Sylver Coinage

How to play

There are two people who are in charge of the national mint.

They alternate naming new denominations of coins to produce

They can't name a denomination if it is the sum of existing denominations.

Example: After 5 and 7 have been named, some examples of illegal plays are

12 = 5+7 10 = 5+5 19 = 5+7+7 24 = 5+5+7+7

If you name 1, then no more types of coins can be minted, the mint workers lose their jobs, and these newly unemployed people will throw you off the high tower.

Question: Can we play cooperatively and protect each other from the angry mob by making the game go on forever?

Unbounded :

Unbounded :

1000, 999, 998, 997, ..., 3, 2, 1

Unbounded :

1000, 999, 998, 997, ..., 3, 2, 1

Unboundedly unbounded:

Unbounded :

1000, 999, 998, 997, ..., 3, 2, 1

Unboundedly unbounded:

 $2^{1000}, 2^{999}, 2^{998}, \dots, 8, 4, 2,$

Unbounded :

1000, 999, 998, 997, ..., 3, 2, 1

Unboundedly unbounded: $2^{1000}, 2^{999}, 2^{998}, \dots, 8, 4, 2,$ 100001, 99999, 99997, ..., 7, 5, 3, 1

Unbounded :

1000, 999, 998, 997, ..., 3, 2, 1

Unboundedly unbounded: $2^{1000}, 2^{999}, 2^{998}, \dots, 8, 4, 2,$ $100001, 99999, 99997, \dots, 7, 5, 3, 1$

Unboundedly unboundedly unbouded:

Unbounded :

1000, 999, 998, 997, ..., 3, 2, 1

Unboundedly unbounded: $2^{1000}, 2^{999}, 2^{998}, \dots, 8, 4, 2,$ 100001, 999999, 99997, ..., 7, 5, 3, 1

Unboundedly unboundedly unbouded: $6^{1000}, 6^{999}, 6^{998}, \dots, 216, 36, 6,$ $2^{10000}, 2^{9999}, 2^{9998}, \dots, 8, 4, 2,$ $100001, 999999, 99997, \dots, 7, 5, 3, 1$

Unbounded :

1000, 999, 998, 997, ..., 3, 2, 1

Unboundedly unbounded: $2^{1000}, 2^{999}, 2^{998}, \dots, 8, 4, 2,$ 100001, 999999, 99997, ..., 7, 5, 3, 1

Unboundedly unboundedly unbouded: $6^{1000}, 6^{999}, 6^{998}, \dots, 216, 36, 6,$ $2^{10000}, 2^{9999}, 2^{9998}, \dots, 8, 4, 2,$ $100001, 999999, 99997, \dots, 7, 5, 3, 1$

Et cetera



Theorem (Sylvester): The game will end after finitely many plays

Theorem (Sylvester): The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: Played numbers: 42 GCD = 42

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: Played numbers: 42 78 GCD = 6

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: Played numbers: 42 78 24 GCD = 6

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

GCD = 6

Example: Played numbers: 42 78 24

Playable numbers: 6, 12, 18, 30, 36, 54, 60, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, ...

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: Played numbers: 42 78 24 18 GCD = 6

Playable numbers: 6, 12, 30, 60, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, ...

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: Played numbers: 42 78 24 18 6 GCD = 6

Playable numbers:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, ...

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: Played numbers: 42 78 24 18 6 15 GCD = 3

Playable numbers: 3, 9

1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, ...

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: GCD = 3 Played numbers: 42 78 24 18 6 15 3 Playable numbers: 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, ...

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: GCD = 1 Played numbers: 42 78 24 18 6 15 3 10 Playable numbers: 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 14, 17

Theorem: (Sylvester) The game will end after finitely many plays

Proof: At any point in time, consider the GCD of all played numbers. This quantity will never increase, and there are only finitely many plays that will keep the GCD the same. After that the GCD must decrease, and once the GCD is 1, there are only finitely many plays left.

Example: GCD = 1 Played numbers: 42 78 24 18 6 15 3 10 Playable numbers: 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 14, 17 Game will end within the next 9 moves

What is the correct response to 4?

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

If I play 2, you'll respond with 3 and vice versa.

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

If I play 2, you'll respond with 3 and vice versa.

If I play 6, you'll respond with 7 and vice versa.

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

If I play 2, you'll respond with 3 and vice versa.

If I play 6, you'll respond with 7 and vice versa.

We say 2 and 3 are "Mated" and 6 and 7 are "Mated".

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

If I play 2, you'll respond with 3 and vice versa.

If I play 6, you'll respond with 7 and vice versa.

We say 2 and 3 are "Mated" and 6 and 7 are "Mated". Actually, I'll play 11, and force you to take 2,3,6, or 7.

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

If I play 2, you'll respond with 3 and vice versa.

If I play 6, you'll respond with 7 and vice versa.

We say 2 and 3 are "Mated" and 6 and 7 are "Mated". Actually, I'll play 11, and force *you* to take 2,3,6, or 7. So 5 was not a good idea.

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 5?

No.

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

2 and 3 are mated

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

2 and 3 are mated 5 and 7 are mated

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

2 and 3 are mated5 and 7 are mated9 and 11 are mated

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

2 and 3 are mated5 and 7 are mated9 and 11 are mated13 and 15 are mated

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

2 and 3 are mated 5 and 7 are mated 9 and 11 are mated 13 and 15 are mated Etc.

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Available plays:

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

2 and 3 are mated 5 and 7 are mated 9 and 11 are mated 13 and 15 are mated Etc.

Any play I make, you have a good response, so 6 was a good play for you to make.

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

What is the correct response to 4? Guess: Is it 6?

Yes.

P-positions so far

P-positions so far

{ 2, 3 }

	1
2	3
4	5
6	7
8	9
10	11

P-positions so far

{ 2, 3 } { 4, 5, 11 }

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

P-positions so far

```
{ 2, 3 }
{ 4, 5, 11 }
{ 4, 6 }
```

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23

P-positions so far

{ 2, 3 } { 4, 5, 11 } { 4, 6 }

So far we know that 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 are all bad starting plays. Are there any good starting plays?

Theorem (Hutchings): If a and b are co-prime and $\{a, b\} \neq \{2, 3\}$ then $\{a, b\}$ is an N-position

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then $\{p\}$ is a P-position

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then { p } is a P-position "*p*-positions are P-positions"

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then $\{p\}$ is a P-position

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then { p } is a P-position

Proof: After the first player plays *p*, the second player cannot play a multiple of *p* so he must play something co-prime to *p*, resulting in an N-position

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then $\{p\}$ is a P-position

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then { p } is a P-position

Corollary 2: If *n* is a composite number, $n \neq 2^a 3^b$ then { *n* } is an N-position

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then { p } is a P-position

Corollary 2: If *n* is a composite number, $n \neq 2^a 3^b$ then { *n* } is an N-position

"n-positions are N-positions"

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then { p } is a P-position

Corollary 2: If *n* is a composite number, $n \neq 2^a 3^b$ then { *n* } is an N-position

Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position

Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then $\{p\}$ is a P-position

Corollary 2: If *n* is a composite number, $n \neq 2^a 3^b$ then { *n* } is an N-position

Proof: If the first player plays *n*, then the second player should play *p*, a prime factor of *n*. Now the game is in the same position as if player 2 had started by playing *p* which is a P-position.

- Theorem (Hutchings): If *a* and *b* are co-prime and { *a*, *b* } ≠ { 2, 3 } then { *a*, *b* } is an N-position
- Corollary 1: If $p \ge 5$ is prime, then { p } is a P-position
- Corollary 2: If *n* is a composite number, $n \neq 2^a 3^b$ then { *n* } is an N-position
- These three results are basically all there is to know, so we'll write them on the board before we prove Hutchings' Theorem.

Proof by strategy stealing.

Proof by strategy stealing.

Let *a* and *b* be coprime and *t* be the largest playable number.

Proof by strategy stealing.

Let a and b be coprime and t be the largest playable number. t = ab - a - b

Proof by strategy stealing.

Let a and b be coprime and t be the largest playable number. t = ab - a - b

Case 1: { *a,b,t* } is a P-position Then { *a,b* } is an N-position because *t* is a good next move.

Proof by strategy stealing.

Let a and b be coprime and t be the largest playable number. t = ab - a - b

Case 1: { *a,b,t* } is a P-position Then { *a,b* } is an N-position because *t* is a good next move.

Case 2: { *a,b,t* } is an N-position Then there is some *s* such that { *a,b,t,s* } is a P-position. However, if we play *s*, it will exclude *t* from being played, (not obvious) so { *a, b, s* } is a P-position and { *a,b* } is an Nposition.

Example: 9 and 11 have been played.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98

Example: 9 and 11 have been played.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98

Note that any play will exclude 79

Example: 9 and 11 have been played.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98

Note that any play will exclude 79

Eg. 26

Example: 9 and 11 have been played.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98

```
Note that any play will exclude 79
```

Eg. 26 By adding 11, we exclude 37, 48, 59, and 70.

Example: 9 and 11 have been played.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98

```
Note that any play will exclude 79
```

Eg. 26 By adding 11, we exclude 37, 48, 59, and 70. By adding 9, we exclude 79.

Formally, let *s* be any playable number.

Formally, let *s* be any playable number. Since *a* and *b* are co-prime, we can write

$$ax + by = s \qquad x = x_0 + nb$$
$$y = y_0 - na$$

Formally, let *s* be any playable number. Since *a* and *b* are co-prime, we can write

$$ax + by = s \qquad x = x_0 + nb$$
$$y = y_0 - na$$

Choose *n* so that $0 \le x < b$

Formally, let *s* be any playable number. Since *a* and *b* are co-prime, we can write

$$ax + by = s \qquad x = x_0 + nb$$
$$y = y_0 - na$$

Choose *n* so that $0 \le x < b$ Since $x \ne 0$ and since *x* and *y* can't both be positive, we have 0 < x < b and y < 0.

Formally, let *s* be any playable number. Since *a* and *b* are co-prime, we can write

$$ax + by = s \qquad x = x_0 + nb$$
$$y = y_0 - na$$

Choose *n* so that $0 \le x < b$

Since $x \neq 0$ and since x and y can't both be positive, we have 0 < x < b and y < 0.

$$t - (ax+by) = t - s$$

(ab-a-b) - (ax+by) = t - s
 $a(b-x-1) + b(-y-1) = t - s$
 $s + a(b-x-1) + b(-y-1) = t$

Formally, let *s* be any playable number. Since *a* and *b* are co-prime, we can write

$$ax + by = s \qquad x = x_0 + nb$$
$$y = y_0 - na$$

Choose *n* so that $0 \le x < b$

Since $x \neq 0$ and since x and y can't both be positive, we have 0 < x < b and y < 0.

$$t - (ax+by) = t - s$$

$$(ab-a-b) - (ax+by) = t - s$$

$$a(b-x-1) + b(-y-1) = t - s$$

$$s + a(b-x-1) + b(-y-1) = t$$

So *t* is a positive linear combination of *s*, *a*, and *b* as desired.

Proof by strategy stealing.

Let a and b be coprime and t be the largest playable number. t = ab - a - b

Case 1: { *a,b,t* } is a P-position Then { *a,b* } is an N-position because *t* is a good next move.

Case 2: { *a,b,t* } is an N-position Then there is some *s* such that { *a,b,t,s* } is a P-position. However, if we play *s*, it will exclude *t* from being played, (not obvious) so { *a, b, s* } is a P-position and { *a,b* } is an Nposition.

Now What?

Now What?

	1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29
30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39
40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49
50	51	52	53	54
55	56	57	58	59
60	61	62	63	64

Now What?

We still want to know more about N- and P- positions.

Definition: Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k\}$ be the set of numbers played, and let *t* be the topmost number not yet played. *A* is a <u>quiet end position</u> if for all playable s,

 $t = s + \sum x_i a_i$

i.e., we can exclude *t* using only one copy of *s*.

Definition: Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k\}$ be the set of numbers played, and let *t* be the topmost number not yet played. *A* is a <u>quiet end position</u> if for all playable s,

 $t = s + \sum x_i a_i$

i.e., we can exclude t using only one copy of s.

Example: if a and b are co-prime, { a, b } is a quiet end position.

Definition: Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k\}$ be the set of numbers played, and let *t* be the topmost number not yet played. *A* is a <u>quiet end position</u> if for all playable s,

 $t = s + \sum x_i a_i$

i.e., we can exclude t using only one copy of s.

Example: if a and b are co-prime, { a, b } is a quiet end position.

We can use the same strategy stealing argument to show that quiet end positions are N-positions.

Theorem: Let *a* be co-prime both to b_1 and b_2 . Then { $a, b_1 c^1, b_1 c^2, b_1 c^3, ..., b_1 c^i$ } is a quiet end position if and only if { $a, b_2 c^1, b_2 c^2, b_2 c^3, ..., b_2 c^i$ } is a quiet end position

Theorem: Let *a* be co-prime both to b_1 and b_2 . Then { *a*, $b_1 c^1$, $b_1 c^2$, $b_1 c^3$,..., $b_1 c^i$ } is a quiet end position if and only if { *a*, $b_2 c^1$, $b_2 c^2$, $b_2 c^3$,..., $b_2 c^i$ } is a quiet end position

Why is this useful?

Theorem: Let a be co-prime both to b_1 and b_2 . Then { $a, b_1 c^1, b_1 c^2, b_1 c^3, \dots, b_1 c^i$ } is a quiet end position if and only if { $a, b_2 c^1, b_2 c^2, b_2 c^3, \dots, b_2 c^i$ } is a quiet end position

Why is this useful?

We know that { 3, 4 } is a quiet end position

Theorem: Let *a* be co-prime both to b_1 and b_2 . Then { *a*, $b_1 c^1$, $b_1 c^2$, $b_1 c^3$,..., $b_1 c^i$ } is a quiet end position if and only if { *a*, $b_2 c^1$, $b_2 c^2$, $b_2 c^3$,..., $b_2 c^i$ } is a quiet end position

Why is this useful?

We know that { 3, 4 } is a quiet end position { 3, 4, 7 } is equivalent to { 3, 4 } in this game.

Theorem: Let *a* be co-prime both to b_1 and b_2 . Then { *a*, $b_1 c^1$, $b_1 c^2$, $b_1 c^3$,..., $b_1 c^i$ } is a quiet end position if and only if { *a*, $b_2 c^1$, $b_2 c^2$, $b_2 c^3$,..., $b_2 c^i$ } is a quiet end position

Why is this useful?

We know that $\{3, 4\}$ is a quiet end position $\{3, 4, 7\}$ is equivalent to $\{3, 4\}$ in this game. Since $\{7, 1^*3, 1^*4\}$ is a quiet end position, so are $\{7, 3^*3, 3^*4\}$ and $\{7, 5^*3, 5^*4\}$

Theorem: Let *a* be co-prime both to b_1 and b_2 . Then { *a*, $b_1 c^1$, $b_1 c^2$, $b_1 c^3$,..., $b_1 c^i$ } is a quiet end position if and only if { *a*, $b_2 c^1$, $b_2 c^2$, $b_2 c^3$,..., $b_2 c^i$ } is a quiet end position

Why is this useful?

We know that $\{3, 4\}$ is a quiet end position $\{3, 4, 7\}$ is equivalent to $\{3, 4\}$ in this game. Since $\{7, 1^*3, 1^*4\}$ is a quiet end position, so are $\{7, 3^*3, 3^*4\}$ and $\{7, 5^*3, 5^*4\}$

So {7, 9, 12} and {7, 15, 20} are N-positions.

Theorem: Let a be co-prime both to b_1 and b_2 . Then { $a, b_1 c^1, b_1 c^2, b_1 c^3, ..., b_1 c^i$ } is a quiet end position if and only if { $a, b_2 c^1, b_2 c^2, b_2 c^3, ..., b_2 c^i$ } is a quiet end position

Why is this useful?

We know that $\{3, 4\}$ is a quiet end position $\{3, 4, 7\}$ is equivalent to $\{3, 4\}$ in this game. Since $\{7, 1^*3, 1^*4\}$ is a quiet end position, so are $\{7, 3^*3, 3^*4\}$ and $\{7, 5^*3, 5^*4\}$

So {7, 9, 12} and {7, 15, 20} are N-positions.

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6		1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83	77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	
35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	
77	78	79	80	81	82	83	

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	
35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	
77	78	79	80	81	82	83	

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	
35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	
77	78	79	80	81	82	83	

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	
35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	
77	78	79	80	81	82	83	

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	
35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	
77	78	79	80	81	82	83	

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	
35	36	37	38	39	40	41	
42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	
63	64	65	66	67	68	69	
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	
77	78	79	80	81	82	83	

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Proof by example: {7, 9, 12} is a QEP iff {7, 15, 20} is a QEP

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36	37	38	39	40	41
42	43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62
63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80	81	82	83

Note that the numbers at the tops of the columns are proportional.

(rest of proof on the board)

Conclusion

Good first moves: primes of at least 5

Good second moves to bad first moves: prime factors of at least 5

After that:

Use quiet end theorem to guide you, but the full set of N- and P- positions is hard to calculate.