1: Determine, with proof, the edge-chromatic number of the Petersen graph.

The Petersen graph has maximum degree 3, so by Vizing's theorem its edge-chromatic number is 3 or 4. We will prove that in fact the edge-chromatic number is not 3, by considering possible 3-edge-colorings and finding contradictions.

First, we pick a vertex x and say that its edges are colored 1, 2, and 3 WLOG. Let y be the neighbor along the 3 edge, and let z be the neighbor on the 2 edge. y has two other edges, and we consider two cases where they are numbered 1, 2 and 2, 1. z has two other edges, and we consider two other cases where those are numbered 1, 3 and 3, 1. Combining these cases gives 4 cases. In all 4 cases, we can fill in forced edge colors until there is a contradiction [the order in which you force edges will affect which edges are colored and which are uncolorable in the end, but in any case you find a partial coloring forced by the assumptions that cannot be extended to a proper coloring]. We display the the initial assumption, then the four cases, and then the forced colors. This proves  $\chi'(G) = 4$ .



**2:** Show that no regular, self-complementary graph has edge-chromatic number equal to its maximum degree.

An r-regular self-complementary graph has  $r = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (since n - 1 - r = r). Because r is an integer, n is odd. Odd order regular graphs are all class 2 because each vertex must be missing a color in any edge-coloring, so a  $\Delta(G)$ -edge-coloring is not possible.

**3:** Show that no regular graph with a cut vertex has edge-chromatic number equal to its maximum degree.

We show the contrapositive, that a regular class 1 graph has no cutvertex.

Let G be a regular graph with vertex v and let  $\varphi$  be a  $\Delta$ -edge-coloring of G. Let x and y be neighbors of v. Let  $\alpha = \varphi(vx)$  and let  $\beta = \varphi(vy)$ . In G - v, x is missing  $\alpha$  (and y is missing  $\beta$ ). Let P be the  $\alpha/\beta$ path starting at x. We prove that P ends at y: No vertex besides x and y is missing  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  in G - v, because the edges of v besides vx and vy cannot be colored  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ , and in G no vertex is missing any color. x is missing only  $\alpha$ , because its other edges remain in G - v. Therefore, the last vertex of P cannot be x (it has a  $\beta$  edge), and it cannot be any vertex other than x and y (they have an  $\alpha$  edge and a  $\beta$  edge), so the path ends at y.

Because any two neighbors of v have a path between them in G - v, v is not a cut-vertex! Suppose a' and b' are connected in G and disconnected in G - v; let P be an a'-b' path; v lies on P (otherwise P is an a'-b' path in G - v), so call a and b the neighbors of v on P (with a closer to a' and b closer to b'). There is an a-b path in G - v, Q, and so a'PaQbPb' is an a'-b' path in G - v.

## 4, Diestel 7.4: Determine the value of $ex(n, K_{1,r})$ for all $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First, observe that if  $n \leq r$ , no graph with n vertices contains a star graph on r+1 vertices, so in this case, the extremal number is  $\binom{n}{2}$ , the maximum number of edges a graph can have.

In the non-trivial case, we will show that the extremal number is  $\lfloor \frac{n(r-1)}{2} \rfloor$ . The idea is that this is the number of edges we get from a (r-1) regular graph. Such a graph is clearly edge-maximal without a r-star, and moreover, it is extremal, as every vertex is contributing as much as it possibly can without creating an r-star. (You can also see this as any graph with more edges would have to have a vertex of degree r by averaging, so at least, our bound is an upper bound on the extremal number. The rest of the proof is showing that the upper bound can be realized.)

First, let's assume n(r-1) is even. This is when we won't need a floor. Let's start with an *n*-cycle, which is 2-regular. (If r = 1, the graph can not have edges so the bound is trivial.) For every *i* in  $2 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$ , we will add an edge between every vertex at distance *i* on the original cycle. If (r-1) is even, we have created an r-1 regular graph, as desired. Otherwise, we have an (r-2) regular graph, and *n* has to be even. In this case, we will add edges between all vertices that are at a distance  $\frac{n}{2}$  from each other. Observe that these additional edges give us a perfect matching. So every degree increases by 1 to give us an (r-1) regular graph, and we are again done.

So now we just have to worry about the case when both n and (r-1) are odd. The previous construction can be used to create an r-2 regular-graph, and we add a perfect matching of edges between pairs of n-1 of the vertices, leaving exactly one vertex of degree r-2. Thankfully, the resulting graph also matches our bound, and is extremal since there is no graph with total degree being odd.