

Quantifier Elimination For Valued Fields

Yimu Yin
Department of Philosophy
Carnegie Mellon University

September 19, 2006

Real Closed (Ordered) Fields (RCF)

The language of ordered rings: $0, 1, +, -, \times, <$

The axioms:

1. The axioms for fields.

2. (a) $x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \rightarrow x + y > 0;$

(b) $x = 0 \vee x > 0 \vee -x > 0;$

(c) $\neg(x > 0 \wedge -x > 0);$

(d) $x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \rightarrow xy > 0.$

3. (a) $\exists y (x = y^2 \vee -x = y^2);$

(b) $\exists y x_n y^n + \dots + x_1 y + y_0 = 0$ for $n \geq 1$
odd.

QE stands for quantifier elimination.

Theorem. 1 (Tarski). *RCF admits QE in the language of ordered rings.*

Tarski's original proof is syntactical, hence yields a recursive procedure for QE (but not practical).

There are several model-theoretic QE tests that can be used to give a model-theoretic proof of Tarski's theorem. For example,

Definition. 2. A theory T has the **van den Dries property** if and only if

1. For any model N , if there exists a model $M \models T$ such that $N \subseteq M$, then there is a T -closure N^* of N , that is, a model $N^* \models T$ such that $N \subseteq N^*$ and N^* can be embedded over N into any T -extension of N ;
2. If $N, M \models T$ and $N \subsetneq M$, then there is an $a \in |M| \setminus |N|$ such that $N \uparrow a$ can be embedded into an elementary extension of N over N , where $N \uparrow a$ is the smallest submodel of M that contains $|N| \cup \{a\}$.

Every ordered field K admits a maximal algebraic order-preserving field extension (in its algebraic closure). This is called the *real closure* of K .

For a model-theoretic proof of Tarski's theorem, the following is the key:

Theorem. 3. *Any two real closures of an ordered field K are isomorphic over K .*

We shall develop an analogue of this theorem for the p -adically closed fields (to be defined).

Theorem. 4 (Macintyre, McKenna, and van den Dries). *Let K be an ordered field. If $\text{Th}(K)$ in the language of ordered rings admits QE, then K is real closed.*

The idea of the proof: If a polynomial of degree n (odd) fails to have a root in K , then certain subset of K^n can be defined such that it is dense and codense in the Zariski topology.

Valued Fields

Let K be a field and Γ an ordered abelian group with a top element ∞ . A valuation of K is a map

$$v : K \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

such that

1. $v(x) = \infty$ iff $x = 0$,
2. $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$,
3. $v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$.

Accordingly we get a ring (the valuation ring of v)

$$O = \{x \in K : v(x) \geq 0\},$$

and a maximal ideal of the ring

$$M = \{x \in K : v(x) > 0\},$$

and a residue field

$$\bar{K} = O/M.$$

Example: The p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p .

Fix a prime number p . Any nonzero rational number x can be written as

$$p^a \frac{m}{n}$$

where $a, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and m, n prime to p . Define

$$\text{ord}_p(x) = a.$$

Then

$$\text{ord}_p : \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

is a valuation.

This valuation induces a (non-Archimedean) norm $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Q} :

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^{\text{ord}_p(x)}} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

The completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the metric associated with this norm is our p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p .

Let $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ be the valuation ring. This is also called the ring of p -adic integers.

Some basic facts about \mathbb{Q}_p :

1. $\text{ord}_p(p)$ is the minimal positive element in the value group, namely 1.
2. The residue field is $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, which is finite and has characteristic p .

3. Each element $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ has a unique expansion of the form

$$\frac{b_{-m}}{p^m} + \frac{b_{-m+1}}{p^{m-1}} + \dots + b_0 + b_1p + b_2p^2 + \dots$$

where $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \geq -m$ and

$$\text{ord}_p(a) = -m.$$

4. If K is a finite (or just algebraic) field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , then there is a unique valuation on K that extends ord_p .

5. In 4, if we let $O_K, M_K \subseteq K$ be the valuation ring and its unique maximal ideal respectively, then O_K is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z}_p in K .

Let Γ_K be the value group of K . The **ramification index of K** is

$$e(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) = [\Gamma : \mathbb{Z}].$$

The **residue degree of K** is

$$f(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) = [O_K/M_K : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}].$$

If $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] = n$ then we have

$$ef = n.$$

If $e = 1$ then K is **unramified**. if $e = n$ then K is **totally ramified**.

p -Valued Fields of p -Rank d

p is a fixed prime number and d is a fixed natural number. (K, v) is a p -valued fields of p -rank d if

1. $\text{char}(\bar{K}) = p$ and $\text{char}(K) = 0$;
2. $O/(p)$ as a natural $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -module satisfies

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(O/(p)) = d.$$

Let $\pi \in M$ and i a natural number be such that $v(\pi)$ is the positive minimal element in Γ and

$$iv(\pi) = v(p).$$

Let

$$f = [\bar{K} : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}].$$

Then

$$d = if.$$

Henselianness

1. The valuation of (K, v) has a unique extension to any algebraic extension of K .

2. (Hensel's Lemma) Let $f(X) \in O[X]$. Suppose for some $a \in O$

$$\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0 \text{ and } \bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0.$$

Then there is an $a^* \in O$ such that

$$f(a^*) = 0 \text{ and } v(a^* - a) > 0.$$

3. (Newton's Lemma) Let $f(X) \in O[X]$. Suppose for some $a \in O$ and some $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$vf(a) > 2\alpha \text{ and } vf'(a) \leq \alpha.$$

Then there is an $a^* \in O$ such that

$$f(a^*) = 0 \text{ and } v(a^* - a) > vf'(a).$$

Henselization

Any valued field (K, v) admits a unique minimal smallest Henselian field extension K^h , which is called the Henselization of K . we have :

1. $vK = vK^h$;
2. $\bar{K} = \overline{K^h}$.

In particular if K is a p -valued field of p -rank d then K^h is also a p -valued field of p -rank d .

The Fundamental Equality of Valuation Theory

Let L be a finite extension of (K, v) . Let v_1, \dots, v_r be all the prolongations of v to L . Let e_1, \dots, e_r and f_1, \dots, f_r be the corresponding ramification indices and residue degrees. Then

$$[L : K] = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i d_i,$$

where d_i is a power of p if $\text{char}(\bar{K}) = p$, otherwise $d_i = 1$.

If $vK = \mathbb{Z}$ and L is a separable extension, then $d_i = 1$.

A Characterization of Finite Extensions of the Same p -Ranks

Let L/K be a finite extension. Suppose that (K, v) is a Henselian p -valued field of p -rank d . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_L}{d_K} &= \frac{i_L[\bar{L} : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]}{i_K[\bar{K} : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]} \\ &= [\mathbb{Z}_L : \mathbb{Z}_K][\bar{L} : \bar{K}]. \end{aligned}$$

By the fundamental equality we have

$$[L : K] = [vL/\mathbb{Z}_L : vK/\mathbb{Z}_K][L^\circ : K^\circ],$$

where L°, K° are the core fields of $(L, v), (K, v)$ respectively. Apply the fundamental equality to $[L^\circ : K^\circ]$ we get

$$[L : K] = [vL/\mathbb{Z}_L : vK/\mathbb{Z}_K][\mathbb{Z}_L : \mathbb{Z}_K][\bar{L} : \bar{K}].$$

Hence if $d_L = d_K$ then

$$[L : K] = [vL/\mathbb{Z}_L : vK/\mathbb{Z}_K] =^* [vL : vK].$$

p -Adically Closed Fields

p, d are fixed.

Definition. 5. K is called a **p -adically closed field** iff K does not have any proper p -valued algebraic extension of the same rank.

Theorem. 6. K is a p -adically closed field iff K is Henselian and vK/\mathbb{Z}_K is divisible (i.e. vK is elementarily equivalent to \mathbb{Z} as an ordered group, i.e. vK is a model of ordered Presburger arithmetic).

K admits a unique p -adic closure iff vK/\mathbb{Z}_K is divisible.

Let $f_K = [\bar{K} : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$ and $q = p^{f_K}$. The so called **Teichmüller representatives** are the roots of the polynomial

$$X^q - X.$$

Let $i_K = v(p)$. Choose an element $\pi \in O$ such that $v(\pi)$ is minimal positive. For each natural number $m = j + ki_K$ set

$$\omega_m = \pi^j p^k.$$

Then each element $a \in O$ admits an unique expansion of the form

$$t_0 + t_1\omega_1 + t_2\omega_2 + \dots + t_m\omega_m + A_m$$

for each m , where each t_i is a Teichmüller representative.

How such expansions are used:

Lemma. 7. *Let $L|K$ be a p -valued extension. Suppose that K is algebraically closed in L . If $\bar{L} = \bar{K}$ then $\mathbb{Z}_L = \mathbb{Z}_K$.*

Proof. Look at the expansion of π^{i_L}/p . Construct a suitable Eisenstein polynomial

$$f(X) = X^{i_L} - pg(X) \in K[X]$$

such that $g(\pi) + A_m = \pi^{i_L}/p$ for sufficiently large m and hence

$$vf(\pi) > 2vf'(\pi).$$

□

Algebraically Closed Subfield is p -Adically Closed

Theorem. 8. *Let L be a p -adically closed field. Suppose that K is a sub-value-field. If K is algebraically closed in L then K is also p -adically closed and is of the same rank as L .*

This follows from the following:

1. $\bar{K} = \bar{L}$;
2. K contains an element of L of the minimal positive value, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}_L$;
3. the factor group vL/vK is torsion free.

The Special Embedding Theorem

Let $L|K$ be any field extension. Define the radical group (a subgroup of L^\times)

$$J_{L|K} = \{t \in L : t^n \in K \text{ for some } n\}.$$

Theorem. 9 (Radical Structure Theorem). *Let $L|K$ be an algebraic extension of the same rank. Suppose that K is Henselian. Then*

$$L = K(J_{L|K}).$$

In fact the valuation map $v : J_{L|K} \longrightarrow vL$ induces an isomorphism:

$$J_{L|K}/K^\times \cong vL/vK.$$

Hence if $L|K$ is a finite extension then

$$[J_{L|K} : K^\times] = [L : K]$$

and

$$L = K[X_1, \dots, X_r]/I = K(t_1, \dots, t_r)$$

where the ideal I is generated by

$$X_i^{n_i} - c_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Theorem. 10 (Special Embedding Theorem).
Let $L|K$ be a Henselian algebraic extension of the same rank. Let $L'|K$ be an arbitrary Henselian valued field extension. TFAE

1. *L can be embedded into L' over K .*

2. *$K \cap L^n \subseteq K \cap L'^n$ for all n .*

Corollary. 11. *If $L'|K$ is also a Henselian algebraic extension of the same rank, then L, L' are isomorphic over K iff $K \cap L^n = K \cap L'^n$ for all n .*

The General Embedding Theorem

Theorem. 12 (General Embedding Theorem). *Let $L|K$ be a Henselian extension of the same rank. Let $L'|K$ be a p -adically closed extension. Suppose that L' is sufficiently saturated. TFAE*

1. *L can be embedded into L' over K .*
2. *$K \cap L^n \subseteq K \cap L'^n$ for all n .*

For $2 \Rightarrow 1$ we have the following reductions:

First reduction: We may replace 2 by “ K is algebraically closed in L ”. This is equivalent to: K is Henselian and vL/vK is torsion free.

Second reduction: We may assume that $L|K$ is of transcendence degree 1.

Third reduction: We may assume that $L|K$ is finitely generated.

Fourth reduction: We may assume that $L|K$ is $K(X)$, i.e. a rational function field in one variable.

The last reduction has two cases:

Case A: $vK(X) = vK$.

Case B: $vK(X)/vK$ is infinitely cyclic with generator $v(X) + vK = \xi + vK$, i.e.

$$vK(X) = vK \oplus \xi\mathbb{Z}.$$

Quantifier Elimination for p -Adically Closed Fields of p -Rank d ($\text{VCF}(p, d)$)

The two-sorted language:

1. The field sort (K):

(a) $0, 1, +, -, \times, /;$

(b) new constants $u_1, \dots, u_d;$

(c) a unary n th power predicate P_n for each n .

2. The value group sort (Γ):

(a) $0, +, -, <, \infty;$

(b) a unary divisibility predicate D_n for each n .

3. A valuation function $v : K \longrightarrow \Gamma$.

The axioms:

1. All the standard axioms that guarantee the following: K is a field; Γ is an abelian group with a discrete ordering; v is a valuation.
2. $\forall x (P_n(x) \leftrightarrow \exists y (x = y^n))$ for each n .
3. $\forall x (D_n(x) \leftrightarrow \exists y (x = ny))$ and $\forall x (D_n(x) \vee D_n(x + 1) \vee \dots \vee D_n(x + n - 1))$ for each n .
4. K is Henselian.
5. u_1, \dots, u_d form a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -module $O/(p)$.

Now using a suitable modified model-theoretic QE test (e.g. van den Dries property) for many-sorted languages and the General Embedding Theorem we obtain:

Theorem. 13 (Quantifier Elimination). *For each p and each d , $VCF(p, d)$ admits QE.*

Question. 14. *For any valued field K , suppose that K satisfies all the axioms of $VCF(p, d)$ except Henselianness and $\text{Th}(K)$ has QE in the language of $VCF(p, d)$, does this imply that K is Henselian?*