# **Upscaling Walls of Dislocations in Finite Domains**

P. van Meurs<sup>†‡</sup>, A. Muntean<sup>†</sup>, M. A. Peletier<sup>†</sup>

## Understanding plasticity

TU/e

The main challenge in many complex systems is to understand the collective behavior of interacting particles on the micro-scale. We examine dislocation networks (Figure 1), from which plastic deformation of metals arises as the emergent property.

**Main challenge**: Metals contain a large amount of dislocations, and every dislocation interacts with all the others. No general theory exists to rigorously upscale the number of dislocations for non-local dislocation interactions, which are moreover singular at 0 (Figure 2).

### Microscopic model

We choose a specific configuration for the edge dislocations as motivated by [2] (Figure 1). Because of the imposed periodicity in the vertical direction, the only unknowns are the horizontal positions (denoted by  $\tilde{x}_i$ ) of all n vertical walls of dislocations.



Figure 1. Configuration of dislocations for n = 3.

Any two of such walls repel each other. The corresponding potential is illustrated in Figure 2. The left boundary is modeled by a fixed wall of dislocations at  $\tilde{x}_0 = 0$ .



Figure 2. Interaction potential V(s) with two-scale repulsion.

A proper length scale for the pile-up length (i.e.  $\tilde{x}_n$ ) is given by  $d_n := \min\{\ell_n, L_n\}$ , where  $\ell_n$  is obtained by balancing the interactions with the applied stress (see [I]). After scaling  $x := \tilde{x}/d_n$ , we can describe the energy landscape by



$$E_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A_n(\alpha_n) \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} V(n\alpha_n(x_{j+k} - x_j)) + B(L_n/\ell_n) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \chi_{\{x_n \le L_n/d_n\}}$$

where  $\alpha_n := d_n/(nh_n)$  is asymptotically equal to the *aspect ratio* of the horizontal and vertical distance between dislocations (Figure 1), and where  $\chi_{\{P\}}$  is 0 if P is satisfied, and  $\infty$  otherwise. A minimizer of  $E_n$  corresponds to a stable configuration of the walls.

#### <u>Results</u>

We have proven [3] that

$$E_n \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E := E_i + E_F + E_L$$

in  $\mathcal{P}([0,\infty)$  with respect to narrow convergence, where

- the interaction term E<sub>i</sub> has the same structure as in [I];
- the force term E<sub>F</sub>(μ) equals the first moment of μ when L<sub>n</sub> ≫ ℓ<sub>n</sub>. If L<sub>n</sub> ≪ ℓ<sub>n</sub>, we have E<sub>F</sub>(μ) = 0;
- the finiteness of the domain is represented by  $E_{\rm L}(\mu) = \chi_{\{ \sup p \, \mu \subset [0,1] \}}$  when  $L_n \ll \ell_n$ . If  $L_n \gg \ell_n$ , we have  $E_{\rm L}(\mu) = 0$ .

If  $L_n \sim \ell_n$ , both  $E_F$  and  $E_L$  do not vanish. The prefactor in  $E_F$  describes the transition between  $L_n \ll \ell_n$  and  $L_n \gg \ell_n$ . It is in this transition region that  $d_n$  (the pile-up length scale) changes from  $L_n$  to  $\ell_n$ .

#### Future plans

How to upscale dislocation *dynamics*? That is, pass to the continuum limit  $n \to \infty$  in

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}(t) = -\nabla E_n(\mathbf{x}(t)).$$

#### References

- M.G.D. Geers, R.H.J. Peerlings, M.A. Peletier, and L. Scardia. Asymptotic behaviour of a pile-up of infinite walls of edge dislocations. Submitted.
- [2] A. Roy, R.H.J. Peerlings, M.G.D. Geers, and Y. Kasyanyuk. Continuum modeling of dislocation interactions: Why discreteness matters? *Materials Science and Engineering*, 486:653 – 661, 2008.
- [3] P. van Meurs, A. Muntean, and M.A. Peletier. Upscaling of dislocation walls in finite domains. In preparation.

<sup>†</sup> Centre for Analysis, Scientific computing and Applications (CASA), Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands <sup>‡</sup> n i n v meurs@tue nl

<sup>‡</sup> p.j.p.v.meurs@tue.nl



/centre for analysis, scientific computing and applications