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#### Abstract

The Ambrosio-Tortorelli approximation scheme with weighted underlying metric is investigated. It is shown that it $\Gamma$-converges to a Mumford-Shah image segmentation functional depending on the weight $\omega d x$, where $\omega \in S B V(\Omega)$, and on its value $\omega^{-}$.
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## 1. Introduction and Main Results

A central problem in image processing is image denoising. Given an image $u_{0}$, we decompose it as

$$
u_{0}=u_{g}+n
$$

where $u_{g}$ represents a noisy-free ground truth picture, while $n$ encodes noise or textures. Examples of models for such noise distributions are Gaussian noise in Magnetic Resonance Tomography, and Poisson noise in radar measurements [16]. Variational PDE methods have proven to be efficient to remove the noise $n$ from $u_{0}$. Several successful variational PDEs have been proposed in the literature (see, for example $[36,42,43,44]$ ) and, among these, the Mumford-Shah image segmentation
functional

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(u, K):=\alpha \int_{\Omega \backslash K}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\alpha \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(K)+\int_{\Omega}\left(u-u_{0}\right)^{2} d x  \tag{1.1}\\
& \text { where } u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega \backslash K), K \subset \Omega \text { closed in } \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

introduced in [41], is one of the most successful approaches. By minimizing the functional (1.1) one tries to find a "piecewise smooth" approximation of $u_{0}$. The existence of such minimizers can be proved by using compactness and lower semicontinuity theorems in $S B V(\Omega)$ (see $[1,2,3,4]$ ). Furthermore, regularity results in [22,24] give that minimizers $u$ satisfy

$$
u \in C^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{S}_{u}\right) \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\bar{S}_{u} \cap \Omega \backslash S_{u}\right)=0
$$

Here, as in what follows, $S_{u}$ stands for the jump set of $u$.
The parameter $\alpha>0$ in (1.1), determined by the user, plays an important role. For example, choosing $\alpha>0$ too large will result in over-smoothing and the edges that should have been preserved will be lost, and choosing $\alpha>0$ too small may keep the noise un-removed. The choice of the "best" parameter $\alpha$ then becomes an interesting task. In [25] the authors proposed a training scheme by using bilevel learning optimization defined in machine learning, which is a semi-supervised learning scheme that optimally adapts itself to the given "perfect data" (see [20, 21, 26, 27, 45, 46]). This learning scheme searches $\alpha>0$ such that the recovered image $u_{\alpha}$, obtained from(1.1), best fits the given clean image $u_{g}$, measured in terms of the $L^{2}$-distance. A simplified bilevel learning scheme $(\mathcal{B})$ from [25] is the following:
Level 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}:=\underset{\alpha>0}{\arg \min } \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\alpha}-u_{g}\right|^{2} d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Level 2.

$$
u_{\alpha}:=\underset{u \in S B V(\Omega)}{\arg \min }\left\{\int_{\Omega} \alpha|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\alpha \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)+\int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{0}\right|^{2} d x\right\}
$$

In [25] the authors proved that the above bilevel learning scheme has at least one solution $\bar{\alpha} \in$ $(0,+\infty]$, and a small modification rules out the possibility of $\bar{\alpha}=+\infty$.

The model proposed in [37] is aimed at improving the above learning scheme. It is a bilevel learning scheme which utilizes the scheme $(\mathcal{B})$ in each subdomain of $\Omega$, and searches for the best combination of different subdomains from which a recovered image $\bar{u}$, which best fits $u_{g}$, might be obtained.

To present the model, we first fix some notation. For $K \in \mathbb{N}, Q_{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ denotes a cube with its faces normal to the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and with side-length greater than or equal to $1 / K$. Define $\mathcal{P}_{K}$ to be a collection of finitely many $Q_{K}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{K}:=\left\{Q_{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}: Q_{K} \text { are mutually disjoint, } \Omega \subset \bigcup Q_{K}\right\}
$$

and $\mathcal{V}_{K}$ denotes the collection of all possible $\mathcal{P}_{K}$. For $K=0$ we set $Q_{0}:=\Omega$, hence $\mathcal{P}_{0}=\{\Omega\}$.
A simplified bilevel learning scheme $(\mathcal{P})$ in [37] is as follows:

Level 1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}:=\underset{K \geq 0, \mathcal{P}_{K} \in \mathcal{V}_{K}}{\arg \min }\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{g}-u_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}\right|^{2} d x\right\}  \tag{1.3}\\
& \text { where } u_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}:=\underset{u \in S B V(\Omega)}{\arg \min }\left\{\int_{\Omega} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}(x)|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\int_{S_{u}} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\int_{\Omega}\left|u-u_{0}\right|^{2} d x\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Level 2.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}(x):=\alpha_{Q_{K}} \text { for } x \in Q_{K} \in \mathcal{P}_{K}, \text { where } \alpha_{Q_{K}}:=\underset{\alpha>0}{\arg \min } \int_{Q_{K}}\left|u_{\alpha}-u_{g}\right|^{2} d x \\
& u_{\alpha}:=\underset{u \in S B V\left(Q_{K} \cap \Omega\right)}{\arg \min }\left\{\int_{Q_{K} \cap \Omega} \alpha|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\alpha \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)+\int_{Q_{K} \cap \Omega}\left|u-u_{0}\right|^{2} d x\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Scheme $(\mathcal{P})$ allows us to perform the denoising procedure "point-wisely", and it is an improvement of (1.2). Note that at step $K=0$, (1.3) reduces to (1.2). It is well known that the MumfordShah model, as well as the ROF model in [44], leads to undesirable phenomena like the staircasing effect (see [10, 19]). However, such staircasing effect is significantly mitigated in (1.3), according to numerical simulations in [37] (a theoretical validation of such improvement is needed). We remark that the most important step is (1.4) for the following reasons:

1. (1.4) is the bridge connecting level 1 and level 2 ;
2. since $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}$ is defined by locally optimizing the parameter $\alpha_{Q_{K}}$, we expect $u_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}$ be "close" to $u_{g}$ locally in $Q_{K}$;
3. the last integrand in (1.4) keeps $u_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}$ close to $u_{0}$ globally, hence we may expect $u_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}$ to have a good balance between local optimization and global optimization.
We may view (1.4) as a weighted version of (1.1) by changing the underlying metric from $d x$ to $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{K}} d x$. By the construction of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}$ in (1.5), we know it is a piecewise constant function and, since $K>0$ is finite, the discontinuity set of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}$ has finite $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ measure. However, $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}$ is only defined $\mathcal{L}^{N}$-a.e., and hence the term

$$
\int_{S_{u}} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

might be ill-defined.
In this paper, we deal with the well-definess of (1.4) by modifying $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{K}}$ accordingly, and by building a sequence of functionals which $\Gamma$-converges to (1.4). To be precise, we adopt the approximation scheme of Ambrosio and Tortorelli in [8] and change the underlying metric properly. In (1.1) Ambrosio and Tortorelli considered a sequence of functionals reminiscent of the Cahn-Hilliard approximation, and introduced a family of elliptic functionals

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega} \alpha|\nabla u|^{2} v^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \alpha\left[\varepsilon|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(u-u_{0}\right)^{2} d x
$$

where $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega),(v-1) \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and $u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. The additional field $v$ plays the role of controlling variable on the gradient of $u$. In [8] a rigorous argument has been made to show that $G_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow G$ in the sense of $\Gamma$-convergence ([9]), where $G$ is defined in (1.1).

In view of (1.5), we fix a weight function $\omega \in S B V(\Omega)$ such that $\omega$ is positive and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<+\infty$.

Our new (weighted version) Mumford-Shah image segmentation functional is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\omega}(u):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the (weighted version) of Ambrosio - Tortorelli functionals are defined as

$$
E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} v^{2} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x .
$$

It is natural to take $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ in (1.6) (see Definition 2.6. For basic definitions and theorems of weighted spaces we refer to [5, 6, 11, 14, 15, 18, 32, 33]). Moreover, since $K \geq 0$ is finite and $\alpha_{Q_{K}}>0$ in (1.5), it is not restricted to assume that

$$
\operatorname{essinf}\{\omega(x), x \in \Omega\} \geq l \text {, where } l>0 \text { is a constant. }
$$

This condition implies that all weighted spaces considered in this paper are embedded in the corresponding non-weighted spaces, and hence we may apply some results that hold in the context of non-weighted spaces. For example, $B V_{\omega} \subset B V$ and $W_{\omega}^{1,2} \subset W^{1,2}$ (see Definition 2.6), and most theorems in [8] can be applied to $u \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ (for example, Theorem 2.3 in [8]).

Before we state our main result, we recall that similar problems have been studied for different types of weight functions $\omega$ (see, for example [12, 13, 35]). In particular, [12, 13] treat a uniformly continuous and strong $A_{\infty}$ (defined in [23]) weight function on Modica-Mortola and Mumford-Shahtype functionals, respectively, and in [35] the authors considered a $C^{1, \beta}$-continuous weight function, with some other minor assumptions, in the one-dimensional Cahn-Hilliard model.

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be open bounded, let $\omega \in \operatorname{SBV}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and let $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}: L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega) \times$ $L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v) & \text { if }(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), 0 \leq v \leq 1, \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then the functionals $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon} \Gamma$-converge, with respect to the $L_{\omega}^{1} \times L^{1}$ topology, to the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega}(u) & \text { if } u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \text { and } v=1 \text { a.e. } \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

The proof of $\Gamma$-convergence consists of two steps. The first step is to prove the "lim inf inequality"

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq E_{\omega}(u)
$$

for every sequence $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$. This is obtained in Section 3.2 in the case $N=1$ by using most of the arguments proposed in [8], and the properties of $S B V$ functions in one dimension (see Lemma 2.5). The case $N>1$ is studied in Section 4.3, and it uses a special slicing argument (see Lemma 4.6).

The second step is the construction of a recovery sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1\right)$ such that the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

only captures the information of $\omega^{-}$. We note that for small $\varepsilon>0$, (1.7) only penalizes a $\varepsilon$ neighborhood around the jump point of $u$. By using fine properties of $S B V$ functions (see Theorem 2.4), we are able to incorporate $u$ and $v$ in our model such that (1.7) will only penalize along the direction $-\nu_{S_{\omega}}$. This will be carried out in Lemma 3.7.

We remark that the techniques we developed in this paper can be adapted to other functional models. For example,

1. the weighted Cahn-Hilliard model defined as

$$
C H_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u):=\int_{I}\left[\varepsilon|\nabla u(x)|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(u)\right] \omega d x,
$$

for $u \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and with a double well potential function $W: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ such that $\{W=0\}=$ $\{0,1\}$ with the $\Gamma$-limit

$$
C H_{\omega}(u):=c_{W} P_{\omega}(u)
$$

defined for $u=\chi_{E} \in B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$, where

$$
c_{W}:=2 \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{W(s)} d s \text { and } P_{\omega}(u):=\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} ;
$$

2. higher order singular perturbation models defined by the $\Gamma$-limit

$$
H_{\omega}(u):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1},
$$

and approximation energies

$$
H_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} v^{2} \omega d x+\frac{1}{C(k)} \int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon^{2 k-1}\left|\nabla^{(k)} v\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x,
$$

where

$$
C(k):=\min \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left|v^{(k)}\right|^{2}+(v-1)^{2} d x, v(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=v^{(k-1)}(0)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)=1\right\} .
$$

The analysis of items 1 and 2 above is forthcoming (see [38]).
This article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce some definitions and we recall preliminary results. In Section 3 we prove the one dimensional version of Theorem 1.1. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of our main result.

## 2. Definitions and Preliminary Results

Throughout this paper, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an open bounded set with Lipschitz boundary, and $I:=(-1,1)$.
Definition 2.1. We say that $u \in B V(\Omega)$ is a special function of bounded variation, and we write $u \in \operatorname{SBV}(\Omega)$, if the Cantor part of its derivative, $D^{c} u$, is zero, so that (see [4], (3.89))

$$
\begin{equation*}
D u=D^{a} u+D^{j} u=\nabla u \mathcal{L}^{N}\left\lfloor\Omega+\left(u^{+}-u^{-}\right) \nu_{u} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left\lfloor S_{u} .\right.\right. \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we say that $u \in \operatorname{GSBV}(\Omega)$ if $K \wedge u \vee-K \in \operatorname{SBV}(\Omega)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here we always identify $u \in S B V(\Omega)$ with its approximation representative $\bar{u}$, where

$$
\bar{u}(x):=\frac{1}{2}\left[u^{+}(x)+u^{-}(x)\right]
$$

with

$$
u^{+}(x):=\inf \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B(x, r) \cap\{u>t\})}{r^{N}}=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
u^{-}(x):=\sup \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B(x, r) \cap\{u<t\})}{r^{N}}=0\right\}
$$

We note that $\bar{u}$ is Borel measurable (see [29], Lemma 1, page 210), and it can be shown that $\bar{u}=u$ $\mathcal{L}^{N}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and that

$$
(\bar{u})^{+}(x)=u^{+}(x) \text { and }(\bar{u})^{-}(x)=u^{-}(x)
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$ (see [29], Corollary 1, page 216). Furthermore, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-<u^{-}(x) \leq u^{+}(x)<+\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$ (see [29], Theorem 2, page 211). The inequality (2.2) uniquely determines the sign of $\nu_{u}$ in (2.1).
Definition 2.2. (The weight function) We say that $\omega$ : $\Omega \rightarrow(0,+\infty]$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ if $\omega \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and has a positive lower bound, i.e., there exists $l>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ess} \inf \{\omega(x), x \in \Omega\} \geq l \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we take $l=1$. Moreover, in this paper we will only consider the cases in which $\omega$ is either a continuous function or a $S B V$ function. If $\omega \in S B V$ then, in addition, we require that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<\infty \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\overline{S_{\omega}} \backslash S_{\omega}\right)=0
$$

We next fix some notation which will be used throughout this paper.
Notation 2.3. Let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable set and let $x \in \Gamma$ be given.

1. We denote by $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$ a normal vector at $x$ with respect to $\Gamma$, and $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)$ is the cube centered at $x$ with side length $r$ and two faces normal to $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$;
2. $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}$ stands for the hyperplane normal to $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)$ and passing through $x$, and $\mathbb{P}_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}$ stands for the projection operator from $\Gamma$ onto $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}$;
3. we define the hyperplane

$$
T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}(t):=T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}+t \nu_{\Gamma}(x)
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
4. we introduce the half-spaces

$$
H_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x)^{+}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \nu_{\Gamma}(x) \cdot(y-x) \geq 0\right\}
$$

and

$$
H_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x)^{-}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \nu_{\Gamma}(x) \cdot(y-x) \leq 0\right\}
$$

Moreover, we define the half-cubes

$$
Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}^{ \pm}(x, r):=Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r) \cap H_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x)^{ \pm}
$$

5. for given $\tau>0$, we denote by $R_{\tau, \nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)$ the part of $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)$ which lies strictly between the two hyperplanes $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}(-\tau r)$ and $T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}(\tau r)$;
6. we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\delta}:=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, A)<\delta\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $A \subset \Omega$ and $\delta>0$.
Theorem 2.4 ([29], Theorem 3, page 213). Assume that $u \in B V(\Omega)$. Then

1. for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x_{0} \in \Omega \backslash S_{u}$,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|u(x)-\bar{u}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} d x=0
$$

2. for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x_{0} \in S_{u}$,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap H_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{0}\right)^{ \pm}}\left|u(x)-u^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} d x=0
$$

3. for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e. $x_{0} \in S_{u}$

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N-1}} \int_{S_{u} \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|u^{+}(x)-u^{-}(x)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)=\left|u^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)-u^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $\omega \in S B V(I)$ be such that $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<\infty$. For every $x \in I$ the following statements hold:

1. if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset I$ are such that $x_{n}<x<y_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=$ $x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \underset{y \in\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } \omega(y) \geq \omega^{-}(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. 

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{\left.z_{n} \rightarrow x\\\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}(x)}^{ \pm}}} \bar{\omega}\left(z_{n}\right)=\omega^{ \pm}(x) ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. 

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(K_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{ess} \sup _{\substack{z \in K_{n} \\ K_{n} \subset \subset H_{\nu_{S \omega}}^{ \pm}(x)}} \omega(z)=\omega^{ \pm}(x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{n} \subset \subset H_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}(x)}^{ \pm}$and $d_{\mathcal{H}}$ denotes the Hausdorff distance (see Definition A.1).
Proof. If $x \notin S_{\omega}$, then there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
S_{\omega} \cap(x-\delta, x+\delta)=\varnothing
$$

and so $\omega$ is absolutely continuous in $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$, and (2.5)-(2.7) are trivially satisfied with $\omega(x)=\omega^{-}(x)$ and with equality in place of the inequality in (2.5).

Let $x \in S_{\omega}$ and, without loss of generality, assume that $x=0$, and let $x_{n}, y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ with $x_{n}<0<y_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<\infty$, choose $\bar{r}>0$ such that

$$
S_{\omega} \cap(0-\bar{r}, 0+\bar{r})=0
$$

As $\bar{\omega}$ is absolutely continuous in $(-\bar{r}, 0)$ and $(0, \bar{r})$, we may extend $\bar{\omega}$ uniquely to $x=0$ from the left and the right (see Exercise 3.7 (1) in [34]) to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}\left(0^{+}\right):=\lim _{x \searrow 0^{+}} \bar{\omega}(x) \text { and } \bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right):=\lim _{x \nearrow 0^{-}} \bar{\omega}(x) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that (the case $\bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right) \geq \bar{\omega}\left(0^{+}\right)$can be treated similarly)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right) \leq \bar{\omega}\left(0^{+}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{x \in\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)} \bar{\omega}(x) \geq \bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. By (2.8) find $\bar{r}>\delta>0$ small enough such that

$$
\left|\bar{\omega}(x)-\bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \text { for all } x \in(-\delta, 0), \text { and }\left|\bar{\omega}(x)-\bar{\omega}\left(0^{+}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \text { for all } x \in(0, \delta)
$$

This, together with (2.9), yields

$$
\bar{\omega}(x) \geq \bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon
$$

for all $x \in(-\delta, \delta)$. Since $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we may choose $n$ large enough such that $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \subset$ $(-\delta, \delta)$ and hence

$$
\inf _{x \in\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)} \bar{\omega}(x) \geq \bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right)-\varepsilon
$$

Thus, (2.10) follows by the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon>0$.
We next claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}\left(0^{ \pm}\right)=\omega^{ \pm}(0) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.4 part 2 and the fact that $\bar{\omega}=\omega \mathcal{L}^{1}$-a.e., we have

$$
\omega^{-}(0)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{-r}^{0} \omega(t) d t=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{-r}^{0} \bar{\omega}(t) d t=\bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right)
$$

where at the last equality we used the properties of absolutely continuous function and the definition of $\bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right)$. The equation $\bar{\omega}\left(0^{+}\right)=\omega^{+}(0)$ can be proved similarly.

Therefore

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{essinf}_{x \in\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)} \omega(x)=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{x \in\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)} \bar{\omega}(x) \geq \bar{\omega}\left(0^{-}\right)=\omega^{-}(0)
$$

which concludes (2.5), and (2.6) and (2.7) hold by (2.8) and (2.11).
Definition 2.6. (Weighted function spaces) Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$ :

1. $L_{\omega}^{p}(\Omega)$ is the space of functions $u \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \omega d x<\infty
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u-v\|_{L_{\omega}^{p}}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u-v|^{p} \omega d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

if $u, v \in L_{\omega}^{p}(\Omega)$;
2. $W_{\omega}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is the space of functions $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
u \in L_{\omega}^{p}(\Omega) \text { and } \nabla u \in L_{\omega}^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u-v\|_{W_{\omega}^{1, p}}:=\|u-v\|_{L_{\omega}^{p}}+\|\nabla u-\nabla v\|_{L_{\omega}^{p}}
$$

if $u, v \in W_{\omega}^{1, p}(\Omega)$;
3. $B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ is the space of functions $u \in B V(\Omega)$ such that

$$
u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega) \text { and } \int_{\Omega} \omega d|D u|<\infty
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u-v\|_{B V_{\omega}}:=\|u-v\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}}+\int_{\Omega} \omega d|D u-D v|
$$

if $u, v \in B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$;
4. $u \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ if $u \in B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \cap S B V(\Omega)$, and $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ if $K \wedge u \vee-K \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ for all $K \in \mathbb{N}$.
Lemma 2.7. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ be given, and suppose that $u \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \cap\{\omega=+\infty\}\right)=0
$$

Proof. By Definition 2.6 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
+\infty>\int_{\Omega} \omega d|D u| & =\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u| \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}}\left|u^{+}-u^{-}\right| \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& \geq \int_{S_{u} \cap\{\omega=+\infty\}}\left|u^{+}-u^{-}\right| \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left|u^{+}-u^{-}\right|(x)>0$ for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in S_{u}$, it follows from (2.12) that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \cap\{\omega=+\infty\}\right)=$ 0.

Lemma 2.8. The space $L_{\omega}^{2}$ is a Hilbert space endowed with the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{L_{\omega}^{2}}:=(u, v \omega)_{L^{2}}=\int u v \omega d x \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is clear that (2.13) is an inner product. Also, $(u, u)_{L_{\omega}^{2}}=(u \sqrt{\omega}, u \sqrt{\omega})_{L^{2}} \geq 0$, and if $(u, u)_{L_{\omega}^{2}}=0$ then by (2.3)

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x=0
$$

and thus $u=0$ a.e.
To see that $L_{\omega}^{2}$ is complete, and therefore a Hilbert space, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $L_{\omega}^{2}$ and notice that $\left\{u_{n} \sqrt{\omega}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{2}$. Hence, there is a function $v \in L^{2}$ such that $u_{n} \sqrt{\omega} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}$. Defining $u:=v / \sqrt{\omega}$, we have that $u \in L_{\omega}^{2}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\omega}^{2}$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\omega}^{1}$ and

$$
\sup \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \omega d x<\infty
$$

Then, for every measurable set $A \subset \Omega$

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{A}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x
$$

and $u \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.
Proof. By (2.3) we have that $\left\{\nabla u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$. Hence $\nabla u_{n} \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and using standard lower semi-continuity of convex energies (see [31], Theorem 6.3.7), we conclude that

$$
+\infty>\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{A}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x
$$

for every measurable subset $A \subset \Omega$. In particular, with $A=\Omega$ and using the fact that $1 \leq \omega$ a.e., we deduce that $u \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega)$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}<+\infty \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<+\infty$ and $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$.
Proof. By (2.14) and (2.3)

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<+\infty
$$

and hence by [8] we have that $u \in G S B V(\Omega)$. To show that $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$, we only need to verify that

$$
\int_{S_{u_{K}}}\left|u_{K}^{+}-u_{K}^{-}\right| \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}<+\infty
$$

for every $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and with $u_{K}:=K \wedge u \vee-K$. Indeed, by (2.14)

$$
\int_{S_{u_{K}}}\left|u_{K}^{+}-u_{K}^{-}\right| \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq 2 K \int_{S_{u_{K}}} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq 2 K \int_{S_{u}} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}<+\infty .
$$

## 3. The One Dimensional Case

3.1. The Case $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(I) \cap C(I)$.

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(I) \cap C(I)$ be given. Consider the functionals

$$
E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{I} v^{2}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x
$$

for $(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I)$, and let

$$
E_{\omega}(u):=\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega(x)
$$

be defined for $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(I)$ (Note that $E_{1, \varepsilon}(u, v)$ and $E_{1}(u)$ are, respectively, the non-weighted Ambrosio-Tortorelli approximation scheme and Mumford-Shah functional studied in [8]).
Theorem 3.1 ( $\Gamma$-Convergence). Let $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}: L_{\omega}^{1}(I) \times L^{1}(I) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v) & \text { if }(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the functionals $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon} \Gamma$-converge, with respect to the $L_{\omega}^{1} \times L^{1}$ topology, to the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega}(u) & \text { if } u \in G S B V_{\omega}(I) \text { and } v=1 \text { a.e. } \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We begin with an auxiliary proposition.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(I)$ be such that $0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}(I)$ and pointwise a.e., and

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x<\infty
$$

Then for arbitrary $0<\eta<1$ there exists an open set $H_{\eta} \subset I$ satisfying:

1. the set $I \backslash H_{\eta}$ is a collection of finitely many points in $I$;
2. for every set $K$ compactly contained in $H_{\eta}$, we have $K \subset B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}:=\left\{x \in I: v_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x) \geq \eta\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.2 is adapted from [8], page 1020-1021 (see Lemma A.3).
Proposition 3.3. ( $\Gamma$-liminf) For $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(I)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{-}(u):=\inf \{ & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right): \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
E_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq E_{\omega}(u)
$$

Proof. If $E_{\omega}^{-}(u)=+\infty$ then there is nothing to prove. Assume that $M:=E_{\omega}^{-}(u)<\infty$. Choose $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\varepsilon}$ admissible for $E_{\omega}^{-}(u)$ such that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)=E_{\omega}^{-}(u)<\infty
$$

and note that $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}(I)$. Since $\inf _{x \in \Omega} \omega(x) \geq 1$, we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{1, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)<+\infty
$$

and by [8] we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in G S B V(I) \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{u}\right)<+\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{\varepsilon}>0$ be sufficiently small so that, for all $0<\varepsilon<\bar{\varepsilon}$,

$$
E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq M+1
$$

We claim, separately, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x<+\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega(x) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x<+\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (3.3), (3.4), and Lemma 2.10 will yield $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(I)$.
Up to the extraction of a (not relabeled) subsequence, we have $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ and $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ a.e. in $I$ with

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x<+\infty
$$

Therefore, up to the extraction of a (not relabeled) subsequence, we can apply Proposition 3.2 and deduce that, for a fixed $\eta \in(1 / 2,1)$, there exists an open set $H_{\eta}$ such that the set $I \backslash H_{\eta}$ contains only a finite number of points, and for every compact subset $K \subset \subset H_{\eta}, K$ is contained in $B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}$ for $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon(K)$, where $B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}$ is defined in (3.1). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K} v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I} v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x
\end{align*}
$$

where we used Lemma 2.9 in the first inequality. By letting $K \nearrow H_{\eta}$ on the left hand side of (3.5) first and then $\eta \nearrow 1$ on the right hand side, we proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I} v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the fact that $\left|I \backslash H_{\eta}\right|=0$.
We claim that $S_{u} \subset I \backslash H_{\eta}$. Indeed, if there is $x_{0} \in S_{u} \cap H_{\eta}$, since $H_{\eta}$ is open there exists an open interval $I_{0}^{\prime}$ containing $x_{0}$ and compactly contained in $H_{\eta}$ such that for $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}$

$$
\int_{I_{0}^{\prime}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{I_{0}^{\prime}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{I} v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq 2(M+1)
$$

Thus $u \in W^{1,2}\left(I_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, and hence is continuous at $x_{0}$, which contradicts the fact that $x_{0} \in S_{u}$.
Let $t \in S_{u}$, and for simplicity assume that $t=0$. We claim that there exist $\left\{t_{n}^{1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{t_{n}^{2}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $-1<t_{n}^{1}<s_{n}<t_{n}^{2}<1$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=0
$$

and, up to the extraction of a subsequence of $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}\left(t_{n}^{1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}\left(t_{n}^{2}\right)=1, \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}\left(s_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $I \backslash H_{\eta}$ is discrete and $0 \in I \backslash H_{\eta}$, we may choose $\delta_{0}>0$ small enough such that

$$
\left(-2 \delta_{0}, 2 \delta_{0}\right) \cap\left(I \backslash H_{\eta}\right)=\{0\} .
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \inf _{x \in I_{\delta}} v_{\varepsilon}(x)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{\delta}:=(-\delta, \delta)$. Assume that

$$
\limsup _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \inf _{x \in I_{\delta}} v_{\varepsilon}(x)=: \alpha>0
$$

Then there exists $0<\delta_{\alpha}<\delta_{0}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \inf _{x \in I_{\delta_{\alpha}}} v_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \frac{2}{3} \alpha>0
$$

Up to the extraction of a subsequence of $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}^{\delta_{\alpha}}>0$ such that

$$
\inf _{x \in I_{\delta_{\alpha}}} v_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha>0
$$

for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}^{\delta_{\alpha}}$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_{\delta_{\alpha}}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{I_{\delta_{\alpha}}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
& \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I_{\delta_{\alpha}}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{I_{\delta_{\alpha}}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x<\frac{2}{\alpha}(M+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u \in W^{1,2}\left(I_{\delta_{\alpha}}\right)$ and so $u$ is continuous at $0 \in S_{u}$, and we reduce a contradiction. Therefore, in view of (3.8) we may find $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}, \varepsilon(n) \rightarrow 0^{+}$, and $s_{n} \in\left(-\delta_{n}, \delta_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=0 \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n)}\left(s_{n}\right)=0
$$

We claim that for all $\tau \in(0,1 / 2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\inf _{x \in\left(s_{n}-\tau, s_{n}\right)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}(x)\right)+\inf _{y \in\left(s_{n}, s_{n}+\tau\right)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}(x)\right)\right]=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To reach a contradiction, assume that there exists $\tau \in(0,1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\inf _{x \in\left(s_{n}-\tau, s_{n}\right)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}(x)\right)+\inf _{x \in\left(s_{n}, s_{n}+\tau\right)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}(x)\right)\right]=: \beta>0
$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{x \in\left(s_{n}-\tau, s_{n}\right)}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n)}(x)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \beta>0
$$

Then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in\left(s_{n}-\tau, s_{n}\right)} v_{\varepsilon(n)}(x) \leq 1-\frac{1}{2} \beta
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in\left(s_{n_{k}}-\tau, s_{n_{k}}\right)} v_{\varepsilon\left(n_{k}\right)}(x) \leq 1-\frac{1}{3} \beta \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a subsequence $\left\{\varepsilon\left(n_{k}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset\{\varepsilon(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. However, (3.10) contradicts the fact that $v_{\varepsilon\left(n_{k}\right)}(x) \rightarrow 1$ a.e. since for $k$ large enough so that $\left|s_{n_{k}}\right|<\tau / 4$ it holds

$$
\left(s_{n_{k}}-\tau, s_{n_{k}}\right) \supset\left(-\frac{3}{4} \tau,-\frac{\tau}{4}\right)
$$

Therefore, in view of (3.9) we may find $t_{m}^{1} \in\left(s_{n(m)}-1 / m, s_{n(m)}\right)$ and $t_{m}^{2} \in\left(s_{n(m)}, s_{n(m)}+1 / m\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{m}^{1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{m}^{2}=0 \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\left(t_{m}^{1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\left(t_{m}^{2}\right)=1
$$

We next show that

$$
\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
& \geq \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}^{\prime}\right| d x \geq \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right) v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}^{\prime} d x\right| \\
& =\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}\left|\int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}} \frac{d}{d t}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2} d x\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\left(s_{n(m)}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\left(t_{m}^{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (3.7). Similarly, we obtain

$$
\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s_{n(m)}}^{t_{m}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

We observe that, since $\omega$ is positive,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{t_{m}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
\geq & \left(\inf _{r \in\left(t_{m}^{1}, t_{m}^{2}\right)} \omega(r)\right) \cdot\left\{\int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right.  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left.+\int_{s_{n(m)}}^{t_{m}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{t_{m}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
& \quad \geq\left(\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{r \in\left(t_{m}^{1}, t_{m}^{2}\right)} \omega(r)\right) \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}}\left[\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right] d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{s_{n(m)}}^{t_{m}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right\} \\
& \quad \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \omega(0)=\omega(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $\omega$ is continuous at 0 .
Finally, since $S_{u} \subset I \backslash H_{\eta}$, by (3.2) we have that $S_{u}$ is a finite collection of points, and we may repeat the above argument for all $t \in S_{u}$ by partitioning $I$ into non-overlaping intervals where there is at most one point of $S_{u}$, to deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \geq \sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega(x) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.6) and (3.12), we conclude that

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq E_{\omega}(u)
$$

Proposition 3.4. ( $\Gamma$-limsup) For $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(I) \cap L^{\infty}(I)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u):=\inf \{ & \left\{\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u) \leq E_{\omega}(u) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $E_{\omega}(u)<\infty$. Then by Lemma 2.10 we have $u \in$ $G S B V_{\omega}(I)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{u}\right)<\infty$. To prove (3.13), we show that there exist $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I)$ and $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(I)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq E_{\omega}(u) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 1: Assume that $S_{u}=\{0\}$.
Fix $\eta>0$, and let $T>0$ and $v_{0} \in W^{1,2}(0, T)$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq v_{0} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(1-v_{0}\right)^{2}+\left|v_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right] d x \leq 1+\eta \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v_{0}(0)=0$ and $v_{0}(T)=1$.
For $\xi_{\varepsilon}=o(\varepsilon)$ we define

$$
v_{\varepsilon}(x):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }|x| \leq \xi_{\varepsilon}  \tag{3.16}\\ v_{0}\left(\frac{|x|-\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text { if } \xi_{\varepsilon}<|x|<\xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T \\ 1 & \text { if }|x| \geq \xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(I)} \leq 1$, by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we have $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}$. Let

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x):= \begin{cases}u(x) & \text { if }|x| \geq \frac{1}{2} \xi_{\varepsilon}  \tag{3.17}\\ \text { affine from } u\left(-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\varepsilon}\right) \text { to } u\left(\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\varepsilon}\right) & \text { if }|x|<\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\varepsilon}\end{cases}
$$

and we observe that (recall in assumption we have $u \in L^{\infty}(I)$ )

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(I)} \leq\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}
$$

and

$$
\int_{I}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(I)} \omega d x<\infty .
$$

Therefore, by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we deduce that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\omega}^{1}$. Moreover, by (3.16) and (3.17) we observe that

$$
v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}= \begin{cases}v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} & \text { if } x \geq\left|\xi_{\varepsilon}\right| \\ 0 & \text { if } x<\left|\xi_{\varepsilon}\right|\end{cases}
$$

and so $v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \leq\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}$. Since $E_{\omega}(u)<\infty$ we have $u^{\prime} \in L_{\omega}^{2}(I)$, by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I} v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x=\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x
$$

Next, since $\omega$ is positive we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
= & \int_{-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T}^{-\xi_{\varepsilon}}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x+\int_{\xi_{\varepsilon}}^{\xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{-\xi_{\varepsilon}}^{\xi_{\varepsilon}} \omega(x) d x \\
\leq & \left(\sup _{t \in\left(-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T, \xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T\right)} \omega(t)\right) \cdot\left\{\int_{-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T}^{-\xi_{\varepsilon}}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\xi_{\varepsilon}}^{\xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x\right\}+\frac{\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
& \quad \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\sup _{t \in\left(-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T, \xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T\right)} \omega(t)\right) \\
& \quad \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\int_{-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T}^{-\xi_{\varepsilon}}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x+\int_{\xi_{\varepsilon}}^{\xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \omega(0)(1+\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (3.15).
We conclude that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\omega(0)(1+\eta)
$$

and (3.14) follows by the arbitrariness of $\eta$.
Step 2: In the general case in which $S_{u}$ is finite, we obtain $u_{\varepsilon}$ by repeating the construction in $\overline{\text { Step } 1}$ (see (3.17)) in small non-overlapping intervals centered at each point in $S_{u}$. To obtain $v_{\varepsilon}$, we
repeat the construction (3.16) in those intervals and extend by 1 in the complement of the union of those intervals. Hence, by Step 1 we have

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+(1+\eta) \sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega(x)
$$

and again (3.14) follows by letting $\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. The liminf inequality follows from Proposition 3.3. For the lim sup inequality, we note that for any given $u \in G S B V_{\omega}$ such that $E_{\omega}(u)<+\infty$, by Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem we have that

$$
E_{\omega}(u)=\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} E_{\omega}(K \wedge u \vee-K)
$$

and hence a diagonal argument together with Proposition 3.4 conclude the proof.

### 3.2. The Case $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(I) \cap S B V(I)$.

Consider the functionals

$$
E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} v^{2} \omega d x+\int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x
$$

for $(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I)$, and for $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(I)$ let

$$
E_{\omega}(u):=\int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x)
$$

We note that if $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(I) \cap S B V(I)$ and $\omega$ is continuous in a neighborhood of $S_{u}$, for $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(I)$, then

$$
\sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x)=\sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega(x)
$$

and Theorem 3.1 still holds.
Here we study the case in which $\omega$ is no longer continuous on a neighborhood of $S_{u}$. We recall that $\omega \in S B V(I)$ implies that $\omega \in L^{\infty}(I)$ and by definition of $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(I)$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<\infty$. Also, we note that $\omega^{-}$is defined $\mathcal{H}^{0}$-a.e, hence everywhere in $I$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}: L_{\omega}^{1}(I) \times L^{1}(I) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v) & \text { if }(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the functionals $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon} \Gamma$-converge, with respect to the $L_{\omega}^{1} \times L^{1}$ topology, to the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega}(u) & \text { if } u \in G S B V_{\omega}(I) \text { and } v=1 \text { a.e. } \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 will be split into two propositions.
Proposition 3.6. ( $\Gamma$-liminf) For $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(I)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{-}(u):=\inf \{ & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right): \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
E_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq E_{\omega}(u) \cdot s_{n(m)}
$$

Proof. Without lose of generality, assume that $E_{\omega}^{-}(u)<+\infty$. We use the same arguments of the proof of Proposition 3.3 until (3.11). In particular, (3.2) and (3.3) still hold, that is

$$
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S_{u}\right)<+\infty \text { and } \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x
$$

Invoking (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{t_{m}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
& \geq\left(\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} \underset{r \in\left(t_{m}^{1}, t_{m}^{2}\right)}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } \omega(r)\right) \cdot \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{t_{m}^{1}}^{s_{n(m)}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{s_{n(m)}}^{t_{m}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(n(m))\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(n(m))}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon(n(m))}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right\} \\
& \geq \omega^{-}(0)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\omega^{-}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step is justified by (2.5).
Since $S_{u}$ is finite, we may repeat the above argument for all $t \in S_{u}$ by partitioning $I$ into finitely many non-overlapping intervals where there is at most one point of $S_{u}$, to conclude that

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \geq \sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x)
$$

as desired.
The construction of the recovery sequence uses a reflection argument nearby points of $S_{\omega} \cap S_{u}$.
Proposition 3.7. ( $\Gamma-\lim \sup$ ) For $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(I) \cap L^{\infty}(I)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u):=\inf \{ & \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right): \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times W^{1,2}(I), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u) \leq E_{\omega}(u) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove (3.18), we only need to explicitly construct a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{\omega}^{1,2}(I) \times$ $W^{1,2}(I)$ such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq E_{\omega}(u) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 1: Assume that $\{0\}=S_{u} \subset S_{\omega}$.

Recall that we always identify $\omega$ with its approximation representative $\bar{\omega}$, and by (2.6) we may assume that (the converse situation may be dealt with similarly)

$$
\lim _{t \nearrow 0^{-}} \omega(t)=\omega^{-}(0) \text { and } \lim _{t \searrow 0^{+}} \omega(t)=\omega^{+}(0) .
$$

Fix $\eta>0$. For $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, and with $\xi_{\varepsilon}=o(\varepsilon)$, as in (3.15), (3.16) let

$$
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(x):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }|x| \leq \xi_{\varepsilon} \\ v_{0}\left(\frac{|x|-\xi_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text { if } \xi_{\varepsilon}<|x|<\xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T \\ 1 & \text { if }|x| \geq \xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T\end{cases}
$$

and define

$$
v_{\varepsilon}(x):=\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(x+2 \xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T\right)
$$

Note that from (3.16) $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ a.e., and since $0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1$, by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we have $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{1}$. We also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $x \in\left(-1,-3 \xi_{\varepsilon}-2 \varepsilon T\right) \cup\left(-\xi_{\varepsilon}, 1\right)$, and if $x \in\left(-3 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T,-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\varepsilon}(x)=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x):= \begin{cases}u(x) & \text { if } x \in\left(-1,-2 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T\right) \cup(0,1), \\ u(-x) & \text { if } x \in\left[-2 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T, 0\right]\end{cases}
$$

Observe that $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is continuous at 0 since $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{+}(0)=\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}^{-}(0)=u^{+}(0)$ by the definition of $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x)$, and $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}$ may only jump at $t=-2 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T$ but not at $t=0$ where $u$ jumps.

We define the recovery sequence
$u_{\varepsilon}(x):= \begin{cases}\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) & \text { if } x \in I \backslash\left[-2.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T,-1.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T\right], \\ \text { affine from } \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(-2.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T\right) \text { to } \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(-1.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T\right) & \text { if } x \in\left[-2.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T,-1.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T\right] .\end{cases}$
We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}-u\right| \omega d x=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \leq \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show (3.22), we observe that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}-u\right| \omega d x \leq \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-2.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T}^{0}\left|u_{\varepsilon}-u\right| \omega d x \leq \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 2\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(2.5 \xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T\right)=0
$$

We next prove (3.23). By (3.20) we have

$$
\int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \leq \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T}^{0}\left|u^{\prime}(-x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

and so

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \leq \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x
$$

since $u^{\prime} \in L_{\omega}^{2}(I)$, and we conclude that $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(I)$.
On the other hand, by (3.20) and (3.21),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I} & {\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x } \\
& =\int_{-3 \xi_{\varepsilon}-2 \varepsilon T}^{-\xi_{\varepsilon}}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
& \leq\left(\underset{t \in\left(-3 \xi_{\varepsilon}-2 \varepsilon T,-\xi_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup ^{2}} \omega(t)\right) \int_{-3 \xi_{\varepsilon}-2 \varepsilon T}^{-\xi_{\varepsilon}}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
& =\left(\operatorname{ess} \sup _{t \in\left(-3 \xi_{\varepsilon}-2 \varepsilon T,-\xi_{\varepsilon}\right)}^{\operatorname{sen}} \omega(t)\right) \int_{-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T}^{\xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega(x) d x \\
\leq & \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\underset{t \in\left(-3 \xi_{\varepsilon}-2 \varepsilon T,-\xi_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \omega(t)\right)\left\{\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\xi_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon T}^{\xi_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x\right\} \\
\leq & \omega^{-}(0)(1+\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

where at the last inequality we used the definition of $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon},(3.15)$, and (2.6).
We conclude that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+\omega^{-}(0)(1+\eta)
$$

and (3.19) follows due to the arbitrariness of $\eta$.
Step 2: In the general case, we recall that $S_{u}$ is finite. We may obtain $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\varepsilon}$ by repeating the construction in Step 1 in small non-overlapping intervals centered at every point of $S_{u} \cap S_{\omega}$, and by repeating the construction in Step 1 in Lemma 3.4 in those non-overlaping intervals centered at points of $S_{u} \backslash S_{\omega}$. Hence, we have

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \int_{I}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega d x+(1+\eta) \sum_{x \in S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x)
$$

and (3.19) follows due to the arbitrariness of $\eta$.
Proof of Theorem 3.5. The proof follows that of Theorem 3.1, using Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.7, in place of Proposition 3.3 and 3.4, respectively.

## 4. The Multi-Dimensional Case

### 4.1. One-Dimensional Restrictions and Slicing Properties.

Let $\mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and let $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be a fixed direction. We set

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Pi_{\nu}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\langle x, \nu\rangle=0\right\} ;  \tag{4.1}\\
\Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}:=\{t \in \mathbb{R}: x+t \nu \in \Omega\} \text { for } x \in \Pi_{\nu} \\
\Omega_{x, \nu}:=\{y=x+t \nu: t \in \mathbb{R}\} \cap \Omega \\
\Omega_{\nu}:=\left\{x \in \Pi_{\nu}: \Omega_{x, \nu} \neq \varnothing\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We also define the 1-d restriction function $u_{x, \nu}$ of the function $u$ as

$$
u_{x, \nu}(t):=u(x+t \nu), x \in \Omega_{\nu}, t \in \Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}
$$

We recall the result below from [8], Theorem 3.3.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be given, and assume that $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then, for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\nu}$, $u_{x, \nu}$ belongs to $W^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{x, \nu}\right)$ and

$$
u_{x, \nu}^{\prime}(t)=\langle\nabla u(x+t \nu), \nu\rangle .
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ and $u \in W_{\omega}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, for $p \in[1, \infty)$, be given. If $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ and $v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is nonnegative, then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} v^{p} \omega d x \geq \int_{\Omega_{\nu}} \int_{\Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|u_{x, \nu}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} v_{x, \nu}^{p}(t) \omega_{x, \nu}(t) d t d x
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{ess}_{\inf }^{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \geq 1$, we have $W_{\omega}^{1, p}(\Omega) \subset W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Given $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ and a nonnegative function $v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, by Fubini's Theorem and Theorem 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} v^{p} \omega d x & =\int_{\Omega_{\nu}} \int_{\Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}}|\nabla u|^{p} v^{p} \omega d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega_{\nu}} \int_{\Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}}|\langle\nabla u(x+t v), \nu\rangle|^{p} v_{x, \nu}^{p}(t) \omega_{x, \nu}(t) d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{\nu}} \int_{\Omega_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|u_{x, \nu}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} v_{x, \nu}^{p}(t) \omega_{x, \nu}(t) d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that

$$
\left|u_{x, \nu}^{\prime}(t)\right|=|\langle\nabla u(x+t \nu), \nu\rangle| \leq|\nabla u(x+t \nu)|
$$

$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\nu}$.
Proposition 4.3. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ be a fixed direction, $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma)<\infty$, and $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}$ : $\mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \Pi_{\nu}$ be a projection operator, where by (4.1) $\Pi_{\nu} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}(\Gamma)\right) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in \Pi_{\nu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{x, \nu} \cap \Gamma\right)<+\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that (4.2) follows immediately from Theorem 7.5 in [40] since $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}$ is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant less or equal to one. To show (4.3), we apply co-area formula (see [4], Theorem 2.93) with $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}$ and again since $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}$ is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant less or equal to one, we are done.

Set $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \text { denotes the first } N-1 \text { component of } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and given $u: \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, we define the graph of $u$ over $G$ as

$$
F(u ; G):=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: x^{\prime} \in G, x_{N}=u\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

If $u$ is Lipschitz, then we call $F(u ; G)$ a Lipschitz - $(N-1)$-graph.
Lemma 4.4. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable set, and let $\mathbb{P}_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}}$ be a projection operator for $x \in \Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x_{0} \in \Gamma$.
Proof. By Proposition 4.3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \leq \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $x_{0} \in \Gamma$. By Theorem 2.76 in [4] we may write

$$
\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{i}
$$

as a disjoint union with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=0, \Gamma_{i}=\left(N_{i}, l_{i}\left(N_{i}\right)\right)$ where $l_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class $C^{1}$ and $N_{i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

Let $x_{0} \in \Gamma_{i_{0}}$ for some $i_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and, without loss of generality, let $\left(-\nabla l_{i_{0}}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right), 1\right)=\nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}\right)$, with $x_{0}$ a point of density one in $\Gamma_{0}$ (see Exercise 10.6 in [39]). Up to a rotation and a translation, we may assume that $\nabla l_{i_{0}}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=(0,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, x_{0}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$, and $\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}: \Gamma_{i_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times\{0\}$. Therefore, for $r>0$ small enough,

$$
\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right), l_{i_{0}}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

and by Theorem 9.1 in [40] we obtain that,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)} \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla l_{i_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $l_{i_{0}}$ is of class $C^{1}$ and $\nabla l_{i_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, for $\varepsilon>0$ choose $r_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $\left|\nabla l_{i_{0}}(x)\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $0<r<r_{\varepsilon}$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right) & \geq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)} \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla l_{i_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}} d x^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2}}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \geq \liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma_{i_{0}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2}}}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon>0$, we deduce that

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \geq 1
$$

and, in view of (4.6), we conclude that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1
$$

Lemma 4.5. Let $Q:=(-1,1)^{N}$ and let $\Gamma \subset Q$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable set such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma)<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\Gamma \cap\left(\left\{x^{\prime}\right\} \times(-1,1)\right)\right) \geq 1 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x^{\prime} \in(-1,1)^{N-1}$. Then there exists a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-measurable subset $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\left\{x^{\prime}\right\} \times(-1,1)\right)\right)=1 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x^{\prime} \in(-1,1)^{N-1}$.
Proof. By Lemma 4.3 we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\Gamma^{\prime} \cap\left(\left\{x^{\prime}\right\} \times(-1,1)\right)\right)<+\infty
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x^{\prime} \in(-1,1)^{N-1}$. Thus, for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x^{\prime} \in(-1,1)^{N-1}$, the set

$$
\Gamma_{x^{\prime}}:=\Gamma \cap\left(\left\{x^{\prime}\right\} \times(-1,1)\right)
$$

is a finite collection of singletons, hence closed, and by (4.7) is non-empty. Applying Corollary 1.1 ${ }^{1}$ in [28], page 237, we obtain a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ measurable subset $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ which satisfies (4.8).
Lemma 4.6. Let $\tau>0$ and $\eta>0$ be given. Let $u \in S B V(\Omega)$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<\infty$. The following statements hold:

1. there exist a set $S \subset S_{u}$ with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S\right)<\eta$, and a countable collection $\mathcal{Q}$ of mutually disjoint open cubes centered on elements of $S_{u}$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q \subset \Omega
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \backslash \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q\right)=0
$$

2. for every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ there exists a direction vector $\nu_{Q} \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(S \cap Q_{x, \nu_{Q}}\right)=1
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e. $x \in Q \cap S ;$

[^0]3. for every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}, S \cap Q$ is contained in a Lipschitz $(N-1)$ - graph $\Gamma_{Q}$ with Lipschitz constant less than $\tau$.

Proof. Let $\tau, \eta>0$ be given. By Theorem 2.76 in [4], there exist countably many Lipschitz ( $N-1$ )graphs $\Gamma_{i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that (up to a rotation and a translation)

$$
\Gamma_{i}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right): x^{\prime} \in N_{i}, x_{N}=l_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

with $N_{i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, l_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^{1},\left|\nabla l_{i}\right|<\tau$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{i}\right)=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without lose of generality, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma_{i} \cap \Gamma_{i^{\prime}}\right)=0 \text { if } i \neq i^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}, \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)>0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the collection of Lipschitz $(N-1)$-graphs $\Gamma_{i}$ in (4.9)-(4.10). By (4.10), for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e. $x \in S_{u}$ there exists only one $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in \Gamma$, and we denote such $\Gamma$ by $\Gamma_{x}$ and we write

$$
\Gamma_{x}=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, y_{N}\right): y^{\prime} \in N_{x} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, y_{N}=l_{x}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

For simplicity of notation, in what follows we will abbreviate $\nu_{\Gamma_{x}}(x)=\nu_{S_{u}}(x)$ by $\nu(x), Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}(x, r)$ by $Q(x, r)$, and $T_{x, \nu_{S_{u}}}$ by $T_{x}$.

We also note that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \cap S_{u}\right)<\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<\infty$ for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ - a.e. $x$ has density 1 in $\Gamma_{x} \cap S_{u}$ (see Theorem 2.63 in [4]). Denote by $S_{1}$ the set of points such that $S_{u}$ has density 1 at $x$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma_{x}}}(x, r)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{1}\right)=0$.
Define

$$
f_{r}(x):=\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \cap Q(x, r)\right)}{r^{N-1}}
$$

Since $f_{r}(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$for $x \in S_{1}$, by Egoroff's Theorem there exists a set $S_{2} \subset S_{1}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \backslash S_{2}\right)<\eta / 4$ and $f_{r} \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on $S_{2}$. Find $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \cap Q(x, r)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \cap Q(x, r)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} r^{N-1} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<r<r_{1}$ and $x \in S_{2}$. Since $S_{2} \subset S_{1}, S_{2}$ is also $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable and so $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e. $x \in S_{2}$ has density one. Without loss of generality, we assume that every point in $S_{2}$ has density one and satisfies (4.5) in Lemma 4.4.

Let $x_{0} \in S_{2}$ be given and recall (4.1). We define

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) & :=\left\{x \in Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}: \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right]_{x, \nu\left(x_{0}\right)} \cap S_{2}\right) \geq 2\right\} \\
T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right) & :=\left\{x \in Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}: \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right]_{x, \nu\left(x_{0}\right)} \cap S_{2}\right)=1\right\} \\
S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) & :=\bigcup_{x \in T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left(S_{2} \cap\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right]_{x, \nu\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right) & :=\bigcup_{x \in T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left(S_{2} \cap\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right]_{x, \nu\left(x_{0}\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\emptyset \text { and } S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\emptyset \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Proposition 4.3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \geq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \geq 2 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.5 there exists a measurable selection $S_{b}^{1} \subset S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}^{1}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right]_{x, \nu\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)=1
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. We define

$$
S_{b}^{2}\left(x_{0}, r\right):=S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \backslash S_{b}^{1}\left(x_{0}, r\right)
$$

By the definition of $S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ in (4.13), we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right]_{x, \nu\left(x_{0}\right)} \cap S_{b}^{1}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \geq 1 \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right]_{x, \nu\left(x_{0}\right)} \cap S_{b}^{2}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \geq 1
$$

for all $x \in T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. We observe that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)=\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}^{1}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}^{2}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \geq 2 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)
$$

by Proposition 4.3 and we deduce (4.16).
We next show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since $T_{x_{0}}$ is the tangent hyperplane to $S_{2}$ at $x_{0}$,

$$
T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)=\mathbb{P}_{x_{0}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(S_{2} \cap Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)
$$

and by Lemma 4.4 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (4.14), (4.15), and (4.16), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) & =\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \\
& \geq 2 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)-\left[\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)-\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{0} \in S_{2}$ has density 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{2} \cap Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (4.18), (4.19), and (4.20), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \\
& \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}-\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=0
$$

This, together with (4.14) and (4.18), yields

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1
$$

and so by (4.15) we have

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \geq \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1
$$

while by (4.20)

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1
$$

and we conclude that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}}=1
$$

Now, also in view of (4.14) and (4.20), we deduce (4.17).
We define, for $x \in S_{2}$,

$$
g_{r}(x):=\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}(x, r)\right)}{r^{N-1}} .
$$

By (4.17) we have $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} g_{r}(x)=0$ for all $x \in S_{2}$, therefore by Egoroff's Theorem there exists a set $S_{3} \subset S_{2}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{2} \backslash S_{3}\right)<\frac{\eta}{4}
$$

and $g_{r} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $S_{3}$. Choose $0<r_{2}<r_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}(x, r)\right)}{r^{N-1}}<\frac{\eta}{16} \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S_{3}$ and $0<r<r_{2}$. We claim that, for $x \in S_{3}$ and the corresponding $\Gamma_{x} \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}(x, r) \backslash\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]\right)}{r^{N-1}}=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
0<\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}(x, r) \backslash\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]\right)}{r^{N-1}}=: \delta
$$

By (4.11), and the fact that $\Gamma_{x} \subset S_{u}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1= & \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \cap Q(x, r)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \\
= & \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left(\left[S_{u} \cap Q(x, r)\right] \backslash\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]\right) \cup\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]\right)}{r^{N-1}} \\
\geq & \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left[S_{g}(x, r)\right] \backslash\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]\right)}{r^{N-1}} \\
& +\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]}{r^{N-1}} \\
= & \delta+1>1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
We define, for $x \in S_{3}$,

$$
h_{r}(x):=\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}(x, r) \backslash\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]\right)}{r^{N-1}}
$$

By (4.22) $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} h_{r}(x)=0$ for all $x \in S_{3}$, therefore by Egoroff's Theorem there exists a set of $S_{4} \subset S_{3}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{3} \backslash S_{4}\right)<\frac{\eta}{4}
$$

and $h_{r} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $S_{4}$. Choose $0<r_{3}<r_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}(x, r) \backslash\left[S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x} \cap Q(x, r)\right]\right)}{r^{N-1}}<\frac{\eta}{16} \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S_{4}$ and $0<r<r_{3}$, and let

$$
\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}:=\left\{Q(x, r): x \in S_{4}, 0<r<r_{3}\right\}
$$

By Besicovitch's Covering Theorem we may extract a countable collection $\mathcal{Q}$ of mutually disjoint cubes from $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q \subset \Omega \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{4} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q\right)\right)=0
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=S_{4} \backslash\left[\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} S_{b}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right)\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}\left[S_{g}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right) \backslash\left(S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x_{Q}} \cap Q\right)\right]\right)\right] \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{Q}$ is the center of cube $Q$ and $r_{Q}$ is the side length of $Q$. Note that the set $S$ satisfies properties 2 and 3 in the statement of Lemma 4.6. Finally, we show that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S\right)<\eta
$$

Indeed, in view of (4.21) and (4.23), and using the fact that the cubes $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ are mutually disjoint, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} S_{b}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right)\right)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{b}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\eta}{16 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} r_{Q}^{N-1} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}\left[S_{g}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right) \backslash\left(S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x_{Q}} \cap Q\right)\right]\right) \\
&= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{g}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right) \backslash\left(S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x_{Q}} \cap Q\right)\right) \leq \frac{\eta}{16 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} r_{Q}^{N-1} . \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{1}{2} r_{Q}^{N-1} \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \cap Q\right)=\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} S_{u} \cap Q\right) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.25), (4.26), and (4.27), we deduce that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} S_{b}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\eta}{8}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}\left[S_{g}\left(x_{Q}, r_{Q}\right) \backslash\left(S_{u} \cap \Gamma_{x_{Q}} \cap Q\right)\right]\right) \leq \frac{\eta}{8}
$$

and so by (4.24) we get

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{4} \backslash S\right) \leq \frac{\eta}{4}
$$

Since $S \subset S_{4} \subset S_{3} \subset S_{2} \subset S_{1} \subset S_{u}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S\right) \\
\leq & \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{1}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \backslash S_{2}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{2} \backslash S_{3}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{3} \backslash S_{4}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{4} \backslash S\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\eta}{4}+\frac{\eta}{4}+\frac{\eta}{4}+\frac{\eta}{4}=\eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.7. Let $\omega \in C(\Omega)$ be nonnegative, let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable set, and let $\tau \in(0,1)$ be given. Then for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x_{0} \in \Gamma$, there exists $r_{0}:=r_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ such that for each $0<r<r_{0}$ there exist $t_{0} \in(-\tau r / 4, \tau r / 4)$ and $0<t_{0, r}=t_{0, r}\left(t_{0}, \tau, x_{0}, r\right)<\left|t_{0}\right|$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{0<t \leq t_{0}, r} & \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}(l)}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Gamma}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\left(1+\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right) O(\tau) r^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I\left(t_{0}, t\right):=\left(t_{0}-t, t_{0}+t\right), T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\delta}}(l):=T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}+l \nu_{\Gamma}$.
Proof. Fix $x_{0} \in \Gamma$ with density 1 and let $\tau>0$ be given. There exists $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\tau^{2}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \leq 1+\tau^{2} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<r<r_{1}$. Since by continuity of $\omega$ we have that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Gamma}\left|\omega(x)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=0
$$

we may choose $0<r_{2}<r_{1}$ such that for all $0<r<r_{2}$

$$
f_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x \leq \tau^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Gamma}\left|\omega(x)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \frac{\tau}{1+\tau^{2}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Gamma\right) \leq O(\tau) r^{N-1} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used (4.28).
Therefore

$$
\int_{-\tau r / 4}^{\tau r / 4} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}(t)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d t \leq \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x \leq \tau^{2} r^{N}
$$

and by the Mean Value Theorem there exists a set $A \subset(-\tau r / 4, \tau r / 4)$ with positive 1 dimensional Lebesgue measure such that for every $t \in A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}(t)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq 2 \tau r^{N-1} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t_{0} \in A$ then we have, by the continuity of $\omega$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}(l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l=\int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(t_{0}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

hence there exists $t_{0, r}>0$, depending on $r, t_{0}, \tau$, and $x_{0}$, such that $I\left(t_{0}, t_{0, r}\right) \subset(-\tau r / 2, \tau r / 2)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{0<t \leq t_{0, r}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}(l)}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(t_{0}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r^{N-1}} \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, since

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right]=\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\right]
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(t_{0}\right)} \omega\left(x_{0}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} & =\int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}}} \omega\left(x_{0}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& =\omega\left(x_{0}\right) r^{N-1} \leq\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Gamma} \omega\left(x_{0}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Gamma} \omega\left(x_{0}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r^{N-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we used (4.28), the non-negativeness of $\omega$.
By (4.31), (4.30), in this order, for every $r \leq r_{2}$ there exist $t_{0} \in(-\tau r / 4, \tau r / 4)$ and $0<t_{0, r}<\left|t_{0}\right|$, depending on $t_{0}, \tau, x_{0}$ and $r$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{0<t \leq t_{0, r}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} & \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}\right)}(l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l} \\
& \leq \omega\left(x_{0}\right) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)+O(\tau) r^{N-1}=\omega\left(x_{0}\right) r^{N-1}+O(\tau) r^{N-1} \\
& \leq \omega\left(x_{0}\right)\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)+O(\tau) r^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (4.28) in the last inequality. Finally, by (4.29) we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0<t \leq t_{0, r}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}\right)}(l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Gamma}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\left(1+\omega\left(x_{0}\right)\right) O(\tau) r^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Proposition 4.8. Let $\omega \in C(\Omega)$ be nonnegative, let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable set with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma)<$ $+\infty$, and let $\tau \in(0,1)$ be given. Then there exist a set $S \subset \Omega$ and a countable family of disjoint cubes $\mathcal{F}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $r_{n} \leq \tau$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the following hold:

1. $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma \backslash S)<\tau, S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$;
2. $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r\right)\right) \leq\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) r^{N-1}$ for all $0<r<r_{n}$;
3. $S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \subset R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$;
4. if $0<\kappa<1$ then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $t_{n}^{\kappa} \in\left(-\kappa r_{n} / 4, \kappa r_{n} / 4\right)$ and $0<t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}^{\kappa}<\left|t_{n}^{\kappa}\right|$, depending on $\tau, x_{n}$, and $\kappa r_{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{0<t \leq t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}^{\kappa}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{n}^{\kappa}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{n}^{\kappa}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, \kappa r_{n}\right) \cap T_{x_{n}, \nu_{\Gamma}}(l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l \\
& \leq \int_{\Gamma \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, \kappa r_{n}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\left(1+\omega\left(x_{n}\right)\right) O(\tau)\left(\kappa r_{n}\right)^{N-1} \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I\left(t_{n}^{\kappa}, t\right):=\left(t_{n}^{\kappa}-t, t_{n}^{\kappa}+t\right)$.
Proof. Let $\tau \in(0,1)$ and $\kappa \in(0,1)$ be given. Since $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma)<\infty$, there exists $S_{1} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \backslash S_{1}\right)<\tau / 3, S_{1}$ is compact and contained in a finite union of ( $N-1$ )-Lipschitz graphs $\Gamma_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, M$, with Lipschitz constants less than $\tau /(2 \sqrt{N})$.

Moreover, since $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e. $x \in S_{1}$ a point of density one, by Egorov's Theorem, we may find $S_{2} \subset S_{1}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \backslash S_{2}\right)<\tau / 3$ and there exists $r_{1}>0$ such that for all $0<r<r_{1}$ and $x \in S_{2}$,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)\right) \leq\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) r^{N-1} .
$$

Let $L_{i}:=S_{2} \cap \Gamma_{i}$ and without lose of generality we assume that $L_{i}$ are mutually disjoint. Let $L_{i}^{\prime} \subset L_{i}$ be such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(L_{i} \backslash L_{i}^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\tau}{3} \frac{1}{2^{i}} \text { and } d_{i j}:=\operatorname{dist}\left(L_{i}^{\prime}, L_{j}^{\prime}\right)>0
$$

for $i \neq j$. We observe that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{2} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} L_{i}^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\tau}{3} \text { and } d:=\min _{i \neq j}\left\{d_{i j}\right\}>0
$$

Define

$$
S:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} L_{i}^{\prime}
$$

We claim that there exists $0<r_{2}<\min \left\{\tau^{2}, d / 2, r_{1}\right\}$ such that for every $0<r<r_{2}$ and every $x$, $y \in S$ with $|x-y|<\sqrt{N} r$ we have

$$
S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r) \subset R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)
$$

where we are using the notation introduced in Notation 2.3. Indeed, to verify this inclusion, we write (up to a rotation)

$$
S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, l_{x}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right): y \in T_{x, \nu_{\Gamma}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r)\right\} \subset \Gamma_{x}
$$

where $y^{\prime 2}$ is defined in (4.4) and $\left\|\nabla l_{x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\tau /(2 \sqrt{N})$. Assuming, without loss of generality, that $x=0$ and $l_{x}(0)=0$, we have for all $y \in T_{0, \nu_{\Gamma}} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(0, r)$

$$
\left|l_{0}(y)\right| \leq\left\|\nabla l_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tau r
$$

because for every $y \in S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(0, r)$ we have $|y|<\sqrt{N} r$.
Next, for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in S$ we may find $r_{2}(x)>0$ such that $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x, r_{3}\right) \subset \Omega$ and $\kappa r_{2}(x) \leq r_{0}(x)$ where $r_{0}(x)$ is determined in Lemma 4.7. Let $\bar{r}_{0}(x):=\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}(x)\right\}$. The collection

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}:=\left\{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}(x, r): x \in S, r<\bar{r}_{0}(x)\right\}
$$

is a fine cover for $S$, and so by Besicovitch's Covering Theorem we may obtain a countable subcollection $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ with pairwise disjoint cubes such that

$$
S \subset \bigcup_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{F}} Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)
$$

For each $Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{F}$ we apply Lemma 4.7 to obtain $t_{n}^{\kappa} \in\left(-\kappa r_{n} / 4, \kappa r_{n} / 4\right)$ and $t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}^{\kappa}>0$, depending on $t_{x_{n}}^{\kappa}, \tau, \kappa r_{n}$, and $x_{n}$, such that (4.32) hold.

Finally, we observe that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma \backslash S) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \backslash S_{1}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{1} \backslash S_{2}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{2} \backslash S\right) \leq \tau
$$

and this completes the proof.
Proposition 4.9. Let $\omega \in C(\Omega)$ be nonnegative, let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma)<$ $+\infty$, and let $\tau \in(0,1)$ be given. There exists a $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-rectifiable set $S \subset \Gamma$ and a countable family of disjoint cubes $\mathcal{F}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $r_{n}<\tau$ such that the following hold:
1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma \backslash S)<\tau, S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right), \text { and } S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \subset R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]2.
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) r_{n}^{N-1} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

3. for $n \neq m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right), Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{m}, r_{m}\right)\right)>0 \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. 

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq 4 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $t_{n} \in\left(-\tau r_{n} / 4, \tau r_{n} / 4\right)$ and $0<t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}<\left|t_{n}\right|$, depending on $\tau$, $r_{n}$, and $x_{n}$, such that $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(t_{n} \pm t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}\right) \subset R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{0<t \leq t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{n}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{n}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \cap T_{x_{n}, \nu_{\Gamma}(l)}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l \\
& \leq \int_{S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\left(1+\omega\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \tau r_{n}{ }^{N-1} \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I\left(t_{n}, t\right):=\left(t_{n}-t, t_{n}+t\right)$.
Proof. We apply items 1, 2, and 3 in Proposition 4.8 to obtain a countable collection $\left\{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and a set $S^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \backslash S^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\tau}{2}, S^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}^{\prime}\right), S^{\prime} \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subset R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \cap Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, r\right)\right) \leq\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) r^{N-1}
$$

for all $0<r<r_{n}^{\prime}$. Find $0<\kappa<1$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S^{\prime} \backslash \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, \kappa r_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)<\frac{\tau}{2}
$$

and let

$$
S:=S^{\prime} \cap\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, \kappa r_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Then

$$
S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, \kappa r_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\Gamma \backslash S) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\Gamma \backslash S^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S^{\prime} \backslash S\right) \leq \frac{\tau}{2}+\frac{\tau}{2}=\tau
$$

Note that the collection $\left\{Q_{\nu_{\Gamma}}\left(x_{n}, \kappa r_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies (4.35). Next, we apply item 4 in Proposition 4.8 with such $\kappa>0$ to find $t_{n}^{\kappa}, t_{x_{n}, r_{n}^{\prime}}^{\kappa}$ such that (4.32) holds. It suffices to set $r_{n}:=\kappa r_{n}^{\prime}, t_{n}:=t_{n}^{\kappa}$, and $t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}:=t_{x_{n}, r_{n}^{\prime}}^{\kappa}$.
4.2. The Case $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$.

Consider the functionals

$$
E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega} v^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x
$$

for $(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and let

$$
E_{\omega}(u):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1},
$$

be defined for $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 4.10. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be given. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}: L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v) & \text { if }(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), 0 \leq v \leq 1, \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Then the functionals $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon} \Gamma$-converge, with respect to the $L_{\omega}^{1} \times L^{1}$ topology, to the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega}(u) & \text { if } u \in \operatorname{GSB}_{\omega}(\Omega) \text { and } v=1 \text { a.e. }, \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 4.10 will be proved in two propositions.
Proposition 4.11. ( $\Gamma$-liminf) For $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ and $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{-}(u):=\inf \{ & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \\
& E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right): \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
E_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq E_{\omega}(u) .
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $M:=E_{\omega}^{-}(u)<\infty$. Let $\left\{\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times$ $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be such that

$$
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}(\Omega), \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)=E_{\omega}^{-}(u)<\infty .
$$

Since $\inf _{x \in \Omega} \omega(x) \geq 1$, we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{1, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)<\infty,
$$

and by [8] we deduce that

$$
u \in G S B V(\Omega) \text { and } \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<\infty
$$

We prove separately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon} \omega d x \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \omega d x \geq \int_{S_{u}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A$ be an open subset of $\Omega$. Fix $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$, and define $A_{x, \nu}, A_{x, \nu}^{1}$, and $A_{\nu}$ as in (4.1). For $K \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, set $u_{K}:=K \wedge u \vee-K$, and observe that, by Fubini's Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x & \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A_{\nu}} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{2} \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{2} \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|u_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|\left(u_{K}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the first inequality we used Lemma 4.2, in the second inequality we used Fatou's Lemma, and in the third inequality we used (3.3). Since $u_{K} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap S B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap S B V(\Omega)$, we may apply Theorem 2.3 in [8] to $u_{K}$ to obtain

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left|\left(u_{K}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \geq \int_{A}\left|\left\langle\nabla u_{K}(x), \nu\right\rangle\right|^{2} \omega d x
$$

Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$ and using Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{A}|\langle\nabla u(x), \nu\rangle|^{2} \omega d x \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\phi_{n}(x):=\left|\left\langle\nabla u(x), \nu_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \omega \text { for } \mathcal{L}^{N} \text {-a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

where $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{S}^{N-1}$, and let

$$
\mu(A):=\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x
$$

Then $\mu$ is a positive function, super-additivity on open sets $A, B$, with disjoint closures, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(A \cup B) & =\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A \cup B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x=\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x+\int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x\right) \\
& \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x+\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x=\mu(A)+\mu(B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Lemma 15.2 in [17], together with (4.40), we conclude (4.38).
Now we prove (4.39). Assume first that $\omega \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. For any open set $A \subset \Omega$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{S}^{N-1}$,
by Fubini's Theorem and Fatou's Lemma we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} & \int_{A}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \omega d x \\
& \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A_{\nu}} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left[\varepsilon\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}\right)^{2}\right] \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A_{x, \nu}^{1}}\left[\varepsilon\left|\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{x, \nu}\right)^{2}\right] \omega_{x, \nu} d t d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)  \tag{4.41}\\
& \geq \int_{A_{\nu}}\left[\sum_{t \in S_{u_{x, \nu} \cap A_{x, \nu}^{1}}} \omega_{x, \nu}(t)\right] d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x),
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.12).
Next, given arbitrary $\tau>0$ and $\eta>0$ we choose a set $S \subset S_{u}$ and a collection $\mathcal{Q}$ of mutually disjoint cubes according to Lemma 4.6 with respect to $S_{u}$. Fix one such cube $Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{Q}$. By Lemma 4.6 we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{x, \nu_{S}} \cap S\right)=1
$$

for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-a.e. $x \in Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap S$, and $Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap S \subset \Gamma_{x_{0}}$ such that, up to a rotation and a translation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{x_{0}}=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right): y \in T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S}} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right\} \text { and }\left\|\nabla l_{x_{0}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\tau \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y^{\prime}$ denotes the first $N-1$ components of $y \in T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S}} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$.
In (4.41) set $A=Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ and $\nu=\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and, using the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\left(\sum_{\left.t \in S_{u_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)}\right]_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \omega_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}(t)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)  \tag{4.43}\\
& \geq \int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)}\left(\sum_{t \in S_{u_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \cap\left[Q_{\left.\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)} \cap S} \omega_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}(t)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)} \quad \omega_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)=\int_{T_{g}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega\left(x^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d \mathcal{L}^{N-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality is due to the positivity of $\omega$ and the last equality is because $Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap$ $S \subset \Gamma_{x_{0}}$ which is defined in (4.42).

Next, by Theorem 9.1 in [39] and since $\omega \in C(\Omega)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap S} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} & =\int_{T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega\left(x^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \sqrt{1+\left|\nabla l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}} d x^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{1+\tau^{2}} \int_{T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S}} \cap Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega\left(x^{\prime}, l_{x_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (4.43), yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\int_{\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{\nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \sum_{t \in S_{u_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \cap\left[Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)\right]_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}} \omega_{x, \nu_{S}\left(x_{0}\right)}(t)\right) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}} \int_{Q_{\nu_{S}}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap S} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} . \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Since cubes in $\mathcal{Q}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S \backslash \cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q\right)=0$, by (4.41), (4.43), and (4.44) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} & \int_{\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& \geq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{S \cap Q} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}} \int_{S} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}}\left(\int_{S_{u}} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}-\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}}\left(\int_{S_{u}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}-\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.39) follows from the arbitrariness of $\eta$ and $\tau$, and the fact that $\eta$ and $\tau$ are independent.
We now remove the assumption that $\omega \in L^{\infty}$. Define for each $k>0$,

$$
\omega_{k}(x):= \begin{cases}\omega & \text { if } \omega \leq k \\ k & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} & \int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& \geq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega_{k} d x \geq \int_{S_{u}} \omega_{k}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we conclude

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \geq \int_{S_{u}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

by letting $k \nearrow \infty$ and using Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem.

Proposition 4.12. ( $\Gamma-\lim \sup$ ) For $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u):=\inf \{ & \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right): \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u) \leq E_{\omega}(u) \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $E_{\omega}(u)=\infty$ then there is nothing to prove. Assume that $E_{\omega}(u)<+\infty$ so that by Lemma 2.10 we have that $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<\infty$. By assumption $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, thus $u \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$.

Let $\tau \in(0,2 / 9)$ be given. Apply Proposition 4.9 to $\omega$ and $\Gamma=S_{u}$ to obtain a set $S_{\tau} \subset S_{u}$, a countable collection $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of mutually disjoint cubes with $r_{n}<\tau$, and corresponding

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n} \in\left(-\tau r_{n} / 4, \tau r_{n} / 4\right) \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}$ so that items 1-5 in Proposition 4.9 hold. Extract a finite collection $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}$ from $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ with $M_{\tau}>0$ large enough such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[S_{\tau} \backslash \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right]<\tau
$$

and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\tau}:=S_{\tau} \cap\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right] \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}\right) \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{\tau}\right)+\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\tau} \backslash F_{\tau}\right)<2 \tau \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{n}$ be the part of $Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$ which lies between $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left( \pm \tau r_{n}\right), U_{n}^{+}$be the part above $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)$ and $U_{n}^{-}$be the part below $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(-\tau r_{n}\right)$. Moreover, let $U_{t_{n}}^{+}$be the part of $U_{n}$ which lies above $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(t_{n}\right)$, and $U_{t_{n}}^{-}$be the part below $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(t_{n}\right)$.

We claim that if $x \in U_{t_{n}}^{ \pm}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \pm 2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left( \pm \tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right) \in U_{n}^{ \pm} \subset Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \backslash R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) . \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in U_{t_{n}}^{+}$(the case in which $x \in U_{t_{n}}^{-}$can be handled similarly), we need to prove that

$$
\tau r_{n}<\operatorname{dist}\left(x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right), T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S u}}\right)<\frac{r_{n}}{2}
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right)=\tau r_{n}-\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

and since $x \in U_{t_{n}}^{+}$, we have that

$$
\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right) \in\left(t_{n}, \tau r_{n}\right)
$$

Hence, together with (4.46), we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau r_{n} \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right)+\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=2 \tau r_{n}-\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right) \leq 2 \tau r_{n}-\left(-\frac{\tau r_{n}}{4}\right)=\frac{9}{4} \tau r_{n}<\frac{1}{2} r_{n}
$$

From the definition of projection operator $\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left[x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]=\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}(x),
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dist}\left(x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right), T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S u}}\right)  \tag{4.51}\\
& =\left(\left[x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left[x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left[x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& =2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right)+\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and by (4.50) we conclude (4.49).
We define $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ in $Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$ as follows (see Figure 1):

$$
\bar{u}_{\tau}(x):= \begin{cases}u(x) & \text { if } x \in U_{n}^{+} \cup U_{n}^{-}  \tag{4.52}\\ u\left(x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) & \text { if } x \in U_{t_{n}}^{+} \\ u\left(x-2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}\left(-\tau r_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{u}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) & \text { if } x \in U_{t_{n}}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\bar{u}_{\tau}(x):=u(x) \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

We observe that, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, and since $0<r_{n}<\tau$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\left\{x \in \Omega, u(x) \neq \bar{u}_{\tau}(x)\right\}\right)=\mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} U_{t_{n}}^{+} \cup U_{t_{n}}^{-}\right)  \tag{4.53}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \mathcal{L}^{N}\left(U_{t_{n}}^{+} \cup U_{t_{n}}^{-}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}\left(r_{n}^{N-1} 2 \tau r_{n}\right) \leq 2 \tau^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq 8 \tau^{2} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (4.36). Moreover, using the same computation, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right) \leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq 4 \tau \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)=O(\tau) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in view of (4.53), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{\tau} \rightarrow u \text { and } \nabla \bar{u}_{\tau} \rightarrow \nabla u \text { in measure } \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Construction of $\bar{u}_{\tau}(x)$ in (4.52)
and, since in $U_{t_{n}}^{+} \cup U_{t_{n}}^{-} \bar{u}_{\tau}$ is the reflection of $u$ from $Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \backslash U_{t_{n}}^{+} \cup U_{t_{n}}^{-}$, we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{\tau}\right|^{2} \omega d x & \leq \int_{\Omega \backslash\left\{u(x) \neq \bar{u}_{\tau}(x)\right\}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\left\{u(x) \neq \bar{u}_{\tau}(x)\right\}}\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{\tau}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega \backslash\left\{u(x) \neq \bar{u}_{\tau}(x)\right\}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+2\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \int_{Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x  \tag{4.56}\\
& =\int_{\Omega \backslash\left\{u(x) \neq \bar{u}_{\tau}(x)\right\}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+2\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau} Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+O(\tau)
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (4.54) and from the fact that because $E_{1}(u) \leq E_{\omega}(u)<+\infty$, $\nabla u$ is $L^{2}$ integrable. Moreover, in view of (4.55) and by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{\tau}-u\right| \omega d x \leq\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{\tau}-u\right| d x=0 \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\left\|\bar{u}_{\tau}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}<+\infty$.
For simplicity of notation, in the rest of the proof of this lemma we shall abbreviate $Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$ by $Q_{n}$ and $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{u}}}$ by $T_{x_{n}}$. Note that the jump set of $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ is contained by (recall item 4 in Proposition 4.9)
1.

$$
\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}\left[T_{x_{n}}\left(t_{n}\right) \cap Q_{n}\right]
$$

2. 

$$
\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}}
$$

3. $S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}$, where $F_{\tau}$ is defined in (4.47).

The contributions to $S_{u}$ from 2 and 3 are negligible. To be precise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[\left(S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}}\right) \leq 2 \tau+C \tau \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{n}^{N-1} \tau \leq O(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (4.33), (4.36), (4.48), and the fact that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}}\right) \leq 2 \tau \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq 8 \tau \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)
$$

Hence, again by (4.36),

$$
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(T_{x_{n}} \cap Q_{n}\right)+O(\tau) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{n}^{N-1}+O(\tau)<\infty
$$

By (4.35), let $a_{\tau}$ denote a quarter of the minimum distance between all cubes in $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \ll \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{\tau, a_{\tau}, t_{x_{n}, r_{n}} \text { for } 1 \leq n \leq M_{\tau}\right\} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by item 5 in Proposition 4.9 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}<t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}<\left|t_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{4} \tau r_{n}<r_{n} \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
u_{\tau, \varepsilon}:=\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right) \bar{u}_{\tau},
$$

where $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is such that

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega ;[0,1]), \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon} \equiv 1 \text { on }\left(\overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2} / 4}, \quad \text { and } \varphi_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0 \text { in } \Omega \backslash\left(\overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2} / 2}
$$

Since $\bar{u}_{\tau} \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega \backslash \overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}}\right)$, we have $\left\{u_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ because $\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)(x)=0$ if $x \in\left(\overline{S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2} / 4}$. Moreover, $\left\{u_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and, using Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and (4.57),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\tau, \varepsilon}-u\right| \omega=0 \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\omega \in L^{\infty}, u \in L^{\infty}$, and $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.
Consider the sequence $\left\{v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ given by

$$
v_{\tau, \varepsilon}(x):=\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \circ d_{\tau}(x)
$$

where $d_{\tau}(x):=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}\right)$ and $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$ is defined by

$$
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } t \leq \varepsilon^{2}  \tag{4.61}\\ 1-e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}} & \text { if } t>\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}\end{cases}
$$

and for $\varepsilon^{2} \leq t \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}$ we define $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$ as the solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)=0$. An explicit computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)=-e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{t-\varepsilon^{2}}{\varepsilon}}+1 \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varepsilon^{2} \leq t \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}$ and $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)=1-\exp (-1 / 2 \sqrt{\varepsilon})$, and we remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}}=0 \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)^{2}\right)=\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0 \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $\left|\nabla d_{\tau}\right|=1$ a.e. (see [30], Section 3.2.34), we have $\left\{v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega), 0 \leq v_{\tau, \varepsilon} \leq$ $1-\exp (-1 / 2 \sqrt{\varepsilon})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\tau, \varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1} \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem since $v_{\tau, \varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ a.e. by (4.63). By (4.56) and since if $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) \neq 0$ then $d_{\tau}(x)<\varepsilon^{2} / 2$ and so $v_{\tau, \varepsilon}(x)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\tau, \varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \bar{u}_{\tau}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+O(\tau) \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \leq \int_{S_{u}} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\varepsilon)+O(\tau) \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
L_{n}:=T_{x_{n}} \cap Q_{n}, \quad L_{n}(\varepsilon):=\left(T_{x_{n}} \cap Q_{n}\right)_{\varepsilon}
$$

and observe that, using Fubini's Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& =\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left[\varepsilon\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(l)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y)=l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(y) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) d l \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \int_{L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)} \omega(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where the latter term in the right hand side is of the order $O(\varepsilon)$. Next, in view of (4.62), using integration by parts, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left[\varepsilon\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(l)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y)=l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(y) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) d l \\
&= \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2} \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y)=l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(y) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) d l  \tag{4.69}\\
&=-\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y) \leq l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(y) d y d l \\
&+\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)-\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{n}(t):=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)^{2} \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(x) \leq t\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(y) d y
$$

By (4.59) and (4.64) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{\omega}^{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}} \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(x) \leq \varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(x) d x  \tag{4.70}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathcal{L}^{N}\left(L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\left(T_{x_{n}} \cap Q_{n}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}}\left[2\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\left[r_{n}+\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{N-1}\right] \leq O(\varepsilon) r_{n}^{N-1}
\end{align*}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{d}{d t}[(1 & \left.\left.-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y) \leq l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(y) d y d l \\
& =\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} 2 l\left(-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right]\right)\left[\frac{1}{2 l} \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y) \leq l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(x) d x\right] d l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling the notation from Proposition 4.9 and the fact that $\omega\left(x_{n}\right) \leq\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 l} \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y) \leq l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(x) d x & \leq \sup _{t \leq \varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{n}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{n}, t\right)} \int_{Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \cap T_{x_{n}}(l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l\right) \\
& \leq \int_{S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where by (4.58) we could use (4.37) in the last inequality. Therefore, by (4.65)

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y) \leq l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(x) d x d l  \tag{4.71}\\
& \leq 2\left(\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] l d l\right)\left(\int_{S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A new integration by parts and by using (4.63) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] l d l \\
& =\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2} d l-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} 2 \varepsilon\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(l)\right|^{2} d l+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2 \varepsilon}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (4.71) and (4.34), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(1-\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(l)\right)^{2}\right] \int_{\left\{d_{\tau}(y) \leq l\right\} \cap L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)} \omega(x) d x d l  \tag{4.72}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1}+\varepsilon\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right)+\varepsilon O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1}+O(\varepsilon) O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, in view of (4.69), (4.70), (4.72), and since $A_{\omega}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& \leq \int_{S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1}+O(\varepsilon) O(\tau) r_{n}^{N-1}+O(\varepsilon) r_{n}^{N-1} \tag{4.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we define

$$
L_{0}:=\left(S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_{n} \cap \bar{U}_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad L_{0}(\varepsilon):=\left[\left(S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial Q_{n} \cap \overline{U_{n}}\right)\right]_{\varepsilon}
$$

Since $\omega \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{L_{0}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] & \omega d \\
& \leq\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{L_{0}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and we note that the term

$$
\int_{L_{0}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x
$$

is the recovery sequence constructed in [8], page 1034, Added in Proof. Therefore, recalling that by assumption that $u \in S B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \subset S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and invoking Proposition 5.1 and 5.3 in [8] and calculation within, we conclude that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{L_{0}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] d x \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}\left(x, L_{0}\right)<\varepsilon\right)}{2 \varepsilon}
$$

$$
\leq \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(L_{0}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{L_{0}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x & \leq\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(L_{0}\right)+O(\varepsilon)\right)  \tag{4.74}\\
& \leq O(\tau)+O(\varepsilon) .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, by (4.61)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash\left(S_{\left.\bar{u}_{\tau}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right.}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \leq \frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathcal{L}^{N}(\Omega) \leq O(\varepsilon), \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the last inequality we used (4.64).
Since cubes in $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ are pairwise disjoint, in view of (4.73), (4.74), and (4.75) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
= & \int_{\left(S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash\left(S_{\bar{u}_{\tau}}\right)_{\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
\leq & \int_{L_{0}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \int_{L_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x+O(\varepsilon) \\
\leq & O(\varepsilon)+O(\tau)+\sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}\left(\int_{S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+[O(\varepsilon)+O(\tau)+O(\varepsilon) O(\tau)] r_{n}^{N-1}\right) \\
\leq & \int_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}\left(S_{\tau} \cap Q\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right)}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+[O(\varepsilon)+O(\tau)+O(\varepsilon) O(\tau)] \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} r_{n}^{N-1}+O(\tau)+O(\varepsilon) \\
\leq & \int_{S_{u}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\varepsilon)+O(\tau)+O(\varepsilon) O(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we used (4.36), and this concludes the proof of (4.68). Hence, also in view of (4.67) and (4.68), for each $\tau>0$, we may choose $\varepsilon(\tau)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)}\right|^{2} v_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)}^{2} \omega d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+O(\tau),
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \omega d x \leq \int_{S_{u}} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau),
$$

and we thus constructed a recovery sequence $\left\{\left(u_{\tau}, v_{\tau}\right)\right\}_{\tau>0}$ given by

$$
u_{\tau}:=u_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)} \text { and } v_{\tau}:=v_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)}
$$

which satisfies (4.45) and by (4.60) and (4.66) we have

$$
\left\|u_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)}-u\right\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}}<\tau \text { and }\left\|v_{\tau, \varepsilon(\tau)}-v\right\|_{L^{1}}<\tau
$$

Hence, we proved Proposition 4.12.
Proof of Theorem 4.10. The lim inf inequality follows from Lemma 4.11. On the other hand, for any given $u \in G S B V_{\omega}$ such that $E_{\omega}(u)<\infty$, we have, by Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$
E_{\omega}(u)=\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} E_{\omega}(K \wedge u \vee-K)
$$

and a diagonal argument, together with Proposition 4.12, concludes the proof.
4.3. The Case $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap S B V(\Omega)$.

Consider the functionals

$$
E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega} v^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[\varepsilon|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}(v-1)^{2}\right] \omega d x
$$

for $(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and

$$
E_{\omega}(u):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{S_{u}} \omega^{-}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}
$$

defined for $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 4.13. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be given. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}: L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ be defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega, \varepsilon}(u, v) & \text { if }(u, v) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the functionals $\mathcal{E}_{\omega, \varepsilon} \Gamma$-converge, with respect to the $L_{\omega}^{1} \times L^{1}$ topology, to the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(u, v):= \begin{cases}E_{\omega}(u) & \text { if } u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega) \text { and } v=1 \text { a.e. } \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We start by proving the $\Gamma$-lim inf.
Proposition 4.14. ( $\Gamma$-liminf) For $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap S B V(\Omega)$ and $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{-}(u):=\inf \{ & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right): \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1} \times L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
E_{\omega}^{-}(u) \geq E_{\omega}(u)
$$

Proof. Without lose of generality, we assume that $E_{\omega}^{-}(u)<+\infty$. The proof of this lemma uses the same arguments of the proof of Proposition 4.11 until the beginning of (4.41), and we obtain

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{2} \omega d x \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x
$$

By applying Proposition 3.6 to the last inequality of (4.41), we have

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{A}\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) \omega d x \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \sum_{t \in S_{u_{x, \nu}} \cap A_{x, \nu}} \omega_{x, \nu}^{-}(t) d x
$$

The rest of the proof follows that of Proposition 4.11 with $\omega_{x, \nu}^{-}$in place of $\omega_{x, \nu}$ and taking into consideration of the fact that $\omega_{x, \nu}^{-}(t)=\omega^{-}(x+t \nu)$ (see Remark 3.109 in [4]).

The next lemma is the $S B V$ version of Lemma 4.7. We recall that $I\left(t_{0}, t\right):=\left(t_{0}-t, t_{0}+t\right)$.
Proposition 4.15. let $\tau \in(0,1 / 4)$ be given, and let $\omega \in S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be nonnegative. Then for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e. $x_{0} \in S_{\omega}$ a point of density one, there exists $r_{0}:=r_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ such that for each $0<r<r_{0}$ there exist $t_{0} \in(2 \tau r, 4 \tau r)$ and $0<t_{0, r}=t_{0, r}\left(t_{0}, \tau, x_{0}, r\right)<t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{0<t \leq t_{0, r}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}( \pm l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d l \\
& \leq \int_{S_{\omega} \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}, r\right)} \omega^{ \pm}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r^{N-1} \tag{4.76}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, in what follows we abbreviate $Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ as $Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ and $T_{x_{0}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}$ as $T_{x_{0}}$.

Since $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega}\right)<\infty$, and so $\mu:=\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left\lfloor S_{\omega}\right.$ is a nonnegative radon measure, and since $\omega^{-} \in$ $L^{1}(\Omega, \mu)$, it follows that for $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e. $x_{0} \in S_{\omega}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}}\left|\omega^{-}(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)=0 . \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose one such $x_{0} \in S_{\omega}$, also a point of density 1 of $S_{\omega}$, and let $\tau>0$ be given. Select $r_{1}>0$ such that for all $0<r<r_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\tau^{2}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega} \cap Q\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}{r^{N-1}} \leq 1+\tau^{2} \tag{4.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<r_{2}<r_{1}$ be such that, in view of (4.77),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}}\left|\omega^{-}(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \tau^{2} r^{N-1} \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<r<r_{2}$, and we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}\left(-t_{0}\right)\right] & =\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) r^{N-1}  \tag{4.80}\\
& \leq\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) \omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Since by Theorem 2.4

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x=0
$$

we may choose $0<r_{3}<r_{2}$ such that

$$
f_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x \leq \tau^{2}
$$

for all $0<r<r_{3}$, and so, since $3.5 \tau r<r$, we have

$$
\int_{2.5 \tau r}^{3.5 \tau r} \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}(-t)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d t \leq \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x \leq \tau^{2} r^{N}
$$

There exists a set $A \subset(2.5 \tau r, 3.5 \tau r)$ with positive 1 dimensional Lebesgue measure such that for every $t \in A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}(-t)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \leq \frac{\tau^{2} r^{N}}{\tau r}=\tau r^{N-1} \tag{4.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

and choose $t_{0} \in A$ a Lebesgue point for

$$
l \in(-r / 2, r / 2) \longmapsto \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}(l)} \omega d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}(-l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d l=\int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}\left(-t_{0}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)
$$

Hence, there exists $t_{0, r}>0$, depending on $t_{0}, \tau, r$, and $x_{0}$, such that $I\left(t_{0}, t_{0, r}\right) \subset(2.5 \tau r, 3.5 \tau r)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{0<t \leq t_{0, r}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}(-l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d l \\
& \leq \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}\left(-t_{0}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\tau r^{N-1} \tag{4.82}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (4.82), (4.81), (4.80), and (4.79), in this order, we have that for every $0<r<r_{3}$ there exist $t_{0} \in(2.5 \tau r, 3.5 \tau r)$ and $0<t_{0, r}<t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{0<t \leq t_{0}, r} & \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}(-l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d l \\
\leq & \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}\left(-t_{0}\right)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+\tau r^{N-1} \\
\leq & \int_{Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}\left(-t_{0}\right)}\left|\omega(x)-\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}} \quad+\omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[Q^{-}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}\left(-t_{0}\right)\right]+\tau r^{N-1} \\
\leq & O(\tau) r^{N-1}+\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) \omega^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}\right] \\
\leq & O(\tau) r^{N-1}+\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}} \omega^{-}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\omega \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have $\omega^{-} \in L^{\infty}\left(S_{\omega}\right)$ and thus, invoking (4.78),

$$
\tau^{2} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}} \omega^{-}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq O(\tau)\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}\right] \leq O(\tau) r^{N-1}
$$

and we deduce the $\omega^{-}$version of (4.76).
Similarly, we may refine $t_{0}, r_{0}>0$, and $0<t_{0, r}<t_{0}$ such that

$$
\sup _{0<t \leq t_{0, r}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{0}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \int_{Q^{+}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap T_{x_{0}}(l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l \leq \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap S_{\omega}} \omega^{+}(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+O(\tau) r^{N-1}
$$

Proposition 4.16. Let $\omega \in S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be nonnegative and let $\tau \in(0,1 / 4)$ be given. Then, there exist a set $S \subset S_{\omega}$ and a countable family of disjoint cubes $\mathcal{F}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, with $r_{n}<\tau$, such that the following hold:

1. $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega} \backslash S\right)<\tau$ and $S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$;
2. 

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right), Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{m}, r_{m}\right)\right)>0
$$

for $n \neq m$;
3.

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{n}^{N-1} \leq 4 \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{\omega}\right)
$$

4. $S \cap Q_{\nu_{S \omega}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \subset R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$;
5. for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t_{n} \in\left(2.5 \tau r_{n}, 3.5 \tau r_{n}\right)$ and $0<t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}<t_{n}$, depending on $\tau$, $r_{n}$, and $x_{n}$, such that

$$
T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(-t_{n} \pm t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}\right) \subset Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}^{-}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \backslash R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{0<t \leq t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}} \frac{1}{\left|I\left(t_{n}, t\right)\right|} \int_{I\left(t_{n}, t\right)} \int_{Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \cap T_{x_{n}, \nu_{\Gamma}}(l)} \omega(x) d \mathcal{H}^{N-1} d l \\
& \leq \int_{S \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)} \omega^{-} d \mathcal{H}^{N-1}+C \tau r_{n}^{N-1} \tag{4.83}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I\left(t_{n}, t\right):=\left(-t_{n}-t,-t_{n}+t\right)$.
Proof. The proof of this proposition uses the same arguments of the proof of Proposition 4.8 and Proposition 4.9 where we apply Lemma 4.15 in place of Lemma 4.7.

Proposition 4.17. ( $\Gamma$-limsup) For $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \cap S B V(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $u \in L_{\omega}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u):=\inf \{ & \left\{\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_{\omega, \varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { in } L_{\omega}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text { in } L^{1}, 0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\omega}^{+}(u) \leq E_{\omega}(u) \tag{4.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Step 1: Assume $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left(S_{\omega} \backslash S_{u}\right) \cup\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{\omega}\right)\right)=0$, i.e., $S_{\omega}$ and $S_{u}$ coincide $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ a.e.
If $E_{\omega}(u)=\infty$ then there is nothing to prove. If $E_{\omega}(u)<+\infty$ then by Lemma 2.10 we have that $u \in G S B V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(S_{u}\right)<+\infty$.

Fix $\tau \in(0,2 / 21)$. Applying Proposition 4.16 to $\omega$ we obtain a set $S_{\tau} \subset S_{\omega}$, a countable collection of mutually disjoint cubes $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and corresponding

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n} \in\left(2.5 \tau r_{n}, 3.5 \tau r_{n}\right) \tag{4.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t_{x_{n}, r_{n}}$ for which (4.83) holds. Extract a finite collection $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}$ from $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ with $M_{\tau}>0$ large enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left[S_{\tau} \backslash \bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right]<\tau \tag{4.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\tau}:=S_{\tau} \cap\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right] . \tag{4.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{n}$ be the part of $Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$ which lies between $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left( \pm t_{n}\right), U_{n}^{+}$be the part above $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(t_{n}\right)$, and $U_{n}^{-}$be the part below $T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(-t_{n}\right)$.

We claim that if $x \in U_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{\omega}}\left(x_{n}\right) \in U_{n}^{+} \tag{4.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)=t_{n}-\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{\omega}}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

and since $x \in U_{n}$, we have that

$$
\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{\omega}}\left(x_{n}\right) \in\left(-t_{n}, t_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
t_{n} \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)+\left(x-\mathbb{P}_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}(x)\right) \nu_{S_{\omega}}\left(x_{n}\right) \leq 3 t_{n} \leq 10.5 \tau r_{n}<\frac{1}{2} r_{n}
$$

Hence, following a similar computation in (4.51), we deduce (4.88).
Moreover, according to (4.85) and the definition of $R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$, we have that

$$
\left(U_{n}^{+} \cup U_{n}^{-}\right) \cap R_{\tau / 2, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)=\emptyset
$$

We define $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ as follows (see Figure 2):

$$
\bar{u}_{\tau}(x):= \begin{cases}u(x) & \text { if } x \in U_{n}^{+} \cup U_{n}^{-}  \tag{4.89}\\ u\left(x+2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \nu_{S_{\omega}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) & \text { if } x \in U_{n}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\bar{u}_{\tau}(x):=u(x) \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Note that the jump set of $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ is contained by
1.

$$
\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}}\left[T_{x_{n}, \nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(-t_{n}\right) \cap Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right]
$$

2. 

$$
\bigcup_{n=1}^{M_{\tau}} \partial\left(Q_{\nu_{S_{\omega}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right) \cap \overline{U_{n}}
$$

3. $S_{u} \backslash F_{\tau}$, where $F_{\tau}$ is defined in (4.87).


Figure 2. Construction of $\bar{u}_{\tau}(x)$ in (4.89)

The construction of $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{\omega}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ satisfying (4.84) is same as in the proof of Proposition 4.12, using (4.89) instead of (4.52), and at (4.71) we apply (4.83) instead of (4.37).

Step 2: Suppose that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left(S_{\omega} \backslash S_{u}\right) \cup\left(S_{u} \backslash S_{\omega}\right)\right)>0$. Note that we are only interested in the part $S_{u} \backslash S_{\omega}$ but not $S_{\omega} \backslash S_{u}$, because we only need to recover $S_{u}$.

We first apply Proposition 4.9 on $S_{u}$ to obtain a countable family of disjoint cubes $\mathcal{F}=\left\{Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that (4.33)-(4.36) hold. Furthermore, extract a finite collection $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ from $\mathcal{F}$ such that (4.86) holds.

We define $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ inside each $Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{\tau}$ as follows (see Figure 3):

1. if $x_{n} \in \bar{S}_{\omega}$, we apply Proposition 4.15 to obtain item 5 in Proposition 4.16 for this $Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$, and we define $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ in this cube in the way of (4.89);
2. if $x_{n} \in S_{u} \backslash \bar{S}_{\omega}$, we apply Lemma 4.7 to obtain item 5 in Proposition 4.9 for this $Q_{\nu_{S_{u}}}\left(x_{n}, r_{n}\right)$, and we define $\bar{u}_{\tau}$ in this cube in the way of (4.52).
For points $x$ outside $\mathcal{T}_{\tau}$, we define $\bar{u}_{\tau}(x):=u(x)$.
Reasoning as in Proposition 4.12 and Proposition 4.17, we conclude (4.84).
Proof of Theorem 4.13. The lim inf inequality follows from Proposition 4.14. On the other hand, for any given $u \in G S B V_{\omega}$ such that $E_{\omega}(u)<\infty$, we have, by Lebesgue Monotone Convergence


Figure 3. Applying (4.89) in dotted line cube and (4.52) in straight line cube.

Theorem,

$$
E_{\omega}(u)=\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} E_{\omega}(K \wedge u \vee-K)
$$

and a diagonal argument, together with Proposition 4.17, yields the lim sup inequality for $u$.

## Appendix

Definition A. 1 ([7], Definition 4.4.9). Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a metric space. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ the family of all nonempty closed subsets of $X$. Then

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}(C, D):=\min \{1, h(C, D)\}, \quad C, D \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}
$$

where

$$
h(C, D):=\inf \left\{\delta \in[0,+\infty]: C \subset D_{\delta} \text { and } D \subset C_{\delta}\right\}
$$

is a metric on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, and is called the Hausdorff distance between the set $C$ and $D$ (see (2.4) for definition of $D_{\delta}$ and $C_{\delta}$ ).

Consider $\mathcal{X}$ to be the interval $(0,1)$ with the Euclidian distance. We remark that for two intervals $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ and $\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$ in $(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right],\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]\right)=\min \left\{1, \max \left\{\left|a_{1}-a_{2}\right|,\left|b_{1}-b_{2}\right|\right\}\right\} . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the $\delta$-neighborhood of $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ is $\left[a_{1}-\delta, b_{1}+\delta\right]$, and contains $\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$ if and only if

$$
\delta \geq \max \left\{a_{1}-a_{2}, b_{2}-b_{1}\right\}
$$

Similarly, the $\delta$-neighborhood of $\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$ contains $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ if and only if

$$
\delta \geq \max \left\{a_{2}-a_{1}, b_{1}-b_{2}\right\}
$$

and we conclude (A.1).
Lemma A.2. Let $I_{n}:=\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \subset(-1,1)$. Then, up to the extraction of a subsequence,

$$
I_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} I_{\infty} \subset(-1,1),
$$

where $I_{\infty}$ is connected and closed in $(-1,1)$, and

$$
\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I_{\infty}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I_{n}\right)
$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $K \subset \subset I_{\infty}, K$ must be contained in $I_{n}$ for $n$ large enough.
Proof. Because $I_{n} \subset(-1,1)$, we have that $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are bounded and so, up to the extraction of a subsequence, there exist

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\infty}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \text { and } b_{\infty}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-1 \leq a_{\infty} \leq b_{\infty} \leq 1$. We define $I_{\infty}:=\left[a_{\infty}, b_{\infty}\right]$ if $-1<a_{\infty} \leq b_{\infty}<1, I_{\infty}:=\left(-1, b_{\infty}\right]$ if $a_{\infty}=-1$, and $I_{\infty}:=\left[a_{\infty}, 1\right)$ if $b_{\infty}=1$. Hence $I_{\infty}$ is connected and closed in $(-1,1)$ (in the case in which $a_{\infty}=b_{\infty}=-1$, or $a_{\infty}=b_{\infty}=1$, we have $I_{\infty}=\varnothing$ and it is still closed in $(-1,1)$ ).

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(I_{n}, I_{\infty}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{\left|a_{n}-a_{\infty}\right|,\left|b_{n}-b_{\infty}\right|\right\}=0
$$

and we have for $I_{\infty} \neq \varnothing$,

$$
\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I_{\infty}\right)=b_{\infty}-a_{\infty}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I_{n}\right)
$$

as desired.

Next, if $K \subset \subset I_{\infty}$ then $K \subset(\alpha, \beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta$ such that $a_{\infty}<\alpha<\beta<b_{\infty}$. By (A.2) choose $N$ large enough such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$
a_{n}<\alpha<\beta<b_{n}
$$

so that $K \subset I_{n}$ for all $n \geq N$.
Lemma A.3. Let $\left\{v_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W^{1,2}(I)$ be such that $0 \leq v_{\varepsilon} \leq 1, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{1}(I)$ and pointwise a.e., and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x<\infty \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for arbitrary $0<\eta<1$ there exists an open set $H_{\eta} \subset I$ satisfying:

1. the set $I \backslash H_{\eta}$ is a collection of finitely many points in $I$;
2. for every set $K$ compactly contained in $H_{\eta}$, we have $K \subset B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, where

$$
B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}:=\left\{x \in I: v_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x) \geq \eta\right\}
$$

Proof. Choose a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
M \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-1\right)^{2}\right] d x \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{I}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|\left|1-v_{\varepsilon}\right| d x=\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{I}\left|c_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| d x
$$

where $c_{\varepsilon}(x):=\left(1-v_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)^{2}$. Note that by (A.3), $c_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}(I)$. Fix $\sigma, \delta$ with

$$
0<\sigma<\delta<1
$$

By the co-area formula we have, for $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ with $\varepsilon_{0}$ sufficiently small,

$$
2 M+1 \geq \int_{I}\left|c_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\left\{x: c_{\varepsilon}(x)=t\right\}\right) d t \geq \int_{\sigma}^{\delta} \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\left\{x: c_{\varepsilon}(x)=t\right\}\right) d t
$$

Hence, for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $\delta_{\varepsilon} \in(\sigma, \delta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 M+1}{\delta-\sigma} \geq \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\left\{x: c_{\varepsilon}(x)=\delta_{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define, for a fixed $r>0$,

$$
A_{\varepsilon}^{r}:=\left\{x \in I: c_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq r\right\}
$$

Since $v_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}(I), v_{\varepsilon}$ is continuous and so is $c_{\varepsilon}$, therefore $A_{\varepsilon}^{\delta_{\varepsilon}}$ is closed and has at most $(2 M+1) /(\delta-\sigma)+1$ connected components because of (A.4) and in view of the continuity of $c_{\varepsilon}$. Note that the number $(2 M+1) /(\delta-\sigma)$ does not depend on $\varepsilon>0$.

For $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on $\delta-\sigma$ and $M$, we have

1. $A_{\varepsilon}^{\delta_{\varepsilon}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} I_{\varepsilon}^{i}$, where each $I_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ is a closed interval or $\varnothing$;
2. for all $i<j$, max $\left\{x: x \in I_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right\}<\min \left\{x: x \in I_{\varepsilon}^{j}\right\}$.

By Lemma A.2, up to the extraction of a subsequence, for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ let $I_{0}^{i}$ be the Hausdorff limit of the $I_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $I_{\varepsilon}^{i} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} I_{0}^{i}$, with $I_{0}^{i}$ is connected and closed in $I$, and for all $i<j, \max I_{0}^{i} \leq \min I_{0}^{j}$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\delta}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(I_{0}^{i}\right)^{\circ} \text { and } T_{\delta, \varepsilon}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(I_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right)^{\circ} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by $(\cdot)^{\circ}$ we denote the interior of a set. Since

$$
I \backslash A_{\varepsilon}^{\delta_{\varepsilon}} \subset\left\{x \in I: c_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \sigma\right\}
$$

and $c_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}(I)$, by Chebyshev's inequality we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(T_{\delta, \varepsilon}\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(A_{\varepsilon}\right)=2
$$

Moreover, since $T_{\delta, \varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} T_{\delta}$, by Lemma A. 2 we have

$$
\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(T_{\delta}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I_{0}^{i}\right)^{\circ}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right)^{\circ}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right)^{\circ}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(T_{\delta, \varepsilon}\right)=2
$$

Thus $\left|I \backslash T_{\delta}\right|=0$. Moreover, since $T_{\delta}$ has at most $k$ connected components, $I \backslash T_{\delta}$ is a finite collection of points in $I$.

Next, let $K \subset \subset T_{\delta}$ be a compact subset. We claim that $K$ must be contained in $A_{\varepsilon}^{\delta_{\varepsilon}}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small
enough. Recall $I_{0}^{i}$ and $I_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ from (A.5). Define $K_{i}:=K \cap\left(I_{0}^{i}\right)^{\circ}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then $K_{i} \subset \subset\left(I_{o}^{i}\right)^{\circ}$ for each $i$, and so by Lemma A. 2 there exists $\varepsilon_{i}>0$ such that for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{i}, K_{i} \subset I_{\varepsilon}^{i}$. Define

$$
\varepsilon^{\prime}:=\min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}}\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}
$$

For $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon^{\prime}$ we have $K_{i} \subset I_{\varepsilon}^{i}$, and so

$$
K=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_{i} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} I_{\varepsilon}^{i}=A_{\varepsilon}^{\delta_{\varepsilon}}
$$

Finally, given $\eta \in(0,1)$, set $\delta:=(1-\sqrt{\eta})^{2}$ with $H_{\eta}:=T_{(1-\sqrt{\eta})^{2}}$ and $B_{\varepsilon}^{\eta}:=A_{\varepsilon}^{(1-\sqrt{\eta})^{2}}$, and properties 1 and 2 are satisfied.
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[^0]:    $1_{\left.\text {when applying Corollary } 1.1, \Omega \text { is }(-1,1)^{N-1}, B \text { is }(-1,1) \text {, and } C_{x} \text { is } \Gamma_{x^{\prime}} \text {, and we construct } \Gamma^{\prime} \text { by using } \bar{u}(x)\right) ~(x)}$ which is obtained Corollary 1.1

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Yes, we assume $\nu_{\Gamma}(x)=e_{N}$, and this is why we say "up to a rotation" above

