

# Well-posedness of 2-phase Stefan equation with surface tension in $\mathbb{R}^3$ , under radial symmetry

Sergey Nadtochiy  
(based on joint works with Y. Guo and M. Shkolnikov)

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Illinois Institute of Technology

Mar 16, 2023

Seminar on Partial Differential Equations  
Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA



## 2-phase Stefan equation w Gibbs-Thomson law (e.g. Visintin 1998)

Given an initial set of ice  $D(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and initial temperature distribution  $u(0, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , find  $\{D(t)\}_{t>0}$  and  $\{u(t, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{t>0}$  such that

$$\partial_t u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_z u(t, z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial D(t), \quad t > 0,$$

$$u(t, z) = \gamma H_t(z), \quad z \in \partial D(t),$$

$$-V_t(z) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_z u(t, z) \cdot n_t^+(z) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_z u(t, z) \cdot n_t^-(z), \quad z \in \partial D(t),$$

where  $H_t$  is mean curvature of interface  $\partial D(t)$ ,  $V_t$  is the “velocity” of interface,  $n_t^+$  and  $n_t^-$  are the unit normals along  $\partial D(t)$  pointing outside and inside of  $D(t)$ .

- **Applications** of Stefan-type equations: melting/solidification, crystal growth, aging of alloys, interaction of nonmixing fluids, dynamics of neurons’ membrane potentials, tumor growth, cholesterol plug growth, etc.
- **Well-posedness**: *Luckhaus (1990)* shows existence of a weak (variational) solution, but shows **non-uniqueness**. *N.-Shkolnikov (2021)* show existence of a (stronger) probabilistic solution under radial symmetry. Local results exist.

## 2-phase Stefan equation w Gibbs-Thomson law, under radial symmetry in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Given an initial ball (centered at 0) of ice  $B_{\Lambda_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and (radially symmetric) initial temperature distribution  $u(0, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , find  $\{\Lambda_t\}_{t>0}$  and  $\{u(t, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{t>0}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u(t, z) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_z u(t, z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B_{\Lambda_t}, \quad t > 0, \\ u(t, z) &= -\gamma/\Lambda_t, \quad z \in \partial B_{\Lambda_t}, \\ -\dot{\Lambda}_t &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_z u(t, z) \cdot n_t^+(z) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_z u(t, z) \cdot n_t^-(z), \quad z \in \partial B_{\Lambda_t},\end{aligned}$$

where  $n_t^+$  and  $n_t^-$  are the unit normals along  $\partial B_{\Lambda_t}$  pointing outside and inside of  $B_{\Lambda_t}$ .

- **Goal:** establish existence and uniqueness (of an appropriate notion) of solution to the above.

# Transformation of the equation

- Using the fact that  $\gamma/|z|$  solves heat equation, we consider  $v(t, z) := u(t, z) + \gamma/|z|$  and solve

$$\partial_t v(t, z) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_z v(t, z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B_{\Lambda_t}(0), \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$v(t, z) = 0, \quad z \in \partial B_{\Lambda_t}(0), \quad (2)$$

$$-\dot{\Lambda}_t = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_z v(t, z) \cdot n_t^+(z) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_z v(t, z) \cdot n_t^-(z), \quad z \in \partial B_{\Lambda_t}(0). \quad (3)$$

- Integrating (3) and using (1)–(2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{3} (\Lambda_0^3 - \Lambda_t^3) = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_0(z) dz - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(t, z) dz,$$

where  $\alpha^{-1}$  is the area of  $S^2$ .

- Standard solution approach.** Show a contraction-type property (after normalization) of the mapping: from the cubed **dry**  $\Lambda^3$  to the **temperature energy**  $3\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(t, z) dz$ , where  $v$  solves (1)–(2).

# Challenges

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t v(t, z) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_z v(t, z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B_{\Lambda_t}(0), \quad t > 0, \\
 v(t, z) &= 0, \quad z \in \partial B_{\Lambda_t}(0), \\
 \frac{1}{3} (\Lambda_0^3 - \Lambda_t^3) &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_0(z) dz - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(t, z) dz.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

- If  $v_0$  is sufficiently large,  $t \mapsto \Lambda_t$  cannot be smooth (and may **jump**).
- Then, the bdy condition (4) **cannot be satisfied at all**  $t > 0$ .
- If  $\Lambda_s = \tilde{\Lambda}_s$  for  $s \in [0, t)$ , then, for small  $\delta > 0$ , one may have

$$3\alpha \sup_{s \in [t, t+\delta]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(s, z) dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{v}(s, z) dz \right| \approx v(t^-, \cdot) \Big|_{\partial B_{\Lambda_t^-}} \sup_{s \in [t, t+\delta]} \left| \Lambda_s^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_s^3 \right|$$

- If  $v_0 < 1$ , the comparison principle yields desired contraction.
- But, if not, the **contraction does not hold**.

# Probabilistic solution

- Assume  $v_0 \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_0(z) dz < \infty$ .
- Itô's formula** yields:  $v(t, \cdot)$  is the **density of BM** started from  $v_0$  and **killed at hitting**  $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$ .
- Probabilistic growth condition:**

$$\frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_{0-}^3 - \Lambda_t^3) = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_0(z) dz - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(t, z) dz = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq t) v_0(z) dz$$

$$\tau^z(\Lambda) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : (|z + W_t| - \Lambda_t)(|z| - \Lambda_{0-}) < 0\}.$$

- Jump condition.** The potential jumps of  $\Lambda$  introduce ambiguity. We define the notion of a “physical” solution by assuming that the jumps are the **smallest possible**:

$$\Lambda_{t-} - \Lambda_t = \inf \left\{ y \in (0, \Lambda_{t-}] : \text{Leb} (B_{\Lambda_{t-}} \setminus B_{\Lambda_{t-}-y}) \right.$$

$$\left. > \int_{\Lambda_{t-}-y \leq |z| \leq \Lambda_{t-}} v(t-, z) dz \right\}$$

# Existence and uniqueness

$(\Lambda, \nu)$  is a **probabilistic solution** if  $\Lambda$  is right-cont.,  $\nu(t, z)$  is a function of  $|z|$ , and:

$\nu(t, \cdot)$  – density of BM started from  $\nu_0$  and killed at hitting  $(\Lambda_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_{0-}^3 - \Lambda_t^3) = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq t) \nu_0(z) dz,$$

and the minimal jump condition is satisfied.

- *N.-Shkolnikov (2021)* shows existence of probabilistic solution (by Euler-type approximation).
- **Theorem** (*Guo-N.-Shkolnikov 2023*). Assume that  $\nu_0 \geq 0$  is bounded and decays sufficiently fast at infinity. Assume that  $\nu_0$  is **piecewise monotone**. Then, the probabilistic solution is **unique**.

# Proof of uniqueness: preliminaries

- Argue by contradiction: assume there exist two non-increasing solutions  $\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $t_0 \geq 0$  s.t.  $\Lambda_s = \tilde{\Lambda}_s$  for  $s < t_0$ , and  $\sup_{s \in [t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon]} |\Lambda_s - \tilde{\Lambda}_s| > 0$  for all small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ . W.l.o.g.  $t_0 = 0$ .
- Desired contraction-type property:**

$$\|\Gamma(\Lambda^3) - \Gamma(\tilde{\Lambda}^3)\| \leq F\left(\|\Lambda^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}^3\|\right), \quad F(x) < x \text{ for } x > 0,$$

$$\Gamma_t(\Lambda^3) := \Lambda_{0-}^3 - 3\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq t) v_0(z) dz.$$

- Challenge.** Recall that, for small  $\delta > 0$ , one may have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma(\Lambda^3) - \Gamma(\tilde{\Lambda}^3)\| \\ &= 3\alpha \sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq s) v(0^-, z) dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq s) v(0^-, z) dz \right| \\ &\approx v(0^-, \cdot) \Big|_{\partial B_{\Lambda_0-}} \cdot \sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} |\Lambda_s^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_s^3|, \text{ and } v(0^-, \cdot) \Big|_{\partial B_{\Lambda_0-}} \text{ may be large.} \end{aligned}$$



# Proof of uniqueness: resolution of initial jump

- **Proposition (difficult).** If  $v_0(z)$  is **piecewise monotone** as a function of  $|z|$ , then so are  $v(t, \cdot)$  and  $v(t^-, \cdot)$  for **all**  $t \geq 0$ .
- The above prop. and minimal jump condition imply that  $\Lambda_0 = \tilde{\Lambda}_0$ ,  $v(0, z) = \tilde{v}(0, z)$ , yielding:

$$\sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} \left| \Lambda_s^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_s^3 \right|$$

$$= 3\alpha \sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq s) v(0, z) dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq s) v(0, z) dz \right|.$$

where  $v(0, \cdot) \Big|_{\partial B_{\Lambda_0}} \leq 1$ .

- Since the case  $v(0, \cdot) \Big|_{\partial B_{\Lambda_0}} < 1$  is easy, we focus on  $v(0, \cdot) \Big|_{\partial B_{\Lambda_0}} = 1$ .
- The minimal jump condition yields, for small enough  $\Lambda_0 - |z| > 0$ :

$$v(0, z) = 1 - \psi(\Lambda_0 - |z|), \quad \psi \uparrow, \quad \psi(0^+) = 0.$$

# Proof of uniqueness: steps 1 and 2

$$v(0, z) = 1 - \psi(\Lambda_0 - |z|), \quad \psi \uparrow, \quad \psi(0^+) = 0.$$

Consider  $t$  s.t.  $\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3 = \sup_{s \leq t} |\Lambda_s^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_s^3|$  and deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3) &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq s) v(0, z) dz - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq s) v(0, z) dz \\ &\leq \alpha \int_{B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

**Goal:** obtain a contradiction to the above, for small enough  $t > 0$ .

- $\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \leq C_7 \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \tilde{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0)$
- $\alpha \int_{B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \leq$   
 $\frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3) - C_8 \mathbb{E} \psi(\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \Lambda_s + \Lambda_0))$

# Proof of uniqueness: steps 1 and 2

$$v(0, z) = 1 - \psi(\Lambda_0 - |z|), \quad \psi \uparrow, \quad \psi(0^+) = 0.$$

Consider  $t$  s.t.  $\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3 = \sup_{s \leq t} |\Lambda_s^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_s^3|$  and deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3) &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq s) v(0, z) dz - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq s) v(0, z) dz \\ &\leq \alpha \int_{B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

**Goal:** obtain a contradiction to the above, for small enough  $t > 0$ .

- $\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \leq C_7 \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \tilde{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0)$
- $\alpha \int_{B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \leq$   
 $\frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3) - C_8 \mathbb{E} \psi(\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \Lambda_s + \Lambda_0))$

# Proof of uniqueness: steps 1 and 2

$$v(0, z) = 1 - \psi(\Lambda_0 - |z|), \quad \psi \uparrow, \quad \psi(0^+) = 0.$$

Consider  $t$  s.t.  $\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3 = \sup_{s \leq t} |\Lambda_s^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_s^3|$  and deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3) &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\Lambda) \leq s) v(0, z) dz - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq s) v(0, z) dz \\ &\leq \alpha \int_{B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \\ &\quad + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

**Goal:** obtain a contradiction to the above, for small enough  $t > 0$ .

- $\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \leq C_7 \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \tilde{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0)$
- $\alpha \int_{B_{\Lambda_0}} \mathbb{P}(\tau^z(\tilde{\Lambda}) \leq t, \tau^z(\Lambda) > t) v(0, z) dz \leq$   
 $\frac{1}{3}(\Lambda_t^3 - \tilde{\Lambda}_t^3) - C_8 \mathbb{E} \psi(\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \Lambda_s + \Lambda_0))$

# Proof of uniqueness: step 3

To obtain the desired **contradiction**, it suffices to show that, for any  $\bar{C} > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \psi \left( \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \Lambda_s + \Lambda_0) \right) > \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \tilde{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0), \text{ for small enough } t > 0.$$

- **Lemma 3 (easy).** There exists a solution  $\hat{\Lambda}$  to 1-phase Stefan problem with the same  $\psi$  (up to multiplicative constant) and with  $\hat{\Lambda}_0 = \Lambda_0$ , s.t.  
 $\hat{\Lambda} \geq \Lambda \vee \tilde{\Lambda}$ . Hence, it suffices to show

$$\mathbb{E} \psi \left( \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0) \right) > \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \hat{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0),$$

- Using growth condition for  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \psi \left( \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0) \right) &> \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \Psi \left( \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0) \right) \\ &= \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_{t-s} + \hat{\Lambda}_t), \quad \Psi(x) := \int_0^x \psi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of uniqueness: step 3

To obtain the desired **contradiction**, it suffices to show that, for any  $\bar{C} > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \psi \left( \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \Lambda_s + \Lambda_0) \right) > \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \tilde{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0), \text{ for small enough } t > 0.$$

- **Lemma 3 (easy).** There exists a solution  $\hat{\Lambda}$  to 1-phase Stefan problem with the same  $\psi$  (up to multiplicative constant) and with  $\hat{\Lambda}_0 = \Lambda_0$ , s.t.

$\hat{\Lambda} \geq \Lambda \vee \tilde{\Lambda}$ . Hence, it suffices to show

$$\mathbb{E} \psi \left( \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0) \right) > \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \hat{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0),$$

- Using growth condition for  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \psi \left( \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0) \right) &> \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \Psi \left( \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0) \right) \\ &= \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_{t-s} + \hat{\Lambda}_t), \quad \Psi(x) := \int_0^x \psi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of uniqueness: step 3

To obtain the desired **contradiction**, it suffices to show that, for any  $\bar{C} > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \psi \left( \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \Lambda_s + \Lambda_0) \right) > \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \tilde{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0), \text{ for small enough } t > 0.$$

- **Lemma 3 (easy).** There exists a solution  $\hat{\Lambda}$  to 1-phase Stefan problem with the same  $\psi$  (up to multiplicative constant) and with  $\hat{\Lambda}_0 = \Lambda_0$ , s.t.  
 $\hat{\Lambda} \geq \Lambda \vee \tilde{\Lambda}$ . Hence, it suffices to show

$$\mathbb{E} \psi \left( \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0) \right) > \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \hat{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0),$$

- Using growth condition for  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \psi(\sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0)) &> \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \Psi(\sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_s + \Lambda_0)) \\ &= \bar{C} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_{t-s} + \hat{\Lambda}_t), \quad \Psi(x) := \int_0^x \psi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of uniqueness: step 3

**Goal:** show that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq t} (B_s - \hat{\Lambda}_{t-s} + \hat{\Lambda}_t) \geq \mathbb{E} \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} (B_s + \hat{\Lambda}_s - \Lambda_0)$$

- The above follows from “semi-convexity” of  $\hat{\Lambda}$ :

$$\hat{\Lambda}_t - \hat{\Lambda}_{t-s} \leq \Lambda_0 - \hat{\Lambda}_s, \quad s \in [0, t],$$

- which in turn follows from the scaling property:

**Lemma 4 (easy)** For any  $q \in (0, 1]$ :

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{qt} - \Lambda_0 \geq \sqrt{q}(\hat{\Lambda}_t - \Lambda_0) \geq q(\hat{\Lambda}_t - \Lambda_0)$$