

# Lecture 21

Enoch Cheung

November 11, 2013

1. **Lemma.** Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$  be pairwise relatively prime. If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_i}$  for each  $i \in [r]$  then  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_1 m_2 \dots m_r}$ .

*Proof.* We will induct on  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Base case: If  $r = 1$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_1} \implies a \equiv b \pmod{m_1}$  so we are done.

For convenience, we will prove it for  $r = 2$  as well, because we will use it explicitly later. Consider  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  relatively prime, and  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , so  $m_1 | (b - a)$  and  $m_2 | (b - a)$ .

Suppose  $m_1 m_2 \nmid (b - a)$ , then  $b - a = m_1 m_2 q + r$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 < r < m_1 m_2$ . Then  $r = (b - a) - m_1 m_2 q$ , then since  $m_1 | (b - a)$ , we have  $m_1 | r$ . Similarly,  $m_2 | r$ . This is a contradiction because  $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1 \implies \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2) = m_1 m_2$  (this uses the fact that  $\gcd(m_1, m_2) \cdot \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2) = m_1 \cdot m_2$ ), so  $r$  cannot be a common divisor of  $m_1, m_2$ . Therefore,  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_1 m_2}$ .

Inductive case: Assume for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$  pairwise relatively prime,  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_i}$  for each  $i \in [r]$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_1 m_2 \dots m_r}$ .

Now consider  $m_1, \dots, m_r, m_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}$  pairwise relatively prime, then note that  $m_1 \dots m_r$  and  $m_{r+1}$  is relatively prime, because if they share a prime factor  $p$ , then by lemma proved in our last recitation  $p | m_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $p | m_{r+1}$ , which contradicts the fact that they are supposed to be pairwise relatively prime. By the inductive hypothesis,  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_1 \dots m_r}$  and  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_{r+1}}$ , so by the case for two relatively prime numbers,  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_1 \dots m_r m_{r+1}}$ .  $\square$

2. Let  $\gcd(a, b) = d$  and suppose  $d | c$ . Further, let  $(x_0, y_0)$  be a solution to the diophantine equation  $ax + by = c$ .

- (a)  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}k, y_0 - \frac{a}{d}k)$  is also a solution.

Note that the pair  $(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}k, y_0 - \frac{a}{d}k)$  is a pair of integers, due to our divisibility assumptions. Observe that

$$a(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}k) + b(y_0 - \frac{a}{d}k) = ax_0 + \frac{ab}{d}k + by_0 - \frac{ab}{d}k = (ax_0 + by_0) + (\frac{ab}{d}k - \frac{ab}{d}k) = c$$

- (b) Suppose  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a solution to  $ax + by = c$ . Prove that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}k$  and  $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{d}k$  (i.e. every solution has this form).

Since  $ax + by = c$  and  $ax_0 + by_0 = c$ , and  $\gcd(a, b) = d$ ,

$$ax + by = ax_0 + by_0 \implies a(x - x_0) = b(y_0 - y) \implies \frac{d}{b}(x - x_0) = \frac{d}{a}(y_0 - y)$$

so let  $k = \frac{d}{b}(x - x_0) = \frac{d}{a}(y_0 - y) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $x = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}k$  and  $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{d}k$ .

Now we need to show that  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In other words, we wish to show that  $a | d(y_0 - y)$ . Since  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ , by Tuesday's discussion, we can write  $b = ed$  where  $e, a$  are relatively prime. Therefore, since  $a(x - x_0) = b(y_0 - y)$ ,

$$0 \equiv b(y_0 - y) \pmod{a} \implies 0 \equiv ed(y_0 - y) \pmod{a} \implies 0 \equiv d(y_0 - y) \pmod{a}$$

since  $e$  is invertible mod  $a$ . Therefore,  $k = \frac{d}{b}(x - x_0) = \frac{d}{a}(y_0 - y) \in \mathbb{Z}$  as desired.

3. Find all solution to

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

We wish to consider number of the form

$$\underbrace{5 \cdot 3 \cdot A}_{\text{vanishes mod 5 and mod 3}} + \underbrace{4 \cdot 3 \cdot B}_{\text{vanishes mod 4 and mod 3}} + \underbrace{4 \cdot 5 \cdot C}_{\text{vanishes mod 4 and mod 5}}$$

where  $5 \cdot 3 \cdot A \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $4 \cdot 3 \cdot B \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $4 \cdot 5 \cdot C \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . By multiplying with the corresponding inverses, we find  $A \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $B \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$  and  $C \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  to work.

By Chinese remainder theorem, the solution is unique modulo  $4 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 = 60$ . Therefore,

$$5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 \equiv 15 + 36 + 20 \equiv 11 \pmod{60}$$

4. Show that if  $\gcd(m_1, m_2) \nmid a_1 - a_2$  then there are no solutions to the system of linear congruences:

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

Suppose there is such a solution  $x$ , then  $m_1 \mid a_1 - x$  and  $m_2 \mid a_2 - x$ . Let  $d = \gcd(m_1, m_2)$ , then  $d \mid m_1$  and  $d \mid m_2$  so  $d \mid a_1 - x$  and  $d \mid a_2 - x$ . Therefore,  $d \mid (a_1 - x) - (a_2 - x)$  so  $d \mid a_1 - a_2$ . Thus, we have shown the contrapositive.