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## Representative Classical Results

## Blasche Theorem (1916)

An upper-semicontinuous function $u$ is subharmonic if and only if

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left[f_{\partial B(x, \varepsilon)} u(y) d \sigma(y)-u(x)\right] \geq 0
$$

Privaloff's Theorem (1925)
An upper-semicontinuous function $u$ is subharmonic if and only if

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left[f_{B(x, \varepsilon)} u(y) d y-u(x)\right] \geq 0
$$

Application: The sum of two subharmonic functions is subharmonic.

## Modern Linear results

Case of constant coefficients
If we replace the Laplace equation $\Delta u=0$ by a linear elliptic equation with constant coefficients $L u=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} u_{x_{i} x_{j}}=0$ then mean value formulas now hold for appropriate ellipsoids instead of balls.

## Linear Subelliptic case

Bonfiglioli and Lanconelli (JEMS 2012) proved extensions of Blasche and Privalov Theorems to the subelliptic case. They use a definition of W. Kozakiewicz, Un théorèm sur les opérateurs et son application à la théorie des Laplacians généralisés, C.R. Soc. Varsovie 26 (1933), that is equivalent to the modern notion of viscosity solution.

## A view from 30,000 ft

MVP $\Longleftrightarrow$ DPP $\Longleftrightarrow$ PDE
For an appropriate real function $u$ we have a meta-equivalence among
(1) $u$ satisfies a Mean Value Property (in an appropriate asymptotic sense)
(2) u satisfies a Dynamic Programming Principle associated to a game or control problem
(3) $u$ solves a (possibly nonlinear) PDE

Flexibility of this approach
Euclidean spaces, Riemannian manifolds, Sub-Riemannian manifolds (Heisenberg group), graphs (trees), metric-measure spaces, parabolic versions.
But limited to scalar $\mathbb{R}$-valued functions.

## Example: Trees

A directed tree with regular 3-branching $T$ consists of

- the empty set $\emptyset$,
- 3 sequences of length 1 with terms chosen from the set $\{0,1,2\}$,
- 9 sequences of length 2 with terms chosen from the set $\{0,1,2\}$,
- $3^{r}$ sequences of length $r$ with terms chosen from the et $\{0,1,2\}$
and so on. The elements of $T$ are called vertices.


## Example: Trees



## Calculus on Trees

Each vertex $v$ al level $r$ has three children (successors)

$$
v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}
$$

Let $u: T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued function.

## Gradient

The gradient of $u$ at the vertex $v$ is the vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

$$
\nabla u(v)=\left\{u\left(v_{0}\right)-u(v), u\left(v_{1}\right)-u(v), u\left(v_{2}\right)-u(v)\right\} .
$$

## Divergence

The averaging operator or divergence of a vector $X=(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as

$$
\operatorname{div}(X)=\frac{x+y+z}{3}
$$

## Harmonic Functions on Trees

## Harmonic functions

A function $u$ is harmonic if satisfies the Laplace equation

$$
\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)=0
$$

## The Mean Value Property

A function $u$ is harmonic if and only if it satisfies the mean value property

$$
u(v)=\frac{u\left(v_{0}\right)+u\left(v_{1}\right)+u\left(v_{2}\right)}{3}
$$

Thus the values of harmonic function at level $r$ determine its values at all levels smaller than $r$.

## The boundary of the tree

## Branches and boundary

A branch of $T$ is an infinite sequence of vertices, each followed by one of its immediate successors (this corresponds to the level $r=\infty$.) The collection of all branches forms the boundary of the tree $T$ is denoted by $\partial T$.

The mapping $g: \partial T \mapsto[0,1]$ given by

$$
g(b)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{r}}{3^{r}}(\text { also denoted by } b)
$$

is (almost) a bijection (think of an expansion in base 3 of the numbers in $[0,1]$ ).

## The Dirichlet problem

- We have a natural metric and natural measure in $\partial T$ inherited from the interval $[0,1]$.
- The classical Cantor set $C$ is the subset of $\partial T$ formed by branches that don't go through any vertex labeled 1.


## The Dirichlet problem

Given a (continuous) function $f: \partial T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ find a harmonic function $u: T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} u\left(b_{r}\right)=f(b)
$$

for every branch $b=\left(b_{r}\right) \in \partial T$.

## Dirichlet problem, II

Given a vertex $v \in T$ consider the subset of $\partial T$ consisting of all branches that start at $v$. This is always an interval that we denote by $I_{V}$.

Solution to the Dirichlet problem, $p=2$
The we have

$$
u(v)=\frac{1}{\left|I_{V}\right|} \int_{I_{v}} f(b) d b .
$$

Note that $u$ is a martingale.
We see that we can in fact solve the Dirichlet problem for $f \in L^{1}([0,1])$.

## Where are the nonlinear PDEs?

Setting

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\infty}(X)=\frac{1}{2}(\max \{x, y, z\}+\min \{x, y, z\})
$$

we obtain the $\infty$-Laplacian

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\infty}(\nabla u)=0
$$

Choose $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$ such at $\alpha+\beta=1$ and set

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\alpha, \beta}(X)=\frac{\alpha}{2}(\max \{x, y, z\}+\min \{x, y, z\})+\beta\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right)
$$

We obtain the $(\alpha, \beta)$-Laplacian

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\alpha, \beta}(\nabla u)=0
$$

This operator is the homogeneous $(\alpha, \beta)$-Laplacian.

## The (homogeneous) $p$-Laplacian

The equations

$$
\operatorname{div}_{2}(\nabla u)=0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{p}(\nabla u)=0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(\nabla u)=0
$$

MVP
$u(v)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\max _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}+\min _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{u\left(v_{0}\right)+u\left(v_{1}\right)+u\left(v_{2}\right)}{3}\right)$.

In general we have $p=p(\alpha, \beta$, geometry $)$
(1) The case $p=2$ corresponds to $\alpha=0, \beta=1$.

2 The case $p=\infty$ corresponds to $\alpha=1, \beta=0$.
(3) In general, there is no explicit solution formulas for $p \neq 2$

## Formulas for $f$ monotone, $p=\infty$

Suppose that $f$ is monotonically increasing. Let us play tug-of-war in the tree with pay-off function $f$. Starting at the vertex $v_{k}$ at level $k$

$$
v_{k}=0 . b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{k}, \quad b_{j} \in\{0,1,2\}
$$

players always move either left (adding a 0 ) or right (adding a 1). In this case $I_{v}$ is a Cantor-like set
$I_{v}=\left\{0 . b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{k} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots\right\}, d_{j} \in\{0,2\}$
Formula for $p=\infty$

$$
u(v)=\sup _{S_{I}} \inf _{S_{I I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{\|}}^{v}[f(b)]=E_{S_{I}^{\star}, S_{\|}^{\star}}^{v}[f(b)]=f_{I_{v}} f(b) d C_{v}(b)
$$

## Mean Value Properties in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, I

We start by observing that in order to characterize continuous harmonic functions it is enough to ask that the mean value property holds in an asymptotic sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=f_{B(x, \varepsilon)} u(y) d y+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, even a weaker viscosity notion suffices.

## Lemma

An upper semicontinuous function $u: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is subharmonic in $\Omega$ if for every $x \in \Omega$ and test function $\phi \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ that touches $u$ from above at $x$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x) \leq f_{B(x, \varepsilon)} \phi(y) d y+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the characterization (2) gives a simple proof of Privaloff's characterization.

## Mean Value Properties, II

## Lemma (M-Parviainen-Rossi)

Solutions to the $p$-Laplace equation are characterized by
$u(x)=\frac{p-2}{2(p+n)}\left\{\max _{\bar{B}(x, \varepsilon)} u+\min _{\bar{B}(x, \varepsilon)} u\right\}+\frac{n+2}{n+p} f_{B(x, \varepsilon)} u(y) d y+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$
in the viscosity sense, for $p$ in the range $1<p \leq \infty$.
That is, we have the analogue of Privaloff's characterization for $p$-subharmonic functions by replacing the regular solid average with the nonlinear average in (3) and using expansions in the viscosity sense.

## Ferrari-Liu-M.

Similar characterization in the Heisenberg group for $1<p<\infty$.

## Calculus in the Heisenberg group

- $\mathcal{H}$ : the Heisenberg group is just $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the group operation for $p=(x, y, z)$ and $p^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ given by

$$
p \star p^{\prime}=\left(x+x^{\prime}, y+y^{\prime}, z+z^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(x y^{\prime}-x^{\prime} y\right)\right)
$$

- $X=\partial_{x}-(y / 2) \partial_{z}, Y=\partial_{y}+(x / 2) \partial_{z}$, and $Z=\partial_{z}$ are a basis for left-invariant vector fields.
- $[X, Y]=Z$
- For $u$ : $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, the horizontal gradient of $u$ is the vector field

$$
\nabla_{H} u=(X u) X+(Y u) Y=(X u, Y u) .
$$

- For $F: \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{2}$, the horizontal divergence of $F=F^{1} X+F^{2} Y+F^{3} Z$ is the scalar

$$
\operatorname{div}_{H}(F)=X F^{1}+Y F^{2}
$$

## Level-sets and Horizonta Mean Curvature Flow

Take $u: \mathcal{H} \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\Gamma_{t}=\{p \in \mathcal{H}: u(p, t)=0\} \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

The horizontal mean curvature of $\Gamma_{t}$ is given by

$$
\kappa_{H}=\operatorname{div}_{H}\left(\frac{\nabla_{H} u}{\left|\nabla_{H} u\right|}\right) .
$$

The horizontal normal velocity is

$$
V_{H}=\frac{u_{t}}{\left|\nabla_{H} u\right|} \text { and }
$$

Horizontal mean curvature flow equation

$$
V_{H}=\kappa_{H}
$$

## Mean Curvature Flow in the Heisenberg group

We obtain the level set equation

$$
u_{t}=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(I-\frac{\nabla_{H} u \otimes \nabla_{H} u}{\left|\nabla_{H} u\right|^{2}}\right)\left(\nabla_{H}^{2} u\right)^{*}\right] .
$$

cf. [Evans-Spruck, '91] and [Chen-Giga-Goto, '91] for well-posedness in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## Wellposedness of the Level set Equation

Problem: Existence and uniqueness of viscosity solutions of
$(\mathrm{MCF}) \begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(I-\frac{\nabla_{H} u \otimes \nabla_{H} u}{\left|\nabla_{H} u\right|^{2}}\right)\left(\nabla_{H}^{2} u\right)^{*}\right]=0 & \text { in } \mathcal{H} \times(0, \infty) \\ u(p, 0)=u_{0}(p) \in B C(\mathcal{H}) & \text { in } \mathcal{H} .\end{cases}$
Main difficulty lies at the characteristic set (always $\neq \emptyset$ ):

$$
\left\{(p, t) \in \mathcal{H} \times(0, \infty): \nabla_{H} u(p, t)=0\right\}
$$

Known Results:
[Capogna-Citti, '09]: Uniqueness and existence for a special class of solutions vertically separated at the boundary. [Dirr-Dragoni-Renesse, '10]: Existence via a stochastic control

## Vertical Axial Symmetry

The function $u$ is spatially symmetry about the vertical axis if

$$
u(x, y, z, t)=u\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z, t\right) \text { when }\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+(y)^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}
$$

Examples include spheres, tori and other compact surfaces.
Example (A solution initiated from the gauge sphere)
For $p=(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{H}, R>0$

$$
u(p, t)=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+12 t\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+16 z^{2}+12 t^{2}-R^{4}
$$

is a solution of (MCF) with

$$
u_{0}(p)=G(p)=|p|_{H}^{4}-R^{4}=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+16 z^{2}-R^{4} .
$$

The zero level set of $u(\cdot, t)$ shrinks and disappears in finite time.
Question: Is this solution unique?

## Definition of Viscosity Solutions

$F(\eta, Y)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(I-\frac{\eta \otimes \eta}{|\eta|^{2}}\right) Y\right), \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ symmetric

Definition (Definition of subsolutions)
A bounded upper semicontinuous function $u$ is a subsolution in $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{H} \times(0, \infty)$ if for any smooth function $\phi$ and $(\hat{p}, \hat{t}) \in \mathcal{O}$ s.t.

$$
\max _{\mathcal{O}}(u-\phi)=(u-\phi)(\hat{p}, \hat{t})
$$

the function $\phi$ satisfies at $(\hat{p}, \hat{t})$

$$
\begin{cases}\phi_{t}+F\left(\nabla_{H} \phi,\left(\nabla_{H}^{2} \phi\right)^{*}\right) \leq 0 & \text { if } \nabla_{H} \phi(\hat{p}, \hat{t}) \neq 0 \\ \phi_{t} \leq 0 & \text { if } \nabla_{H} \phi(\hat{p}, \hat{t})=0,\left(\nabla_{H}^{2} \phi\right)^{*}(\hat{p}, \hat{t})=0\end{cases}
$$

## Definition of Viscosity Solutions

$F(\eta, Y)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(I-\frac{\eta \otimes \eta}{|\eta|^{2}}\right) Y\right), \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ symmetric

## Definition (Definition of supersolutions)

A bounded lower semicontinuous function $u$ is a supersolution in $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{H} \times(0, \infty)$ if for any smooth function $\phi$ and $(\hat{p}, \hat{t}) \in \mathcal{O}$ s.t.

$$
\min _{\mathcal{O}}(u-\phi)=(u-\phi)(\hat{p}, \hat{t})
$$

the function $\phi$ satisfies at $(\hat{p}, \hat{t})$

$$
\begin{cases}\phi_{t}+F\left(\nabla_{H} \phi,\left(\nabla_{H}^{2} \phi\right)^{*}\right) \geq 0 & \text { if } \nabla_{H} \phi(\hat{p}, \hat{t}) \neq 0 \\ \phi_{t} \geq 0 & \text { if } \nabla_{H} \phi(\hat{p}, \hat{t})=0,\left(\nabla_{H}^{2} \phi\right)^{*}(\hat{p}, \hat{t})=0\end{cases}
$$

## Uniqueness for Axisymmetric Solutions

## Theorem (Comparison Theorem)

Let $u$ and $v$ be respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of

$$
u_{t}-\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(I-\frac{\nabla_{H} u \otimes \nabla_{H} u}{\left|\nabla_{H} u\right|^{2}}\right)\left(\nabla_{H}^{2} u\right)^{*}\right]=0
$$

in $\mathcal{H} \times(0, T)$ for any $T>0$.
$\star$ Assume that $u-a$ and $v-b$ are compactly supported for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$.
$\star \star$ Assume that one of $u$ or $v$ is spatially axisymmetric about the vertical axis.
Then, we have that

$$
u(p, 0) \leq v(p, 0) \text { for all } p \in \mathcal{H} \Longrightarrow u \leq v \text { in } \mathcal{H} \times[0, T)
$$

## Strategy for the proof

- Double the variables for $u-v$ and take the auxiliary function:

$$
u(p, t)-\left(v\left(q_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}\right)+\alpha w\left(p, q_{\alpha}\right)+\alpha\left(t-s_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\frac{\sigma}{T-t}\right)
$$

where $\alpha>0$ large, $\sigma>0$ small and $w$ is of class $C^{2}$.

- Consider the maximizer $\left(p_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}\right)$ and get test functions of $(p, t)$.
- Compare the viscosity inequalities and derive a contradiction.

It is helpful if we have $w$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } \nabla_{p} w(p, q)=-\nabla_{q} w(p, q) \\
& \text { (2) } \nabla_{p} w(p, q)=0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{p}^{2} w(p, q)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The Euclidean choice is $w(p, q)=|p-q|^{4}$.
Neither $\left|p \cdot q^{-1}\right|^{4}$ nor $\left|q^{-1} \cdot p\right|^{4}$ works in the Heisenberg group

## Axial Symmetry

## Lemma (Tests for axisymmetric solutions)

Let $u$ be a axisymmetric subsolution. Suppose that there exists $(\hat{p}, \hat{t}) \in \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{H} \times(0, \infty)$ and $\phi \in C^{2}(\mathcal{O})$ such that

$$
\max _{\mathcal{O}}(u-\phi)=(u-\phi)(\hat{p}, \hat{t})
$$

If $\hat{p}=(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$ satisfies $\hat{x}^{2}+\hat{y}^{2} \neq 0$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi(\hat{p}, \hat{t})=\hat{x} k \text { and } \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \phi(\hat{p}, \hat{t})=\hat{y} k .
$$

The choice $w(p, q)=\left|p \cdot q^{-1}\right|^{4}$ now works for the proof.

## Existence by Discrete Games

- p: starting position
- $\varepsilon>0$ : step size
- $t$ : duration of the game
- $N\left(=\left[t / \varepsilon^{2}\right]\right)$ : total steps
- $u_{0}$ : objective function

Player I and Player II follow the repeated rules below.
(1) Player I chooses in $\mathcal{H}$ a unit horizontal vector $v$, i.e., $v=(x, y, 0)$ satisfying $|v|^{2}=1$.
(2) Player II chooses $b= \pm 1$;
(3) The marker is moved from

$$
p \mapsto p . e^{\sqrt{2} \varepsilon b v},
$$

which is denoted simply by $p \cdot(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon b v)$.

## Existence by Discrete Games, II

- The game states are $p=y^{0}, y^{1}, \ldots, y^{N}$.
- The game value is

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\varepsilon}(p, t) & :=\min _{v^{1}} \max _{b^{1}} \min _{v^{2}} \max _{b^{2}} \ldots \min _{v^{N}} \max _{b^{N}} u_{0}\left(y^{N}\right) . \\
u^{\varepsilon}(p, t) & =\min _{v^{1}} \max _{b^{1}} u^{\varepsilon}\left(p \cdot\left(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon b^{1} v^{1}\right), t-\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dynamic Programming Principle

$$
\mathrm{u}^{\varepsilon}(p, t)=\min _{v \in S_{H}^{1}} \max _{b= \pm 1} u^{\varepsilon}\left(p \cdot(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon b v), t-\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

## Existence by Discrete Games, III

## Theorem (Existence theorem by games)

Assume that $u_{0}$ is uniformly continuous function in $\mathcal{H}$ and is constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ outside a compact set. Assume also that $u_{0}$ is spatially axisymmetric about the vertical axis. Let $u^{\varepsilon}$ be the associated game value. Then $u^{\varepsilon}$ converges, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to the unique axisymmetric viscosity solution of (MCF) uniformly on compacta of $\mathcal{H} \times[0, \infty)$.

## Finite-time Extinction

## Theorem

Suppose that $\left\{\Gamma_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ denotes an axisymmetric surface evolving by the horizontal mean curvature flow. If $\Gamma_{0} \subset B_{r}$ for some $r>0$, then we have that $\Gamma_{t}=\emptyset$ for $t>r^{2} / \sqrt{12}$.

Here $B_{r}$ is the so-called Korány ball

$$
B_{r}=\left\{p=(x, y, z):\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+z^{2}<r^{4}\right\}
$$

The proof is based on the comparison with evolution from $\partial B_{r}$.

## Asymptotic Profile

## Theorem

If $\Gamma_{t} \subset \mathcal{H}(t \geq 0)$ is the horizontal mean curvature flow with initial condition a Korány sphere $\Gamma_{0}=\{p \in \mathcal{H}:|p|=r\}$. Then the the normalized flow

$$
\frac{\Gamma_{t}}{\sqrt{r^{4}-12 t^{2}}} \rightarrow E_{T}
$$

as $t \rightarrow T$, where $E_{T}$ is given by

$$
E_{T}:=\left\{p \in \mathcal{H}: 12 T\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+16 z^{2}=1\right\} .
$$

and the extinction time $T=\frac{r^{2}}{\sqrt{12}}$.

