

# Ultrafilter Space Methods in Infinite Ramsey Theory

---

Sławomir Solecki

University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign

November 2014

# Outline of Topics

- 1 Examples
- 2 Structures
- 3 Theorem
- 4 New ones from nothing—monoid actions and Gowers' theorem

T. J. Carlson, *Some unifying principles in Ramsey theory*, 1988.

S. Todorcevic, *Introduction to Ramsey Spaces*, 2010.

S. Solecki, *Abstract approach to finite Ramsey theory and a self-dual Ramsey theorem*, 2013.

M. Gromov, *A number of questions*, 2014.

# Examples

# Words

Fix  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M > 0$ .

A **word**  $w$  (often called **located word**) is a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$  with finitely many non-zero values.

The **domain of**  $w$  is the finite set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : w(n) > 0\}$ .

For words,  $v, w$ , we write

$$v < w$$

if each element of the domain of  $v$  precedes each element of the domain of  $w$ .

By convention

$$v + w$$

is defined precisely when  $v < w$  and is then equal to pointwise addition of  $v$  and  $w$ .

A **variable word**  $x$  (often called **located variable word**) is a finite non-empty set  $d_x \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and a function  $f_x: \mathbb{N} \setminus d_x \rightarrow M$  with finitely many non-zero values

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$x[i]$$

is the word that is the union of  $f_x$  and the function constantly equal to  $i$  on  $d_x$ .

For variable words  $x, y$ , we write

$$x < y$$

if all elements of  $\text{domain}(f_x) \cup d_x$  precede all elements of  $\text{domain}(f_y) \cup d_y$ .

# Furstenberg–Katznelson theorem for located words

For a sequence of natural numbers  $(i_0, \dots, i_l)$ , the **type of**  $(i_0, \dots, i_l)$  is the sequence obtained from  $(i_0, \dots, i_l)$  by shortening each run of identical numbers to a single number.

**Katznelson–Furstenberg, S.**(for located words):

*Fix a set  $F$  of finitely many types. Color, with finitely many colors, all words from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M + N$ . There exists a sequence of variable words  $(x_n)$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$  with  $x_n < x_{n+1}$  and such that the color of words of the form*

$$x_{n_0}[i_0] + x_{n_1}[i_1] + \cdots + x_{n_l}[i_l],$$

*with  $n_0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ , depends only on the type of the sequence obtained from  $(i_0, \dots, i_l)$  by deleting all entries less than  $M$ , provided this type belongs to  $F$ .*

For example:

one color if  $i_p \leq i_q$ , for  $M \leq i_p, i_q$  and  $p \leq q$ ,

another color if  $i_p \geq i_q$ , for  $M \leq i_p, i_q$  and  $p \leq q$ .

# Hales–Jewett Theorem for left variable words

A variable word  $x$  is **left-variable** if the minimal element of  $d_x$  is smaller than the minimal element of the domain of  $f_x$ .

**Carlson–Simpson, Todorcevic:**

*Color, with finitely many colors, all words from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$ . There exist a word  $w$  and a sequence of left-variable words  $(x_n)$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$  with  $w < x_n < x_{n+1}$  such that the color of words of the form*

$$w + x_{n_0}[i_0] + x_{n_1}[i_1] + \cdots + x_{n_l}[i_l],$$

*with*

$$n_0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_l \text{ and } i_0, \dots, i_l < M,$$

*is fixed.*

# Gowers' theorem

Let  $T: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be defined by

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} n - 1, & \text{if } n > 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Extend  $T$  to words by applying it pointwise.

For a sequence of natural numbers  $(i_0, \dots, i_l)$ , the **type of**  $(i_0, \dots, i_l)$  is the number

$$\min(i_0, \dots, i_l).$$

**Gowers:**

*Color, with finitely many colors, all words from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$ . There exists a sequence of words  $(x_n)$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$  with*

$$x_n < x_{n+1} \text{ and } \max x_n = M - 1$$

*and such that the color of words of the form*

$$T^{i_0}(x_{n_0}) + T^{i_1}(x_{n_1}) + \cdots + T^{i_l}(x_{n_l}),$$

*with  $n_0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_l$ , depends only on the type of  $(i_0, \dots, i_l)$ .*

# A strengthening of Gowers' theorem— not a theorem

Let  $E$  be the set of all non-decreasing functions  $s: M \rightarrow M$  such that

$$s(0) = 0 \text{ and } s(i+1) \leq s(i) + 1, \text{ for all } i < M - 1.$$

Note that  $T \in E$ .

$E$  acts on words pointwise.

For a sequence  $(s_0, \dots, s_I)$  of elements of  $E$ , define the **type of**  $(s_0, \dots, s_I)$  to be

$$\max(s_0[M], \dots, s_I[M]).$$

**Statement:**

*Color, with finitely many colors, all words from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$ . There exists a sequence of words  $(x_n)$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $M$  with*

$$x_n < x_{n+1} \text{ and } \max x_n = M - 1$$

*and such that the color of words of the form*

$$s_0(x_{n_0}) + s_1(x_{n_1}) + \cdots + s_l(x_{n_l}),$$

*with*

$$n_0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_l \text{ and } s_0, \dots, s_l \in E,$$

*depends only on the type of  $(s_0, \dots, s_l)$ .*

The statement is **not** known to be true, but the finite version is true. This is a recent result of Bartořova and Kwiatkowska using some ideas of Tyros.

# Structures

# $\Lambda$ -semigroups

A **partial semigroup** is a set  $S$  with a binary operation from a *subset* of  $S \times S$  to  $S$  such that, for  $x, y, z \in S$ , if one of the products  $(xy)z$ ,  $x(yz)$  is defined, then both are and are equal.

$S, T$  partial semigroups

$h: S \rightarrow T$  is a **homomorphism** if, for  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ , whenever  $s_1s_2$  is defined, so is  $h(s_1)h(s_2)$  and

$$h(s_1)h(s_2) = h(s_1s_2).$$

A **semigroup** is a partial semigroup with total multiplication.

$\Lambda$  a set,  $S$  a partial semigroup, and  $X$  a set

A  **$\Lambda$ -partial semigroup over  $S$  based on  $X$**  is an assignment to each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  of a function from a subset of  $X$  to  $S$  such that for  $s_0, \dots, s_k \in S$  and  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k \in \Lambda$  there exists  $x \in X$  with  $s_0 \lambda_0(x), \dots, s_k \lambda_k(x)$  defined.

A  **$\Lambda$ -semigroup over  $A$  based on  $X$**  is a  $\Lambda$ -partial semigroup over  $A$  based on  $X$  such that  $A$  a semigroup and the domain each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is equal to  $X$ .

A  $\Lambda$ -semigroup is **point based** if  $X$  consist of one point, usually denoted by  $\bullet$ .

$\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $\Lambda$ -semigroups with  $\mathcal{A}$  being over  $A$  and based on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  being over  $B$  and based on  $Y$ .

A **homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$**  is a pair of functions  $f, g$  such that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g$  is a homomorphism of semigroups, and, for each  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have

$$\lambda(f(x)) = g(\lambda(x)).$$

# Colorings and $\Lambda$ -semigroups

Assume we have a  $\Lambda$ -partial semigroup over  $S$  and based on  $X$ .

A sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements of  $X$  is **basic** if for all  $n_0 < \dots < n_l$  and  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_l \in \Lambda$

$$\lambda_0(x_{n_0})\lambda_1(x_{n_1}) \cdots \lambda_l(x_{n_l}) \quad (1)$$

is defined in  $S$ .

Assume we additionally have a point based  $\Lambda$ -semigroup  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $(A, \wedge)$ .

A coloring of  $S$  is  **$\mathcal{A}$ -tame on  $(x_n)$**  if the color of elements of the form (1) with the additional condition  $\lambda_k(\bullet) \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda_l(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$  for all  $k \leq l$  depends only on

$$\lambda_0(\bullet) \wedge \lambda_1(\bullet) \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda_l(\bullet) \in A.$$

# **A $\wedge$ -semigroup from a $\wedge$ -partial semigroup— following Bergelson, Blass, Hindman**

$S$  a  $\Lambda$ -partial semigroup over  $S$  based on  $X$

$\gamma X$  is the set of all ultrafilters  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $X$  such that for  $s \in S$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\{x \in X : s\lambda(x) \text{ is defined}\} \in \mathcal{V}.$$

$\gamma S$  is the set of all ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $S$  such that for  $s \in S$

$$\{t \in S : st \text{ is defined}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

$\gamma S$  is a semigroup with convolution:  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V}$ , where

$$C \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V} \iff \{s \in S : \{t \in S : st \in C\} \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

In other words,

$$C \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V} \iff \forall^{\mathcal{U}} s \forall^{\mathcal{V}} t (st \in C).$$

Each  $\lambda$  induces a function from  $\gamma X$  to  $\gamma S$  by the formula

$$C \in \lambda(\mathcal{V}) \text{ iff } \lambda^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{V}.$$

This procedure gives a  $\Lambda$ -**semigroup**  $\gamma S$  **over**  $\gamma S$  **based on**  $\gamma X$ .

# Theorem

## Theorem (S.)

*Fix a finite set  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $\Lambda$ -partial semigroup over  $S$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a point based  $\Lambda$ -semigroup. Let  $(f, g): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \gamma\mathcal{S}$  be a homomorphism. Then for each  $D \in f(\bullet)$  and each finite coloring of  $S$ , there exists a basic sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements of  $D$  on which the coloring is  $\mathcal{A}$ -tame.*

**The goal:**  
produce homomorphisms  
from point based  $\Lambda$ -semigroups  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\gamma\mathcal{S}$  of interest.

A point based  $\Lambda$ -semigroup  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $A$  is determined by an assignment

$$\Lambda \ni \lambda \rightarrow a_\lambda \in A.$$

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is based on  $X$  and over  $S$ , a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\gamma\mathcal{S}$  is determined by an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{V} \in \gamma X$  and a homomorphism  $g: A \rightarrow \gamma S$  such that for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\lambda(\mathcal{V}) = g(a_\lambda).$$

# New ones from nothing—monoid actions and Gowers' theorem

# Construction

A **monoid** is a semigroup with a distinguished element  $e$ , which is its identity.

$e$  always acts as identity.

$S$  a partial semigroup such that for all  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  there is  $t \in S$  such that  $s_1 t, \dots, s_k t$  are defined.

$\Lambda$  a monoid

$\Lambda$  acts on  $S$  by endomorphisms so that, for  $s, t \in S$ , if  $st$  is defined, then so is  $s\lambda(t)$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Form a  **$\Lambda$ -partial semigroup  $S_\Lambda$  over  $S$ , based on  $S$** , where each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is interpreted as the function given by the action.

**Example.****Partial semigroup  $S$ :**

$S$  = the set of all words with  $+$  as defined before

**Monoid  $\Lambda$ :**

$\Lambda = M$  with the following multiplication: for  $i, j \in M$ , let

$$i \cdot j = \min(i + j, M - 1)$$

**Action of  $\Lambda$  on  $S$ :**

$\Lambda$  acts on  $S$  by

$$i(w) = T^i(w)$$

So  $\Lambda$  acts on  $\gamma S$  by continuous endomorphisms.

# Topology

A **compact semigroup**  $U$  is a semigroup whose underlying set is a compact space such that

$$U \ni u \rightarrow uv \in U$$

is continuous for each  $v \in U$ .

$S$  a partial semigroup as above,  $\gamma S$  is a semigroup

$\gamma S$  has a natural topology with basis consisting of sets of the form

$$\{\mathcal{U} \in \gamma S : C \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

where  $C \subseteq S$ .

$\gamma S$  is compact.

Multiplication on  $\gamma S$  is continuous on the left.

So  $\gamma S$  is a **compact semigroup**.

Each endomorphism

$$\lambda: S \rightarrow S$$

induces a **continuous endomorphism**

$$\lambda: \gamma S \rightarrow \gamma S$$

by the formula

$$C \in \lambda(\mathcal{U}) \iff \lambda^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{U},$$

for  $C \subseteq S$  and  $\mathcal{U} \in \gamma S$ .

## Back to the construction

Given  $S$  and  $\Lambda$  as before, construct the  $\Lambda$ -partial semigroup  $S_\Lambda$ .

Then  $\gamma S_\Lambda$  is a  $\Lambda$ -semigroup over  $\gamma S$  based on  $\gamma S$ , and each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is a continuous endomorphism of  $\gamma S$ .

**Abstractly we have:**

a compact semigroup  $U$  and a monoid  $\Lambda$  acting on  $U$  by continuous endomorphisms,

which we view as a  $\Lambda$ -semigroup  $U_\Lambda$  over  $U$  based on  $U$ , with each  $\lambda$  interpreted as the continuous endomorphism from the action.

**A homomorphism from a point based  $\Lambda$ -semigroup to  $U_\Lambda$ :**

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pointed  $\Lambda$ -semigroup over  $A$ , then a homomorphism  $(f, g)$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $U_\Lambda$  is

$$f(\bullet) \in U \text{ and a homomorphism } g: A \rightarrow U$$

such that for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\lambda(f(\bullet)) = g(\lambda(\bullet)).$$

So, after setting  $u_\bullet = f(\bullet) \in U$  and  $a_\lambda = \lambda(\bullet) \in A$ ,

$$\lambda(u_\bullet) = g(a_\lambda). \quad (2)$$

So a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $U_\Lambda$  is determined by

the assignment  $\Lambda \ni \lambda \rightarrow a_\lambda \in A$ ,

an element  $u_\bullet \in U$ ,

a homomorphism  $g: A \rightarrow U$

such that (2) holds.

**Example:****Semigroup  $A$ :**

$A = M$  with the following multiplication: for  $i, j \in M$ , let

$$i \wedge j = \min(i, j)$$

**Point based  $\wedge$ -semigroup  $\mathcal{A}$ :**

Assignment  $\wedge \rightarrow A$ : the identity function.

This defines a point based  $\wedge$ -semigroup  $\mathcal{A}$ :  $i(\bullet) = i$ .

**Need:**  $u_\bullet \in \gamma S$  and a homomorphism  $g: A \rightarrow \gamma S$  such that

$$i(u_\bullet) = g(i).$$

**Set:**

$D =$  the set of all  $w \in S$  with  $\max w = M - 1$

Note:  $D$  is a two-sided ideal in  $S$

**Need:**  $u_\bullet \in \gamma S$  and a homomorphism  $g: A \rightarrow \gamma S$  such that

$$i(u_\bullet) = g(i) \text{ and } D \in u_\bullet.$$

Note that

$$D \in u_\bullet \iff u_\bullet \in H,$$

where

$$H = \{\mathcal{U} \in \gamma S : D \in \mathcal{U}\} = \gamma D.$$

$H$  is a compact two-sided ideal in  $\gamma S$ .

## Proposition

*$U$  a compact semigroup,  $\Lambda (= M)$  acts on  $U$  by continuous endomorphisms,  $H \subseteq U$  be a compact two-sided ideal.*

*Then there exists  $u_\bullet \in H$  and a homomorphism  $g: A (= M) \rightarrow U$  such that for each  $i \in M$*

$$i(u_\bullet) = g(i).$$

### Proposition

*$U$  a compact semigroup,  $\Lambda$  acts on  $U$  by continuous endomorphisms,  
 $H \subseteq U$  a compact two-sided ideal.*

*Then there exists a homomorphism  $(f, g): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow U_\Lambda$  with  $f(\bullet) \in H$ .*

From the proposition and the theorem, we get a basic sequence  $(w_n)$  of elements of  $D$  such that the color of

$$T^{i_0}(w_{n_0}) + \cdots + T^{i_l}(w_{n_l}) = i_0(w_{n_0}) + \cdots + i_l(w_{n_l})$$

depends only on

$$i_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge i_l = \min(i_0, \dots, i_l) = \text{type of } (i_0, \dots, i_l).$$

**This is Gowers' theorem.**