

## MSCF Mathematics Preparatory Course

August 2006

### Solutions to Homework #1 Exercises

#### 1.2

- (a) Suppose  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  with  $g(f(a_1)) = g(f(a_2))$ . Then we must have  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$  since  $g$  is one-to-one. But then since  $f$  is one-to-one,  $a_1 = a_2$ . Therefore  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one. To show that  $g \circ f$  is onto, choose any  $c \in C$ . Since  $g$  is onto  $C$ , there exists  $b \in B$  for which  $g(b) = c$ . But then since  $f$  is onto  $B$ , there exists  $a \in A$  for which  $f(a) = b$ . Therefore,  $g(f(a)) = c$ , and we have found  $a \in A$  such that  $(g \circ f)(a) = c$ .
- (b) Suppose  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  are such that  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ . Then we certainly have  $g(f(a_1)) = g(f(a_2))$  (otherwise  $g \circ f$  is not a function). But since  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one, this implies  $a_1 = a_2$ . Therefore,  $f$  is one-to-one. Now we show that  $g$  is onto. Choose any  $c \in C$ . Then there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $g(f(a)) = c$ , since  $g \circ f$  is onto. Let  $b = f(a)$ . Then  $g(b) = c$ . Hence we have found an element  $b \in B$  which maps to  $c$  under  $g$ . So  $g$  is onto  $C$ .
- (c) Let  $f : \{w\} \rightarrow \{x, y\}$  be given by  $f(w) = x$ . Let  $g : \{x, y\} \rightarrow \{z\}$  be given by  $g(x) = z$  and  $g(y) = z$  (which, observe, is the only possible function with the given domain and target space). Then  $f$  is not onto, since there does not exist any element  $a$  of the set  $\{w\}$  for which  $f(a) = y$ . Also,  $g$  is not one-to-one, since  $g(x) = g(y)$  but  $x \neq y$ . But  $g \circ f : \{w\} \rightarrow \{z\}$  is given by  $g(f(w)) = z$  and is one-to-one and onto.

**1.4** If there exists a one-to-one, onto function  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , then we must have  $A \neq \emptyset$ , for there must be at least one element  $x \in A$  in order for us to have a function defined on  $A$  at all. Now by Definition 1.6, part (ii), since  $A$  is finite, there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and a function  $g : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A$  which is one-to-one and onto. Then by Exercise 1.2 (a), the function  $f \circ g : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow B$  is one-to-one and onto, and this shows that  $B$  is finite.

**1.5** By Definition 1.12,  $A$  countably infinite implies that there exists a one-to-one, onto function  $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow A$ . Then we are given that there exists a one-to-one, onto function  $g : A \rightarrow B$ . So again by Exercise 1.2 (a), the function  $g \circ f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow B$  is one-to-one and onto, which shows that  $B$  is countably infinite.

**1.11** Since  $A$  is countably infinite, there exists a one-to-one, onto function  $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow A$ . Since  $B$  is countably infinite, there exists a one-to-one, onto function  $g : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow B$ . Consider the following "list" of elements of  $A \cup B$ :

$$f(1), g(1), f(2), g(2), f(3), g(3), \dots$$

The list certainly includes every element of  $A \cup B$  by virtue of  $f$  and  $g$  being onto. However, the list may involve repetition, since for example if  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ , we may have  $f(74) = g(1021) = x$ . To avoid this, delete any item  $g(k)$  on the list if  $g(k) = f(i)$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , and delete any item  $f(k)$  on the list if  $f(k) = g(i)$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$ . The function  $h : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow A \cup B$  induced by the resulting list is then one-to-one and onto, so that  $A \cup B$  is countably infinite.

**1.14** First suppose  $n = 2$ . Then

$$\mathbf{Q}^2 = \{(q_1, q_2) : q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{Q}\}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{Q}$  is countably infinite, we can write  $\mathbf{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, \dots\}$ . (This is true due to the existence of a one-to-one, onto function  $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ . By setting  $q_i = f(i)$  for each  $i$ , we generate a “list” of elements of  $\mathbf{Q}$ .) Now construct a table of ordered pairs like so:

$(q_1, q_1)$	$(q_1, q_2)$	$(q_1, q_3)$	$(q_1, q_4)$	$\dots$
$(q_2, q_1)$	$(q_2, q_2)$	$(q_2, q_3)$	$(q_2, q_4)$	$\dots$
$(q_3, q_1)$	$(q_3, q_2)$	$(q_3, q_3)$	$(q_3, q_4)$	$\dots$
$(q_4, q_1)$	$(q_4, q_2)$	$(q_4, q_3)$	$(q_4, q_4)$	$\dots$
$(q_5, q_1)$	$(q_5, q_2)$	$(q_5, q_3)$	$(q_5, q_4)$	$\dots$
.	.	.	.	
.	.	.	.	
.	.	.	.	

Now convert the table into a single list, selecting items by moving through the table in diagonal fashion as in Figure 2 on page 25. Then we get

$$(q_1, q_1), (q_2, q_1), (q_1, q_2), (q_3, q_1), (q_2, q_2), \\ (q_1, q_3), (q_4, q_1), (q_3, q_2), (q_2, q_3), (q_1, q_4), \dots$$

and the list induces a one-to-one onto function from  $\mathbf{N}$  to  $\mathbf{Q}^2$ . So  $\mathbf{Q}^2$  is countably infinite. Now to show  $\mathbf{Q}^3$  is countably infinite, we can essentially use the same strategy by writing  $\mathbf{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}^2 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, \dots\}$  (now that we know that  $\mathbf{Q}^2$  is countably infinite and can be so represented). Then by regarding a generic element  $(r, s, t)$  of  $\mathbf{Q}^3$  as  $(r, u)$ , with  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$  and  $u = (s, t) \in \mathbf{Q}^2$ , one can construct a table similar to the one above and then convert it to a list, thereby inducing a one-to-one, onto function from  $\mathbf{N}$  to  $\mathbf{Q}^3$ . Continuing in this manner, one can ultimately establish the existence of a one-to-one onto function from  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^n$  for any given  $n$ .

**1.15** Define  $f : I \rightarrow J$  as follows: Given  $x \in I$ , write  $x = 0.d_1d_2d_3d_4d_5d_6\dots$ , where the  $d_i$  are the digits in a decimal expansion of  $x$ , chosen if necessary to avoid  $d_i = 9$  for all  $i$  greater than or equal to some  $k$ . Let

$$f(x) = (0.d_1d_3d_5d_7\dots, 0.d_2d_4d_6d_8\dots).$$

Then  $f(x)$  is an element of  $J$  since each coordinate is between 0 and 1. To show that  $f$  is onto  $J$ , suppose we choose any  $(s, t) \in J$ . Then since  $s$  and  $t$  each belong to  $(0, 1)$ , we can consider their decimal expansions and write

$$(s, t) = (0.s_1s_2s_3s_4\dots, 0.t_1t_2t_3t_4\dots). \quad (*)$$

Then  $x = 0.s_1t_1s_2t_2s_3t_3\dots$  satisfies  $f(x) = (s, t)$ . So we can always find some element of  $I$  which maps to a given element of  $J$ ; therefore  $f$  is onto.

To show that  $f$  is one-to-one, suppose  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \neq y$ , and we will show that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Write  $x = 0.d_1d_2d_3d_4\dots$  and  $y = 0.c_1c_2c_3c_4\dots$ . Then there exists some  $i$  for which  $d_i \neq c_i$ . Let  $(s, t) = f(x)$  and consider  $(s, t)$  as in  $(*)$ . Let  $(u, v) = f(y)$  and write

$$(u, v) = (0.u_1u_2u_3u_4\dots, 0.v_1v_2v_3v_4\dots).$$

If  $i$  is odd, then we have

$$s_{\frac{i+1}{2}} \neq u_{\frac{i+1}{2}},$$

so that  $s \neq u$  and hence  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . But if  $i$  is even, then we have

$$t_{\frac{i}{2}} \neq v_{\frac{i}{2}},$$

so that  $t \neq v$  and hence  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Therefore,  $f$  is one-to-one.

- 2.1** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $x \in C$  and  $0 < |x| < \delta$ ,

$$|f(x)| = |f(x) - 0| < \varepsilon/B.$$

But then for such  $x$ , we have

$$|f(x)g(x) - 0| = |f(x)g(x)| = |f(x)||g(x)| < (\varepsilon/B) \cdot B = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)g(x) = 0$ , as desired.

- 2.2** We note that for any  $x \neq 0$ ,  $|\sin(\frac{1}{x})| \leq 1$ . Therefore  $g : \mathbf{R} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , defined by  $g(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ , is bounded on any deleted neighborhood of 0. Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x = 0$ , we have

*ds*  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$  by Exercise 2.1.

- 2.7** Let  $D = [-1, 1]$ , and let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be given by  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in D$ , and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} |x| & \text{for } x \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $f(x) < g(x)$  for all  $x \in D$ . Let  $x_0 = 0$ . Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$ , which, for good measure, we will prove: If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given, choose any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Then if  $x \in (-\delta, \delta) - \{0\}$ , we have

$$|f(x) - 0| = |0 - 0| = 0 < \varepsilon,$$

as desired. We also have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g(x) = 0$ , since if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given, we can choose  $\delta = \varepsilon$  (we may as well assume  $\varepsilon < 1$ ), and if  $x \in (-\delta, \delta) - \{0\}$ , we have

$$|g(x) - 0| = ||x| - 0| = |x| < \delta = \varepsilon.$$

We could not have  $L > M$  under these hypotheses, for then if we let  $\varepsilon = \frac{L - M}{2}$ , we can find  $\delta', \delta'' > 0$  such that

$$L - \varepsilon < f(x) < L + \varepsilon \quad (*)$$

for  $x \in (x_0 - \delta', x_0 + \delta') - \{x_0\}$  and

$$M - \varepsilon < g(x) < M + \varepsilon \quad (**)$$

for  $x \in (x_0 - \delta'', x_0 + \delta'') - \{x_0\}$ . Now take  $\delta = \min\{\delta', \delta''\}$ , and for  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) - \{x_0\}$ ,  $(*)$  and  $(**)$  both hold. Taking the right hand inequality in  $(**)$  and multiplying by  $-1$ , we obtain

$$-g(x) > -M - \varepsilon,$$

which when added to left hand inequality in  $(*)$  yields

$$f(x) - g(x) > L - M - 2\varepsilon = L - M - 2\left(\frac{L - M}{2}\right) = 0.$$

But this implies  $f(x) > g(x)$  on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) - \{x_0\}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we must have  $L \leq M$ .

**2.8** We claim that if  $x_0 \notin \mathbf{Z}$ , then  $f$  does have a limit at  $x_0$ , and that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = x_0 - [x_0] = f(x_0)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and choose  $\delta = \min\{\varepsilon, x_0 - [x_0], 1 + [x_0] - x_0\}$ . Then if  $x$  satisfies  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$ , then we note that  $x \in ([x_0], 1 + [x_0])$  by choice of  $\delta$ , so that  $[x] = [x_0]$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x_0)| &= |x - [x] - (x_0 - [x_0])| \\ &= |x - x_0 + ([x_0] - [x])| = |x - x_0| < \delta \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so that indeed,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$ .

**2.9** (i) First suppose that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  so that if

$$0 < \|x - x_0\| < \delta, \quad (*)$$

then  $\|f(x) - L\| < \varepsilon$ . But if (\*) applies, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_j(x) - L_j| &= \sqrt{|f_j(x) - L_j|^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(x) - L_i|^2} = \|f(x) - L\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_j(x) = L_j$ . (ii) On the other hand, if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_j(x) = L_j$  is true for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , then given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta_j > 0$  so that  $|f_j(x) - L_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m}}$  is true whenever  $x$  satisfies

$$0 < \|x - x_0\| < \delta_j. \quad (**)$$

Now let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then if  $0 < \|x - x_0\| < \delta$ , we have (\*\*) satisfied for every  $j$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - L\| &= \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m |f_j(x) - L_j|^2} \\ &< \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon^2}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{m\varepsilon^2}{m}} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ .