# Long paths in random Apollonian networks

Colin Cooper\*

Alan Frieze<sup>†</sup>

February 6, 2014

#### Abstract

We consider the length L(n) of the longest path in a randomly generated Apollonian Network (ApN)  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . We show that w.h.p.  $L(n) \leq ne^{-\log^c n}$  for any constant c < 2/3.

### 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the length of the longest path in a random Apollonian Network (ApN)  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . We start with a triangle  $T_0 = xyz$  in the plane. We then place a point  $v_1$  in the centre of this triangle creating 3 triangular faces. We choose one of these faces at random and place a point  $v_2$  in its middle. There are now 5 triangular faces. We choose one at random and place a point  $v_3$  in its centre. In general, after we have added  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_1$  there will 2n + 1 triangular faces. We choose one at random and place  $v_n$  inside it. The random graph  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is the graph induced by this embedding. It has n + 3 vertices and 3n + 6 edges.

This graph has been the object of study recently. Frieze and Tsourakakis [4] studied it in the context of scale free graphs. They determined properties of its degree sequence, properties of the spectra of its adjacency matrix, and its diameter. Cooper and Frieze [2], Ebrahimzadeh, Farczadi, Gao, Mehrabian, Sato, Wormald and Zung [3] improved the diameter result and determine the diameter asymptotically. The paper [3] proves the following result concerning the length of the longest path in  $\mathcal{A}_n$ :

**Theorem 1** There exists an absolute constant  $\alpha$  such that if L(n) denotes the length of the longest path in  $A_n$  then

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(L(n) \ge \frac{n}{\log^{\alpha} n}\right) \le \frac{1}{\log^{\alpha} n}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Informatics, King's College, University of London, London WC2R 2LS, UK. Supported in part by EPSRC grant  $\rm EP/J006300/1$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA15213, USA. Supported in part by NSF grant CCF0502793.

The value of  $\alpha$  from [3] is rather small and we will assume for the purposes of this proof that

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{3}.\tag{1}$$

The aim of this paper is to give the following improvement on Theorem 1:

#### Theorem 2

$$\mathbf{Pr}(L(n) \ge ne^{-\log^c n}) \le O(e^{-\log^{c/2} n})$$

for any constant c < 2/3.

This is most likely far from the truth. It is reasonable to conjecture that in fact  $L(n) \leq n^{1-\varepsilon}$  w.h.p. for some positive  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For lower bounds, [3] shows that  $L(n) \geq n^{\log_3 2} + 2$  always and  $\mathbf{E}(L(n)) = \Omega(n^{0.8})$ . Chen and Yu [1] have proved an  $\Omega(n^{\log_3 2})$  lower bound for arbitrary 3-connected planar graphs.

# 2 Outline proof strategy

We take an arbitrary path P in  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and bound its length. We do this as follows. We add vertices to the interior of xyz in rounds. In round i we add  $\sigma_i$  vertices. We start with  $\sigma_0 = n^{1/2}$  and choose  $\sigma_i \gg \sigma_{i-1}$  where  $A \gg B$  iff B = o(A). We will argue inductively that P only visits  $\tau_{i-1} = o(\sigma_{i-1})$  faces of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_{i-1}}$  and then use Lemma 2 below to argue that roughly a fraction  $\tau_{i-1}/\sigma_{i-1}$  of the  $\sigma_i$  new vertices go into faces visited by P. We then use a variant (Lemma 3) of Theorem 1 to argue that w.h.p.  $\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i} \leq \frac{\tau_{i-1}}{2\sigma_{i-1}}$ . Theorem 2 will follow easily from this.

# 3 Paths and Triangles

Fix  $1 \leq \sigma \leq n$  and let  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  denote the ApN we have after inserting  $\sigma$  vertices A interior to  $T_0$ . It has  $2\sigma + 1$  faces, which we denote by  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{2\sigma+1}\}$ . Now add N more vertices B to create a larger network  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}$  where  $\sigma' = \sigma + N$ . Now consider a path  $P = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  through  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}$ . Let  $I = \{i : x_i \in A\} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\tau}\}$ . Note that  $Q = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\tau})$  is a path of length  $\tau - 1$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ . This is because  $i_k i_{k+1}, 1 \leq k < \tau$  must be an edge of some face in  $\mathcal{T}$ . We also see that for any  $1 \leq k < \tau$  that the vertices  $x_j, i_k < j < i_{k+1}$  will all be interior to the same face  $T_l$  for some  $l \in [2\sigma + 1]$ .

We summarise this in the following lemma: We use the notation of the preceding paragraph.

**Lemma 1** Suppose that  $1 \leq \sigma < \sigma' \leq n$  and that Q is a path of  $A_{\sigma}$  that is obtained from a path P in  $A_{\sigma'}$  by omitting the vertices in B.

Suppose that Q has  $\tau$  vertices and that P visits the interior of  $\tau'$  faces from  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$\tau - 1 < \tau' < \tau + 1$$
.

**Proof** The path P breaks into vertices of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  plus  $\tau + 1$  intervals where in an interval it visits the interior of a single face in  $\mathcal{T}$ . This justifies the upper bound. The lower bound comes from the fact that except for the face in which it starts, if P re-enters a face xyz, then it cannot leave it, because it will have already visited all three vertices x, y, z. Thus at most two of the aforementioned intervals can represent a repeated face.

### 4 A Structural Lemma

Let

$$\lambda_1 = \log^2 n.$$

**Lemma 2** The following holds for all i. Let  $\sigma = \sigma_i$  and suppose that  $\lambda_1 \leq \tau \ll \sigma$ . Suppose that  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{\tau}$  is a set of triangular faces of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ . Suppose that  $N \gg \sigma$  and that when adding N vertices to  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  we find that  $M_j$  vertices are placed in  $T_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \tau$ . Then for all  $J \subseteq [2\sigma + 1]$ ,  $|J| = \tau$  we have

$$\sum_{j \in J} M_j \le \frac{100\tau N}{\sigma} \log \left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right).$$

This holds q.s.<sup>1</sup> for all choices of  $\tau, \sigma$  and  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{\tau}$ .

**Proof** We consider the following process. It is a simple example of a branching random walk. We consider a process that starts with s newly born particles. Once a particle is born, it waits an exponentially mean one distributed amount of time. After this time, it simultaneously dies and gives birth to k new particles and so on. A birth corresponds to a vertex of our network and a particle corresponds to a face.

Let  $Z_t$  denote the number of deaths up to time t. The number of particles in the system is  $\beta_N = s + N(k-1)$ . Then we have

$$\mathbf{Pr}(Z_{t+dt} = N) = \beta_{N-1} \mathbf{Pr}(Z_t = N - 1)dt + (1 - \beta_N dt) \mathbf{Pr}(Z_t = N).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A sequence of events  $\mathcal{E}_n$  holds quite surely (q.s.) if  $\mathbf{Pr}(\neg \mathcal{E}_n) = O(n^{-K}$  for any constant K > 0.

So, if  $p_N(t) = \mathbf{Pr}(Z_t = N)$ , we have  $f_N(0) = 1_{N=s}$  and

$$p_N'(t) = \beta_{N-1} p_{N-1}(t) - \beta_N p_N(t).$$

This yields

$$p_N(t) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(k-1)(i-1) + s}{(k-1)i} \times e^{-st} (1 - e^{-(k-1)t})^N$$
$$= A_{k,N,s} e^{-st} (1 - e^{-(k-1)t})^N.$$

 $A_{3,0,s} = 1$ . When s is even,  $s, N \to \infty$ , and k = 3 we have

$$A_{3,N,s} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{s/2 + i - 1}{i} \right) = \binom{N + s/2 - 1}{s/2 - 1}$$

$$\approx \left( 1 + \frac{s - 2}{2N} \right)^{N} \left( 1 + \frac{2N}{s - 2} \right)^{s/2 - 1} \sqrt{\frac{2N + s}{2\pi N s}}.$$

We also need to have an upper bound for small even s,  $N^2 = o(s)$ , say. In this case we use

$$A_{3,N,s} \leq s^N$$
.

When  $s \geq 3$  is odd,  $s, N \to \infty$  (no need to deal with small N here) and k = 3 we have

$$A_{3,N,s} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{2i - 2 + s}{2i} \right) = \frac{(s - 1 + 2N)!((s - 1)/2)!}{2^{2N}(s - 1)!N!((s - 1)/2 + N)!}$$

$$\approx \left( 1 + \frac{s - 1}{2N} \right)^{N} \left( 1 + \frac{2N}{s - 1} \right)^{(s - 1)/2} \frac{1}{(2\pi N)^{1/2}}.$$

We now consider with  $\tau \to \infty, \tau \ll \sigma, N \ge m \ge 2\tau N/\sigma \gg \tau$  and arbitrary t, (under the assumption that  $\tau$  is odd and  $\sigma$  is odd)

(We sometimes use  $A \leq_b B$  in place of A = O(B)).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{Pr}(M_{1} + \dots + M_{\tau} = m \mid M_{1} + \dots + M_{\sigma} = N) \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{Pr}(M_{1} + \dots + M_{\tau} = m) \, \mathbf{Pr}(M_{\tau+1} + \dots + M_{\sigma} = N - m)}{\mathbf{Pr}(M_{1} + \dots + M_{\sigma} = N)} \\
&= \frac{A_{3,m,\tau} A_{3,N-m,\sigma-\tau}}{A_{3,N,\sigma}} \\
&\approx \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\tau - 1}{2m}\right)^{m} \left(1 + \frac{2m}{\tau - 1}\right)^{(\tau - 1)/2} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma - \tau - 2}{2(N - m)}\right)^{N - m} \left(1 + \frac{2(N - m)}{\sigma - \tau - 2}\right)^{(\sigma - \tau - 2)/2} (N(2(N - m) + \sigma))^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma - 1}{2N}\right)^{N} \left(1 + \frac{2N}{\sigma - 1}\right)^{(\sigma - 1)/2} \left(2\pi m\sigma(N - m)\right)^{1/2}} \\
&\leq_{b} \frac{e^{(\tau - 1)/2} \left(\left(\frac{2m}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau - 1)/2} e^{o(\tau)}\right) e^{(\sigma - \tau)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N - m)}{\sigma - \tau - 2}\right)^{(\sigma - \tau - 2)/2} (N(2(N - m) + \sigma))^{1/2}}{e^{\sigma/2 - \sigma^{2}/8N} \left(\left(\frac{2N}{\sigma}\right)^{(\sigma - 1)/2} e^{\sigma^{2}/(4 + o(1))N}\right) (m\sigma(N - m))^{1/2}} \\
&\leq_{b} \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2m}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau - 1)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N - m)}{\sigma - \tau - 2}\right)^{(\sigma - \tau - 2)/2}}{\left(N(2(N - m) + \sigma)\right)^{1/2}} \\
&\leq_{b} \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2m}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau - 1)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N - m)}{\sigma - \tau - 2}\right)^{(\sigma - \tau - 2)/2}}{\left(N(2(N - m) + \sigma)\right)^{1/2}}
\end{aligned}$$

The above bound can be re-written as

$$\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} N^{1/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \sigma^{1/2}} \times \frac{m^{(\tau-1)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma-\tau-2}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau-2)/2} (N-m+\sigma)^{1/2}}{(m(N-m))^{1/2}}.$$

Suppose first that  $m \leq N - 4\sigma$ . Then the bound becomes

$$\leq_{b} \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} N^{1/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \sigma^{1/2}} \times m^{(\tau-2)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma - \tau - 2}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau-2)/2} \\
\leq_{b} \frac{e^{o(\tau)} 2^{(\tau-1)/2} N^{1/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \tau^{\tau/2}} \times m^{(\tau-2)/2} \left(\frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma - \tau}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} e^{\sigma^{2}/(N-m)} \\
\leq \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\sigma(N-m)}{N(\sigma - \tau)}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left(\frac{\sigma m}{\tau N}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} e^{\sigma^{2}/(N-m)} \\
\leq_{b} \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^{2}m\sigma}{\tau N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m(\sigma - \tau)}{(\tau - 1)N} + \frac{2\sigma^{2}}{(\tau - 1)(N-m)}\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \\
= \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^{2}m\sigma}{\tau N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\sigma}{(\tau - 1)N} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\sigma} - \frac{2\sigma}{m} - \frac{2\sigma}{N-m}\right)\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \\
\leq \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^{2}m\sigma}{\tau N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\sigma}{(\tau - 1)N} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\sigma} - \frac{2\sigma}{m} - \frac{2\sigma}{N-m}\right)\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}$$

We inflate this by  $n^2\binom{2\sigma+1}{\tau}$  to account for our choices for  $\sigma, \tau, T_1, \ldots, T_{\tau}$  to get

$$\leq_b n^2 \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left( \frac{4e^4 m\sigma^3}{\tau^3 N} \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{m\sigma}{3\tau N} \right\} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2}.$$

So, if 
$$m_0 = \frac{100\tau N \log(\sigma/\tau)}{\sigma}$$
 then
$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{N-4\sigma} \mathbf{Pr}(\exists \sigma, \tau, T_1, \dots, T_\tau : M_1 + \dots + M_\tau = m \mid M_1 + \dots + M_\sigma = N)$$

$$\leq_b n^2 e^{o(\tau)} N^{5/2} \sum_{m=m_0}^{N-4\sigma} \left( \frac{4e^4 m \sigma^3}{\tau^3 N} \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{m\sigma}{3\tau N} \right\} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2}$$

$$\leq n^2 e^{o(\tau)} N^{7/2} \left( \frac{4e^4 m_0 \sigma^3}{\tau^3 N} \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{m_0 \sigma}{3\tau N} \right\} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2}$$

since  $xe^{-Ax}$  is decreasing for  $Ax \ge 1$ 

$$= n^{2}e^{o(\tau)}N^{7/2} \left(\frac{4e^{4}m_{0}\sigma}{\tau N} \exp\left\{-\frac{m_{0}\sigma}{6\tau N}\right\} \times \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{m_{0}\sigma}{6\tau N}\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}$$

$$\leq n^{2}N^{7/2} \left(400e^{4+o(1)}\log\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right) \times e^{-50/3} \times \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\sigma}\right)^{50/3}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}$$

$$= O(n^{-anyconstant}).$$

Suppose now that  $N-4\sigma \leq m \leq N-\sigma^{1/3}$ . Then we can bound (3) by

$$\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2}} \times m^{(\tau-1)/2} e^{4\sigma}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{e^8 \sigma}{2N}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left(\frac{e^8 \sigma}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}.$$

We inflate this by  $n^2 {2\sigma+1 \choose \tau} < n^2 4^{\sigma}$  to get

$$\leq_b n^2 \left(\frac{8e^8\sigma}{N}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left(\frac{16e^8\sigma}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}$$

So,

$$\sum_{m=N-4\sigma}^{N-\sigma^{1/3}} \mathbf{Pr}(\exists \sigma, \tau, T_1, \dots, T_{\sigma} : M_1 + \dots + M_{\tau} = m \mid M_1 + \dots + M_{\sigma} = N)$$

$$\leq_b n^2 N^2 \sigma \left(\frac{8e^8 \sigma}{N}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left(\frac{16e^8 \sigma}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}$$

$$= O(n^{-anyconstant})$$

since  $\sigma \log N \gg \tau \log \sigma$ .

When  $m \ge N - \sigma^{1/3}$  we replace (2) by

$$\leq_{b} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\tau - 1}{2m}\right)^{m} \left(1 + \frac{2m}{\tau - 1}\right)^{(\tau - 1)/2} \sigma^{N - m} N^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma - 1}{2N}\right)^{N} \left(1 + \frac{2N}{\sigma - 1}\right)^{(\sigma - 1)/2} (m\sigma)^{1/2}} \\
\leq_{b} \frac{e^{\tau/2 + o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2m}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau - 1)/2} \sigma^{N - m} N^{1/2}}{e^{\sigma} \left(\frac{2N}{\sigma}\right)^{(\sigma - 1)/2} m^{1/2}} \\
\leq_{b} \left(\frac{e^{1 + o(1)} \sigma}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau - 1)/2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{2N}\right)^{(\sigma - \tau)/2} \sigma^{\sigma^{1/3}}.$$

Inflating this by  $n^24^{\sigma}$  gives a bound of

$$\leq_b n^2 \left(\frac{16e^{1+o(1)}\sigma}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \left(\frac{8\sigma^{1+o(1)}}{N}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} = O(n^{-anyconstant}).$$

5 Modifications of Theorem 1

Let  $\lambda = \log^3 n$  and partition  $[\lambda]$  into  $q = \log n$  sets of size  $\lambda_1 = \log^2 n$ . Now add  $n - \lambda$  vertices to  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}$  and let  $M_i$  denote the number of vertices that land in the *i*th part  $\Pi_i$  of the partition. Lemma 2 implies that q.s.

$$M_i \le M_{\text{max}} = \frac{200n}{\log n} \log \log n, \quad 1 \le i \le \tau. \tag{4}$$

Let

$$\omega_1(x) = \log^{\alpha/2} x \tag{5}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $L_i$  denote the length of the longest path in  $\Pi_i$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}_n$  contains a path of length at least  $n/\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_1 = \omega_1(n)$  and let k be the number of i such that

$$L_i \ge \frac{200n \log \log n}{\omega_1^2 \log n} \ge \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{\log^{\alpha}(M_{\text{max}})}.$$

Then, as  $k \leq q = \log n$  we have

$$k \frac{200n \log \log n}{\log n} + (\log n - k) \frac{200n \log \log n}{\omega_1^2 \log n} \ge \frac{n}{\omega_1}$$

which implies that

$$k \ge \frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n}.$$

Theorem 1 with the bound on  $M_i$  given in (4) implies that the probability of this is at most

$$\frac{1}{n} + \left(\frac{\log n}{\frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\log^{\alpha}(n/\log n)}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n}} \le \frac{1}{n} + \left(\frac{1}{\log^{\alpha/3} n}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n}} \le \frac{1}{\phi(n,\omega_1)} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\phi(x,y) = \exp\left\{\frac{\log x}{y\log\log x}\right\}.$$

The term 1/n accounts for the failure of the property in Lemma 2.

In summary, we have proved the following

### Lemma 3

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(L(n) \ge \frac{n}{\omega_1(n)}\right) \le \frac{1}{\phi(n,\omega_1)}.\tag{7}$$

We are using  $\phi(x,y)$  in place of  $\phi(x)$  because we will need to use  $\omega_1(x)$  for values of x other than n.

Next consider  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \tau \ll \sigma$  and let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{\tau}$  be a set of  $\tau$  triangular faces of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ . Suppose that we add  $N \gg \sigma$  more vertices and let  $N_j$  be the number of vertices that are placed in  $T_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \tau$ .

Next let

$$\Lambda(x) = e^{x^2} \tag{8}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now let

$$J = \{j : N_j \ge \Lambda_0\} \text{ where } \Lambda_0 = \Lambda(\omega_1(n)).$$
 (9)

Let  $L_j$  denote the length of the longest path through the ApN defined by  $T_j$  and the  $N_j$  vertices it contains,  $1 \le j \le \tau$ . For the remainder of the section let

$$\omega_0 = \omega_1(\Lambda_0), \quad \phi_0 = \phi(\Lambda_0, \omega_0) = \exp\left\{\frac{\omega_0}{2\log\omega_0}\right\}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{\phi_0}{\omega_0}.$$
 (10)

Then let

$$J_1 = \left\{ j \in J : L_j \ge \frac{N_j}{\omega_1(N_j)} \right\}. \tag{11}$$

We note that

$$\log \omega_2 = \log \phi_0 - \log \omega_0 = \frac{\log \Lambda_0}{\omega_0 \log \log \Lambda} - \log \omega_0$$
$$= \frac{\omega_0^2}{(2 + o(1))\omega_0 \log \log \omega_0} - \log \omega_0.$$

For  $j \in J$ ,  $N_j \ge \Lambda_0$  (see (9)). It follows from Lemma 3 that the size of  $J_1$  is stochastically dominated by  $Bin(\tau, 1/\phi_0)$ . Using a Chernoff bound we find that

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(|J_1| \ge \frac{\omega_2 \tau}{\phi_0}\right) \le \left(\frac{e}{\omega_2}\right)^{\omega_2 \tau/\phi_0}. \tag{12}$$

Using this we prove

Lemma 4 Suppose that

$$\log\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right) \le \frac{\omega_0}{\log \omega_0}.$$

Then q.s., for all  $\lambda_1 \leq \tau \ll \sigma \ll N$  and all collections  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\tau$  faces of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  we find that with  $J_1$  as defined in (11),

$$|J_1| \le \frac{\omega_2 \tau}{\phi_0}.$$

**Proof** It follows from (12) that

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(\exists \tau, \sigma, N, \mathcal{T} : |J_1| \ge \frac{\omega_2}{\tau \phi_0}\right) \\
\leq n^3 \binom{(2\sigma+1)}{\tau} \left(\frac{e}{\omega_2}\right)^{\omega_2 \tau/\phi_0} \\
\leq n^3 \left(\frac{e(2\sigma+1)}{\tau} \cdot \left(\frac{e}{\omega_2}\right)^{\omega_2/\phi_0}\right)^{\tau} \\
\leq \exp\left\{\tau \left(\frac{3\log n}{\tau} + 2 + \log\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right) + \frac{\omega_2}{\phi_0} - \frac{\omega_2\log\omega_2}{\phi_0}\right)\right\} \\
\leq \exp\left\{\tau \left(\frac{3\log n}{\tau} + 2 + \frac{\omega_0}{\log\omega_0} + -\frac{\omega_0}{(2+o(1))\log\log\omega_0}\right)\right\} \\
= O(n^{-anyconstant}).$$

# 6 Proof of Theorem 2

Fix a path P of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Suppose that after adding  $\sigma \geq n^{1/2}$  vertices we find that P visits

$$n^{1/2} \ge \tau \ge \lambda_1 \omega_0 \tag{13}$$

of the triangles  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{\tau}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ . Now consider adding N more vertices, where the value of N is given in (16) below. Let  $\sigma' = \sigma + N$  and let  $\tau'$  be the number of triangles of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}$  that are visited by P.

We assume that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2}\log\log n \le \log\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right) \le \frac{\omega_0}{\log\omega_0}.\tag{14}$$

Let  $M_i$  be the number of vertices placed in  $T_i$  and let  $N_i$  be the number of these that are visited by P. It follows from Lemma 2 that w,h.p.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} M_i \le \frac{100\tau N}{\sigma} \log \left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right).$$

Now w.h.p.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} N_i \le \tau \Lambda_0 + \frac{100\omega_2 \tau N}{\phi_0 \sigma} \log \left( \frac{\sigma \phi_0}{\omega_2 \tau} \right) + \frac{100\tau N}{\sigma \omega_0} \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right). \tag{15}$$

**Explanation:**  $\tau \Lambda_0$  bounds the contribution from  $[\tau] \setminus J$  (see (9)). The second term bounds the contribution from  $J_1$ . Now  $|J_1| < \omega_2 \tau / \phi_0 \ll \tau$  as shown in Lemma 4. We cannot apply Lemma 2 to bound the contribution of  $J_1$  unless we know that  $|J_1| \geq \lambda_1$ . We choose an arbitrary set of indices  $J_2 \subseteq [\tau] \setminus J_1$  of size  $\omega_2 \tau / \phi_0 - |J_1|$  and then the middle term bounds the contribution of  $J_1 \cup J_2$ . Note that  $\omega_2 \tau / \phi_0 = \tau / \omega_0 \geq \lambda_1$  from (13). The third term bounds the contribution from  $J \setminus J_1$ . Here we use  $\omega_1(N_j) \geq \omega_1(\Lambda_0) = \omega_0$ , see (11).

We now choose

$$N = 3\sigma\Lambda_0. (16)$$

We observe that

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\phi_0} \log \left( \frac{\sigma \phi_0}{\omega_2 \tau} \right) \le \frac{1}{\omega_0} \left( \frac{\omega_0}{\log \omega_0} + 2 \log \omega_0 \right) = o(1).$$

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0} \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) \le \frac{1}{\log \omega_0} = o(1).$$

Now along with Lemma 1 this implies that

$$\tau' \le \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} (N_i + 1) \le \tau + \tau \Lambda_0 + o\left(\frac{\tau N}{\sigma}\right).$$

Since  $\sigma' = \sigma + N$  this implies that

$$\frac{\tau'}{\sigma'} \le \left(\frac{1}{3} + o(1)\right) \frac{\tau}{\sigma} < \frac{\tau}{2\sigma}.$$

It follows by repeated application of this argument that we can replace Theorem 1 by

### Lemma 5

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(L(n) \ge \log n + \frac{100 \log n}{e^{\omega_0/\log \omega_0}}n\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\phi(n, \omega_1(n))}\right).$$

**Proof** We add the vertices in rounds of size  $\sigma_0 = n^{1/2}, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m$ . Here  $\sigma_i = 3\sigma_{i-1}\Lambda_0$  and  $m-1 \geq (1-o(1))\frac{\log n}{\log \Lambda_0} = (1-o(1))\frac{\log n}{\omega_1(n)^2} = \log^{1-2\alpha} n$ . We let  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m = P$  be a sequence of paths where  $P_i$  is a path in  $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_0 + \cdots + \sigma_i}$ . Furthermore,  $P_i$  is obtained from  $P_{i+1}$  in the same way that Q is obtained from P in Lemma 1. We let  $\tau_i$  denote the number of faces of  $\mathcal{A}_i$  whose interior is visited by  $P_i$ . It follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that the length of P is bounded by

$$m + \frac{\tau_{m-1}}{\sigma_{m-1}} \sigma_m \log \left( \frac{\sigma_{m-1}}{\tau_{m-1}} \right),$$

since the second term is a bound on the number of points in the interior of triangles of  $A_{m-1}$  visited by P.

We have w.h.p. that

$$\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i} \ge \begin{cases} \frac{2\sigma_{i-1}}{\tau_{i-1}} & \frac{\sigma_{i-1}}{\tau_{i-1}} \le e^{\omega_0/\log \omega_0} \\ \frac{\sigma_{i-1}}{100\tau_{i-1}\log(\sigma_{i-1}/\tau_{i-1})} & \frac{\sigma_{i-1}}{\tau_{i-1}} > e^{\omega_0/\log \omega_0} \end{cases}.$$

The second inequality here is from Lemma 2.

The result follows from  $2^{\log^{1-2\alpha} n} > e^{\omega_0/\log \omega_0}$ .

To get Theorem 2 we repeat the argument in Sections 5 and 6, but we start with  $\omega_1(x) = \log^{1/3} x$ . The claim in Theorem 2 is then slightly weaker than the claim in Lemma 5.

# References

- [1] G. Chen and X. Yu, Long cycles in 3-connected graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory* B 86 (2002) 80-99.
- [2] C. Cooper and A.M. Frieze, The height of random *k*-trees and related branching processes, http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.4342
- [3] E. Ebrahimzadeh, L. Farczadi, P. Gao, A. Mehrabian, C. Sato, N. Wormald and J. Zung. On the Longest Paths and the Diameter in Random Apollonian Networks (2013). http://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.5213v1.pdf
- [4] A.M. Frieze and C. Tsourakakis. On Certain Properties of Random Apollonian Networks. WAW 2012, 93–112. (2012).