

Broadcasting in Random Graphs.

Alan Frieze* Michael Molloy†

February 23, 2002

Abstract

We do a probabilistic analysis of the problem of distributing a single piece of information to the vertices of a graph G . Assuming that the input graph G is $G_{n,p}$, we prove an $O(\ln n/n)$ upper bound on the edge density needed so that with high probability the information can be broadcast in $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ rounds.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, and for $v \in V$, let $N(v)$ denote the set of v 's neighbours in G . We will study the problem of distributing a piece of information i , residing initially at one given vertex v_0 , to the rest of the vertices. At each time step, any vertex knowing i can share it with *one* of its neighbours.

*Department of Mathematics, Carnegie-Mellon University. Supported in part by NSF grants CCR9024935 and CCR9225008.

†Department of Mathematics, Carnegie-Mellon University. Supported in part by NSF grant CCR9225008.

Let $V_t, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ denote the set of vertices which have ι at the beginning of step t . Thus $V_0 = \{v_0\}$.

Clearly $|V_{t+1}| \leq 2|V_t|$, and so if $|V| = n$ then it takes at least $\nu = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ rounds before every vertex has ι . For the purposes of this paper let a graph have property \mathcal{B} if it is possible to distribute a piece of information in ν rounds, from every possible starting vertex.

We will study the probability that the random graph $G_{n,p}$ has property \mathcal{B} . Observe first that if $c < 1$ is constant and $p \leq c \ln n/n$ then **whp**¹ $G_{n,p}$ has isolated vertices and so does not have \mathcal{B} . In terms of an upper threshold for p , Scheinermann and Wierman [4] and Dolan [1] showed that if $p \geq c(\ln n)^2/n$ for some constant $c > 0$ then $G_{n,p}$ has \mathcal{B} **whp**. Recently Gerbessiotis [3] reduced the upper bound to $c(\ln \ln n) \ln n/n$.

In this paper we give a simple proof of

Theorem 1 *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that if $p \geq c \ln n/n$ then $G_{n,p}$ has \mathcal{B} **whp**.*

Proof In the proof we assume $p = 18 \ln n/n$. We define a *broadcast tree* T rooted at a vertex $v \in [n]$. The tree defines an increasing sequence of sets $\{v\} = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_\nu = [n]$. Here $|W_t| = 2^t$ for $0 \leq t < \nu$. The edges of T consist of matchings $M_0, M_1, \dots, M_{\nu-1}$, where M_t is a perfect matching between W_t and $W_{t+1} \setminus W_t$ for $0 \leq t < \nu - 1$, and $M_{\nu-1}$ is a matching of $W_\nu \setminus W_{\nu-1}$ into $W_{\nu-1}$.

¹An event \mathcal{E}_n is said to occur **whp** (with high probability) if $\Pr(\mathcal{E}_n) = 1 - o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Given a broadcast tree rooted at v one can clearly distribute the information by sending it along M_t in round t .

We prove the theorem by proving

$$\Pr(\exists \text{ broadcast tree rooted at vertex 1}) = 1 - o(n^{-1})$$

We decompose $G_{n,p}$ as the union of independent copies of G_{n,p_1} , G_{n,p_2} , G_{n,p_3} , where $p_2 = p_3 = (4.5 \ln n)/n$ and $1 - p = (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)(1 - p_3)$. Note that this yields $p_1 \geq (9 \ln n)/n$.

We (try to) construct our tree in three phases, where in Phase i , we use the edges of G_{n,p_i} , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Phase 1

Here we use a simple greedy approach to construct $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{\nu-2}$.

In the following algorithm when a vertex $v \in W_t$ needs to find a vertex w to be matched to in M_t it searches for the *next* vertex in order that (i) is not in W_t , and (ii) is in $N(v)$. The pointer s_v keeps track of where we are in v 's list.

GREEDY SEARCH

begin

$s_v := 0$ for all $v \in [n]$;

$W_0 := \{1\}$;

for $t = 0$ **to** $\nu - 3$ **do**

begin

$W_{t+1} := W_t$;

```

    for  $v \in W_t$  do
    begin
A:            $s_v = s_v + 1$ ;
              if  $s_v > n$  then FAIL;
B:           if  $s_v \in W_{t+1}$  then goto A;
C:           if  $(v, S_v) \notin G_{n,p_1}$  then goto A;
               $W_{t+1} := W_t \cup \{s_v\}$ 
    end
  end
end

```

Phase 2

Find a matching $M_{\nu-2}$ of $W_{\nu-2}$ into $[n] - W_{\nu-2}$ using the edges of G_{n,p_2} . $W_{\nu-1}$ is equal to the set of vertices covered by $M_{\nu-2}$.

Phase 3

Find a matching $M_{\nu-1}$ of $[n] - W_{\nu-2}$ into $W_{\nu-1}$ using the edges of G_{n,p_3} .

Probability of Failure

If Phase 1 fails then s_v reaches $n + 1$ for some $v \in [n]$. Now $|W_{\nu-2}| < n/2$ and so for this v , Statement B has caused a jump to A less than $n/2$ times. So we must have executed Statement C at least $n/2$ times and there have been at most $\nu - 3$ cases where an edge of G_{n,p_1} was found. Now when C is executed, the edge (v, s_v) has not been previously examined, and so occurs with probability p_1 independently of the history of the process so far. Thus if $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes a binomial random variable then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\text{Phase 1 fails}) &\leq n\Pr(B(n/2, p_1) \leq \nu - 3) \\ &= o(n^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

on using the Chernoff bound $\Pr(B(m, q) \leq (1 - \epsilon)mq) \leq e^{-\epsilon^2mq/2}$.

The failure probabilities for Phases 2 and 3 can be estimated as in Erdős and Rényi [2]. For both Phases we must match $\leq n/2$ vertices into $\geq n/2$ vertices. Thus our failure probability is dominated by that for no perfect matching in a random bipartite graph with $n/2 + n/2$ vertices and edge probability p_2 . This is $o(n^{-1})$ as required, completing the proof of our theorem. \square

Of course we do not believe that 18 is the correct constant. One can easily reduce it by being a little more careful with estimates. It does seem however that our method will not give us the least constant and we leave it at 18 for readability.

References

- [1] P.Dolan. *Spanning Trees in Random Graphs*, Random Graphs Volume 2., A.M.Frieze and T.Łuczak (Editors), John Wiley and Sons (1992), 47-58.
- [2] P.Erdős and A.Rényi, *On random matrices*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci. **8** (1964) 455-461.
- [3] A.Gerbessiotis. *Broadcasting in Random Graphs*. Discrete Mathematics, to appear.

- [4] E.Scheinerman and J.Wierman. *Optimal and Near-Optimal Broadcasting in Random Graphs*. Discrete Applied Math **25** (1989), 289-297.