## Blow up for the $L^2$ critical gKdV equation

Yvan Martel<sup>(1)</sup>, Frank Merle<sup>(2)</sup>, Pierre Raphaël<sup>(3)</sup>

Univ. Versailles St-Quentin and Institut Universitaire de France
 Univ. Cergy-Pontoise and Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques
 Univ. Toulouse and Institut Universitaire de France

### Introduction

We consider the  $L^2$  critical (gKdV) equation

$$(\mathsf{gKdV}) \begin{cases} u_t + (u_{xx} + u^5)_x = 0, & (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Recall the following important facts:

- The Cauchy problem is locally well-posed in *H*<sup>1</sup> [Kenig-Ponce-Vega, 92] ([Kato, 83])
- Mass and energy conservation

$$M_0 = \int u^2(t), \qquad E_0 = rac{1}{2} \int u_x^2(t) - rac{1}{6} \int u^6(t)$$

• Scaling invariance  $(\lambda > 0)$ 

$$u^{\lambda}(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} u\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^3}, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right), \quad \|u^{\lambda}\|_{L^2} = \|u\|_{L^2}, \quad E(u^{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(u)$$

• Solitons are special solutions defined by  $(\lambda > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R})$ 

$$R^{\lambda,x_0}(t,x) = rac{1}{\lambda^{rac{1}{2}}}Q\left(rac{1}{\lambda}(x-x_0)-rac{1}{\lambda^3}t
ight)$$

$$Q(x) = \left(\frac{3}{\cosh^2(2x)}\right)^{1/4}, \quad Q'' - Q + Q^5 = 0, \quad E(Q) = 0$$

• Global existence for "small"  $L^2$  norm: [Weinstein, 83]

 $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2} \Rightarrow$  the solution is global in  $H^1$ 

#### Main questions of this talk:

• Blow up problem for initial data:

$$u_0 \in H^1$$
,  $||Q||_{L^2} \le ||u_0||_{L^2} \le ||Q||_{L^2} + \alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_0 \ll 1$ 

ullet Classification of all possible behaviors for  $\|u_0-Q\|_{H^1}\ll 1$ 

First results on blow up for  $L^2$  critical gKdV [Martel-Merle, 00-02]

Assume

$$u_0 \in H^1$$
,  $\|Q\|_{L^2} \le \|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2} + \alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_0 \ll 1$ 

Then:

(i) Blow up in finite or infinite time if  $E_0 < 0$ . No information on the blow up regime.

(ii) Assuming blow up, Q is the universal blow up profile.

(iii) Blow up in finite time if  $E_0 < 0$  and  $\int_{x>1} x^6 u_0^2(x) dx < \infty$ . Moreover, for a sequence  $t_n \to T$ ,

$$\|u_x(t_n)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(u_0)}{T-t_n}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(iv) Global existence for minimal mass initial data with decay.

# Blow up for $L^2$ critical NLS

(NLS) 
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u = 0, \\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 \end{cases} \quad (t,x) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$\Delta {\it Q}_{
m NLS} - {\it Q}_{
m NLS} + {\it Q}_{
m NLS}^{1+rac{4}{N}} = 0, ~~ {\it Q}_{
m NLS} > 0$$
 even

• [Merle, 93]

The only  $H^1$  blow up solution of (NLS) with minimal mass  $||u_0||_{L^2} = ||Q_{\text{NLS}}||_{L^2}$  is (up to symmetries)

$$S_{\rm NLS}(t,x) = \frac{1}{t^{N/2}} e^{-i\left(\frac{|x|^2}{4t} - \frac{1}{t}\right)} Q_{\rm NLS}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$$

• Existence of unstable nontrivial  $\frac{1}{(T-t)}$  blow up solutions. [Bourgain-Wang, 98], [Krieger-Schlag, 09], [Merle-Raphaël-Szeftel, 11] "log-log" blow up for (NLS)

• [Landman-Papanicolaou-Sulem-Sulem, 88], etc. log-log conjecture

• [Perelman, 01]

Construction of a large class of log-log blow up solutions close to  $Q_{\rm NLS}.$ 

• [Merle-Raphaël, 03-06]

(i) Construction of an open set in  $H^1$  of log-log blow up solutions close to  $Q_{\rm NLS}$  (including all  $H^1$  data with  $E_0 \leq 0$  close to  $Q_{\rm NLS}$ )

$$\|
abla u_{ ext{NLS}}(t)\|_{L^2}\sim C^*\sqrt{rac{\log|\log(\mathcal{T}-t)|}{\mathcal{T}-t}}$$

(ii) Quantization of the focused mass at the blow up point x(T):

$$|u_{\rm NLS}(t)|^2 
ightarrow \|Q_{\rm NLS}\|_{L^2}^2 \delta_{x=x(T)} + |u^*|^2, \quad u^* \in L^2.$$

## Statement of new results for critical gKdV [Martel-Merle-Raphaël, 12]

Define  $(\alpha_0 \ll 1)$ 

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ u_0 = Q + \varepsilon_0 \text{ with } \|\varepsilon_0\|_{H^1} < \alpha_0 \text{ and } \int_{x>1} x^{10} \varepsilon_0^2(x) dx < 1 \right\}$$

THM 1 (Negative or zero energy data close to Q) Let  $u_0 \in A$ . If  $E(u_0) \leq 0$  and u(t) is not a soliton, then u(t) blows up in finite time T with

$$\begin{split} \|u_{x}(t)\|_{L^{2}} & \underset{t \sim T}{\sim} \frac{\|Q'\|_{L^{2}}}{\ell_{0}(T-t)} \quad \text{for } \ell_{0}(u_{0}) > 0\\ u(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q\left(\frac{\cdot - x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \underset{t \to T}{\rightarrow} u^{*} \quad \text{in } L^{2}\\ \lambda(t) & \underset{t \sim T}{\sim} \ell_{0}(T-t), \quad x(t) \underset{t \sim T}{\sim} \frac{1}{\ell_{0}^{2}(T-t)} \end{split}$$

See [Rodnianski-Sterbenz, 10], [Raphaël-Rodnianski, 12], [Merle-Raphaël-Rodnianski, 11] THM 2 (Existence and uniqueness of minimal mass blow up sol.) (i) There exists a solution  $S \in C((0, +\infty), H^1)$  with minimal mass  $\|S(t)\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$ 

$$\|S_{\mathrm{x}}(t)\|_{L^2} \sim rac{\|Q'\|_{L^2}}{t}$$
 as  $t \downarrow 0,$   
 $S(t) - rac{1}{t^{rac{1}{2}}}Q\left(rac{\cdot + rac{1}{t} + ar{c}t}{t}
ight) 
ightarrow 0$  in  $L^2$  as  $t \downarrow 0,$ 

where  $\bar{c}$  is a universal constant.

(ii) Let u(t) be a solution with minimal mass which blows up in finite time. Then, u = S up to invariances.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### THM 3 (Classification and universality of S(t))

Let  $0 < \alpha_0 \ll \alpha^* \ll 1$ . Only three scenarios are possible for  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ (Blow up) u(t) blows up in finite time with blow up rate  $\frac{1}{T-t}$ . (Soliton) u(t) is global, bounded and locally converges to a soliton as  $t \to +\infty$ .

(Exit) there exists  $t^* > 0$  such that u(t) exits at  $t = t^*$  the  $L^2$  neighborhood of size  $\alpha^*$  of the family of solitons. Moreover, for some  $\tau^*$ ,  $u(t^*)$  is  $L^2$  close (related to  $\alpha_0$ ) to  $S(\tau^*)$  (up to symmetries).

*Consequence:* Assume that S(t) scatters at  $+\infty$ . Then, the (Exit) scenario implies scattering.

Classification results for NLKG, NLW [Nakanishi-Schlag, 10], [Krieger-Nakanishi-Schlag, 10] ([Duyckaerts-Kenig-Merle, 06-09]) Stable manifold: [Krieger-Schlag, 05], [Beceanu, 07]

# Blow up rates for initial data with slow decay $u_0 \notin \mathcal{A}$ THM 4 (Unstable blow up rates)

There exist blow up solutions with the following blow up rates: (i) Blow up in finite time: for any  $\nu > \frac{11}{13}$ ,

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^{-
u}$$
 as  $t o 0^+.$ 

(ii) Blow up in infinite time:

$$\|u_{\scriptscriptstyle X}(t)\|_{L^2}\sim e^t$$
 as  $t
ightarrow+\infty$ 

For any  $\nu > 0$ ,

$$\|u_{\mathsf{x}}(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^{
u}$$
 as  $t \to +\infty$ .

Moreover, such solutions can be taken arbitrarily close to solitons.

See [Krieger-Schlag-Tataru, 08], [Bejenaru-Tataru, 09], [Donninger-Krieger, 12], [Perelman, 12] Formal derivation of the dynamics in  ${\cal A}$ 

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q_{b(t)} \left(\frac{x-x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right), \quad Q_b = Q + bP$$

$$u_t = -\frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} (\Lambda Q_b)^{\lambda} - \frac{x_t}{\lambda} (Q_b')^{\lambda} + b_t P^{\lambda}, \quad \Lambda Q_b = \frac{1}{2} Q_b + y(Q_b)_y,$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad -\lambda^2 \lambda_t \Lambda Q_b + (Q_b'' - \lambda^2 x_t Q_b + Q_b^5)' + \lambda^3 b_t P = 0$$
Fix  $\lambda^2 x_t = 1$  and  $-\lambda^2 \lambda_t = b$ . At first order in  $b$ ,  
 $b \Lambda Q + b (LP)' + \lambda^3 b_t P + O(b^2) = 0$ 

where  $LP = -P'' + P - 5Q^4P$ . We fix

 $(LP)' = -\Lambda Q$  and  $\lambda^3 b_t = -2b^2$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Combining the equations of  $\lambda_t$  and  $b_t$ , one gets

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left(b_t - 2\frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda}b\right) = 0$$

and

$$-\lambda_t = rac{b}{\lambda^2} = \ell_0$$
 (scaling law)

Three scenarios:

•  $\ell_0 > 0$ :

 $\lambda_t = -\ell_0 < 0 \implies \text{blow up and } \lambda(t) = \ell_0(T-t)$ 

Example:  $E_0 < 0$  but also  $E_0 = 0$  (rigidity argument)  $\underline{\ell_0 = 0}$ :  $\lambda(t) = Cte \implies soliton$  $\underline{\ell_0 < 0}$ :

 $\lambda_t = -\ell_0 > 0 \implies$  defocusing and then (Exit)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Full ansatz - control of the remainder term

We decompose the solution u(t, x) as

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q_{b(t)} \left( \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} \varepsilon \left( t, \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right)$$

where  $(b, \lambda, x)$  are adjusted to obtain orthogonality conditions on  $\varepsilon$ .

The function  $\varepsilon(s, y)$  and  $(b, \lambda, x)$  are governed by

$$\varepsilon_s - (L\varepsilon)_y = \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \Lambda Q + \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) Q' + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon + O(b^2 + |b_s| + |\varepsilon|^2)$$

and 
$$\int \varepsilon Q = \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q = \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q = 0$$
 (s is the rescaled time  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3}$ )

The uniform control of some norm of  $\varepsilon$  is a fundamental point in all the regimes to justify the dynamics of the parameters.

Tools for a simplified linear model (with orthogonality)

$$\varepsilon_s - (L\varepsilon)_y = \alpha(s)\Lambda Q + \beta(s)Q'$$

Energy conservation at the level of ε:

$$\forall s, (L\varepsilon(s), \varepsilon(s)) = Cte$$

• Monotonicity argument: for  $A \gg 1$ ,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int_{"y>-A"}(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2-5Q^4\varepsilon^2)(s,y)dy\leq e^{-\frac{A}{10}}\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^2$$

Viriel type argument (under orthogonality conditions):

$$-rac{d}{ds}\int yarepsilon^2=H(arepsilon,arepsilon)\geq \mu_0\|arepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^2$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## Main estimate on $\varepsilon$

Definition of a Liapunov functional for  $\varepsilon(s)$ 

$$\mathcal{F}(s) \sim \int \left[ arepsilon_y^2 \psi_1 + arepsilon^2 \psi_2 - 5 Q^4 arepsilon^2 \psi_1 
ight] (s, y) dy$$

where

• 
$$\psi_1(y) = 0$$
 for  $y < -A$ ,  $\psi_1(y) = 1$  for  $y > -\frac{1}{2}A$ ,

• 
$$\psi_2(y) = 0$$
 for  $y < -A$ ,  $\psi_2(y) = 1 + y$  for  $y > -\frac{1}{2}A$ .

 $\mathcal{F}(t)$  is a mixed energy monotonicity and Viriel quantity

**PROP.** Under a suitable assumption on space decay of  $\varepsilon(s, y)$  on the right (which requires decay on the initial data), it holds

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\lambda^2}\right) + \frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\text{loc}}}^2}{\lambda^2} \lesssim \frac{b^4}{\lambda^2}$$

The blue term is reminiscent of the "Kato smoothing effect". The term  $\frac{b^4}{\lambda^2}$  is due to the equation of  $Q_b$  (order *b* only).

## Full estimates

• Control of  $\frac{b}{\lambda^2}$ 

$$\left|\frac{b(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)}-\frac{b(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)}\right|\lesssim \frac{b^2(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)}+\frac{b^2(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)}+\frac{\mathcal{F}(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)}$$

 $\bullet$  Equation of  $\lambda$ 

$$\left|\lambda^2 \lambda_t + b\right| \lesssim \|arepsilon(t)\|_{H^1_{ ext{loc}}}^2 + |b|^2$$

 $\bullet$  Control of  $\varepsilon$ 

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}}^2}{\lambda^5} dt \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{F}(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)} + \frac{b^3(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)} + \frac{b^3(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Analysis of the (Exit) case

Definition of the  $L^2$  (Exit) time ( $\alpha^*$  small but fixed) :

 $t^* = \sup\{0 < t < T, \text{ such that } orall t' \in [0, t], \ u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{lpha^*}\}$ 

where  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  is an  $L^2$  tube around the family of solitons:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*} = \left\{ u \in H^1 \text{ with } \inf_{\lambda_0 > 0, \ x_0 \in \mathbf{R}} \left\| u - \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q\left(\frac{\cdot - x_0}{\lambda_0}\right) \right\|_{L^2} < \alpha^* \right\}$$

New and general approach to:

1. Construct the minimal mass solution S

2. Prove universality of the **(Exit)** case and a "no-return lemma" based on the properties of S

#### Existence of a minimal mass solution

Choose a sequence of well-prepared initial data, for example:

$$u_n(0) = Q_{b_n(0)}, \ b_n(0) = -\frac{1}{n}, \ \|u_n(0)\|_{L^2} - \|Q\|_{L^2} \sim -\frac{c}{n}, \ \varepsilon_n(0) = 0$$

(Blowup) and (Soliton) are not possible  $\Rightarrow$  (Exit) regime

$$u_n(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} (Q_{b_n(t)} + \varepsilon_n) \left(t, \frac{x - x_n(t)}{\lambda_n(t)}\right)$$

 $(\lambda_n)_t \sim -b_n(0), \quad \lambda_n(t) \sim 1 - b_n(0)t, \quad b_n(t) = b_n(0)\lambda_n^2(t).$ At the (Exit) time  $t_n^*$ :  $b_n(t_n^*) = -\alpha^*, \ \lambda_n^2(t_n^*) \sim \frac{b_n(t_n^*)}{b_n(0)} \sim n\alpha^*$ (defocalisation)

Renormalize the solution at  $t_n^*$ :

$$v_n(\tau, x) = \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_n^*)u_n(t_n^* + \tau\lambda_n^3(t_n^*), \lambda_n(t_n^*)x + x_n(t_n^*)).$$
$$v_n(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{v_n}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau)}(Q_{b_{v_n}} + \varepsilon_{v_n})\left(\tau, \frac{x - x_{v_n(\tau)}}{\lambda_{v_n(\tau)}}\right)$$

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}_n}( au) &\sim rac{1}{\lambda_n(t_n^*)} \left[1-b_n(0)(t_n^*+ au\lambda_n^3(t_n^*))
ight] \ &\sim rac{1}{\lambda_n(t_n^*)} \left[\lambda_n(t_n^*)- au b_n(0)\lambda_n^3(t_n^*)
ight] = 1- au b_n(t_n^*) = 1+ aulpha^*. \end{aligned}$$

Mass, energy conservation and  $\varepsilon_n(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \sup_{\tau} \|\varepsilon_{\nu_n}\|_{H^1} \le \delta(\alpha^*)$ .

Extract a weak limit  $v_n(0) \rightarrow v(0)$  in  $H^1$  weak such that the corresponding solution  $v(\tau)$  blows up backwards at  $\tau^* \sim -\frac{1}{\alpha^*}$ . Moreover,  $\|v(0)\|_{L^2} \leq \|Q\|_{L^2}$  by weak limit and blow up yields

$$\|v(0)\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}.$$

Description of the general (Exit) scenario

**PROP** Let  $(u_n(0))$  be a sequence in  $H^1$  satisfying:

1. 
$$u_n(0) \in \mathcal{A};$$

2. 
$$||u_n(0) - Q||_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{n};$$

3. the solution  $u_n$  satisfies the **(Exit)** scenario

Then, there exists  $au^* = au^*(lpha^*)$  such that

$$\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_n^*)u_n(t_n^*,\lambda_n(t_n^*)\cdot+x_n(t_n^*))\to\lambda_S^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau^*)S(\tau^*,\lambda_S(\tau^*)\cdot+x_S(\tau^*))$$
  
in  $L^2$  as  $n\to+\infty$ .

The idea of the proof is similar as before, except that the  $H^1$  bound is lost for general (not well-prepared) initial data.

The uniqueness of S is decisive.