}$ . Is this a subspace?

**Remark** What can you say about subspaces? Is there anything in common with all of them? What is the smallest subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ? The largest?

**Theorem** Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* This is 3.19 of the book. We will prove it in class.  $\square$

- One can prove something is a subspace by showing it is the span of some set of vectors. If  $V$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $V = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$  then we say  $V$  is **the subspace spanned by**  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$

**Example** Consider set of all  $\mathbf{x} = [x, y, z]$  such that  $y = 2x$  and  $z = y$ . This sets up a system of equations represented by this matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Row reducing, we get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, our solutions look like:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} z/2 \\ z \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

(pretty obvious really), where  $z$  can be any quantity. Therefore, this is a subspace since it is spanned by  $[1/2, 1, 1]$ .

- We have actually already encountered some subspaces without mentioning it explicitly. Let  $A$  be a  $m \times n$  matrix.
  - The **row space** of a matrix  $A$  is the subspace (of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) spanned by the rows of the matrix. We denote this subspace  $\text{row}(A)$ .
  - The **column space** of a matrix  $A$  is the subspace (of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ) spanned by the columns of the matrix. We denote this subspace  $\text{col}(A)$ .

**Example** What is the row space and column space of  $I$ ?

**Example** Is  $[1, 1]$  in the row space of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Theorem** Let  $A$  be a matrix. Suppose that  $A$  is row equivalent with a matrix  $B$ . Then

$$\text{row}(A) = \text{row}(B)$$

*Proof.* Bookkeep the row operations of obtaining  $B$  from  $A$ . These tell you that any row  $B$  can be written as a linear combination of rows of  $A$ , and therefore (by a homework problem),  $\text{span}(B) \subseteq \text{span}(A)$ . But, row operations are reversible; so we can do a similarly thing starting with  $B$  and getting  $A$  and there  $\text{span}(A) \subseteq \text{span}(B)$  follows.

- Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. The **null space** (also called the **kernel**) is the set of all solutions to the homogeneous equation represented by  $A$ . That is:

$$\text{null}(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$$

Here, is non-trivial that this is a subspace.

**Theorem**  $\text{null}(A)$  is a subspace

*Proof.* This is theorem 3.21 in the book. We will prove it in class.

- A **basis** for a subspace  $V$  is a set of vectors which spans the set  $V$  and is linearly independent. As it turns out, all vector spaces have a basis (in fact, most have infinitely many).

**Example** The standard unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a basis. They clearly span the set as  $[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n] = a_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{e}_n$ . Moreover, they are all linearly independent (why?).

**Example** The set of vectors  $\{[1, 1], [2, 2]\}$  span a line, but they are not a basis for the line since they are not linearly independent.

**Example** If I wanted to find a basis for the line spanned by  $\{[1, 1], [2, 2]\}$  I would just find a linear dependency (like  $[1, 1] = 1/2[2, 2]$ ) and then remove the vector from the set. So the set  $\{[2, 2]\}$  is a basis for the line.

**Example** Suppose I wanted to find a basis for the row space of this matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 6 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

clearly, the row vectors span the row space since the row space is defined to be the span of the row vectors. So we need to only determine if the vectors are linearly dependent. If we row reduce the matrix, all the rows are still in the row space of the original matrix. Moreover, if we row reduce to rref the rows are linearly independent (why?). Therefore, row reducing will give us a basis for the row space. We can see when we row reduce we get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the following set is a basis for the row space:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

**Remark** So in order to find a basis for a subspace spanned by some set, you can put the vectors in row and reduce to (reduced) row echelon form. The non-zero rows of this matrix are then a basis. Alternatively, you can iteratively write one as a linear combination of the rest and then remove that vector, until you get to a linearly independent set.

- In linear algebra, we often want to capture invariants. That is, things that stay the same even when you alter them. We know lots of them: for instance, the row space is invariant under row operations (so if you do row operations, the row space does not change).

Along with invariants are particular parameters or characteristics. For instance, we know (sort of—we haven't prove it) that the number of nonzero rows in a matrix's row echelon form does not depend on the row echelon form you chose. Therefore, this is a parameter that we called rank.

Now we will learn a new parameter called dimension. The definition will not make sense (as with rank) until we prove a certain invariance.

- The **dimension** of a subspace is the size of a basis. We denote the dimension of  $V$  by  $\dim V$

**Theorem** If  $V$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then any two bases of  $V$  have the same number of vectors.

*Proof.* This is theorem 3.23 in the book. We will prove it in class.

**Remark** What should the dimension of the trivial subspace be?